Fermioniz Hamiltanians

- used to study: hopping, chemical potential, two particle interaction
- not used to study: superconductivity, relativisher effects
- M ~ number of Germania) moder present
- Hacks on  $\bigoplus$   $\bigwedge^h C^M = hilbert space of dimension <math>2^M$   $\equiv \mathcal{H}_{nature}$
- (for later use): number specator N= Zho atan commuter with Hosture.
- fermionic operators satisfy commutation rules:  $\{a_i, a_j\} = \{a_i^{\dagger}, a_j^{\dagger}\} = 0 , \qquad \{a_i, a_j^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{ij}$

$$H_{hubbard} = -t \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \sum_{\sigma \in \{r,i\}} (a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{g\sigma} + a_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma})$$

2 - hopping of arbitrary spin from one site the same spin at a neighbourny site

u ~ potential for finding two electrons at same site

at our disposal, we have a QC of Q-qubits, each qubit giving  $\mathbb{C}^2$   $- QC gives access to Hilbert space <math>\mathcal{H}_{simulation}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes Q}$ 

our goal today is to study encodings of rahme arts QC

- an encoding in an isometry E: Hrahme > Hismulator (where Hism way have dimensia larger the Hrahme)
- a simulation hamilbonian Hom "simulation" Home if

  Hom o E = E o Home

(if den Hom > den Hohme the we don't mind what Hom does away from mage & (Hahme))

inhuition: it is much nices to think of QC structure of Hom rather than &

## Jorda-Wigner ham formation

- 4
- dim (Hnature) = 2 M so seems easy to use M-gulits (Q=M)
- occupation of mode to indicated by 10>, 11> state of the qubit

  (inhuitively super easy. see: 2000 Bravyi, Kitaer Fermionic quantum compilation

  (section 2)
- $\mathcal{H}_{sm}$  = spane  $\{ | n_1, -, n_M \rangle \} n_M \in \{0,1\}$ example:  $3 \mod : | n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ ,  $| 1 \mid 0 \mid 1 \rangle$  $\equiv 2 \text{ fermioniz moder present,}$ present in  $1^{st}, 3^{rd} \mod n$
- annihilation spenations:  $(a_k, a_k)$  simulation  $a_k \mid o_k \rangle = 0$   $a_k \mid o_k \rangle = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i} \mid o_k \rangle$

(depends a the order you put an your M fermionic moder)
creation speators given by herniha conjugater
exercise; calculate at as 1 0017
at as 1011)

Jordan-Wigner transformation

3

it's very easy to see how to represent creation/amiliation as terms familian to a QC.

use notation: Xn, Yn, Zn & 10×11h, 117/01h

where  $|0\rangle\langle 1| = \frac{1}{2}(X - iY)$  $|1\rangle\langle 0| = \frac{1}{2}(X + iY)$ 

-  $(a_k)_{nahue} \longrightarrow (a_k)_{nahue} = (T_{i=1}^{k-1} Z_k) |0 \times 1|_{k}$ 

(at) nahme - (at) sim = (Ti-1 Zk) 117 (ol n

occupation of mode is stored locally in Hism parity of system is stored non-locally in Hism

leads to fermiour speators which scale linearly with respect to number of moder carridared.

- exercise: think about Hubbad model on a lattice.

order qubits by snake \$\le 3

and now consider a swap between two qubits in adjacent rows.

## Party nappreg tranformation

6

- morally the complete dual to J-W
- parity of system is should locally in Home occupation of mode is should non-locally in Home (still leads to linear scaling for weight of fermionin sperators)
- Hom is still M-qubits: spane { In, -, nm } ne {0,13

order the moder given in "nahure"-problem:  $m_1, m_2, -, m_k$ define parity  $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$   $m_i \in \{0,1\}$  depending a presence of fermion in it mode

encoding & sends 17/ nahre to 1p1, -, pm7

- nother then understanding E it is easier to understand the map T: How -> Hoperry

example 1000) 1000) p

in general  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$   $T' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

(ak) parity = To (ak) JW o T-1

## Parity mapping transformation

exercise: calculate (Cyx) parity explicitly via

- tale 1/p = 1n1, -, nm)p

- calculate T-14p which gives JW rep" 4 JW

- calculate (ak) J 7

- calculate T (an) IN You which gives (an) p 4p

- deduce formula

answer: (ak)p = 10×01k-1810×11k & Ti=k+1 Xi

- 11>(1/k= 8/1>(0/h & Ticky Xi

(an) = 10×01 m o 11×01 m ×i

-11><1/2 8 10×1/4 8 TT i=KH Xi

remark: can rewrite above terms wit Pauli notation

## Binary-tree transformation

8

- due la Branyi-Kitaeu
- idea can be traced to "Fernich trees" in classical computer science (which offers a slight generalisation to what I present below)
- basic idea in to find middle ground between locality of storney.

  occupation of mode

  parity of system
- leads to neation/annihilation operators of weight (O(log(M))
- reference: 2012 Seeley, Richard, Love The BK transformation for quantum compulation of electronic structure
- morally is the JW transformation under conjugation by Fourier transform

well look at an example with M=4 modes (this is easy since 4 is a power of 2) we give a mapping T: How -> Hock

nz comes from writing te-1 (in nu) in bihary 10

there is a pashal order on broay stray prehend above &

where  $\alpha_k = \sum_{i \leq k} n_i$ .

e.g. 11100 > JW - > 11000 > BK