Advanced Topics in Control 2020: Large-Scale Convex Optimization

Exercise 6: Coordinate Descent Methods

Goran Banjac, Mathias Hudoba de Badyn, Andrea Iannelli, Angeliki Kamoutsi, Ilnura Usmanova

April 10, 2020

Date due: April 23, 2020 at 23:59.

Please submit your solutions via Moodle as a PDF with filename Ex06_Surname.pdf, replacing Surname with your surname.

1 Problem 1

(a) Consider the linear system of equations Ax = b, where $A \in \mathbb{S}^n_{++}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and x^* denotes the solution.

Starting from an initial guess x^0 , the following modified version of the Gauss-Seidel algorithm is proposed:

$$x_i^{k+1} = (1 - \gamma)x_i^k - \frac{\gamma}{a_{ii}} \left[\left(\sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{k+1} \right) + \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^k - b_i \right]$$
 (1)

where a_{ij} is the (i, j)-th entry of A and b_i is the i-th entry of b. The original Gauss-Seidel algorithm is retrieved for $\gamma=1$.

Show that, if $\gamma \notin (0,2)$, then for every $x^0 \neq x^*$, the sequence (1) does not converge to x^* . Hint: You can try to use the analogy between solutions of linear systems and optimization of the associated quadratic function f and show that $f(x^{k+1}) \geq f(x^0)$ for all k.

(b) Consider now the function f defined as:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \max((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2, (x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2)$$
(2)

Find the minimizer $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*)$ of f, and show that, by applying the Gauss-Seidel (or coordinate minimization) algorithm to f from $x^0 = (1, 1)$, this does not converge to x^* . Hint: To find the minimizer, use the fact that $f(x_1, x_2) = f(-x_1, -x_2)$. To answer the second part of the question, you can show that $x^0 = (1, 1)$ is a fixed point of the Gauss-Seidel algorithm.

2 Problem 2

Consider the optimization problem:

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} ||x||_{2}^{2},
\text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b,$$
(3)

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and the rows of A (denoted by a_i^{\top}) are normalized such that:

$$||a_i||_2 = 1, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$
 (4)

The dual problem of (3) is:

$$\max_{z} \quad b^{\top} z - \frac{1}{2} ||A^{\top} z||_{2}^{2}. \tag{5}$$

The algorithms in this problem, unless specified otherwise, make use of a cyclic choice of coordinates. Moreover, the stepsize can always be assumed equal to 1.

- (a) Write the explicit update rule for the coordinate gradient descent algorithm applied to the dual problem.
- (b) Leveraging the previously derived rule, compute the update rule for recovering the primal variables and show that at each iteration the *i*-th equation in the system Ax = b holds. Hint: Find the relationship between the primal and dual variables, and use it to convert the update rule find in part (a) to the update rule for the primal variables.
- (c) Consider the problem:

$$\min_{y} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||Ay - b||_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (a_{j}^{\top} y - b_{j})^{2}.$$
 (6)

where A, b, are the same used in the previous parts. Consider a version of the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) method where an estimate of the gradient g^k of the full summation (6) is obtained by taking a single term j in the summation, where j is drawn from a uniform probability distribution, that is:

$$g^k = \nabla q_t(y^k),$$
 where $q_t(y^k) = \frac{1}{2}(a_t^\top y^k - b_t)^2,$
$$p_t = p(I = t) = \frac{1}{m},$$

Write the explicit update rule for the iterates obtained applying the SGD method. Hint: If t was chosen deterministically according to the following rule t = mod(k, m) + 1, where mod(k, m) is the remainder after division of k by m (e.g. mod(3,3)=0, mod(5,3)=2), then you should obtain the same update rule of the primal variables derived in part (b).