

Parallel Programming

CS575

Chao Zhang

Project #0

1. Source listings

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <math.h>

#define NUMT          4
#define ARRAYSIZE     100000
#define NUMTRIES      100000

int
main( )
{
#ifdef _OPENMP
    fprintf( stderr, "OpenMP is not supported here -- sorry.\n" );
    return 1;
#endif

    float *A = new float[ARRAYSIZE];
    float *B = new float[ARRAYSIZE];
    float *C = new float[ARRAYSIZE];

    omp_set_num_threads( NUMT );
    fprintf( stderr, "Using %d threads\n", NUMT );

    double maxmmults = 0.;
    double summmults = 0.;

    for( int t = 0; t < NUMTRIES; t++ )
    {
        double time0 = omp_get_wtime( );

        #pragma omp parallel for
        for( int i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE; i++ )
        {
            C[i] = A[i] * B[i];
        }

        double time1 = omp_get_wtime( );
        double mmults = (double)ARRAYSIZE/(time1-time0)/1000000.;
        summmults += mmults;
        if( mmults > maxmmults )
            maxmmults = mmults;
    }
    printf( "    Peak Performance = %8.2lf MegaMults/Sec\n", maxmmults );
    printf( "Average Performance = %8.2lf MegaMults/Sec\n", summmults/(double)NUMTRIES );

    return 0;
}
```

I give the array size as 100000 and it will loop 100000 times. The function `double time1 = omp_get_wtime()` will give me the wall clock time in second.

2. Results

```
[flip1 ~/CS575 158% g++ -o proj project0-thread1.cpp -lm -fopenmp
[flip1 ~/CS575 159% ./proj
Using 1 threads
    Peak Performance =    204.42 MegaMults/Sec
    Average Performance =    193.07 MegaMults/Sec
[flip1 ~/CS575 160% g++ -o proj project0-thread4.cpp -lm -fopenmp
[flip1 ~/CS575 161% ./proj
Using 4 threads
    Peak Performance =    772.70 MegaMults/Sec
    Average Performance =    719.49 MegaMults/Sec
flip1 ~/CS575 162% █
```

This result I got was running on the flip server. It is the Linux system. From the result, I can see that the speed of 4 thread is almost 4 times than 1 thread. The 1 thread time is 204.42 MegaMults/Sec and the 4 thread time is 772.70. The reason of this is because the thread of 4 is 4 times than 1 thread, so the speed should be near the 4 times. For each result of the 1 and 4 thread, the peak performance is near the average performance. So the timing can be considered as reliable.