

#### Part 1 schedulers total time

	FCFS	EP	RR
<b>Test Data 1</b>	500	521	500
<b>Test Data 2</b>	1118	2730	1301
<b>Test Data 3</b>	16	16	16

#### Average turnaround time

	FCFS	EP	RR
<b>Test Data 1</b>	97.5	282.75	124.5
<b>Test Data 2</b>	328.4	657	484
<b>Test Data 3</b>	8	8	8

#### Average time spent in wait queue

	FCFS	EP	RR
<b>Test Data 1</b>	49	55	49
<b>Test Data 2</b>	256	399	256
<b>Test Data 3</b>	2	2	2

- FCFS (First-Come-First-Serve):
  - *Observation:* FCFS performs better or the same as EP and RR in all the tests.
  - *Interpretation:* this is likely caused by, if a process with a longer burst time and also a long IO time arrives first, it will dominate the CPU, potentially causing other processes to wait for a significant amount of time
- EP (External Priority):
  - *Observation:* EP performs the worst but in some scenarios this is acceptable because the most important processes are run first.
  - *Interpretation:* This result was expected because the algorithm prioritizes some processes no matter what, not taking into account other processes.
- RR (Round Robin):
  - *Observation:* RR was between the two, it
  - *Interpretation:* my opinion is the best choice because it balances speed and fairness