Model Selection

Peter von Rohr

20.04.2020

Why

- Many predictor variables are available
- Are all of them `relevant`?
- What is the meaning of `relevant` in this context?

Example Dataset

Animal	Breast Circumference	Body Weight	RandPred
1	176	471	176
2	177	463	182
3	178	481	181
4	179	470	181
5	179	496	184
6	180	491	178
7	181	518	183
8	182	511	183
9	183	510	184
10	184	541	183

No Relevance of Predictors

Relevance of Predictors

Fitting a Regression Model

```
## 
## Call:
## lm(formula = `Body Weight` ~ RandPred, data = tbl_reg_aug)
## 
## Residuals:
##     Min      1Q  Median      3Q     Max 
## -34.736 -11.826   2.908  12.212  38.192 
## 
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -425.368    510.562  -0.833    0.429
## RandPred       5.072      2.813   1.803    0.109
## 
## Residual standard error: 22.24 on 8 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.289,  Adjusted R-squared:  0.2001 
## F-statistic: 3.252 on 1 and 8 DF,  p-value: 0.109
```

Fitting a Regression Model II

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = `Body Weight` ~ `Breast Circumference`, data = tbl_reg_aug)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min      1Q  Median      3Q     Max
## -17.3941  -6.5525 -0.0673  9.3707 13.2594
##
## Coefficients:
##                         Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)            -1065.115    255.483  -4.169 0.003126 **
## `Breast Circumference`     8.673      1.420   6.108 0.000287 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 11.08 on 8 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8234, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8014
## F-statistic: 37.31 on 1 and 8 DF,  p-value: 0.000287
```

Multiple Regression

```
## 
## Call:
## lm(formula = `Body Weight` ~ `Breast Circumference` + RandPred,
##     data = tbl_reg_aug)
## 
## Residuals:
##      Min      1Q   Median      3Q      Max
## -17.3828  -6.3801   0.0244   9.1781  13.4316
## 
## Coefficients:
##                         Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)           -1050.3159   303.7211  -3.458  0.01057 *
## `Breast Circumference`    8.8021     1.9101   4.608  0.00246 **
## RandPred                 -0.2093     1.8855  -0.111  0.91475
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 
## Residual standard error: 11.84 on 7 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8237, Adjusted R-squared:  0.7734
## F-statistic: 16.36 on 2 and 7 DF,  p-value: 0.002299
```

Which model is better?

Why not taking all predictors?

▶ Additional parameters must be estimated from data
▶ Predictive power decreased with too many predictors (cannot be shown for this data set, because too few data points)
▶ Bias-variance trade-off

Bias-variance trade-off

▶ Assume, we are looking for optimum prediction

$$s_i = \sum_{r=1}^{q} \hat{\beta}_{j_r} x_{ij_r}$$

with q relevant predictor variables

▶ Average mean squared error of prediction s_i

$$MSE = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[(m(x_i) - s_i)^2\right]$$

where $m(.)$ denotes the linear function of the unknown true model.

Bias-variance trade-off II

- MSE can be split into two parts

$$MSE = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(E\left[s_i \right] - m(x_i) \right)^2 + n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} var(s_i)$$

where $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(E\left[s_i \right] - m(x_i) \right)^2$ is called the squared **bias**

- Increasing q leads to reduced bias but increased variance ($var(s_i)$)
- Hence, find s_i such that MSE is minimal
- Problem: cannot compute MSE because $m(.)$ is not known

$\rightarrow$ estimate MSE

Mallows C_p statistic

- For a given model $\mathcal{M}$, $SSE(\mathcal{M})$ stands for the residual sum of squares.
- MSE can be estimated as

$$\widehat{MSE} = n^{-1}SSE(\mathcal{M}) - \hat{\sigma}^2 + 2\hat{\sigma}^2|\mathcal{M}|/n$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the estimate of the error variance of the full model, $SSE(\mathcal{M})$ is the residual sum of squares of the model $\mathcal{M}$, n is the number of observations and $|\mathcal{M}|$ stands for the number of predictors in $\mathcal{M}$

$$C_p(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{SSE(\mathcal{M})}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - n + 2|\mathcal{M}|$$

Searching The Best Model

- Exhaustive search over all sub-models might be too expensive
- For p predictors there are $2^p - 1$ sub-models
- With $p = 16$, we get 6.5535×10^4 sub-models

$\rightarrow$ step-wise approaches

Forward Selection

1. Start with smallest sub-model $\mathcal{M}_0$ as current model
2. Include predictor that reduces SSE the most to current model
3. Repeat step 2 until all predictors are chosen

$\rightarrow$ results in sequence $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \ldots$ of sub-models

4. Out of sequence of sub-models choose the one with minimal C_p

Backward Selection

1. Start with full model $\mathcal{M}_0$ as the current model
2. Exclude predictor variable that increases SSE the least from current model
3. Repeat step 2 until all predictors are excluded (except for intercept)

$\rightarrow$ results in sequence $\mathcal{M}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{M}_2 \supseteq \ldots$ of sub-models

4. Out of sequence choose the one with minimal C_p

Considerations

- Whenever possible, choose **backward** selection, because it leads to better results
- If $p \geq n$, only forward is possible, but then consider LASSO

Alternative Selection Criteria

- ▶ AIC or BIC, requires distributional assumptions.
- ▶ AIC is implemented in `MASS::stepAIC()`
- ▶ Adjusted R^2 is a measure of goodness of fit, but sometimes is not conclusive when comparing two models
- ▶ Try in exercise