

# On the nonlinear gravitational instabilities for Newtonian universes

Chao Liu

Email: [chao\\_liu@hust.edu.cn](mailto:chao_liu@hust.edu.cn)

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

**@ Mathematical Relativity Seminar in Sun Yat-sen University**

May 20, 2023

# *Backgrounds*

# Classical Jeans instability (Static)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho + \partial_i (\rho v^i) = 0 \\ \partial_t v^i + v^j \partial_j v^i + \frac{\partial^i p}{\rho} + \partial^i \phi = 0 \\ \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \phi = 4\pi G \rho \end{array} \right.$$

Euler - Poisson system

let  $\rho = \underbrace{\rho_0}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{constant}}} + \tilde{\rho}$ ,  $v^i = \underbrace{v_0}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ 0}} + \tilde{v}^i$ ,  $\phi = \underbrace{\phi_0}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \nabla \phi_0 = 0 \text{ contradicts Poisson.}}} + \tilde{\phi}$ ,  $\tilde{p} = c_s^2 \tilde{\rho}$

① linearization  
 $\Rightarrow$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \tilde{\rho} + \rho_0 \partial_i \tilde{v}^i = 0 \\ \partial_t \tilde{v}^i + \frac{c_s^2}{\rho_0} \partial^i \tilde{\rho} + \partial^i \tilde{\phi} = 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_i} \\ \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \tilde{\phi} = 4\pi G \tilde{\rho} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \square \tilde{\rho} - k^2 \tilde{\rho} = 0. \quad (k > 0) \\ \boxed{\partial_t^2 \tilde{\rho} - c_s^2 \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \tilde{\rho} - 4\pi G \rho_0 \tilde{\rho} = 0} \\ \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\ \quad \quad \text{Const.} \quad \quad \text{Const.} \end{array}$$

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{\rho} - c_s^2 \delta_{ij}^{\prime} \partial_i \partial_j \tilde{\rho} - 4\pi G \rho_0 \tilde{\rho} = 0$$

Fourier transform.

$$\tilde{\rho}_k'' + (k^2 c_s^2 - 4\pi G \rho_0) \tilde{\rho}_k = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{\rho}_k \propto \exp(\pm i \omega(k) t) \\ \omega(k) = \sqrt{k^2 c_s^2 - 4\pi G \rho_0} \end{cases}$$

if  $k^2 c_s^2 - 4\pi G \rho_0 < 0 \Leftrightarrow k < \frac{\sqrt{4\pi G \rho_0}}{c_s} =: k_J$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\rho}_k \propto \exp(\pm | \omega | t)$$

exponentially growth.

# Classical Jeans instability (expansion)

## Expanding Newtonian Universe

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \rho &= \rho_0(t) = \frac{1}{6\pi G t^2}, \quad v^i = v_0^i = \frac{2}{3t} x^i \quad (H(t) = \frac{2}{3t} \text{ Hubble's law}) \\ \phi &= \phi_0 = \frac{2}{3}\pi G \rho_0 |x|^2 \end{aligned} \right.$$

let  $\rho = \rho_0 + \tilde{\rho}$ ,  $v^i = v_0^i + \tilde{v}^i$ ,  $\phi = \phi_0 + \tilde{\phi}$

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

- density contrast  $\downarrow$
- ① Lagrangian coord. (comoving with Hubble flow):  $x^i = a(t) q^i$
  - ② Linearization Euler-Poisson
  - ③  $\partial_i$  (momentum conservation)  $\swarrow$  Poisson eq.  
 $\nwarrow$  continuity eq.

let  $e = \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho_0}$   
 $\implies$

$$\partial^2 e + \frac{4}{3t} \dot{e} - \frac{G_0}{a^2} \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j e - 4\pi G \rho_0 e = 0$$

$$\partial_t^2 c + \frac{4}{3t} \dot{c} - \frac{c_s^2}{a^2} \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j c - \frac{2}{3t^2} c = 0$$

$\Downarrow$  Fourier transform

$$c_k'' + \frac{4}{3t} c_k' + \left( \frac{c_s^2 k^2}{a^2} - \frac{2}{3t^2} \right) c_k = 0.$$

$\Downarrow$   $c_s$  small (pressure small)

$$c_k'' + \frac{4}{3t} c_k' - \frac{2}{3t^2} c_k = 0$$

$\Downarrow$  Euler ODE

$$c_k = C_1 t^{-1} + C_2 t^{\frac{2}{3}} \Rightarrow |c| \sim t^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

# *Slightly nonlinear Jeans instability*

## Question:

$$\ddot{\varrho} + \frac{4}{3t}\dot{\varrho} - \tilde{\kappa}t^{-2\gamma+\frac{2}{3}}\Delta\varrho - \frac{2}{3t^2}\varrho = (\gamma-1)\tilde{\kappa}t^{-2\gamma+\frac{2}{3}}\frac{D^i\varrho D_i\varrho}{1+\varrho}. \quad (1)$$

## Theorem

Suppose  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$  and  $\gamma > 1$  are constants and  $\dot{\varrho} := \varrho|_{t=1}$  and  $\dot{\varrho}_\mu := (\partial_\mu \varrho)|_{t=1}$  ( $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ ). Let the initial data of the density satisfies an estimate

$$\left\| \dot{\varrho} - \frac{\beta}{2} \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \left\| \dot{\varrho}_0 - \frac{\beta}{3} \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \|\dot{\varrho}_i\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \beta_0, \quad (2)$$

where  $0 < \beta < +\infty$  is any given constant and  $\beta_0 > 0$  is a small enough constant. Then the solution of equation (1) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{4}\beta t^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq \varrho \leq \frac{3}{4}\beta t^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

for every  $(t, \mathbf{q})$ .



# Methods

- Non-Fourier based method: **Fuchsian formulations** (energy method)
- Main difficulties:
  - ① Find a **compactified time**  $\tau \in [-1, 0)$  for physical time  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$  such that  $\tau = g(t)$ .
  - ② Select proper **Fuchsian fields** (similar define suitable energies)

## Tool: Fuchsian formulations

$$\begin{aligned} B^\mu \partial_\mu u &= \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} u + G && \text{in } [-1, 0) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \\ u &= u_0 && \text{on } \{-1\} \times \mathbb{T}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Some main assumptions of this system

- ①  $\mathbf{P}$  is a constant, symmetric projection operator (Pick up decay variables by  $\mathbf{P}$ ).
- ②  $\frac{1}{\gamma_1} \mathbb{I} \leq \dot{\mathbf{B}}^0 \leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \dot{\mathbf{B}} \leq \gamma_2 \mathbb{I}$  (Give right signs and determine dissipative effects).
- ③  $(B^\mu)^T = B^\mu$ ,  $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{B}] = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{P} = 0$ .
- ④  $\mathbf{P}^\perp B^0(t, \mathbf{P}^\perp u) \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} B^0(t, \mathbf{P}^\perp u) \mathbf{P}^\perp = 0$ .
- ⑤  $|\mathbf{P}^\perp [D_u B^0(t, u) (B^0)^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} u] \mathbf{P}^\perp|_{op} \leq \alpha |t| + \beta |\mathbf{P} u|^2$ .
- ⑥ ..... e.g.,  $B^i$ ,  $G$  somehow allows  $\sim 1/t$  (extra condition) and  $\sim 1/\sqrt{t}$ .....

(3, 4, 5 gives how the variables coupling to each other), and some regularity assumptions on the coefficients and remainders. **Advantage:** allow suitable coupling of variables.

# The Global Existence Theorem of the Cauchy problem of Fuchsian equations

## Theorem (Oliynyk, 2016)

Suppose that  $k \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ ,  $u_0 \in H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)$  and above conditions are fulfilled. Then there exists a  $T_* \in (-1, 0)$ , and a unique classical solution  $u \in C^1([-1, T_*] \times \mathbb{T}^n)$  that satisfies  $u \in C^0([-1, T_*], H^k) \cap C^1([-1, T_*], H^{k-1})$  and the energy estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^k}^2 - \int_{-1}^t \frac{1}{\tau} \|\mathbf{P}u\|_{H^k}^2 d\tau \leq C e^{C(t+1)} (\|u(-1)\|_{H^k}^2)$$

for all  $-1 \leq t < T_*$ , where  $C = C(\|u\|_{L^\infty([-1, T_*], H^k)}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \kappa)$ , and can be uniquely continued to a larger time interval  $[T_0, T^*)$  for all  $T^* \in (T_*, 0]$  provided  $\|u\|_{L^\infty([-1, T_*], W^{1,\infty})} < \infty$ .

This basic theorem has been generalized to more difficult cases and two parameter scales problems in the subsequent works by Oliynyk, L., Beyer, Olvera-Santamaría.

# Intuitive toy model of Cauchy problem for Fuchsian system

- Rough idea: The following ODE dominated behaviors.  
Consider an ODE

$$\partial_t u = \frac{\beta}{t} u + (-t)^{-1+p} F(t), \quad \text{where } 0 < p \leq 1, \beta > 0, t \in [-1, 0).$$

Then

$$\partial_t \left( u - \int_{-1}^t \frac{\beta}{s} u ds \right) = (-t)^{-1+p} F(t).$$

Integrating it yields

$$u - \int_{-1}^t \frac{\beta}{s} u ds \lesssim u_0 + 1 - (-t)^p.$$

Further solving  $u$  leads to optimal decay estimates.

- The previous Theorem is obtained **by adding conditions to make sure the Fuchsian system behaves like this toy model.**

Compactified time:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{t} \in (0, 1]$$

# Fuchsian fields

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(\tau, \mathbf{q}) := \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} t^{-\frac{2}{3}} \varrho(t, \mathbf{q}) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} \beta, \\ u_0(\tau, \mathbf{q}) := t^{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_t \varrho(t, \mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{3} \beta, \\ u_i(\tau, \mathbf{q}) := t^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma} \partial_i \varrho(t, \mathbf{q}). \end{array} \right.$$

## Fuchsian formulations

$$\ddot{\varrho} + \frac{4}{3t}\dot{\varrho} - \tilde{\kappa}t^{-2\gamma+\frac{2}{3}}\Delta\varrho - \frac{2}{3t^2}\varrho = (\gamma-1)\tilde{\kappa}t^{-2\gamma+\frac{2}{3}}\frac{D^i\varrho D_i\varrho}{1+\varrho}.$$

becomes

$$B^0\partial_\tau\mathbf{U} + \tau^{\gamma-\frac{7}{3}}B^i\partial_i\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\tau}\mathcal{B}\mathbb{P}\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{\tau}H,$$

where  $\mathbf{U} := (u_0, u_j, u)^T$  and  $B^0$ ,  $B^i$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  are constant matrices, i.e.

$$B^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \tilde{\kappa}\delta^{jk} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\kappa}\delta^{ij} & 0 \\ \tilde{\kappa}\delta^{ik} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{3} & & \\ & \tilde{\kappa}(\gamma - \frac{2}{3})\delta^{ik} & \\ & & \frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{5} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{5} \\ 0 & \delta_i^j & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{5} & 0 & \frac{2}{5} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H = \left( -\frac{2\tilde{\kappa}(\gamma-1)\delta^{ij}u_iu_j}{\sqrt{6}u + \beta + 2\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}}, 0, 0 \right)^T.$$

## *A reduced model of nonlinear Jeans instability*



# Question

$$\square \varrho(x^\mu) + \frac{a}{t} \partial_t \varrho(x^\mu) - \frac{b}{t^2} \varrho(x^\mu)(1 + \varrho(x^\mu)) - \frac{c - k}{1 + \varrho(x^\mu)} (\partial_t \varrho(x^\mu))^2 = k F(t),$$

$$\varrho|_{t=t_0} = \varrho_0(x^i) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \varrho|_{t=t_0} = \dot{\varrho}_0(x^i) > 0,$$

where  $\square := \partial_t^2 - \Delta_g = \partial_t^2 - g^{ij}(t) \partial_i \partial_j$ ,

$$a > 1, \quad b > 0, \quad 1 < c < 3/2$$

$$3c - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{8c - 5} < k < 3c + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{8c - 5}.$$

$$g^{ij}(t) := \frac{m^2 (\partial_t f(t))^2}{(1 + f(t))^2} \delta^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) := \frac{(\partial_t f(t))^2}{1 + f(t)},$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  is a given constant and  $f(t)$  solves an ODE,

$$\partial_t^2 f(t) + \frac{a}{t} \partial_t f(t) - \frac{b}{t^2} f(t)(1 + f(t)) - \frac{c}{1 + f(t)} (\partial_t f(t))^2 = 0,$$

$$f(t_0) = \dot{f} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t f(t_0) = \dot{f}_0 > 0.$$

# The solutions of ODEs

$$\partial_t^2 f(t) + \frac{a}{t} \partial_t f(t) - \frac{b}{t^2} f(t)(1 + f(t)) - \frac{c}{1 + f(t)} (\partial_t f(t))^2 = 0,$$
$$f(t_0) = \dot{f} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t f(t_0) = \dot{f}_0 > 0.$$

## Theorem

- ①  $t_* \in [0, \infty)$  exists and  $t_* > t_0$ ;
- ② there is a constant  $t_m \in [t_*, \infty]$ , such that there is a unique solution  $f \in C^2([t_0, t_m])$  to the ODE, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} f(t) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} f_0(t) = +\infty.$$

- ③  $f$  satisfies upper and lower bound estimates,

$$1 + f(t) > \exp\left(Ct^{\frac{\bar{a}+\Delta}{2}} + Dt^{-1}\right) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_m);$$

$$1 + f(t) < \left(At^{\frac{\bar{a}-\Delta}{2}} + Bt^{\frac{\bar{a}+\Delta}{2}} + 1\right)^{-1} \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_*).$$

$$\partial_t^2 f(t) + \frac{a}{t} \partial_t f(t) - \frac{b}{t^2} f(t)(1 + f(t)) - \frac{c}{1 + f(t)} (\partial_t f(t))^2 = 0,$$

$$f(t_0) = \dot{f} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t f(t_0) = \dot{f}_0 > 0.$$

## Theorem

Furthermore, if the initial data satisfies  $\dot{f}_0 > \bar{a}(1 + \dot{f})/(\bar{c}t_0)$ , then

- ④  $t_*$  and  $t^*$  exist and finite, and  $t_0 < t_* < t^* < \infty$ ;
- ⑤ there is a finite time  $t_m \in [t_*, t^*)$ , such that there is a solution  $f \in C^2([t_0, t_m])$  to the ODE, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} f(t) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \dot{f}_0(t) = +\infty.$$

- ⑥ the solution  $f$  has improved lower bound estimates, for  $t \in (t_0, t_m)$ ,

$$(1 + \dot{f})(1 - Et_0^{\bar{a}} + Et^{\bar{a}})^{1/\bar{c}} < 1 + f(t).$$

# The solutions to the PDEs

$$\square \varrho(x^\mu) + \frac{a}{t} \partial_t \varrho(x^\mu) - \frac{b}{t^2} \varrho(x^\mu) (1 + \varrho(x^\mu)) - \frac{c - k}{1 + \varrho(x^\mu)} (\partial_t \varrho(x^\mu))^2 = k F(t),$$

$$\varrho|_{t=t_0} = \varrho_0(x^i) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \varrho|_{t=t_0} = \dot{\varrho}_0(x^i) > 0,$$

## Theorem

Suppose  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \frac{n}{2}+3}$ , and assume  $t_m > t_0$  such that  $[t_0, t_m)$  is the maximal interval of existence of  $f$ . Then there are small constants  $\sigma_*, \sigma > 0$ , such that if the initial data satisfies

$$\left\| \frac{\dot{\varrho}}{\dot{f}} - 1 \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)} + \left\| \frac{\dot{\varrho}_0}{\dot{f}_0} - 1 \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)} + \left\| \frac{m \dot{\varrho}_i}{1 + \dot{f}} \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_*,$$

then there is a solution  $\varrho \in C^2([t_0, t_m) \times \mathbb{T}^n)$  to the PDEs and  $\varrho$  satisfies the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\varrho(t)}{f(t)} - 1 \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)} + \left\| \frac{\partial_t \varrho(t)}{\dot{f}_0(t)} - 1 \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)} + \left\| \frac{m \partial_i \varrho(t)}{1 + f(t)} \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq C \sigma < 1$$

for  $t \in [t_0, t_m)$  and some constant  $C > 0$ . Moreover,  $\varrho$  blowups at  $t = t_m$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \varrho(t, x^i) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \varrho_0(t, x^i) = +\infty,$$

with the rate estimates  $(1 - C\sigma)f \leq \varrho \leq (1 + C\sigma)f$  and  $(1 - C\sigma)\dot{f}_0 \leq \dot{\varrho}_0 \leq (1 + C\sigma)\dot{f}_0$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_m)$ .

## Estimates of $f(t)$

Starting from

$$\partial_t^2 f(t) + \frac{a}{t} \partial_t f(t) - \frac{b}{t^2} f(t)(1 + f(t)) - \frac{c}{1 + f(t)} (\partial_t f(t))^2 = 0$$

We construct a series of differential inequalities in the form of

$$\partial_t G < \frac{k}{t} G + F \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t G > \frac{k}{t} G + F$$

to estimate variants of  $f$  and  $\partial_t f$  (Not sharp! but enough!).

# Estimates of $\varrho(x^\mu)$

- Methods: **Fuchsian formulations.**
- The **compactified time**

$$\begin{aligned}\tau := -g(t) &= -\exp\left(-A \int_{t_0}^t \frac{f(s)(f(s)+1)}{s^2 f_0(s)} ds\right) \\ &= -\left(1 + \ell B \int_{t_0}^t s^{a-2} f(s)(1+f(s))^{1-c} ds\right)^{-\frac{A}{6}} \in [-1, 0),\end{aligned}$$

# Fuchsian fields

$$w(t, x^i) := \varrho(t, x^i) - f(t),$$

$$w_0(t, x^i) := \partial_t w(t, x^i) = \partial_t \varrho(t, x^i) - f_0(t),$$

$$w_i(t, x^i) := \partial_i w(t, x^i) = \partial_i \varrho(t, x^i).$$

and

$$u(t, x^i) = \frac{1}{f(t)} w(t, x^i),$$

$$u_0(t, x^i) = \frac{1}{f_0(t)} w_0(t, x^i),$$

$$u_i(t, x^i) = \frac{m}{1 + f(t)} w_i(t, x^i).$$

then

$$\underline{u}(\tau, x^i) = u(g^{-1}(-\tau), x^i), \quad \underline{u}_0(\tau, x^i) = u_0(g^{-1}(-\tau), x^i)$$

$$\underline{u}_i(\tau, x^i) = u_i(g^{-1}(-\tau), x^i).$$

## Singular and regular in $\tau$ terms

Define a quantity

$$\chi(t) := \frac{t^{2-a} f_0(t)}{(1+f(t))^{2-c} f(t) g^{\frac{6}{A}}(t)} = \frac{g^{-\frac{26}{A}}(t) t^{2(1-a)}}{B f(t) (1+f(t))^{2(1-c)}}.$$

Then there is a function  $\mathfrak{G} \in C^1([t_0, t_m))$ , such that for  $t \in [t_0, t_m)$ ,

$$\chi(t) = \frac{26B}{3-2c} + \mathfrak{G}(t).$$

where  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \mathfrak{G}(t) = 0$ . Moreover, there is a constant  $C_\chi > 0$  such that  $0 < \chi(t) \leq C_\chi$  in  $[t_0, t_m)$ , and there are continuous extensions of  $\chi$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\chi \in C^0([t_0, t_m])$  and  $\mathfrak{G} \in C^0([t_0, t_m])$  by letting  $\chi(t_m) := 26B/(3-2c)$  and  $\mathfrak{G}(t_m) := 0$ .



Define a quantity

$$\xi(t) := 1/[g(t)(1 + f(t))],$$

then  $\xi \in C^1([t_0, t_m))$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \xi(t) = 0.$$

Moreover, there is a constant  $C_\star > 0$ , such that  $0 < \xi(t) \leq C_\star$  for every  $t \in [t_0, t_m)$ , and there is a continuous extension of  $\xi$  such that  $\xi \in C^0([t_0, t_m])$  by letting  $\xi(t_m) := 0$ .

### Remark

$\chi(t)$  and  $\xi(t)$  help distinguish the singular term  $\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} u$  and the regular term  $G$  in the Fuchsian system:

$$B^\mu \partial_\mu u = \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} u + G.$$

# Fuchsian formulations

$$\mathcal{B}^0 \partial_\tau \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{B}^j \partial_j \mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{\tau} \mathfrak{B} \mathbb{P} \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{H}$$

where  $\mathcal{U} := (\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_i, \underline{u})^T$ ,  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}(\tau, \underline{u}_0, \underline{u}) = (-\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\tau, \underline{u}), 0, -\underline{\mathcal{K}}(\tau, \underline{u}_0, \underline{u}))^T$ ,  
 $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{1}$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}^0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{ki} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}^i := \frac{m \underline{\chi}}{AB\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^{ij} & 0 \\ \delta^{kj} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{B} := \frac{1}{A} \begin{pmatrix} \delta + (2\kappa - c)(\frac{2\delta}{3-2c} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{B}) + \underline{\mathfrak{H}} & 0 & -2\delta + (c - \kappa)(\frac{2\delta}{3-2c} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{B}) + \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \\ 0 & (\frac{2\delta}{3-2c} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{B})\delta^{ki} & 0 \\ -(\frac{2\delta}{3-2c} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{B}) & 0 & \frac{2\delta}{3-2c} + \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{B} \end{pmatrix},$$

*Nonlinear gravitational instabilities for expanding  
Newtonian universes  
with inhomogeneous pressure and entropy*

The dimensionless and normalized Euler–Poisson system

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \partial_i(\rho v^i) &= 0, \\ \partial_t v^i + v^j \partial_j v^i + \frac{\partial^i p}{\rho} + \partial^i \phi &= 0, \\ \partial_t s + v^i \partial_i s &= 0, \\ \Delta \phi &= \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \phi = 4\pi \rho.\end{aligned}$$

The *equation of state* becomes

$$p = Ke^s \rho^{\frac{4}{3}} + \mathfrak{p}, \quad \text{for } K \geq 0.$$

The initial data at  $t = 1$  is given by

$$\rho|_{t=1} = \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi}, \quad v^i|_{t=1} = \frac{2}{3}x^i \quad \text{and} \quad s|_{t=1} = \ln(\delta_{kl}x^k x^l)^{\text{sgn}(1-\iota^3)}.$$

where  $\iota$  satisfies an important identity

$$\iota^3 + 9\left(\frac{\tilde{K}}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\iota - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \iota \in (0, 1]$$

- Note  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\iota$  are *dimensionless constants* depending on the *molar mass* of the fluids and the distributions of the entropy or temperature.
- If  $\iota \neq 1$ , the data of the entropy implies the initial distribution of the temperature  $\mathcal{T} \propto |\mathbf{x}|^2$ .

# Background solutions

There is an exact solution on  $(t, x^k) \in [t_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\rho}(t) &= \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi t^2}, \quad \dot{p}(t) = K t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \delta_{kl} x^k x^l \dot{\rho}^{\frac{4}{3}} + \mathfrak{p}, \quad \dot{v}^i(t, x^k) = \frac{2}{3t} x^i, \\ \dot{\phi}(t, x^k) &= \frac{2}{3} \pi \dot{\rho} \delta_{ij} x^i x^j = \frac{\iota^3}{9t^2} \delta_{ij} x^i x^j, \quad \dot{s}(t, x^k) = \ln(t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \delta_{kl} x^k x^l)^{\text{sgn}(1-\iota^3)},\end{aligned}$$

# Homogeneous perturbations in following sense

A homogeneous initial perturbations and characterized by two positive parameters  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in the following ways,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho|_{t=1} &= (1 + \beta) \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi}, \quad v^i|_{t=1} = \left(\frac{2}{3} - \gamma\right) x^i, \\ s|_{t=1} &= \ln\left((1 + \beta)^{\frac{2}{3}} \delta_{kl} x^k x^l\right)^{\text{sgn}(1-\iota^3)}.\end{aligned}$$

We construct solutions

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t) &= (1 + f(t))\dot{\rho}(t) = \frac{\iota^3(1 + f(t))}{6\pi t^2}, \\ v^i(t, x^i) &= \frac{2}{3t}x^i - \frac{f'(t)}{3(1 + f(t))}x^i, \\ \phi(t, x^i) &= \frac{2}{3}\pi\dot{\rho}(1 + f(t))|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \frac{\iota^3(1 + f(t))|\mathbf{x}|^2}{9t^2}, \\ s(t, x^k) &= \ln\left(t^{-\frac{4}{3}}(1 + f)^{\frac{2}{3}}\delta_{kl}x^kx^l\right)^{\text{sgn}(1-\iota^3)}.\end{aligned}$$

and the *density contrast*  $\varrho(t) = f(t)$  where  $|\mathbf{x}|^2 := \delta_{ij}x^ix^j$  and  $f(t)$  is a solution of the following nonlinear ODE,

$$\begin{aligned}f''(t) + \frac{4}{3t}f'(t) - \frac{2}{3t^2}f(t)(1 + f(t)) - \frac{4(f'(t))^2}{3(1 + f(t))} &= 0, \\ f|_{t=t_0} = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad f'|_{t=t_0} &= 3(1 + \beta)\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the pressure becomes  $p(t) = \frac{K\iota^4}{(6\pi)^{\frac{4}{3}}t^4}(1 + f)^2\delta_{kl}x^kx^l$ .



*Nonlinear gravitational instabilities for expanding  
spherical symmetric Newtonian universes  
with inhomogeneous density and pressure*

The dimensionless and normalized Euler–Poisson system

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \partial_i (\rho v^i) &= 0, \\ \partial_t v^i + v^j \partial_j v^i + \frac{\partial^i p}{\rho} + \partial^i \phi &= \mathcal{D}^i(t, \mathbf{x}^j, \rho, v^k, s, \phi), \\ \partial_t s + v^i \partial_i s &= \mathcal{S}(t, \mathbf{x}^j, \rho, v^k, s, \phi), \\ \Delta \phi &= \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \phi = 4\pi \rho.\end{aligned}$$

EoS is

$$p = K e^s \rho^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad \text{for } K > 0.$$

The *initial data* is given by *spherical symmetric functions*  $\beta \mathcal{d}(|\mathbf{x}|)$  and  $\gamma v(|\mathbf{x}|)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho|_{t=1}(x^i) &= \rho_0(|\mathbf{x}|) := \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi} (1 + \beta \mathcal{d}(|\mathbf{x}|)), \\ v^i|_{t=1}(x^i) &= v_0^i(|\mathbf{x}|) := \frac{2}{3} x^i + \gamma v(|\mathbf{x}|) x^i, \\ s|_{t=1}(x^i) &= s_0(|\mathbf{x}|) := \ln \left( \frac{(1 + \beta \mathcal{d}(|\mathbf{x}|))^{\frac{2}{3} + \omega}}{(1 + \beta)^\omega} |\mathbf{x}|^2 \right).\end{aligned}$$

# Assumptions

## ① Dampings and entropy productions:

$$\mathcal{D}^i(t, x^j, \rho, v^k, s, \phi) := -\frac{\kappa f_0}{1+f} \left[ v^i - \left( \frac{2}{3t} - \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} \right) x^i \right],$$

$$\mathcal{S}(t, x^j, \rho, v^k, s, \phi) := -\left( \frac{2}{3} + \omega \right) \partial_i v^i + \frac{2v^i x_i}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} + 3\omega \left( \frac{2}{3t} - \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} \right),$$

$\mathcal{D}^i$  serves as a **damping term** that arises directly from the **inhomogeneous densities**, while  $\mathcal{S}$  accentuates the **growth of entropy** caused by the **aforementioned inhomogeneities** through a flow of matter that depends on temperature.

## ② Periodicity and spherical symmetry of data: To simplify the analysis, we assume that the initial data $\ell(|\mathbf{x}|)$ and $v(|\mathbf{x}|)$ are both *1-log-periodic* functions, as defined below.

## Definition

A function  $F(|\mathbf{x}|)$  is called  **$t$ -log-periodic** if there is a  $t$ -parameterized exp-log transform  $y_t$  satisfying

$$|\mathbf{x}| = y_t(\zeta) := t^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+f(t))^{-\frac{1}{3}} \exp \zeta \Leftrightarrow \zeta = y_t^{-1}(|\mathbf{x}|) = \ln(t^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1+f(t))^{\frac{1}{3}}|\mathbf{x}|),$$

such that  $\acute{F}(\zeta) := F \circ y_t(\zeta)$  is a periodic function with the unit period, that is,  $\acute{F}(\zeta + m) = \acute{F}(\zeta)$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Physical interpretations of $\mathcal{D}^i$ and $\mathcal{S}$

$$\mathcal{D}^i(t, x^j, \rho, v^k, s, \phi) = \underbrace{-\frac{\kappa f_0}{1+f} \check{v}^i}_{\text{damping due to inhomogeneous densities}},$$

$$\mathcal{S}(t, x^j, \rho, v^k, s, \phi) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i \left( \frac{\mathfrak{J}^i}{\mathfrak{T}} \right)}_{\text{flux of entropy}} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathfrak{J}^i \mathfrak{F}_i}{\mathfrak{T} \rho}}_{\text{entropy productions}}.$$

The temperature distribution is given by

$$\mathfrak{T} \propto |\mathbf{x}|^2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathfrak{T} = k(t) \delta_{kl} x^k x^l, \quad \text{for some time function } k(t).$$

The *entropy flux* and *thermodynamic force* are given, respectively, by

$$\mathfrak{J}^i := \left( \frac{2}{3} + \omega \right) \mathfrak{T} \rho \check{v}^i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_i := \partial_i \ln \left( \rho \mathfrak{T}^{\frac{1}{\frac{2}{3} + \omega}} \right).$$

## Recall two solutions

Newtonian universes (background solutions): If  $\beta = \gamma = 0$ , then the initial data reduce to

$$\rho|_{t=1}(x^i) = \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi}, \quad v^i|_{t=1}(x^i) = \frac{2}{3}x^i \quad \text{and} \quad s|_{t=1}(x^i) = \ln |\mathbf{x}|^2.$$

Then the solution to the Euler-Poisson system is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}(t) &= \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi t^2}, \quad \dot{p}(t) = K t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \delta_{kl} x^k x^l \dot{\rho}^{\frac{4}{3}}, \quad \dot{v}^i(t, x^k) = \frac{2}{3t} x^i, \\ \dot{\phi}(t, x^k) &= \frac{2}{3} \pi \dot{\rho} \delta_{ij} x^i x^j = \frac{\iota^3}{9t^2} \delta_{ij} x^i x^j \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{s}(t, x^k) = \ln(t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \delta_{kl} x^k x^l). \end{aligned}$$

Homogeneous blowup solutions (reference solutions): If constants  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\varrho(|\mathbf{x}|) = 1$ ,  $v(|\mathbf{x}|) = -1$ , then the initial data becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\rho|_{t=1}(x^i) &= \frac{\iota^3}{6\pi}(1 + \beta), \quad v^i|_{t=1}(x^i) = \left(\frac{2}{3} - \gamma\right)x^i, \\ s|_{t=1}(x^i) &= \ln((1 + \beta)^{\frac{2}{3}}|\mathbf{x}|^2).\end{aligned}$$

There is a solution to the Euler–Poisson system given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_r(t) &= \frac{\iota^3(1 + f(t))}{6\pi t^2}, \quad v_r^i(t, x^i) = \frac{2}{3t}x^i - \frac{f'(t)}{3(1 + f(t))}x^i, \\ \phi_r(t, x^i) &= \frac{\iota^3(1 + f(t))|\mathbf{x}|^2}{9t^2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_r(t, x^k) = \ln(t^{-\frac{4}{3}}(1 + f)^{\frac{2}{3}}\delta_{kl}x^k x^l),\end{aligned}$$

and the density contrast  $\varrho_r(t) = f(t)$ .

# Instabilities

A homogeneous initial perturbation around  $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{v}^i, \bar{\phi}, \bar{s})$  results in a blowup solution  $(\rho_r, v_r^i, \phi_r, s_r)$ , meaning that the Newtonian universe  $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{v}^i, \bar{\phi}, \bar{s})$  is gravitationally unstable.



# Main theorem

## Theorem

*Under assumptions, suppose  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>\frac{7}{2}}$ ,  $\iota^3 \in (0, 1/5]$  and  $f \in C^2([1, t_m))$  solves the ODE where  $\beta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , and assume  $t_m > 1$  such that  $[1, t_m)$  is the maximal interval of existence of  $f$ . Then there are small constants  $\sigma_*, \sigma > 0$ , such that if the initial data satisfies*

$$\|\varrho \circ y_1 - 1\|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T})} + \|\vartheta \circ y_1 + 1\|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \sigma_* \sigma,$$

# Theorem

then

- 1 there is a solution  $(\rho, v^i, s, \phi) \in C^2([1, t_m) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  to the system and  $\rho(t, |\mathbf{x}|)$ ,  $v^i(t, |\mathbf{x}|)x_i/|\mathbf{x}|^2$  are  $t$ -log-periodic and spherical symmetric;
- 2 there is a constant  $C_1 \in (0, 1/\sigma)$ , such that  $\varrho$  and  $v^i$  satisfy the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}0 < (1 - C_1\sigma)f(t) < \varrho(t, x^i) < (1 + C_1\sigma)f(t), \\0 < (1 - C_1\sigma)f_0(t) \leq \partial_t \varrho(t, x^i) \leq (1 + C_1\sigma)f_0(t), \\-C\sigma(1 + f(t)) \leq x^i \partial_i \varrho(t, x^i) \leq C\sigma(1 + f(t))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{2}{3t} - \frac{(1 + C_1\sigma)f_0(t)}{3(1 + f(t))}\right)x^i < v^i(t, x^i) < \left(\frac{2}{3t} - \frac{(1 - C_1\sigma)f_0(t)}{3(1 + f(t))}\right)x^i$$

for  $(t, x^i) \in [1, t_m) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ ;

- 3 the entropy  $s$  can be expressed by

$$s = \ln\left(t^{-\frac{4}{3}} \frac{(1 + \varrho)^{-\frac{14}{15}}}{(1 + f)^{-\frac{8}{5}}} \delta_{kl} x^k x^l\right);$$

- 4  $\varrho$  and  $\partial_t \varrho$  both blowup at  $t = t_m$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \varrho(t, x^i) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} \partial_t \varrho(t, x^i) = +\infty;$$

- 5 if the parameter  $\gamma$  of the data satisfies  $\gamma > 1/3$ , then there is a finite time  $t_m < \infty$ , such that the density contrast  $\varrho$  and its derivative  $\partial_t \varrho$  blow up at a finite time  $t_m$ .

# Methods

- ① Fuchsian formulations.
- ② The compactified time transformation:

$$g(t) := \exp\left(-A \int_1^t \frac{f(s)(f(s)+1)}{s^2 f_0(s)} ds\right) > 0$$
$$\tau := -g(t) \in [-1, 0),$$

# Basic ideas

- Similar to Jeans

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{tr} \partial_{X^k} [\text{momentum conservations}]_{(t, X^i)} \Rightarrow \text{the eq. of } \partial_t \Theta, \\ \text{the continuity eq.} \Rightarrow \text{the expression of } \Theta \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{The 2nd order hyperbolic eq.}$$

- Firstly, we use comoving coordinates with the reference solutions to rewrite the system.
- Velocity transform yields a rescaled speed  $\nu$

$$\check{\nu}^i(t, X^k) = \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} \nu(t, R) X^i$$

- Log-periodic coordinate

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \zeta := \ln R \quad \text{i.e., } R = e^\zeta \quad \text{where } R \in (0, \infty).$$

- Continuity equation plays a very important role bridging density and speed  $\nu$  to construct the Fuchsian formulations.

$$\Theta = \frac{f_0}{1+f} - \frac{\partial_t \hat{\varrho}}{1+\hat{\varrho}} - \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} \frac{\nu R \partial_R \hat{\varrho}}{1+\hat{\varrho}} = \frac{f_0}{1+f} \nu + \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} R \partial_R \nu.$$

Eventually, we arrive at

$$\square_g \hat{\varrho} + \left( \frac{4}{3t} + \frac{\kappa f_0}{1+f} \right) \partial_t \hat{\varrho} - \frac{2}{3t^2} \hat{\varrho}(1 + \hat{\varrho}) - \frac{4(\partial_t \hat{\varrho})^2}{3(1 + \hat{\varrho})} = F_1,$$

$$\partial_t \nu + \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} \nu \partial_\zeta \nu = G_1,$$

where the wave operator is

$$\square_g := \partial_t^2 - g^{\zeta\zeta} \partial_\zeta^2 + 2g^{0\zeta} \partial_\zeta \partial_t,$$

$$g^{\zeta\zeta} := \frac{(2+\omega)(1-\iota^3)}{9t^2} \frac{(1+\hat{\varrho})^{\omega+1}}{(1+f)^\omega} - \frac{f_0^2}{9(1+f)^2} \nu^2, \quad g^{0\zeta} := \frac{f_0}{3(1+f)} \nu,$$

# Fuchsian fields

$$\begin{aligned}w(t, \zeta) &:= \hat{\varrho}(t, \zeta) - f(t), \\w_0(t, \zeta) &:= \partial_t w(t, \zeta) = \partial_t \hat{\varrho}(t, \zeta) - f_0(t), \\w_\zeta(t, \zeta) &:= \partial_\zeta w(t, \zeta) = \partial_\zeta \hat{\varrho}(t, \zeta).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}u(t, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{f(t)} w(t, \zeta), \quad u_0(t, \zeta) = \frac{1}{f_0(t)} w_0(t, \zeta) \\u_\zeta(t, \zeta) &= \frac{c}{1 + f(t)} w_\zeta(t, \zeta).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{u}(\tau, \zeta) &= u(g^{-1}(-\tau), \zeta), \quad \underline{u}_0(\tau, \zeta) = u_0(g^{-1}(-\tau), \zeta) \\ \underline{u}_\zeta(\tau, \zeta) &= u_\zeta(g^{-1}(-\tau), \zeta).\end{aligned}$$

# Evolutions of “gravity”

Introduce a new gravity

$$\Psi(t, \zeta) = \frac{1}{e^{3\zeta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta} u(t, z) e^{3z} dz.$$

By continuity equation, we can put into an evolution equation

$$\partial_t \Psi = -\frac{f_0}{f(1+f)} \Psi - \frac{f_0}{3f} \left(1 + \frac{fu}{1+f}\right) \nu.$$

# Fuchsian formulations

$$\mathcal{B}^0 \partial_\tau u + \mathcal{B}^\zeta \partial_\zeta u = \frac{1}{\tau} \mathfrak{B} \mathbb{P} u + \mathcal{H} + (-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F},$$

where  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}^0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4+B-1}\underline{\mathfrak{G}} \left( \frac{25}{36} + \frac{25}{36f} + 3_0 \right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda + \frac{1}{30}(3-8\iota^3) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\zeta := \frac{1}{A\tau} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2\chi}{3B}\nu & \frac{5}{36} + \frac{5}{36f} + \frac{1}{5}3_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{5}{36} + \frac{5}{36f} + \frac{1}{5}3_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{(3\iota^3+2)^2}{6(10\lambda+\iota^3+9)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathfrak{B} := \frac{1}{A} \begin{pmatrix} 4\lambda + 3_1 & 3_2 & \frac{2(3-8\iota^3)}{15} - 4\lambda + 3_3 & 3_4 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{25}{36} + 3_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2(3-8\iota^3)}{15} - 4\lambda & 0 & 4\lambda + \frac{2(3-8\iota^3)}{15} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2(1-\iota^3)}{3} & -\frac{2(1-\iota^3)}{5} + 3_5 & 4\lambda + 4 + 3_6 & 2\iota^3 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{(3\iota^3+2)^2}{6(10\lambda+\iota^3+9)} & \frac{4}{3} + 3_7 & \frac{(3\iota^3+2)^2}{2(10\lambda+\iota^3+9)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{F} := \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{AB}(\lambda - \frac{1}{6})(-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\mathfrak{G}}(\underline{u}_0 - \underline{u}) \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4AB}(-\frac{2(3-8\iota^3)}{15} - 4\lambda)(-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\mathfrak{G}}(\underline{u}_0 - \underline{u}) \\ -\frac{1}{AB}(\lambda + \frac{5}{6})(-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\mathfrak{G}}\nu \\ -\frac{1}{8AB}(-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\mathfrak{G}}\nu \end{pmatrix}$$



and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\tau, \underline{u}) := (H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5)^T$  such that

$$H_1 = H_1(\tau, \underline{u}) = -\frac{1}{A\underline{\xi}} \left[ 4\lambda + \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{6} \right) B^{-1} \underline{\mathfrak{G}} \right] \underline{u},$$

$$H_2 = H_2(\tau, \underline{u}) = -\frac{25}{36A\underline{\xi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\underline{f}} \right) \underline{u}_\zeta,$$

$$H_3 = H_3(\tau, \underline{u}) = \left( \lambda + \frac{3 - 8\iota^3}{30} \right) \frac{1}{A\underline{\xi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\underline{f}} \right) (4 + B^{-1} \underline{\mathfrak{G}}) (\underline{u}_0 - \underline{u}),$$

$$H_4 = H_4(\tau, \underline{u}) = -\frac{2(1 - \iota^3)}{3A} \underline{\xi} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\underline{f}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\underline{f} \underline{u}}{1 + \underline{f}} \right)^{-\frac{8}{5}} \underline{u}_\zeta,$$

$$H_5 = H_5(\tau, \underline{u}) = -\frac{\chi \underline{\xi}}{3AB} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\underline{f}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\underline{f} \underline{u}}{1 + \underline{f}} \right) \underline{\nu} - \frac{\chi \underline{\xi}}{AB} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\underline{f}} \right) \underline{\psi}.$$

### remark

A lots of improved estimates are required to verify it is a Fuchsian formulation, for example,

$$|\underline{\mathfrak{G}}(\tau)| \lesssim (-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t_m} (1/[g^2(t)(1 + f(t))]) = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

*Thank you  
for your attention!*