

CSci 2041

Advanced Programming Principles

L19: Modularity, Part 2

Eric Van Wyk

Fall 2014

- ▶ Functors - functions over modules
- ▶ Sometime called “parameterized modules”
- ▶ Allow us to instantiate modules with some component module.
- ▶ Parametric polymorphism happens “at the type level”
Functors happen “at the module level”
- ▶ Think of them as functions for “programming in the large”
- ▶ See Chapter 9 of Real World OCaml for more information.

Intervals

- ▶ Return to our [interval](#) examples.
(These examples stay much closer to the examples in Chapter 9 of Real World OCaml.)
- ▶ Recall, that we use modules to group types and supporting operations (functions) together as a named component.
- ▶ So we create a [Comparable](#) signature to specify what is required for the end points in an interval.
- ▶ The [Make_interval](#) functor creates an interval module based on a module that implements the [Comparable](#) signature.
- ▶ Examples are in [code-examples/Intervals/v4](#).

Functor application

- ▶ `module functor-name (input-module : sig-of-input) =
 struct ...`

- ▶ See the examples in [code-examples/Intervals/v4](#).

- ▶ We can also create string intervals created using [Core.Std.String](#)

The [Code.Std.String](#) module **matches** the [Comparable](#) signature because it has at least the named elements with the same types as in [Comparable](#).

- ▶ Matching here is a bit like sub-typing. Having more elements is OK and the ones with the same names have to have the same types.

Transparent versus Opaque types

- ▶ So far, these are all concrete or transparent modules.
The type of the implementation is exposed.
- ▶ A **transparent** module exposes all of its types.
- ▶ A **translucent** module exposes some of its types.
- ▶ A **opaque** module exposes none of its types.
- ▶ To support “representational independence” the type of the ADT must be hidden, that is, abstract.

Opaque intervals

- ▶ Let's hide the implementation of intervals.
- ▶ See the non-working examples in [code-examples/Intervals/v5](#).
- ▶ You can see this in utop using
 - ▶ `#mod_use "intervals.ml" ;;`
 - ▶ `#use "intIntervals.ml" ;;`

Sharing types in signatures

Change the signature of `Make_interval` so that the `endpoint` in the module created by the functor is the same as the type from in the input module to the functor.

In `module M : I with type t1 = t2 ...` the type `t1` and `t2` are the same and `t2` is visible.

See working example in `code-examples/Intervals/v6`.

Especially pay attention to the signature when `#mod_use "intervals.ml" ;;` is used.

Replacing types in signatures

Change the signature of `Make_interval` so that the `endpoint` in the module created by the functor is **replaced** by the type from in the input module to the functor.

But in `module M : I with type t1 := t2` the type `t1` is now removed from the signature of `M`.

Thus using sharing (`=`) instead of destructive substitution (`:=`) is required if the named type `t1` is still to be used.

So `=` (sharing) is useful in places in which `:=` (destructive substitution) does not work.

See working example in `code-examples/Intervals/v7`.

Especially pay attention to the signature when `#mod_use "intervals.ml" ;;` is used.

Programming in the large

As we've seen, using the ML-style module system in OCaml does feel like “programming.”

We have mechanisms for not just creating signatures but also for manipulating them.

The `with type t1 = t2` clauses provide fine control over module interfaces and modules that is not found in many other languages.