

MAST90104 - Lecture 2

Weichang Yu

Room 108, Old Geology South Bldg
School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne

29 Jul, 2024

Linear models: least squares estimation

The least squares regression estimate is the minimiser

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k)^T = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_{i1} - \dots - \beta_k x_{ik})^2.$$

Our newly acquired linear algebra knowledge allows us to express our RHS as

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k)^T &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

To calculate the minimiser of $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2$, we need tools from matrix calculus!

Matrix calculus

Suppose \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{m} are m -dimensional column vectors. Then

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}^T \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{m}^T$$

Suppose \mathbf{x} is a m -dimensional column vector and \mathbf{A} is a n by m matrix. Denote $\mathbf{a}_{j\cdot}$ as the j -th row of \mathbf{A} . Then,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1\cdot}\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{a}_{2\cdot}\mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{n\cdot}\mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{a}_{1\cdot}\mathbf{x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{a}_{2\cdot}\mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{a}_{n\cdot}\mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1\cdot} \\ \mathbf{a}_{2\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{n\cdot} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A}$$

Also $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}^T} = \mathbf{A}^T$

Suppose \mathbf{x} is a m -dimensional column vector and \mathbf{B} is a square matrix of order m . Then,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^T)$$

Linear models: computation of least squares estimate

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k)^T = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 &= (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \\ &= (\mathbf{Y}^T - \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T) (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X}\beta - \underbrace{\beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}}_{=\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X}\beta} + \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\beta \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - 2\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X}\beta + \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\beta \end{aligned}$$

Linear models: computation of least squares estimate

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} - 2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \mathbf{0} - 2 \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \{ \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^T \} \\ &= \mathbf{0} - 2 \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} + 2 \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\end{aligned}$$

Then, setting LHS equals to $\mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, and applying transpose, we have the *normal equations*

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

If \mathbf{X} is full rank, then

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}.$$

Linear models: prerequisite knowledge for inference theory

Recap of one-sample test of mean: $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then we can test $H_0 : \mu = 0$ against $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$. Using your prior knowledge from MAST90105, our test statistic's null distribution is

$$T = \frac{\bar{X}}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}.$$

Similarly, in linear regression, we need to test $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ against $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ or $\beta_2 \neq 0$. We derive a test statistic and its null distribution.

We need more knowledge about linear algebra to derive the test statistic and null distribution!

Orthonormal vectors

A set of vectors $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$ is called an orthogonal set if every pair of vectors are orthogonal, that is, $\mathbf{x}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_{j'} = 0$ for all $j \neq j'$.

If $V = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$ is a set of nonzero orthogonal vectors, then V is a linearly independent set. The converse is not always true.

An orthogonal set is called an orthonormal set if $\|\mathbf{x}_j\| = 1$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Orthonormal vectors

```
> ( x <- c(1,2,3)/sqrt(14) )  
[1] 1 2 3  
> ( y <- c(1,1,-1)/sqrt(3) )  
> x%*%y  
[1,] 0  
> t(x)%*%y  
[1,] 0  
> sqrt(sum(x^2))  
[1,] 1  
> sqrt(sum(y^2))  
[1,] 1
```

Orthogonal matrices

A square matrix \mathbf{X} is *orthogonal* if and only if

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}.$$

If \mathbf{X} is orthogonal, then

$$\mathbf{X}^{-1} = \mathbf{X}^T.$$

Orthogonal matrices

```
> X <- matrix(c(c(1,2,3)/sqrt(14),c(1,1,-1)/sqrt(3),
+ c(5,-4,1)/sqrt(42)),3,3)
> X
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 0.2672612 0.5773503 0.7715167
[2,] 0.5345225 0.5773503 -0.6172134
[3,] 0.8017837 -0.5773503 0.1543033
> round(t(X)%*%X,5)
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 1 0 0
[2,] 0 1 0
[3,] 0 0 1
```

Orthogonal matrices

X is an orthogonal matrix if and only if the columns (or rows) of **X** form an orthonormal set.

```
> X[,1]%*%X[,2]
[1,] 0
> X[1,]%*%X[3,]
[1,] -8.326673e-17
> sqrt(sum(X[,1]^2))
[1] 1
```

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Suppose \mathbf{A} is a $n \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{x} is a $n \times 1$ **nonzero** vector which satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

where λ is a scalar. Then we say that λ is an *eigenvalue* of \mathbf{A} , with associated *eigenvector* \mathbf{x} .

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Suppose \mathbf{A} is a $n \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{x} is a $n \times 1$ **nonzero** vector which satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

where λ is a scalar. Then we say that λ is an *eigenvalue* of \mathbf{A} , with associated *eigenvector* \mathbf{x} .

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Rearranging the definition, we get

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now if $\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}$ is invertible, this produces

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

But \mathbf{x} is nonzero by definition, so $\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}$ must be singular. In particular, its determinant must be 0. Therefore we can find the eigenvalues of a matrix by solving the *characteristic equation* (this is a polynomial in λ)

$$|\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}| = 0.$$

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} , we solve the equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ -2 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) - (-2) = 0.$$

This becomes

$$\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) = 0.$$

Therefore \mathbf{A} has two eigenvalues, 2 and 3.

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

To find the eigenvector(s) of \mathbf{A} associated with eigenvalue 2, we solve the system of equations

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 2\mathbf{x} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is a linear system which has two equations and two unknowns; however, the equations are redundant. Therefore the system has an infinite number of solutions, which always happens for an eigenvector system. One solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Eigenvalue example

```
> A
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 1 2 0
[2,] 2 3 -1
[3,] 0 -1 8
> e <- eigen(A)
> e$values
[1] 8.2145852 4.0555651 -0.2701503
> e$vectors
# the columns contain the eigenvectors of A,
# normalized to have unit length
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] -0.05806435 0.5357376 0.84238574
[2,] -0.20945510 0.8184906 -0.53497826
[3,] 0.97609277 0.2075052 -0.06468785
```

Eigenvalue example

```
> det(A - e$values[1]*I)
[1] -2.799516e-14
> A %*% e$vectors[,1]
[,1]
[1,] -0.4769745
[2,] -1.7205868
[3,] 8.0181972
> e$values[1]*e$vectors[,1]
[1] -0.4769745 -1.7205868 8.0181972
```

Eigenvalue properties

- If \mathbf{A} is (real and) symmetric, then its eigenvalues are all real, and its eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.
- If \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal matrix of the same size as \mathbf{A} , then the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}$ are the same as the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} .
- The eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix \mathbf{S} are the elements on the diagonal.
- The determinant of a matrix is the product of its eigenvalues

Eigenvalue properties

If a square matrix \mathbf{A} is singular, its determinant is 0

- At least one eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} is 0

The eigenvectors of the data's covariance matrix are also called the “principal components”.

Can be used to find collinear combinations of the predictor variables.

Diagonalization: The Spectral Theorem

Theorem 2.1

Let \mathbf{A} be a $k \times k$ matrix. Then an orthogonal matrix \mathbf{P} exists such that

$$\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} if and only if \mathbf{A} is symmetric

The proof is beyond the scope of this course.

CONTEST: Free \$10 Coles voucher to the first person who can write and explain the proof to my satisfaction during office hours.

Diagonalization

If \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal matrix such that

$$\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix},$$

then we say that P diagonalises A .

It can be shown that the columns of \mathbf{P} must be eigenvectors of A associated with the respective eigenvalues.

Hence (from above) columns of \mathbf{P} form an orthonormal set because \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal matrix.

Note that

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix},$$

is a diagonal matrix.

Exercise: Suppose \mathbf{A} is a p by p matrix that is diagonalisable by a matrix \mathbf{P} and corresponding diagonal matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, i.e., $\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$. Use the fact that the determinant of a diagonal matrix equals to the product of the diagonal entries to show that

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{j=1}^p \lambda_j,$$

where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p\}$ are the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$.

Diagonalization example

```
> A
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 1 2 0
[2,] 2 3 -1
[3,] 0 -1 8
> e$values
[1] 8.2145852 4.0555651 -0.2701503
> P <- e$vectors
> round(t(P)%*%A%*%P,5)
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 8.21459 0.00000 0.00000
[2,] 0.00000 4.05557 0.00000
[3,] 0.00000 0.00000 -0.27015
```

We say that a square matrix \mathbf{A} is *idempotent* if and only if

$$\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}.$$

Example. The identity matrix \mathbf{I} is idempotent.

Exercise. Let \mathbf{X} be an $n \times k$ matrix of full rank, $n \geq k$. Show that

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$$

exists and is idempotent.

Trace

The *trace* of a square $k \times k$ matrix X , denoted by $tr(X)$, is the sum of its diagonal entries:

$$tr(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{ii}.$$

Example.

$$tr \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2 + 1 - 1 = 2.$$

Trace properties

- If c is a scalar, $\text{tr}(cX) = c \text{tr}(X)$.
- $\text{tr}(X \pm Y) = \text{tr}(X) \pm \text{tr}(Y)$.
- If XY and YX both exist, $\text{tr}(XY) = \text{tr}(YX)$.

Trace properties

Example. Let

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, Y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\text{tr}(XY) = \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 11 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3$$

$$\text{tr}(YX) = \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 8 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3$$

so even though $XY \neq YX$, their traces are equal.

Some linear algebra theorems

Theorem 2.2

The eigenvalues of idempotent matrices are always either 0 or 1.

Proof. Let \mathbf{A} be an idempotent matrix with eigenvalue λ and associated eigenvector \mathbf{x} . By definition,

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda\mathbf{x}.$$

Multiplying by \mathbf{A} ,

$$\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\lambda\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda^2\mathbf{x}.$$

But \mathbf{A} is idempotent, so

$$\lambda^2\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Ax} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

$$(\lambda^2 - \lambda)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

By definition, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, so $\lambda = \lambda^2$. Therefore $\lambda = 0$ or 1 .

Some linear algebra theorems

Theorem 2.3

If A is a symmetric and idempotent matrix, $r(A) = \text{tr}(A)$.

Proof. We take A to be $k \times k$. First we diagonalize A , i.e. find P such that

$$P^T A P = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the eigenvalues of A .

Since P is orthogonal, both P and P^T are nonsingular. Therefore, using results from Tutorial sheet 1 Q5,

$$r(P^T A P) = r(P^T A) \underbrace{=}_{\text{Sylvester's Ineq.}} r(A).$$

Because $P^T A P$ is diagonal, $r(P^T A P)$ is the number of nonzero eigenvalues of A .

Some linear algebra theorems

Theorem 2.4

If \mathbf{A} is a symmetric and idempotent matrix, $r(\mathbf{A}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$.

Proof. But \mathbf{A} is idempotent, so its eigenvalues are either 0 or 1.

To count the number of nonzero eigenvalues, we just need to sum them. But since they are the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}$, we can just take its trace.

Therefore

$$r(\mathbf{A}) = r(\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$$

since \mathbf{P} is orthogonal.

Theorem 2.5

Let $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_m$ be a collection of symmetric $k \times k$ matrices. Then the following are equivalent:

- *There exists an orthogonal matrix \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{P}$ is diagonal for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$;*
- *$\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_j = \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i$ for every pair $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.*

Theorem 2.6

Let $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_m$ be a collection of symmetric $k \times k$ matrices. Then any two of the following conditions implies the third:

- *All \mathbf{A}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are idempotent;*
- *$\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i$ is idempotent;*
- *$\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.*

Some linear algebra theorems

Theorem 2.7

Let $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_m$ be a collection of symmetric $k \times k$ matrices. If the conditions in Theorem 2.6 are true, then

$$r\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m r(\mathbf{A}_i).$$

Proof.

Consider $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i$. By assumption, this matrix is idempotent. As a sum of symmetric matrices it is also symmetric.

Thus by Theorem 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} r\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i\right) &= \text{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m r(\mathbf{A}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem examples

```
> X <- matrix(c(1/2,1/2,0,1/2,1/2,0,0,0,1),3,3)
> X %*% X
[,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,] 0.5 0.5 0
[2,] 0.5 0.5 0
[3,] 0.0 0.0 1
> sum(diag(X))
[1] 2
```

Theorem examples

```
> eigen(X)$values  
[1] 1.000000e+00 1.000000e+00 5.551115e-16  
> rankMatrix(X)[1]  
[1] 2
```

Theorem examples

```
> A1 <- matrix(c(1/2,-1/2,-1/2,1/2),2,2)
> A1 %*% A1
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 0.5 -0.5
[2,] -0.5 0.5
> A2 <- matrix(c(1/2,1/2,1/2,1/2),2,2)
> A2 %*% A2
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 0.5 0.5
[2,] 0.5 0.5
```

Theorem examples

```
> A1 + A2
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 1 0
[2,] 0 1
> (A1 + A2) %*% (A1 + A2)
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 1 0
[2,] 0 1
> A1 %*% A2
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 0 0
[2,] 0 0
```

Theorem examples

```
> A2 %*% A1
[,1] [,2]
[1,] 0 0
[2,] 0 0
> rankMatrix(A1 + A2)[1]
[1] 2
> rankMatrix(A1)[1] + rankMatrix(A2)[1]
[1] 2
```


Quadratic forms

Previously, we saw that the least squares solution $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k)^T$ minimises

$$\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 = \underbrace{\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y}}_{\text{quadratic form in } \mathbf{Y}} - 2\beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \underbrace{\beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta}_{\text{quadratic form in } \beta}$$

In general, for a p by p matrix \mathbf{A} and a p -dimensional column vector \mathbf{x} , the quantity

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

is called a *quadratic form* in \mathbf{x} , and \mathbf{A} is the matrix multiplier of the quadratic form. Note that a quadratic form is a scalar.

Note that

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij} x_i x_j,$$

where a_{ij} is the (i, j) -th entry of \mathbf{A} and x_i is the i -th entry of the vector \mathbf{x} .

- $\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y}$ is a quadratic form in \mathbf{Y} with matrix multiplier \mathbf{I} .
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a quadratic form in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ with matrix multiplier $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$.

Example. Let

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} &= 2x_1^2 + 3x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_1 + 2x_2^2 + 4x_3x_1 + 6x_3x_2 + 3x_3^2 \\ &= 2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 4x_1x_2 + 5x_1x_3 + 6x_2x_3. \end{aligned}$$

This can be found from either the summation formula or multiplying out the matrices.

Positive definite matrices

If $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then we say that the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ is *positive definite*; we also say that the matrix \mathbf{A} is positive definite.

If $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for all \mathbf{x} , then we say that the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ is *positive semi-definite*; we also say that the matrix \mathbf{A} is positive semi-definite.

Positive definite matrices

Example. Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = 2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - 2x_1x_2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2.$$

The quadratic form will never be negative, and the only way that it can be 0 is if all the squares are 0, i.e. $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ is positive definite.

Positive definiteness theorems

Theorem 2.8

A symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} is positive definite if and only if its eigenvalues are all (strictly) positive.

Theorem 2.9

A symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} is positive semi-definite if and only if its eigenvalues are all non-negative.

Example. Consider the matrix in the previous example:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} solve the quadratic equation

$$(2 - \lambda)^2 - 1 = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 3 = (\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 1) = 0.$$

Therefore its eigenvalues are 1 and 3, which are both positive, and it is positive definite.