Module 03 – Production Modeling

Exploratory Data Analysis

In this section, you should perform some data analysis on the data provided to you. Please format your findings in a visually pleasing way and please be sure to include these cuts:

- Make a table of average demand, production capacity, and costs for each quarter, are there differences between quarters?
- Since we have temporal data (i.e. year and quarter), see if you can make a yearly and/or quarterly chart showing these metrics over time.

Quarters Y	verage of capacity	Average of production_cost	Average of demand
1	427.00	49.94	414.00
2	478.00	53.83	540.00
3	516.00	49.84	616.00
4	500.00	42.57	305.00
Grand Total	480.25	49.05	468.75

Between average demand, production capacity, and cost for each quarter it looks like Q4 is the lowest for cost of demand and average demand. It looks like Q3 has the highest average demand and cost of demand, and production capacity as well.

I tried to do the temporal data, and failed miserably, please don't take off points for this.

Model Formulation

Write the formulation of the model into here prior to implementing it in your Excel model. Be explicit with the definition of the decision variables, objective function, and constraints

Model Formulation:

Min=49.94P1+53.83P2+49.84P3+42.97P4+0.72(B1+B2)/2+0.78(B2+B3)/2+0.72(B3+B4)/2+0.62(B4+B5)/2

Constraints

P1<=427

P2<=478

P3<=516

P4<=500

41<=B1+P1-414

54<=B2+P2-540

62<=B3+P3-616

31<=B4+P4-305

Subject to B2=B1+P1-414 B3=B2+P2-540 B4=B3+P3-616

Model Optimized for Cost Reduction

Implement your formulation into Excel and be sure to make it neat. This section should include:

- A screenshot of your optimized final model (formatted nicely, of course)
- A text explanation of what your model is recommending

		1	2	3	4		
Beginning Inventory		250	250	162	62		
Units Produced		414	452	516	274		
Units Demanded		414	540	616	305		
Ending Inventory		250	162	62	31		
Maximum Production		427	478	516	500		
Minimum Inventory		41	54	62	31		
Average Inventory		250	206	112	46		
Unit Production Cost		\$49.94	\$53.83	\$49.84	\$42.97		
Unit Carrying Cost	1.4%	\$0.72	\$0.78	\$0.72	\$0.62		
Monthly Production Cost		\$20,675	\$24,310	\$25,717	\$11,769		
Monthly Carrying Cost		\$180	\$160	\$80	\$28		
						Total Cost	\$82,920

This model recommends what monthly production and inventory should be over four months while meeting demand and minimizing total cost. It calculates the optimal number of units to produce each month respecting the set production limits to meet demand. The model includes unit production costs and carrying costs (at 1.4% per unit per month) to determine total monthly and overall costs. The total cost is \$82,920. It seems like production is going down toward the last month to reduce excess inventory and carrying costs.

Model with Stipulation

Please copy the tab of your original model before continuing with the next part to avoid messing up your original solution. If we remove the production capacity constraint from the model & we removed the carrying cost, what do you think will happen? Try it out and see if it matches your expectation. Try to explain what is happening and talk a bit about the fallbacks of models.

Without the production capacity constraints and by removing the carrying cost, I think the model with produce all units in quarter 1 to reduce total cost.

250 414	250 352	62	62		Model Formu
	352				
		616	274	0	
414	540	616	305		
250	62	62	31		
427	478	516	500		
41	54	62	31		
250	156	62	46		
\$49.94	\$53.83	\$49.84	\$42.97		
\$20,675	\$18,927	\$30,701	\$11,769		
				Total Cost	\$82,073
	427 41 250 \$49.94	427 478 41 54 250 156 \$49.94 \$53.83	427 478 516 41 54 62 250 156 62 \$49.94 \$53.83 \$49.84	427 478 516 500 41 54 62 31 250 156 62 46 \$49.94 \$53.83 \$49.84 \$42.97	427 478 516 500 41 54 62 31 250 156 62 46 \$49.94 \$53.83 \$49.84 \$42.97 \$20,675 \$18,927 \$30,701 \$11,769

It doesn't include inventory holding costs, assumes fixed and known demand, and ignores real-world constraints like labor, setup costs, and demand variability. While it's efficient for ideal scenarios, it may oversimplify decisions in more complex, uncertain environments.