

Moore-Pennrose Generalized Inverse

Peter von Rohr

11/27/2017

Disclaimer

This document collects a few facts about how to compute generalized inverses of real-valued matrices in general and in particular how to get to the unique Moore-Pennrose inverse of any matrix A using the singular value decomposition of A . The results shown here are linked to solving systems of linear equations that arise in the context of least squares estimation procedures.

Introduction

What is shown here comes out of a review study of the book (Searle 1971). Chapter 1 of (Searle 1971) reviews many aspect of generalized inverse matrices pointing out that the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse is unique for any given matrix A .

A Generalized Inverse

Definition

We start with the definition of a generalised inverse matrix G for any given matrix A . The definition is given as follows. Given any matrix A , a generalized inverse matrix G of A is defined as

$$AGA = A \tag{1}$$

Computation

One possible solution for G can be computed using the construction of a diagonal form of A . This diagonal form can be computed as

$$PAQ = \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} D_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

where D_r is a diagonal matrix of order r where r is the rank of A . From the above diagonal form (2), we can also see that

$$A = P^{-1}\Delta Q^{-1} \tag{3}$$

The inverse matrices P^{-1} and Q^{-1} exist, because matrices P and Q are matrices of elementary operations. The matrix Δ^{-} defined as

$$\Delta^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} D_r^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

is a generalized inverse of Δ satisfying the definition in (1). This is shown by writing the definition in (1) using the matrices Δ and Δ^{-} , as shown below.

$$\Delta\Delta^{-}\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} D_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_r^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \Delta$$

One possible instance of the matrix G can be computed as

$$G = Q\Delta^{-}P \quad (5)$$

The matrix G given in (6) is indeed a generalized inverse of A , because according to (1), (3) and (4), we can write

$$AGA = P^{-1}\Delta Q^{-1}Q\Delta^{-}PP^{-1}\Delta Q^{-1} = P^{-1}\Delta\Delta^{-}\Delta Q^{-1} = P^{-1}\Delta Q^{-1} = A \quad (6)$$

The results of (6) and (6) shows us how to come up with a generalized inverse G for any matrix A . Now the question is how to compute such a matrix G efficiently. For that reason it is well worth while to have a closer look at formula (3).

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

In (3) the matrix A is decomposed into the product of three matrices P^{-1} , Δ and Q^{-1} . What we know about the three matrices is that the matrix Δ is a diagonal matrix and that matrices P^{-1} and Q^{-1} are invertible. The structure of this decomposition is very similar to the **singular value decomposition** (SVD). The SVD of a matrix A is defined as

$$A = U * D * V^T \quad (7)$$

where D is a diagonal matrix and matrices U and V are orthogonal matrices. Orthogonal matrices are special because their transpose is equal to their inverse, hence $UU^T = U^TU = I$ and $VV^T = V^TV = I$. The diagonal elements in matrix D correspond to the so called singular values of matrix A .

Generalized inverse

When looking at the SVD in (7) and comparing that to the decomposition in (3), we can see that the former decomposition is a special case of the latter one. Hence, we can use the results of the SVD of A to compute the generalized inverse G . As shown in (6), the matrix G is

$$G = Q\Delta^{-}P$$

According to (4) Δ^{-} can be computed by inverting all the non-zero diagonal elements in Δ and Δ corresponds to the matrix D in the SVD of A . The matrices P and Q can also be taken from the SVD of A . The matrix P^{-1} in (3) corresponds to the matrix U in (7) and similarly the matrix Q^{-1} corresponds to the matrix V^T . Taking into account that matrices U and V are orthogonal, we can write

$$G = Q\Delta^{-}P = VD^{-}U^T \quad (8)$$

Properties

Using the fact that the SVD of any given matrix A is unique, the computed generalized inverse G in (8) should also be unique. The only unique generalized inverse is the Moore-Pennrose inverse which is what we found in (8). This needs to be verified.

An Example

We are given the matrix A as defined by the following R-statement.

```
A <- matrix(data = c(4,1,3, 1,1,1, 2,5,3), nrow = 3)
```

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We start by the SVD of A

```
tol = sqrt(.Machine$double.eps)
svd_A <- svd(A)
nz <- svd_A$d > tol * svd_A$d[1]
G = svd_A$v[, nz] %*% (t(svd_A$u[, nz]) / svd_A$d[nz])
```

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2105 & -0.1447 & 0.0921 \\ 0.0226 & 0.0113 & 0.0188 \\ -0.0752 & 0.2124 & 0.0207 \end{bmatrix}$$

Verifying whether the computed matrix G really is a generalized inverse can be done by

```
sum( abs(A %*% G %*% A - A) )
```

```
## [1] 8.881784e-15
```

The function `MASS::ginv` does the same computation as above which is much easier than what was shown above.

```
Ginv <- MASS::ginv(X = A)
```

$$G_{inv} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2105 & -0.1447 & 0.0921 \\ 0.0226 & 0.0113 & 0.0188 \\ -0.0752 & 0.2124 & 0.0207 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving Systems of Linear Equations

The reason why generalized inverses are important, because they play an important role in computing solutions to systems of consistent linear equations. A system of linear equations is consistent when every linear relationship between rows in the coefficient matrix is also present in the right-hand side vector. From this definition, it follows that only consistent equations do have solutions for the vector of unknowns.

Assume, we are given the following system of consistent equations

$$Ax = y$$

We want to find a matrix G for which we can write

$$x = Gy$$

in order to get to the vector x of unknowns. Theorem 1 in (Searle 1971) states that $x = Gy$ is a solution to $Ax = y$, if and only if (iff) $AGA = A$.

The proof given in (Searle 1971) proceeds as follows. If $Ax = y$ is consistent and has solutions $x = Gy$, we consider the equations

$$Ax = a_j$$

where a_j is the j -th column of A . The system $Ax = a_j$ has a solution, namely the null vector with element $x[j] = 1$, hence the system is consistent. Furthermore, since consistent equations $Ax = y$ all have a solution $x = Gy$, we can write

$$x = Ga_j$$

Pre-multiplying both sides of the above equation with A and using the above specification of the system of equations, leads to

$$Ax = AGa_j = a_j$$

This is true for all columns a_j of A and hence $AGA = A$.

Conversely, if $AGA = A$, then $AGAx = Ax$ and when $Ax = y$, then $AGy = y$ and $A(Gy) = y$, hence

$$x = Gy$$

is a solution of $Ax = y$. Because the matrix G is not required to be unique, but is just one possible generalized inverse, the above shown solution for x is also not unique.

Theorem 2 in (Searle 1971) gives all solutions \tilde{x} for $A\tilde{x} = y$ as

$$\tilde{x} = Gy - (GA - I)z$$

for an arbitrary vector z . The proof for this is obtained by pre-multiplying the above equation with A yielding

$$A\tilde{x} = AGy - (AGA - A)z$$

because $AGA = A$ and by Theorem 1 $\tilde{x} = Gy$ is a solution, Theorem 2 holds.

Least Squares

In simple fixed linear models $y = Xb + e$ with $Var(y) = I\sigma^2$, estimates \hat{b} for the unknown parameter vector b are obtained by

$$(X^T X)\hat{b} = X^T y$$

In general, matrix X does not have full column rank and hence $(X^T X)$ is singular and cannot be inverted. Using the result of Theorem 1 in (Searle 1971), we can still write one solution for \hat{b} as

$$\hat{b} = (X^T X)^- X^T y$$

(Searle 1971) shows a list of useful properties of generalized inverses of symmetric matrices such as $(X^T X)$

References

Searle, S.R. 1971. *Linear Models*. Wiley Classics.