

HW6

9.38 $n_1 = 12 \quad \bar{x}_1 = 85 \quad s_1 = 4 \quad t_{0.05} = 1.72$
 $n_2 = 10 \quad \bar{x}_2 = 81 \quad s_2 = 5 \quad s_p = 4.48$
 $\alpha = 0.1$

Confidence Interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$, $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ but both unknown

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}} < \mu_1 - \mu_2 < (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}$$

Pooled estimate of variance

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} = \frac{(11)(16) + (9)(25)}{20} = 20.05$$

Result

$$4 \pm 1.74(4.48) \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{10}} = 4 \pm 3.31 = (0.69, 7.31)$$

The confidence interval is $(0.69, 7.31)$.

9.68 $p_1 = \frac{10}{20} \quad n = 20 \quad \alpha = 0.05$
 $p_2 = \frac{15}{20} \quad n = 20$

Large Sample Confidence Interval for $p_1 - p_2$

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1 \hat{q}_1}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2 \hat{q}_2}{n_2}} \Rightarrow \frac{10}{20} - \frac{15}{20} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\left(\frac{10}{20}\right)\left(\frac{10}{20}\right)}{20} + \frac{\left(\frac{15}{20}\right)\left(\frac{5}{20}\right)}{20}}$$

$$-0.54 < p_1 - p_2 \leq 0.04$$

The 95% confidence interval is $(-0.54, 0.04)$, since 0 is included in the interval, there is not a significant difference.

HW6

$$9.78 \quad \alpha = 0.1 \text{ for } \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \quad n=12 \quad \bar{X}_1 = 36300 \quad s_1 = 5000 \\ \bar{X}_2 = 38100 \quad s_2 = 6100 \quad f_{\alpha}(n_1-1, n_2-1) = f_{0.05}(11, 11)$$

Confidence Interval for $\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2}$

$$\frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} \cdot \frac{1}{f_{\alpha/2}(v_1, v_2)} < \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} < \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} f_{\alpha/2}(v_2, v_1)$$

$$\frac{5000^2}{6100^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2.82} < \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} < \frac{5000^2}{6100^2} (2.82)$$

$$0.24 < \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} < 1.89$$

The 90% confidence interval is $(0.24, 1.89)$ which contains 1, implying $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$. Therefore it is not justified that $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$ when we constructed the confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

$$10.28 \quad n_1 = 25 \quad n_2 = 25 \quad \alpha = 0.05 \quad \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \\ \bar{x}_1 = 20 \quad \bar{x}_2 = 12 \quad H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 \\ s_1 = 1.5 \quad s_2 = 1.25 \quad s_p = 1.38 \quad H_a: \mu_1 > \mu_2$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

$$s_p = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2 + (n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1+n_2-2}}$$

$$t = 20.49 \quad \text{with } P(T > 20.49) \approx 0$$

Compare calculated t value with critical t value

$$t_{0.05}(48 \text{ df}) = 1.68 < 20.49$$

Therefore we reject H_0 . We can assume the mean percent absorption rate for cotton fiber is higher than acetate.

HW6

10.36 $n_1 = n_2 = 12$ $\bar{X}_1 = 37900$ $s_1 = 5100$ $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$
 $\bar{X}_2 = 39800$ $s_2 = 5900$ $H_a: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$
 $S_p^2 = 30410000$

$$t = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{S_p^2(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} = -0.84$$

$2(P(T > 0.84)) = 0.41 \Rightarrow$ we do not reject H_0 , there is no significant difference in the average wear of the two brands

10.64 $p_1 = \frac{240}{300}$ $n_1 = 300$
 $p_2 = \frac{289}{400}$ $n_2 = 400$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{528}{700}$$

$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\hat{p}\hat{q}(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \quad : \hat{p} = \frac{\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

$$= 2.43 \Rightarrow P(z > 2.43) = 0.0075$$

With a relatively insignificant P-value, we reject H_0 .
 The proportion of married women of less than 2 years planning to have children is significantly higher than the control sample

10.76 Using T184 2-Sample F Test Statistically

$$\bar{X}_A = 0.75 \quad S_A = 0.10 \\ \bar{X}_B = 0.67 \quad S_B = 0.11$$

$$F = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2}, \text{ since } S_2 > S_1 \Rightarrow \frac{S_2^2}{S_1^2} = 1.15$$

$P(F > 1.15) = 0.845 \Rightarrow$ We fail to reject the H_0 , $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ is statistically significant

HW6

10.86 $n=180$

		H_0 : Independent		H_a : non independent	
		Non	Mod	Hi	
		21 (33.4)	36 (30)	30 (23.3)	87
Hyp	No Hyp	48 (35.7)	26 (32)	19 (25.3)	93
		69	62	49	180

$$\chi^2_{\text{obs}} = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^5 \frac{(n_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}} = \frac{(21-33.4)^2}{33.4} + \dots + \frac{(19-25.3)^2}{25.3}$$

$$= 14.5$$

$$\chi^2_{(0.05, 2)} = 5.99$$

$$\chi^2_{\text{observed}} > \chi^2_{(0.05, 2)}$$

\Rightarrow We reject H_0 & conclude that smoking habits and hypertension are not independent