

### 10/31/2017 Research Notes

Currently using the tensorflow routine for computing the embedding minimizing the objective function with the Adagrad optimizer with an initial learning rate of .01 .

$$\arg \min_{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \|P - UBU^T\|_F - \lambda_1 \|U\|_F$$

Currently hoping to impose  $B$  be symmetric positive definite. We impose symmetry by starting with a symmetric initial matrix and then noting that the gradient will be symmetric now that we're using  $U$  for both the word and context embeddings. So  $B$  will naturally stay symmetric. To impose positive definiteness, I have implemented a method to project  $B$  onto its positive eigenspaces at each iteration. I've done this by computing the eigendecomposition and only keeping the eigenvectors associated with the positive eigenvalues.

#### Projection Sanity Check:

Let  $B = V\Lambda V^T$  be the eigendecomposition for  $B$  where  $V$  is an orthonormal basis for the eigenspaces. With this, note that we can partition/order the eigenpairs such that  $V = [V_p \tilde{V}]$  where  $V_p$  are the eigenvectors associated with the positive eigenvalues and  $\tilde{V}$  are all the other eigenvalues. Similarly we can partition/order the eigenvalues in the block diagonal matrix  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_p & \\ & \tilde{\Lambda} \end{bmatrix}$ , using similar notation to denote the positive eigenvalues.

Thus we can write the projection onto the positive eigenspaces as  $P = V_p V_p^T$  which means that we can compute  $PA$  as

$$\begin{aligned} PA &= V_p V_p^T (V\Lambda V^T) = V_p V_p^T ([V_p \tilde{V}]\Lambda V^T) \\ &= V_p [I_p \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_p & \\ & \tilde{\Lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_p^T \\ \tilde{V}^T \end{bmatrix} = [V_p \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_p V_p^T \\ \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{V}^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= V_p \Lambda_p V_p^T. \end{aligned}$$

However this method is not proving successful in maintaining the positive definiteness of the matrix, as the next update re-introduces the negative eigenspaces. There is also another key issue with this method as even after removing the negative eigenvalued eigenspaces, which is that this makes the matrix  $B$  rank deficient, and thus it is positive-semi definite. Since the number of negative eigenvalues of  $B$  has been around 50. So at best, this imposes a semi-norm into our loss functions, where each of the equivalence classes will be quite large because of the size of the kernel of  $B$ .

**However** having discussed this problem with a friend of Shuchin and I, he suggested that we simply multiply the matrix to its transpose to enforce positive definiteness. So I have adjusted the objective functions to minimize

$$\arg \min_{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \|P - UBB^T U^T\|_F - \lambda_1 \|U\|_F$$

. However because there are no constraints on  $U$  or  $B$ , this will not lead to very successful embeddings. I have since realized my mistake and currently

implementing an objective function to learn a shared embedding across multiple PMI matrices  $P_k$ . minimizing the objective function

$$\arg \min_{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}} \sum_k \|P_k - UB_k B_k^T U^T\|_F - \lambda_1 \|U\|_F.$$

I will also add in regularizers for the  $B_k$  terms in the objective functions.

## 0.1 Experiment Results

The following subsections are labeled by their file name templates on the dell37 server. All of the embeddings are normalized row-wise. None of the embeddings computed produced significant results. I also produced experiments varying  $\lambda_1$  on a logarithmic scale, but they also did not show any improvements. I believe the mistake is not using multiple time slices to create a proper core, and without a positive definite  $B$ , I have to use a row normalized  $U$ , rather than  $UV\sqrt{\Lambda}$  which means when testing the embeddings I'm leaving out a critical part of the factorization.

### 0.1.1 wordPairPMI\_2000\_iterations\_10000\_lambda1\_-1.0\_lambda2\_-1.0\_dimensions\_50\_2tf[U,B]

This experiment ran for 10 times as long as any of the other embeddings that I've been running. This function included the projection method for  $B$ . However the extra iterations did not improve the quality of the embedding at all. Due to the fact that  $B$  was not positive definite, I could not compute a cholesky decomposition or compute the square root of the eigenvalues without introducing imaginary terms.

Note that the  $\lambda_2$  term in the file name is a relic of the regularizer for the  $V$  matrix, which is no longer present in the most recent versions of the software pushed up to the repo.

### 0.1.2 wordPairPMI\_2000\_iterations\_1000\_lambda1\_-1.0\_lambda2\_-1.0\_dimensions\_50\_2tf[U,B].npz

This experiment was run before I had implemented the projection method for  $B$ . However this embedding did not produce significant results either.