#### Abstract

Abstract: find a function x(t) that extremizes the functional L. Here we are going to outline the proof of the Euler Lagrange equation.

## 1 Theorem Statement and Proof

**Theorem 1.** Let J be the functional defined by

$$J[f] := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(t, f(t), f'(t))$$

with an extremum on the interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ . Let x(t) be a  $C^1[t_1, t_2]$  function that extremizes J. Then the following equation must be satisfied:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}.$$

Recall that  $C^1[a, b]$  represents the set of all functions that have a continuous derivative on (a, b). Recall from Calculus every differentiable function is continuous.

*Proof.* By assumption in the Theorem 1, let  $x \in C^1[t_1, t_2]$  be a function that extremizes J. We wish to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}.$$

Let A(t) be an arbitrary function and  $A(t_1) = A(t_2) = 0$ . Therefore any function in  $C^1[t_1, t_2]$  can be represented with

$$x(t,a) = x(t) + aA(t)$$

where x(t,a) is a combination x(t), our function that extremizes J, and A(t), the "divergence" from that function, parameterized by a.

Let

$$J(a) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(x(t, a), \dot{x}(t, a), t) dt,$$

be functional parameterized by a.

Use sentences

Note when a=0,  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{a})$  becomes  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$ . Thus when a=0 J has an extremum by defintion of  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$  and

$$\frac{dJ}{da}|_{a=0} = 0.$$

Why? is this equation true?

$$\frac{dJ}{da} = \frac{d}{da} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(x(t,a), \dot{x}(t,a), t) dt$$

So, by the chain rule, we have

$$0 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} \frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial a} dt.$$

Solving for  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial a}$  and  $\frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial a}$  we get

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial a} = A(t)$$

$$\frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} = A'(t)$$

$$0 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot A(t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} \cdot A'(t).$$

Using Integration by parts:

$$\int \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} \cdot A'(t)dt = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} A(t)|_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} * A(t)dt$$
$$= -\int \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} * A(t)dt$$

Thus the equation becomes,

$$0 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot A(t) - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} \cdot A(t) dt$$
$$= \int A(t) \cdot (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}}) dt$$

As A(t) is arbitrary, by the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} = 0$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{df}{dx}$$

proving Euler's equation. So we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t) A(t) = 0.$$

Also, A(t) is (basically) arbitrary. Why must f(t) = 0?? This equation must be satisfied for a function x(t) that extremizes the functional L.

# 2 Applications

## Arc-Length

An immediate consequence of the Euler-Lagrange equation is the classical fact that the shortest distance between two points is a straight line. We will show this derivation now.

**Theorem 2.** Let a and b be two real numbers with a < b. Let c and d be two arbitrary real numbers. Then the unique differentiable function x(t), with x(a) = c and x(b) = d, that extremizes the functional

$$S(x) = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + (\frac{dx}{dt})^2} dt.$$

is the linear function  $x(t) = mt + y_0$  for some real numbers m and  $y_0$ .

Note that we call S a functional since it takes in a function as the input and outputs a real number.

*Proof.* Let x(t) be the function that extremizes S(x). Why does this exist? We can see from various statments of the Euler Lagrange that IF a minimizer exists, then it must satisfy the Euler Lagrange equation. This does not prove that a minimizer exists. Furthermore, even if we find a solution to the Euler Lagrange equation, it's not clear that this is a minimizer. (for instance, think

of  $y = x^3$ , which has a point where the derivative is 0 but is neither a max or min.)

The integrand in S can be represented by a functional L,

$$L(t, x, x') = \sqrt{1 + (\dot{x})^2}.$$

We know from Theorem 1 (Euler Lagrange Equation) and the fact that x minimizes S, that

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}}.$$

Since x is not present on in  $L(t, x, \dot{x})$ , we have

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = 0.$$

So we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{dL}{d\dot{x}}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\dot{x}}{\sqrt{1 + (\dot{x})^2}}$$

$$= \frac{\ddot{x}\sqrt{1 + (\dot{x})^2} - \dot{x}^2 \ddot{x} (1 + \dot{x})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + (\dot{x})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\ddot{x}}{(1 + \ddot{x}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

In the second inequality we used the quotient rule from Calculus and in the third line we used the chain rule. Thus  $\ddot{x}$  must be 0

$$\ddot{x} = 0$$
,

on the interval (a, b). By a consequence of Rolle's theorem,  $\ddot{x} = 0$ ,  $\dot{x}$  is a constant, say m. Therefore x has a constant slope and

$$x(t) = mt + y_0,$$

which is the equation for a line.

We can solve for m and  $y_0$ , To solve for m we use the rise over run for the interval

$$m := \frac{x(a) - x(b)}{a - b},$$

then we can solve for  $y_0$ , given the point (a, x(a)) and m.

Thus, the shortest distance between two points is a straight line.

### Spring pendulum

$$U = mg(l+x)\cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$$

The Kinetic energy of the system is the linear kinetic energy of the spring and the tangential Kinetic energy.

$$K = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m(l+x)^2\dot{\theta}^2$$

These energies are combined to make the Lagraganian

$$L = K + U$$

Let f(x) be the function that extremizes the action and thus is the actual motion of the ball linearly.

To find the function f(x) the following equation must be satisfied:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}} = \frac{dL}{dx}$$
$$m\ddot{x} = -mgsin(\theta) + kx + m(l+x)\dot{\theta}^2$$

The RHS is the ma part of Newtons second law and the LHS is the gravitational tangential force, the spring force, and the centrifugal force.

Solving this, gives f(x).

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\dot{\theta}} = \frac{dL}{d\theta}$$

$$m(l+x)^2\ddot{\theta} = -mg(l+x)sin(\theta)$$

This equation reveals that mass  $\times$ tangential acceleration = torque