

A large, light gray speech bubble with a dark gray outline. The bubble has a long tail pointing towards the bottom left corner. Inside the bubble, there is a block of text in a dark gray serif font.

“What’s the
point of looking at
arguments about how to
answer these questions, if
we can’t know for sure
which is right?”

Suppose further that you really care about the origins of life on earth. Does that fact that no biologist can tell you what the origins in fact were mean that you should not look at the arguments given for the competing theories?

That does not seem reasonable.

Or take a less intellectual example. In the recent past many of you spent some time thinking about where would be the best place for you to go to college. Was there a proof you could find, or some infallible authority you could consult?

But that didn't make it unreasonable for you to think long and hard about the arguments in favor of various options. Just the opposite — because you cared about this question and because there was no authority to consult, it was more important for you to think hard about the arguments.

Readings are short. Learning how to read philosophy is a very important skill, but not our focus.

The guiding theme of the course: your goal is not to learn what others have thought about these topics, but to (1) figure out what **you** think about these topics and (2) learn how to defend your views by argument.

Everything is structured around this theme.

My job in lecture: explain to you the most important arguments for and against various views on our big questions.

Given this goal, I am sorry to say: no laptops.

Every lecture (after today) will include a mid-class break to let you clear your mind and ask any questions about the first half of the lecture. We use

Slack for this, in two ways.

Your job in lecture: thinking about what I am saying, making objections, and asking questions. Lectures are not for memorizing or scribbling down what I am saying; the notes are all posted online.

that fact that no biologist can tell you what the origins in fact were mean

That doesn't seem reasonable.

Support further that you really care about the origins of life on earth. Des

that you should not look at the arguments given for the competing theories?

could be. Was there a proof you could find, or some infallible authority you

could consult?

Or take a less intellectual example. In the recent past many of you spent

some time thinking about where would be the best place for you to go to

care about this question and because there was no authority to consult, it

was more important for you to think hard about the arguments.

the arguments in favor of various options. Just the opposite because you

But that didn't make it unreasonable for you to think long and hard about

Reading are short. Learning how to read philosophy is a very important

skill, but not our focus.

thought about these topics, but to (1) figure out what you think about

these topics and (2) learn how to defend your views by argument.

The guiding theme of the course: you go and learn what others have

Everything is structured around this theme.

against various views on big questions.

My job in lecture: explain to you the most important arguments for and

Every lecture (after today) will include a mid-class break to let you clear

Stack for this, in two ways.

your mind and ask any questions about the first half of the lecture. We use

what I am saying; the notes are all posted online.

Young job in lecture: thinking about what I am saying, making objections,

and asking questions. Lectures are not for memorizing or scribbling down