6.009 Tutorial 7 -- Who's Got Talent?

Introduction

We are auditioning candidates for a show called "Who's got Talent?" Each candidate is capable of performing a set of talents. Some candidates might be capable of performing multiple talents (e.g., Singing, Dancing, Humor, etc.), while others might be able to perform only one or none at all. In this lab you will write an algorithm for selecting which candidates to perform on the show. Every selected candidate will perform all of his or her talents. The producer has given you two constraints.

First, the producer wants "Who's Got Talent?" to draw a wide audience -- this means incorporating a wide range of talents into the show. You will be given a set of talents that the producer cares about. Every one of these talents must be performed in the show.

Second, the producer wants to limit costs, which are proportional to the number of performers. You need to select as few performers as possible.

Thus your ultimate goal is to select the fewest possible number of performers, while still ensuring that every talent that the producer cares about will be performed.

Problem Setup -- with an Example

There are multiple ways to represent our universe of candidates and talents. For your convenience we provide two list-oflist representations, candidate_to_talents and talent_to_candidates. candidate_to_talents[c] is the list of talents that Candidate c is able to perform. On the other hand, talent_to_candidates[t] is the list of candidates who are able to perform Talent t. For convenience, we assume that the producer wants to include every talent in the show. (This is not much of an assumption, because otherwise we could define our universe to only include the talents that the producer cares about.)

For example, consider the following candidate-talent universe, where "x" denotes the ability of a candidate:

Candidate	Talent 0	Talent 1	Talent 2	Talent 3
Candidate 0	х	х	х	х
Candidate 1		х		х
Candidate 2		х	х	

In our representation, candidate_to_talents is the following list of lists:

```
candidate_to_talents[0] = [0, 1, 2, 3]
candidate_to_talents[1] = [1, 3]
candidate_to_talents[2] = [1, 2]
```

Similarly, talent to candidates is the following list of lists:

```
talent_to_candidates[0] = [0]
talent_to_candidates[1] = [0, 1, 2]
talent_to_candidates[2] = [0, 2]
talent_to_candidates[3] = [0, 1]
```

Implementation -- select_candidates

Inputs

- num candidates: number of candidates; candidates are numbered 0 to (num candidates 1)
- num_talents: number of talents; talents are numbered 0 to (num_talents 1)
- candidate_to_talents: list of lists; candidates_to_talents[c] is a list of talents that Candidate c is able to perform
- talent to candidates: list of lists; talent to candidates[t] is a list of candidates who are able to perform Talent t

Output

• list of candidate indices, of minimal length to cover the talents. If no solution exists, return an empty list [].

Brute Force Approach

Idea: try *all* combinations of candidates, and pick the one that covers all of the talents, with the smallest number of candidates.

```
In [2]: def select candidates(num candidates, num talents,
                               candidate to talents, talent to candidates):
            # use sets for fast intersections and differences
            c_to_t = [set(candidate_to_talents[c]) for c in range(num_candidates)]
            # does set of candidates cover all the talents?
            def covers(cset):
                talents = set()
                for c in cset:
                    talents.update(c_to_t[c])
                return len(talents) == num_talents
            call count = 0
                              #just instrumentation
            # look at all possible candidate sets, and pick best (smallest) one
            all_candidate_sets = all_subsets(list(range(num_candidates)))
            best = None
            best count = num candidates + 1
            for cset in all_candidate_sets:
                call_count += 1
                 if covers(cset):
                    if len(cset) < best_count:</pre>
                         best count = len(cset)
                        best = list(cset)
            print("num_candidates:", num_candidates, "; cases considered:", call_count, "; best:", best)
            return best or []
```

```
In [3]: # yield all subset of list L; all elements of L assume to be unique

def all_subsets(L):
    if len(L) == 0:
        yield set()
    else:
        first = set([L[0]])
        for s in all_subsets(L[1:]):
            yield s
            yield first | s
```

```
In [4]: list(all_subsets([1,2,3]))
Out[4]: [set(), {1}, {2}, {1, 2}, {3}, {1, 3}, {2, 3}, {1, 2, 3}]
```

```
In [5]: def get_matrix(num_candidates, num_talents,
                        candidate to talents, talent to candidates):
             return [[1 if t in candidate_to_talents[c] else 0 \
                      for t in range(num_talents)] for c in range(num_candidates)]
In [6]: def print matrix(m):
             print("
                                  Talents ->")
             for r in range(len(m)):
                 print("Candidate {0:2d}:".format(r), m[r])
         Our Example
In [7]: num_candidates = 3
         num talents = 4
         candidate_to_talents = [[0, 1, 2, 3], [1, 3], [1, 2]]
         talent_to_candidates = [[0], [0, 1, 2], [0, 2], [0, 1]]
         print_matrix(get_matrix(num_candidates, num_talents,
                                 candidate to talents, talent to candidates))
                       Talents ->
         Candidate 0: [1, 1, 1, 1]
         Candidate 1: [0, 1, 0, 1]
         Candidate 2: [0, 1, 1, 0]
In [8]: select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                           candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates)
         num_candidates: 3 ; cases considered: 8 ; best: [0]
Out[8]: [0]
         Test 1: Small, Simple Case
In [9]: num candidates = 3
         num talents = 3
         candidate_to_talents = [[0], [1], [2]]
         talent_to_candidates = [[0], [1], [2]]
         print_matrix(get_matrix(num_candidates, num_talents,
                                 candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates))
                       Talents ->
         Candidate 0: [1, 0, 0]
         Candidate 1: [0, 1, 0]
         Candidate 2: [0, 0, 1]
In [10]: select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                           candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates)
         num_candidates: 3 ; cases considered: 8 ; best: [0, 1, 2]
Out[10]: [0, 1, 2]
```

Test 2: Large, Simple Case

```
In [11]: | num_candidates = 10
         num_talents = 10
         candidate_to_talents = [[0], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8],
                                 [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]]
         talent_to_candidates = [[0, 9], [1, 9], [2, 9], [3, 9], [4, 9], [5, 9],
                                 [6, 9], [7, 9], [8, 9], [9]]
         print_matrix(get_matrix(num_candidates, num_talents,
                                 candidate to talents, talent to candidates))
                       Talents ->
         Candidate 0: [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 1: [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 2: [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 3: [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 4: [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 5: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 6: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 7: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0]
         Candidate 8: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]
         Candidate 9: [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]
In [12]: select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                           candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates)
         num_candidates: 10 ; cases considered: 1024 ; best: [9]
Out[12]: [9]
In [13]: print(2**num_candidates)
         1024
         Test 3: No Solution case
In [14]: | num_candidates = 4
         num_talents = 5
         candidate_to_talents = [[0], [1], [2], [3]]
         talent_to_candidates = [[0], [1], [2], [3], []]
         print_matrix(get_matrix(num_candidates, num_talents,
                                 candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates))
                       Talents ->
         Candidate 0: [1, 0, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 1: [0, 1, 0, 0, 0]
         Candidate 2: [0, 0, 1, 0, 0]
         Candidate 3: [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]
In [15]: | select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                           candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates)
         print(2**num_candidates)
         num_candidates: 4 ; cases considered: 16 ; best: None
```

Test 6: Non-Greedy case

```
Talents ->
Candidate 0: [1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 1: [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0]
Candidate 2: [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1]
Candidate 3: [1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0]
```

If we take Candidate 3, we'll *still* need the other three to cover all of the talents. So a 'greedy' approach successively grabbing the candidate with the most talent until all talents are filled would fail to find the smallest group of candidates...

Test 7: Big Non-Greedy case

```
Talents ->
Candidate 0: [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 1: [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 2: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 3: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 4: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 8: [0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 9: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 10: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0]
Candidate 11: [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 12: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 13: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 14: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 15: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]
Candidate 16: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0]
```

Candidates 1 through 6 cover our talents; but if we take Candidate 0, we still need Candidates 1 through 6. So our algorithm should decline to take Candidate 0...

```
num_candidates: 17 ; cases considered: 131072 ; best: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] 131072
```

Better version

In the brute force approach, we consider *every* grouping of candidates. But what if the very first candidate satisties all of the talents? That would mean a lot of wasted work to look at all the other cases!

More generally, we'd like a better version of select_candidates that doesn't waste time on cases that can't be better than the best we've found so far -- i.e., if we're just adding candidates to the chosen list, but the chosen list being considered is already larger in size than the best previously found best list of candidates.

```
In [20]: def select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                               candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates):
             global call count
             call_count = 0
             # use sets for fast intersections and differences
             c_to_t = [set(candidate_to_talents[c]) for c in range(num_candidates)]
             # return best (smallest) set of candidates that provide the needed talents
             # needed_talents = set of talents needed
             # available = list of available candidates
             # chosen = list of candidates chosen so far
             # best = best solution found so far (None == no solution)
             #@instrument
             def helper(needed talents, available, chosen, best):
                 global call_count
                 call_count += 1
                 # have we found a solution? [base case]
                 if len(needed talents) == 0:
                     return chosen if best is None or len(chosen) < len(best) else best
                 # optimization #1: we already know a better solution
                 if best is not None and len(chosen) >= len(best): return best
                 # optimization #2: only consider candidates with at least one needed talent
                 available = [c for c in available if c_to_t[c] & needed_talents]
                 # are we out of candidates? [base case]
                 if len(available) == 0: return best
                 # see which works best: either using the first candidate or not
                 first = available[0] # trial candidate
                 rest = available[1:] # remaining candidates
                 # try solution without using trail candidate [recursive case]
                 if rest:
                     best = helper(needed_talents, rest, chosen, best)
                 # try solution using trial candidate [recursive case]
                 best = helper(needed_talents - c_to_t[first], rest, chosen + [first], best)
                 return best
             # initially we need all the talents, all candidates are available,
             # no one has been chosen, and we have no best solution
             needed talents = set(range(num talents))
             available = list(range(num candidates))
             result = helper(needed_talents, available, [], None)
             print("num_candidates:", num_candidates, "; num recursive calls:", call_count, "; best:", result
             # put result in desired form
             return result or []
```

Now we can go back and run our test cases... and see if they indeed require looking at fewer combinations of candidates!

Test 1: Small, Simple Case (revisited, showing recursion call structure)

Some additional things to try

- See how the recursion progresses: try with instrumented version (uncomment @instrument)
- Change the order of try solution with and without trial candidate; how does that change the recursion?
- · What is the complexity of the search?
- How might we implement a breadth first search (i.e., to try all single candidate choices, followed by all twocandidate choices, etc.)?

Brute force breadth-first

```
In [23]: | ## Try sets of candidates in order of size of sets (smaller sets first).
         ## By definition, the first one we find will be minimal and be a best result.
         def bfbf_select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                                 candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates):
             # use sets for fast intersections and differences
             c_to_t = [set(candidate_to_talents[c]) for c in range(num_candidates)]
             def covers(candidates):
                 talents = set()
                 for c in candidates:
                     talents.update(c_to_t[c])
                 return len(talents) == num_talents
             candidates = list(range(num_candidates))
             case count = 0
             for size in range(1,num candidates+1):
                 for candidate_set in all_subsets_of_size(candidates, size):
                     case_count += 1
                     if covers(candidate set):
                          print("num_candidates:", num_candidates, " num cases:", case_count, "best:", candida
                          return list(candidate_set)
             print("num_candidates:", num_candidates, " num cases:", case_count, "best:", [])
             return []
```

```
In [24]: # yield all subsets of L equal in size to size
    def all_subsets_of_size(L, size):
        pass # Left as exercise for the reader

#print(list(all_subsets_of_size([1,2,3], 2)))
#[{2, 3}, {1, 3}, {1, 2}]
```

This above is conceptually simple... but is still somewhat slow. The timing test below can be used to quantify (and compare to our other implementations).

Why is this somewhat slow? We look at reasonable numbers of combinations, but we've ignored the cost of creating the combinations (using all_subsets or all_subsets_of_size). In this case, rebuilding sets of different sizes from scratch on each set size iteration can be costly. It also recalculates talent coverage for each new candidate set from scratch.

So we might want to explore ways to speed those up, or better yet, to structure a select_candidates recursion that builds candidate cases from smaller to larger.

```
In [25]: import time
         num candidates = 17
         num_talents = 18
         candidate_to_talents = [[0, 3, 6], [3, 4, 5], [6, 7, 8], [9, 10, 11],
                                 [12, 13, 14], [15, 16, 17], [0, 1, 2], [17],
                                 [1, 4], [7, 10], [13, 16], [2], [5], [8], [11],
                                 [14], [9, 12, 15]]
         talent_to_candidates = [[0, 6], [6, 8], [6, 11], [0, 1], [1, 8], [1, 12],
                                 [0, 2], [2, 9], [2, 13], [3, 16], [3, 9], [3, 14],
                                 [4, 16], [4, 10], [4, 15], [5, 6], [5, 10], [5, 7]]
         start = time.time()
         select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                            candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates)
         end = time.time()
         print("time:", end-start, "sec")
         print(2**num candidates)
         num_candidates: 17; num recursive calls: 21360; best: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
         time: 0.06122636795043945 sec
         131072
In [26]: # BIG CASE for timing -- need ALL candidates
         import time
         num_candidates = 20
         num_talents = num_candidates
         candidate_to_talents = [[c] for c in range(num_candidates)]
         talent_to_candidates = [[t, num_candidates] for t in range(num_talents)]
         #print_matrix(get_matrix(num_candidates, num_talents,
                                   candidate to talents, talent to candidates))
         start = time.time()
         select_candidates(num_candidates, num_talents,
                            candidate_to_talents, talent_to_candidates)
         end = time.time()
         print("time:", end-start, "sec")
         print(2**num_candidates)
         num_candidates: 20; num recursive calls: 1572863; best: [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 1
         2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]
         time: 2.5596039295196533 sec
         1048576
In [ ]:
```