

**Branch:** `main`

**Date:** December 7-8, 2024

**Hardware:** 8× NVIDIA H100 80GB HBM3 (via DistributedDataParallel)

**Objective:** Rigorously test whether Moderate LMD ( $\beta=0.98 \rightarrow 0.90$ ) significantly improves training performance compared to baseline, and conduct ablation study to verify that benefits arise from layer-wise scheduling rather than global momentum values.

## Experimental Design

Based on preliminary sweep results showing Moderate configuration as the most promising LMD variant, we conducted a focused three-way comparison: Baseline vs. Moderate LMD vs. Ablation controls (Fixed High/Low). This phase used 8 GPUs to increase throughput and enable more rigorous statistical testing.

### Primary Comparison:

- **Baseline:** Uniform momentum  $\beta=0.95$  across all 12 layers
- **Moderate LMD:** Linear momentum  $\beta=0.98$  (layer 0)  $\rightarrow \beta=0.90$  (layer 11)

### Ablation Study:

- **Fixed High:** Uniform momentum  $\beta=0.98$  (tests if 0.98 globally is better than 0.95)
- **Fixed Low:** Uniform momentum  $\beta=0.90$  (tests if 0.90 globally is better than 0.95)

### Training details:

- Model: NanoGPT
- Optimizer: Muon (MomentUm Orthogonalized by Newton-Schulz) for transformer blocks, AdamW for output head
- Dataset: FineWeb-10B
- Iterations: 5,100 steps
- Global batch size: 512 (64 per GPU × 8 GPUs)
- Learning rate: 0.0036 (base), 0.00036 (Muon blocks)
- Validation frequency: Every 125 steps

### Sample sizes achieved:

- Baseline:  $n=2$
- Moderate:  $n=4$
- Fixed High:  $n=1$
- Fixed Low:  $n=1$

*Note: Originally planned for  $n=10$  per primary condition, but completed  $n=2$  baseline and  $n=4$  moderate due to compute budget constraints (~\$80 GPU cost). Ablations received  $n=1$  each as they served validation rather than primary hypothesis testing.*

## Log Files

Configuration	Seeds	Log Files
Baseline	92605, 923	<a href="#">baseline_seed92605_c2411477.txt</a>
Moderate	23513, 38685, 62289, 32437	<a href="#">moderate_seed23513_7b39b493.txt</a> <a href="#">moderate_seed38685_6c71abb2.txt</a> <a href="#">moderate_seed62289_fcce204b.txt</a>
Fixed High	67440	<a href="#">fixed_high_seed67440_cce03dba.txt</a>
Fixed Low	2075	<a href="#">fixed_low_seed2075_4cecc575.txt</a>

### Code:

- [train\\_gpt\\_final.py](#) - Main training script with layer-wise momentum implementation
- [train\\_gpt.py](#) - Original training script (baseline)
- [main.ipynb](#) - Analysis notebook for processing results and generating figures

## Results Summary

### Primary Comparison: Baseline vs. Moderate

#### Final Validation Loss:

- Baseline:  $3.2930 \pm 0.0022$  (n=2), range [3.2914, 3.2945]
- Moderate:  $3.3041 \pm 0.0029$  (n=4), range [3.3007, 3.3077]
- **Difference:** +0.0111 (+0.34% worse for Moderate)
- **Statistical test:**  $t=-4.72$ ,  $p=0.009 \rightarrow$  **Statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ )**
- **Conclusion:** Moderate LMD significantly degrades final validation loss

#### Training Time:

- Baseline:  $817.42 \pm 10.53$  s (n=2), range [810.0, 824.9]
- Moderate:  $808.59 \pm 61.93$  s (n=4), range [723.4, 870.1]
- **Difference:** -8.83 s (-1.09% nominally faster for Moderate)
- **Statistical test:**  $t=0.19$ ,  $p=0.859 \rightarrow$  **Not statistically significant**
- **Conclusion:** No reliable speed difference between configurations

### Ablation Study: Fixed High vs. Fixed Low vs. Baseline

#### Final Validation Loss:

- Baseline:  $3.2930 \pm 0.0022$  (n=2)
- Fixed High ( $\beta=0.98$ ): 3.3027 (n=1)
- Fixed Low ( $\beta=0.90$ ): 3.2994 (n=1)

**Training Time:**

- Baseline:  $817.42 \pm 10.53$  s (n=2)
- Fixed High: 824.76 s (n=1)
- Fixed Low: 827.23 s (n=1)