# ELEC-313 Lab 2: Diode Characterization

September 19, 2013

Date Performed: September 18, 2013 Partners: Charles Pittman

Stephen Wilson

# 1 Objective

# 2 Schematics

Circuit Tested

**Test Configuration** 

# 3 Procedure

# 4 Results

#### 4.1 Part A

 $\begin{array}{c} -5.00 -5.000 \ 0.01 \ -4.50 \ -4.500 \ 0.01 \ -4.000 \ -4.000 \ 0.01 \ -3.50 \ -3.500 \ 0.01 \ -3.00 \ -3.000 \\ 0.01 \ -2.50 \ -2.500 \ 0.01 \ -2.000 \ -2.000 \ 0.01 \ -1.50 \ -1.500 \ 0.01 \ -1.00 \ -1.000 \ 0.01 \ -0.50 \\ -0.500 \ 0.01 \ 0.00 \ 0.277 \ 0.01 \ 0.25 \ 0.254 \ 0.01 \ 0.50 \ 0.461 \ 0.10 \ 0.75 \ 0.536 \ 0.46 \ 1.00 \\ 0.570 \ 0.92 \ 1.25 \ 0.591 \ 1.40 \ 1.50 \ 0.606 \ 1.89 \ 1.75 \ 0.618 \ 2.39 \ 2.00 \ 0.627 \ 2.90 \ 2.25 \\ 0.635 \ 3.41 \ 2.50 \ 0.642 \ 3.92 \ 2.75 \ 0.648 \ 4.44 \ 3.00 \ 0.653 \ 4.95 \ 3.25 \ 0.658 \ 5.47 \ 3.50 \\ 0.662 \ 5.99 \ 3.75 \ 0.666 \ 6.51 \ 4.00 \ 0.670 \ 7.03 \ 4.25 \ 0.673 \ 7.55 \ 4.50 \ 0.676 \ 8.08 \ 4.75 \\ 0.679 \ 8.60 \ 5.00 \ 0.682 \ 9.13 \ 5.50 \ 0.687 \ 10.18 \ 6.00 \ 0.692 \ 11.23 \ 6.50 \ 0.696 \ 12.30 \ 7.00 \\ 0.699 \ 13.36 \ 7.50 \ 0.703 \ 14.42 \ 8.00 \ 0.706 \ 15.49 \ 8.50 \ 0.709 \ 16.56 \ 9.00 \ 0.712 \ 17.66 \\ 9.50 \ 0.714 \ 18.75 \ 10.00 \ 0.717 \ 19.84 \end{array}$ 

# 5 Conclusion

As shown in Table ??, the amplifier models do closely represent the amplifier used in the experiment. The greatest difference occurred in the current gain  $(A_i)$ , largely due to  $R_o$  being nearly zero. This also causes  $G_m$  to be very large.

# 6 Appendix

### **Equations**

$$\%_{error} = \frac{|measured - nominal|}{nominal} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

$$R_o = \frac{V_{noload} - V_{load}}{I_{load}} \tag{2}$$

$$R_i = \frac{V_i}{I_i} \tag{3}$$

$$A_v = \frac{V_o}{V_i} \tag{4}$$

$$A_i = A_v \left(\frac{R_i}{R_o}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$G_m = \frac{A_v}{R_o}$$

$$R_m = A_v R_i$$

$$(6)$$

$$R_m = A_v R_i (7)$$