I a. List all the binary relations on the set 20,13. here we are litting the graphs of the relotions Ø, {(0,0)}, {(0,1)}, {(1,0)}, {(1,1)} 2(0,0), (0,1)}, {(0,0), (1,0)}, {(0,0), (1,1)}, (1,0) {(0,1),(1,0)}, {(0,1),(1,0)}, {(1,1),(1,0)}, {(0,0),(0,1),(1,0)}, {(0,0),(0,1),(1,1)}, {(0,0),(1,0),(1,1)}, {(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)}, {(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)} b. Over the domain 29,13, which of these relations are equivalence rebilions? Listing the relations by property on A = 20,13 Reflexive: 8, 13, 14, 16 Symmetric: 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16 Transitive: 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,1,13,14,16 Equivolence relations are all 3, so: 18, 16 Weak (strict Portial orders? A binory relation is a partial order iff it is transitive & artisummetri Antisymmetrici 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14 => The portial orders are 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,11,13,14 A portial order is weak iff it is reflexive Weak partial orders: 8, 13, 14) Strict iff irreflexive Strict partial orders: 1,3,4

2. We partially order the power set, $P({\{1,2,...,n\}})$, by the subset relotion, E. a. Describe the maximum length chain in P(E1, 2, ..., mg). Breifly explain why there can't be a longer chain than the one described. a chain is a set of elements & any two elements in the set are composable Maximum chain length is [n+1] and this chain is (\$\phi_{11}, 21, 22, ..., \(\) \(this is the langest chain, since if you add another element to it, or skip on element in one of the sets in general, you will need to remove all sets smaller than as equal to that set containing the missing element, of which there will be at least one. a trade of Pally 2) when it will be function to 2.6. Describe a topological sort of P({1,2,...,n}), with a brief justification that your sort is correct.

A topological sort of \subseteq on P(21,2,...,n3) is all sets of size O, followed by all sets of size 1,...,2,..., the set 21,2,...,n.

The total ordering performing this topological sort is $A \subseteq B = A \subseteq B$.

Tustification.

From the definition of a topological sort, a topological sort of the portion order \subseteq on the set P(21,2,...,n3) is a total ordering \subseteq , on P(21,2,...,n3) \in $a \subseteq b = a \subseteq b$, $a,b \in P(21,2,...,n3)$

If a = b then a must either have less elements than b, or a = b (ase I la < 16):

from the definition of our topological sort a [b

So a = b => a = b + a,b = P(E1,2,..,n3) => our topological root is yalld.

Z.C. Use Dilwath's Lemma to show that there must be an outschools of size = 2 / (m+1). Describe the biggest anti-chain you can find. Proof. Let += n+1 The portiolly undered set D(E1,2,..., n) has 2° elements become it is a powerset of a set with in elements. In Z.a. we show that the maximum chain length is not Di worth's Lemma states: 4t, every portially ordered set with m elements must have either a chain of size > + or an autichain of size mit Since +>n, by Dilwarn's lemma I am anti-chain of size = = = (7141) The largest antichoin I can find is the set of all subsets of {1,2,...,3} at size [n/2] or [n/2]. The case for [m/2] is briefly described below It we topologically sort P(E1, 2, ..., n) into all sets of size O followed by all sets of size I, ..., through all sets of size m, we find the DAG shown below, with anti-chains in rows (represented by "x") [m/2] is = the widest section of this DAG. Largest anti-choin 9120 [m/2]

3. Consider the natural numbers partially ordered by divisibility a. Prove that this partial order has an infinite chain. Prost We will prove that the chain {1,2,4,...,2"} their is an it down by Induction Baso Case: M=O 1 is divisible by 1, so 213 is a chain in IN ordered by divisibility Inductive step for nell {1,2,...,2"} is a chain Consider the set [1,2,..,2m3 \ 2mil Notice 2"= 2(2") so 2"+1 is divisible by 2" Since the first port of the union is a chain, 2nd is also divisible by the remaining elements of £1,2,...,2m3 since it is divisible by 2" and divisibility is transitive Curing the inductive hypothesis) Then by induction {1,2,..., 23} is a chain YneIN IN is an infinite set, so an infinite chain of this kind can be constructed by using N. => I on infinite chain/

3.6. Prove that this portal order has an infinite anti-chain. Consider the set of prime numbers. By the definition of prime, such number in the set is only divisible by I and itself So each element of the set is not dividale, and incomparable by the divisibility relation. By the definition of an anti-chain, the set of prime numbers forms on anti-chain. (since prime & IN) The set of prime numbers is on inflate set, so the set is on inflate auti-chain. So there exists an infinite anticholin on IN controlly antered by divisibility, namely the set of all prime numbers.

3.C. Now restrict the domain to the natural numbers En. Consider the chain 1322 ZRY SR -- SR Z LlogzMJ. Prove that it is maximal. (1) Proof: Suppose there exists a longer chain do sparsparsh. I cam. Chain (1) is of length Llogan +1, so m= Llogan+1 Since adjacent ais are divisible, we can let a:=p;a:-1 \tieliz,...,ms where p: = 2 (since it must be an integer >1) Then am = The piao where ao = 1 So $a_m = \overline{T} pia_0 \ge 2^m a_0$ (since $p \ge 2$) = 7 Llogz74+1 > 7 10927 = 2 => = However this leads to a contradiction since amon & Exel x =n} Thus there exists no chain longer than Llogzn +1 So (1) is maximaly

d. Let a be the length of the power of Z choin. By Dilwoth's lemma there is an antichin of length n/c. Describe one c € [log2 n] +1 This anti chain is { 2 Llogz 7-1] +1, 2 Llogz 2 -1] +2, ..., 2 Llogz 2] The solution writes this simpler as { [=1+1, 1=1+2,..., p}, but they are the same set

1. We consider DAG's where each vertex represents a task to be completed. If there is a poin from one vertex, v, to another vertex, w, then the v task must be completed before the w task. Assuming all tosks take unit time to complete, we showed in the Notes that the minimum time schedule to complete all the tasks is the size (number of vertices), t, of the langer path (chain) in the DAG.

Formally, a schedule for a DAG is a portition of vertices. Each block of the portition is supposed to correspond to a set of tasks that are to be performed simultaneously. The number of processors required by a schedule is the maximum number of tasks that are scheduled to be performed simultaneously.

(a) Describe purely in terms of graph, partition, and partial order properties.

· Exactly the properties a vertex portition of a DAG must satisfy in order to represent a possible schedule for vertex tasks.

A schedule for a DAG is a partition of the edges of G into a sequence of antichains coiled blocks, ordered such that the sequence of the elements in BI in any order, followed by those of Bz in any order, through Bk is a topological sort of the partiol order on G

. Total time required to complete a schedule.

Number of blacks

· Number of processors required to complete a schedule Number of elements within the largest block in the schedule. 4.6. Give a small example of a DAG with more than one minimum time schedule Consider the DAG $V=\{1,2,3\}$, $E=\{1-52\}$ Then $\{\{1\},\{2,3\}\}$ and $\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\}$ are both minimum time schoolules. n tasks must take time of least [11/1].

Suppose I a schedule > the 11 tasks can be completed in time telasof an only p processors

Then the tasks must be completed in < [m/p] steps since the steps are of unit time.

Then there must be (Torp? blacks in the schedule stone blacks consist of tasks that can be completed stomptoneously.

So I a block of state sp since (stro/pt blocks) (p(blocksize)) < n However the number of processors required to complete a given schedule is = to the largest blocksize as argued in port a.

=> <= since we only have a processors, this leads to a contradiction.

d. Let Day be the DAG with n vertices that consists of a directed poth of (1-1) vertices ending with edges from the tinal, it-Dst, votex on the path directly to each of the remaining n-(+-1) vertices, as in the following figure What is the minimum time schedule for Dont? Explain why it is unique. How many processors does it require? Break the DAG into + blocks, since it is the languist chain length is t-1+1=+ Blocks Br, ..., B+1 are single tasks 1,..., t-1 since they are in a single chain and must be run sequentially. The black B+ is the n-++1 tasks at the bottom of the DAG run in parallel. This schedule tokes time + since there are + blocks. This is the unique minimum time schedule since the first t-1 tasks must run sequentially since they form a chain. After completing these tasks the longest remaining chain is of length I since the n-++1 remaining tasks form on onti-chain => they can be all run in parallel using n-++1 processors

t. Show that every DAG with n-vertices and maximum chain size, t, has a p-processor schedule that runs in time M(n,t,p). Hint: Induction, you decide an what variable. You may find it helpful to use the fact that if a=b=0, then [a-b] < |+ [a] - [b] Va,belk U) Proof by Induction on t Induction hypothesis: PCH) every DAG with nEN vertices and maximum chain size + has a pell processor schedule that runs in time M(n,t,p) = (+-1) + [n-c+-1] Base case : PCI) Consider a DAG with n vertices and a maximum chain size tel with DEN processors. The vertices are disjoint since there are no chains of length >1 The tasks can be divided into [7] blocks of size &p, making the time schedule [7] = (1-1)+[m-(1-1)] = M(n,1,p) So PCI) is true, forming a basis for induction Inductive Step: Assume PC+) is true (+=1) Consider a DAG with mel vertices, pell processors, with a maximum chain size ttl. If you take the K>1 ends of each max length chain and form a subgraph H by removing these k vertices, we now have a DAG H with m-k vertices and max chain length t. by our induction by pothesis, it has a schedule that runs in time MCn-10, t, p) We can extend H to G by adding the k endpoints back in [k/p] disjoint (From each other) blocks. This gives us the following time schedule for 6 M(n-k, t,p) + [=] (Z) Now we want to show (2) = M(n, ++1, p) We can rewrite (Z) as (+-1)+[n-k+(+-1)]+[=] from let of Man, t,p)

Carel (14-1 is not divisible by p): So $(z) \leq (t-1)+1+\lceil \frac{n-t}{p}\rceil - \lceil \frac{t-1}{p}\rceil + \lceil \frac{t}{p}\rceil$ (1) = $(t+1)-1+\lceil \frac{n-(t+1)-1}{p}\rceil = M(m,t+1,p)$ Case 2 (1K-1) is divisible by pl: 50 (2) = (+-1) + ([==] - [=] + (==])+1 since [=] = [==]+1 $= (++1)-1+\lceil \frac{m-(++1-1)}{p} \rceil = M(n,++1,p)$ So there exists a time schedule that runs in < M (m, ++1,p) => There must exist a time schedule that runs in Mon, ++1, p) 50 PC+) => PC++1) Thus, by induction PC+) is true + +e A+

(e) Describe a minimum time p-processor schedule for Dn,t. Write a simple formula for this minimum time, MCn, t,p). $M(n)t,p) = t-1 + \Gamma(n-t+1)/p7$ time, when p of the n-t+1 tosks at a
time, when p remain, run all those at the same time (why we round up)