

## **Group 3**

### **User Interface document**

I do like the style of your interface. This idea of old parchment is quite interesting. What is a little strange is that the different pages seem to be different sizes - I wonder how that will look if the window changes size all the time.

Slide 3 -you show Lesson 4 locked and lessons 5 and 6 open. I don't think that should happen - the lessons need to be done in order. Once you have passed a lesson, failing a retaken test should not lock it again.

Slide 5 is not as good as it should be - all the lessons would be too long to fit on this, so they would need some kind of scrolling, so you should show how that would be done.

Does slide 7 show all answers (in which case you need more on slide) or only wrong answers - in which case you need to give some indication that these are wrong answers.

You don't say anything about FR7.

### **Test Specification**

Your test reference numbers are wrong - you have made them match the FR numbers - in fact they should each be named separately, so that you can refer to the test later. So, for example, the 8 tests on the first page of tests should be called TS1, TS2, ... TS8.

Your third test is not very clear "Choose from the record". What record? Choose what? Ideally this would have come up in review. As someone other than the writer tried to understand what was meant, they would get the writer to clarify it. Your other problem is that you don't have an existing record. You need either to do tests in a different order (so that there is "the record", or you need to have an appendix saying how to create it.

Fifth test says "create a new account and log in" - you need to say what the account name is.

Sixth test needs some setup, as does ninth test.

Last test in section 5 is not repeatable - what word do you want to add. How is it done?- you have a problem here because your UI document does not tell you.

Section 8 - you test that the score is correct answer \* 10. The requirement talks about "8 out of 10": why have you changed this?

As all of the requirements are not tested in order, it is hard to see whether all requirements are properly covered. I think that means you need another table, which shows which tests cover FR1, which tests cover FR2, etc.

### **Design Specification**

Your document change history says this is version 1.2. Front page and header says v0.1. They should match, and the status should be Released.

The decomposition in the component diagram looks very sensible - there is a hierarchy of calls that matched the screens to some extent. I quite like the sequence diagrams as well. You also told me how you are storing and retrieving data. No sign of how you intend to implement FR7 though.