# Deriving $\hat{q} = \sqrt{(\mu/s)}$

Step-by-Step Mathematical Derivation

#### Model Assumptions

Before we begin, recall our key assumptions:

- Infinite population size (no genetic drift)
- · Random mating
- Recessive deleterious allele (a)
- Mutation rate  $A \rightarrow a = \mu$  per generation
- Selection coefficient against aa = s
- Fitness: AA = 1, Aa = 1, aa = 1 s
- No reverse mutation (a → A negligible)
- No migration

#### Define the Equilibrium Condition

At mutation-selection balance, the input of new a alleles by mutation equals the removal of a alleles by selection:

Input by mutation = Removal by selection

**Concept:** Think of this as a bathtub with water flowing in (mutation) and draining out (selection). At equilibrium, the water level stays constant because inflow equals outflow.

### 2 Calculate Input by Mutation

Each generation, a fraction  $\mu$  of A alleles mutate to become a alleles.

Number of A alleles  $\approx$  2Np (since p  $\approx$  1 for rare deleterious alleles)

Mutations per generation =  $2Np \times \mu$ 

Since we're working with frequencies, we can use the proportion:

 $\Delta q_{mut} = \mu$ 

Why  $\mu$  and not  $\mu$ p? Because when the deleterious allele is rare, almost all alleles are A (p  $\approx$  1), so the mutation input is approximately  $\mu$ .

## 3 Calculate Removal by Selection

For a recessive deleterious allele, selection only acts against the aa homozygotes.

Frequency of aa genotypes  $= q^2$ 

Each aa individual has fitness (1 - s)

Selection removes a fraction s of aa individuals

Each removed aa individual carries 2 a alleles

But we need the change in allele frequency, not individual count

Using the formula for selection against recessives:

$$\Delta q_{sel} = -sq^2$$

**Note:** The negative sign indicates that selection decreases the frequency of the deleterious allele.

## 4 Set Up the Equilibrium Equation

At equilibrium, the net change in q is zero:

$$\Delta q_{\text{mut}} + \Delta q_{\text{sel}} = 0$$
  
 $\mu - sq^2 = 0$ 

**Critical Insight:** This simple equation captures the essence of mutation-selection balance. Mutation adds a alleles at rate  $\mu$ , while selection removes them at rate sq<sup>2</sup>.

### 5 Solve for Equilibrium Frequency

Now we solve the equilibrium equation for q:

$$\mu - sq^2 = 0$$

$$sq^2 = \mu$$

$$q^2 = \mu/s$$

$$q^= \sqrt{(\mu/s)}$$

**Beautiful Result:** The equilibrium frequency depends on the square root of the ratio between mutation rate and selection coefficient. This makes intuitive sense - it's a balance between two opposing forces.

## 6 Interpret the Result

Let's examine what  $\hat{q} = \sqrt{(\mu/s)}$  tells us:

- If  $\mu$  increases  $\rightarrow$   $\hat{q}$  increases (more mutation input)
- If s increases  $\rightarrow$   $\hat{q}$  decreases (stronger selection)
- The square root means changes are dampened
- If  $\mu = s$ , then  $\hat{q} = 1$  (but this rarely happens)

**Biological Significance:** This explains why recessive genetic disorders persist in populations. Even with strong selection (s  $\approx$  1), they maintain equilibrium frequencies determined by their mutation rates.

## 7 Numerical Example

Let's apply the formula to a real scenario:

For cystic fibrosis:

 $\mu \approx 1 \times 10^{-6}$  (estimated mutation rate)

s = 1 (lethal recessive)

 $\hat{q} = \sqrt{(0.000001 / 1)} = \sqrt{0.000001} = 0.001$ 

Carrier frequency =  $2pq \approx 2 \times 0.001 = 0.002$  (1 in 500)

**Note:** The actual carrier frequency for cystic fibrosis in European populations is about 1 in 25, suggesting either higher mutation rates or historical heterozygote advantage.

### 8 Comparison with Other Inheritance Patterns

It's instructive to compare with other cases:

**Dominant deleterious:**  $\hat{q} = \mu/s$ 

**Additive:**  $\hat{q} = \mu/(hs)$  where h is dominance coefficient

**Recessive:**  $\hat{q} = \sqrt{(\mu/s)}$   $\leftarrow$  We derived this!

**Key Difference:** Recessive deleterious alleles reach much higher equilibrium frequencies because selection only acts against homozygotes.

## 9 Limitations and Refinements

Our derivation made several simplifying assumptions:

- Infinite population size
- Constant mutation rate
- No reverse mutation
- No migration
- Random mating
- Selection only on viability

**Real-world complexities:** In actual populations, genetic drift, fluctuating environments, and other factors modify these predictions. However, the basic  $\hat{q} = \sqrt{(\mu/s)}$  provides an excellent starting point.

## 10

#### **Practice Derivation**

Now try deriving the equilibrium for a dominant deleterious allele:

Given: Selection acts against both Aa and aa genotypes

**Find:** Show that  $\hat{q} = \mu/s$ 

**Hint:** For dominants,  $\Delta q_{sel} = -sq$ 

Your derivation here...

#### **Summary of Key Points**

- Mutation-selection balance occurs when input equals removal
- For recessives:  $\Delta q_{mut} = \mu$ ,  $\Delta q_{sel} = -sq^2$
- Equilibrium:  $\mu$  sq<sup>2</sup> = 0  $\rightarrow$   $\hat{q}$  =  $\sqrt{(\mu/s)}$
- The square root reflects that selection only acts against homozygotes
- This explains persistence of recessive genetic disorders

BGEN 55 - Advanced Genetics II | Deriving  $\hat{q} = \sqrt{(\mu/s)}$ 

Developed by CAE Cadorna © 2025