

# Assignment 2 Marking

[Home](#) [Show Students](#)

[Update DB](#)

[Find Student Record](#)

Found student.

## Details:

Name:  P-Number:   
Essay:  [Find Essay/Name](#) Total:

## Attendance:

Lectures:  Labs:

## GitHub URLs:











Repo URL:   
Pages URL:

## Understanding of HTML, CSS, and JavaScript:

Points:

## Comments:

- your doctype has a typo "`<!doctype html>`" where it should be "`<!doctype html>`". this is causing some validation errors which propagate a bit too. I guess this must have happend accidentally at one point after you originally tried to validate it. I believe this is also why the favicon does not show on the index page.  
- remove any console.log statements from the JS for live sites (see flickr.js line 22 and 28)  
- one subtle animation, no transitions in CSS (slideshow counts as JavaScript) but good use of nth-child  
- very good layout overall  
- whitespace is your friend, use it more (on desktop size certainly you need more space around content, especially text blocks)

HTML validates fully		95
CSS does not contain syntax errors		100
JavaScript contains no errors in console		95
Creative use of CSS styling		65
Advanced CCS3 layout (e.g. flexbox)		100
Creative use of JavaScript		60
HTML5 semantic elements used appropriately		100
Site is responsive		90
Responsiveness is mobile first		100
Sensible breakpoints used		95

API brings in external JSON



60

Fully hand written code (no 3rd part code)



60

## Code Structure:

Points: 86

### Comments:

- JS imports go right before the closing body tag
- excellent indentation (slight inconsistency in JS, possibly tabs vs spaces issue)
- basic comments (especially the JavaScript needs more explanatory comments)

Clear separation of code (no inline styles or scripts)



100

Tidy code organisation, file and folder names



95

Appropriate code nesting and indentation



95

Informative code comments



55

## Usability of website:

Points: 75

### Comments:

- no specific mention of IA or PARC but clearly well laid out content
- be more descriptive in your alt attributes (not just for accessibility but also SEO)

Intelligent application of Information Architecture and PARC



50

Sitemap included



90

Clear awareness of accessibility principles



60

Effective navigation at all sizes, content easy to locate










100

## Progression of development:

Points: 84

### Comments:

- some slightly brief commit messages that are very repetitive
- two feature branches evident
- no comments on user testing

Regular commits		90
Live site (on GitHub Pages or elsewhere)		100
Appropriate use of branching for feature development		100
Informative readme file in markdown format		100
Relevant references in readme		100
Evidence of user testing		0
Wireframe sketches included		100

### Bonus Points (+2):

Points:

**Comments:**