







On this view, everything which begins to exist at some time must have a cause. Because the universe — including the Big Bang — has a beginning in time, the universe as a whole — again, including the Big Bang — must have a cause. So the Big Bang can't be the first cause and indeed nothing in the universe can be.

If one accepts this extra premise, and one accepts the assumption that the universe came to exist at some time, then it follows that the universe was caused to exist by something outside the universe.

And then there are just two options — that thing must be eternal, or it must have come to exist at a certain time.

If we go with the second option, then it must have had a cause. And then that thing would have to be eternal, or have come to exist a certain time.

There are a number of questions one could raise about this argument. But let's focus in on one premise:

Could one object to this premise in much the way that we objected to Aguinas' assumption that if there is a first cause, then that thing must be God? How do we know that this eternally existing first cause of the universe is God?

Our first topic is the question of whether God exists.

We are going to look at some arguments for the conclusion that God exists, and some arguments for the conclusion that God does not exist.

How, in general, might we go about giving an argument that some particular thing exists?

Let's approach this question by setting aside questions about the existence of God for a minute. Suppose that you wanted to show that Santa Claus exists. How would you do it?

A natural thought is that you would begin by thinking about what Santa Claus is supposed to be like. Suppose that the key (alleged) properties of Santa are that he is a bearded jolly elf who is thousands of years old who lives at the North Pole and delivers toys to children all around the world with the help of his flying reindeer. Let's call these "the Santa properties."

Given this, it is pretty clear how you would proceed. You would try to find a very old elf in the North Pole with some reindeer who plays an important role in Christmas. That is, you would try to show that there is some individual with the Santa properties.

How could we argue that God exists?

we will return.

Many arguments for God's existence are best thought of as

arguments against simple atheism. Whether they also amount to

how seriously you take quasi-theism. This is something to which

good arguments for the existence of God then depends in part on

first cause argument we find in the reading from Thomas Aquinas.

Let's turn then to our first argument for the existence of God: the

beginning in time, the universe as a whole — again, including the Big

Bang — must have a cause. So the Big Bang can't be the first cause —

cause. Because the universe — including the Big Bang — has a

On this view, everything which begins to exist at some time must have a

and indeed nothing in the universe can be.

was caused to exist by something outside the universe.

the universe came to exist at some time, then it follows that the universe

If one accepts this extra premise, and one accepts the assumption that

must have come to exist at a certain time.

And then there are just two options — that thing must be eternal, or it

If we go with the second option, then it must have had a cause. And

then that thing would have to be eternal, or have come to exist a certain

But let's focus in on one premise:

There are a number of questions one could raise about this argument.

Could one object to this premise in much the way that we objected to

universe is God?

Aquinas' assumption that if there is a first cause, then that thing must

be God? How do we know that this eternally existing first cause of the

We are going to look at some arguments for the conclusion that God

exists, and some arguments for the conclusion that God does not exist.







particular thing exists?

How, in general, might we go about giving an argument that some

existence of God for a minute. Suppose that you wanted to show that

Let's approach this question by setting aside questions about the

Santa Claus exists. How would you do it?

Claus is supposed to be like. Suppose that the key (alleged) properties of

with the help of his flying reindeer. Let's call these "the Santa

Santa are that he is a bearded jolly elf who is thousands of years old who

properties.

lives at the North Pole and delivers toys to children all around the world

A natural thought is that you would begin by thinking about what Santa

some individual with the Santa properties.

important role in Christmas. That is, you would try to show that there is

find a very old elf in the North Pole with some reindeer who plays an

Given this, it is pretty clear how you would proceed. You would try to

Three views universe



grounds that there can't be an uncaused cause, like the explosion of

particles described?

Might one defend (8) by saying that this hypothesis is impossible, on the



first cause, then

God exists.

8. If there is a

Instead, it seems like Aquinas has to argue that nothing like the Big

Bang could genuinely be a first cause. Things like the Big Bang have to

have a cause; but things like God don't.

And that is, in a way, exactly what Aquinas tried to do (though of course

which was an uncaused cause would have to have other properties, which

he did not have the Big Bang in mind). He tried to argue that something

God has, but the Big Bang does not.

Might one defend (8) by saying that this hypothesis is impossible, on the

grounds that there can't be an uncaused cause?

Bang have to have a cause; but things like God don't. But why?

nothing like the Big Bang could genuinely be a first cause. Things like the Big

Instead, it seems like a defender of the first cause argument has to argue that

cause, but God does not exist. And it appears to be entirely consistent with

This would appear to be a description of a world in which there is a first

simple atheism. So it looks as though, if we are to believe (8), we must have

some reason for rejecting the above hypothesis.

The Big Bang

The first event in the history of the universe was an explosion of an extremely dense collection of particles, with every particle moving apart from every other particle. This event had no cause - in particular, no being set it into motion - and, further, every subsequent event has been an effect of this event.







Our first topic is the question of whether God exists.



8. If there is a first cause,

2. Nothing is prior to itself.

itself.

(3,4,5,6)

of itself, it would be prior

then God exists.

(i) circular, (ii) infinite,

3. Nothing is the cause of

(1,2)itself.

5. At least one thing has a

7. There is a first cause.

chains. causal

1. If something were the cause

or (iii) have a first cause.

6. Every causal chain must be

4. There are no infinite

(7,8)C. God exists.

cause.







How could we argue that God exists?