

Math 206A Probability: Chapter 2 Laws of Large Numbers written by Rick Durrett

This article is transcribed by Charlie Seager

January 29, 2024

Chapter 2.1 Independence

Theorem 2.1.1

- (i) If X and Y are independent then $\sigma(X)$ and $\sigma(Y)$ are
- (ii) Conversely, if \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are independent, $X \in \mathcal{F}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{G}$ then X and Y are independent.

Theorem 2.1.2 (i) If A and B are independent then so are A^c and B , A and B^c , and A^c and B^c .

- (ii) Conversely events A and B are independent if and only if their indicator random variables 1_A and 1_B are independent.

Theorem 2.1.3 Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be independent.

- (i) A_1^c, A_2, \dots, A_n are independent;
- (ii) $1_{A_1}, \dots, 1_{A_n}$ are independent.

Chapter 2.1.1 Sufficient Conditions for Independence

Lemma 2.1.5 Without loss of generality we can suppose A_i contains Ω . In this case the condition is equivalent to

$$P(\cap_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(A_i) \quad \text{whenever } A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$$

since we can set $A_i = \Omega$ for $i \notin I$.

Theorem 2.1.6 $\pi - \lambda$ Theorem. If \mathcal{P} is a π -system and \mathcal{L} is a λ -system that contains \mathcal{P} then $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 2.1.7 Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ are independent and each \mathcal{A}_i is a π -system. Then $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1), \sigma(\mathcal{A}_2), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_n)$ are independent.

Theorem 2.1.8 In order for X_1, \dots, X_n to be independent, it is sufficient that for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in (-\infty, \infty]$

$$P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i)$$

Theorem 2.1.9 Suppose $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m(i)$ are independent and let $\mathcal{G}_i = \sigma(\cup_j \mathcal{F}_{i,j})$. Then $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$ are independent.

Theorem 2.1.10 If for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m(i)$, $X_{i,j}$ are independent and $\{f_i : R^{m(i)} \rightarrow R \text{ are measurable}\}$ then $f_i(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,m(i)})$ are independent.

Chapter 2.1.2 Independence, Distribution and Expectation

Theorem 2.1.11 Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variable and X_i has distribution μ_i , then (X_1, \dots, X_n) has distribution $\mu_1 x \dots x \mu_n$.

Theorem 2.1.12 Suppose X and Y are independent and have distributions μ and ν . If $h : R^2 \rightarrow R$ is a measurable function with $h \geq 0$ or $E|h(X, Y)| < \infty$ then

$$Eh(X, Y) = \int \int h(x, y) \mu(dx) \nu(dy)$$

In particular, if $h(x, y) = f(x)g(y)$ where $f, g : R \rightarrow R$ are measurable functions with $f, g \geq 0$ or $E|f(X)|$ and $E|g(Y)| < \infty$ then

$$Ef(X)g(Y) = Ef(X) \cdot Eg(Y)$$

Theorem 2.1.13 If $X_1 \dots X_n$ are independent and have (a) $X_i \geq 0$ for all i , or (b) $E|X_i| < \infty$ for all i then

$$E(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n EX_i$$

i.e. the expectation on the left exists and has the value given on the right.

Chapter 2.1.3 Sums of Independent Random Variables Theorem

2.1.15 If X and Y are independent, $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$, and $G(y) = P(Y \leq y)$, then

$$P(X + Y \leq z) = \int F(z - y) dG(y)$$

The integral on the right hand side is called the convolution of F and G and is denoted $F * G(z)$. The meaning of $dG(y)$ will be explained in the proof.

Theorem 2.1.16 Suppose that X and Y with distribution function G are independent. Then $X + Y$ has density

$$h(x) = \int f(x - y) dG(y)$$

When Y has density g , the last formula can be written as

$$h(x) = \int f(x - y) g(y) dy$$

Theorem 2.1.18 If $X = \text{gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ and $Y = \text{gamma}(\beta, \lambda)$ are independent then $X + Y$ is $\text{gamma}(\alpha + \beta, \lambda)$. Consequently if X_1, \dots, X_n are independent exponential(λ) r.v.'s then $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ has a $\text{gamma}(n, \lambda)$ distribution.

Theorem 2.1.20 If $X = \text{normal}(\mu, a)$ and $Y = \text{normal}(\nu, b)$ are independent then $X + Y = \text{normal}(\mu + \nu, a + b)$.

Chapter 2.1.4 Constructing Independent Random Variables

Theorem 2.1.21 Kolmogorov's extension theorem Suppose we are given probability measures μ_n on (R^n, \mathcal{R}^n) that are consistent that is,

$$\mu_{n+1}((a_1, b_1]x \dots x(a_n, b_n]xR) = \mu_n((a_1, b_1]x \dots x(a_n, b_n])$$

Then there is a unique probability measure P on (R^N, \mathcal{R}^N) with

$$P(\omega : \omega_i \in (a_i, b_i], 1 \leq i \leq n) = \mu_n((a_1, b_1]x \dots x(a_n, b_n])$$

Theorem 2.1.22 If S is a Borel subset of a complete separable metric space M , and \mathcal{S} is the collection of Borel subsets of S , then (S, \mathcal{S}) is nice.

Chapter 2.2 Weak Laws of Large Numbers

Chapter 2.2.1 L^2 Weak Laws

Theorem 2.2.1 Let X_1, \dots, X_n have $E(X_i^2) < \infty$ and be uncorrelated. Then

$$\text{var}(X_1 + \cdots + X_n) = \text{var}(X_1) + \cdots + \text{var}(X_n)$$

where $\text{var}(Y)$ = the variance of Y .

Lemma 2.2.2 If $p > 0$ and $E|Z_n|^p \rightarrow 0$ then $Z_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

Theorem 2.2.3 L^2 weak law Let X_1, X_2, \dots be uncorrelated random variables with $EX_i = \mu$ and $\text{var}(X_i) \leq C < \infty$. If $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$ in L^2 and in probability.

Chapter 2.2.2 Triangular Arrays

Theorem 2.2.6 Let $\mu_n = ES_n$, $\sigma_n^2 = \text{var}(S_n)$. If $\sigma_n^2/b_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ then

$$\frac{S_n - \mu_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability}$$

Lemma 2.2.9 $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,n}$ are independent and $P(X_{n,j} = 1) = \frac{1}{n-j+1}$

Chapter 2.2.3 Truncation

Theorem 2.2.11 Weak law for triangular arrays For each n let $X_{n,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ be independent. Let $b_n > 0$ with $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ and let $X_{n,k} = X_{n,k}1(|X_{n,k}| \leq b_n)$. Suppose that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n P(|X_{n,k}| > b_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & b_n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n EX_{n,k}^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

If we let $S_n = X_{n,1} + \cdots + X_{n,n}$ and put $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n EX_{n,k}$ then

$$(S_n - a_n)/b_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability}$$

Theorem 2.2.12 Weak Law of Large Numbers Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with

$$xP(|X_i| > x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

Lemma 2.2.13 If $Y \geq 0$ and $p > 0$ then $E(Y^p) = \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}P(Y > y)dy$

Theorem 2.2.14 Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $E|X_i| < \infty$. Let $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and let $\mu = EX_1$. Then $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$ in probability.

Chapter 2.3 Borel-Cantelli Lemmas

Theorem 2.3.1 Borel-Cantelli Lemma If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(A_n) < \infty$ then

$$P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

Theorem 2.3.2 $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability if and only if for every subsequence $X_{n(m)}$ there is a further subsequence $X_{n(m_k)}$ that converges almost surely to X .

Theorem 2.3.3 Let y_n be a sequence of elements of a topological space. If every subsequence $y_{n(m)}$ has a further subsequence $y_{n(m_k)}$ that converges to y then $y_n \rightarrow y$

Theorem 2.3.4 If f is continuous and $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability then $f(X_n) \rightarrow f(X)$ in probability. If, in addition, f is bounded then $Ef(X_n) \rightarrow Ef(X)$.

Theorem 2.3.5 Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $EX_i = \mu$ and $EX_i^4 < \infty$. If $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ then $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$ a.s.

Theorem 2.3.7 The second Borel-Cantelli lemma If the events A_n are independent then $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$ implies $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$

Theorem 2.3.8 If X_1, X_2, \dots , are i.i.d. with $E|X_i| = \infty$ then $P(|X_n| \geq n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$. So if $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ then $P(\lim S_n/n \text{ exists}) = 0$

Theorem 2.3.9 If A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise independent and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{m=1}^n 1A_m / \sum_{m=1}^n P(A_m) \rightarrow 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

Theorem 2.3.11 If $R_n = \sum_{m=1}^n 1A_m$ is the number of records at time n then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$R_n / \log n \rightarrow 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

Chapter 2.4 Strong Law of Large Numbers

Theorem 2.4.1 Strong law of large numbers. Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be pairwise independent identically distributed random variables with $E|X_i| < \infty$. Let $EX_i = \mu$ and $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Then $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Lemma 2.4.2 Let $Y_k = X_k 1_{(|X_k| \leq k)}$ and $T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$. It is sufficient to prove that $T_n/n \rightarrow \mu$ a.s.

Lemma 2.4.3 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$.

Lemma 2.4.4 If $y \geq 0$ then $2y \sum_{k>y} k^{-2} \leq 4$

Theorem 2.4.5 Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be i.i.d. with $EX_i^+ = \infty$ and $EX_i^- < \infty$. If $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ then $S_n/n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s.

Theorem 2.4.7 If $EX_1 = \mu \leq \infty$ then as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$N_t/t \rightarrow 1/\mu \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (1/\infty = 0)$$

Theorem 2.4.9 The Glivenko-Cantelli theorem As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sup_x |F_n(x) - F(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Chapter 2.5 Convergence of Random Series*

Theorem 2.5.3 Komogorov's 0-1 law If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $A \in \mathcal{T}$ then $P(A) = 0$ or 1 .

Theorem 2.5.4 Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law. If X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. and $A \in \epsilon$ then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$

Theorem 2.5.5 Komogorov's maximal inequality. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with $EX_i = 0$ and $\text{var}(X_i) < \infty$. If $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ then

$$P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq x) \leq x^{-2} \text{var}(S_n)$$

Theorem 2.5.6 Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and have $EX_n = 0$. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{var}(X_n) < \infty$$

then with probability one $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n(\omega)$ converges.

Theorem 2.5.8 Kolmogorov's three-series theorem Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent. Let $A > 0$ and let $Y_i = X_i 1_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. In order that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ converges a.s. it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty, (ii) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EY_n \text{ converges, and } (iii) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{var}(Y_n) < \infty$$

Theorem 2.5.9 Kronecker's lemma If $a_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n/a_n$ converges then

$$a_n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^n x_m \rightarrow 0$$

Theorem 2.5.10 The strong law of large numbers Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables with $E|X_i| < \infty$. Let $EX_i = \mu$ and $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Then $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Chapter 2.5.1 Rates of Convergence

Theorem 2.5.11 Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables with $EX_i = 0$ and $EX_i^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. If $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$S_n/n^{1/2}(\log n)^{1/2+\epsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Theorem 2.5.12 Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $EX_1 = 0$ and $E|X_1|^p < \infty$ where $1 < p < 2$. If $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ then $S_n/n^{1/p} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Chapter 2.5.2 Infinite Mean

Theorem 2.5.13 Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $E|X_1| = \infty$ and let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Let a_n be a sequence of positive numbers with a_n/n increasing. Then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n|/a_n = 0$ or ∞ according as $\sum_n P(|X_1| \geq a_n) < \infty$ or $= \infty$

Chapter 2.6 Renewal Theorem*

Theorem 2.6.1 As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $N_t/t \rightarrow 1/\mu$ a.s. where $\mu = E\xi_i \in (0, \infty]$ and $1/\infty = 0$

Theorem 2.6.2 Wald's equation. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $E|X_i| < \infty$. If N is a stopping time with $EN < \infty$ then $ES_N = EX_1 EN$.

Theorem 2.6.3 As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $U(t)/t \rightarrow 1/\mu$

Theorem 2.6.4 Blackwell's renewal theorem If F is nonarithmetic then

$$U([t, t+h]) \rightarrow h/\mu \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

Theorem 2.6.9 If h is bounded then the function

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h(t-s)dU(s)$$

is the unique solution of the renewal equation that is bounded on bounded intervals.

Theorem 2.6.12 The renewal theorem If F is nonarithmetic and h is directly Riemann integrable then as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$H(t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} h(s)ds$$

Lemma 2.6.13 If $h(x) \geq 0$ is decreasing with $h(0) < \infty$ and $\int_0^{\infty} h(x)dx < \infty$, then h is directly Riemann integrable.

Chapter 2.7 Large Deviations*

Lemma 2.7.1 If $\gamma_{m+n} \geq \gamma_m + \gamma_n$ then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\gamma_n/n \rightarrow \sup_m \gamma_m/m$.

Lemma 2.7.2 If $a > \mu$ and $\theta > 0$ is small then $a\theta - k(\theta) > 0$

Theorem 2.7.7 Suppose in addition to (H1) and (H2) that there is $\theta_a \in (0, \theta_+)$ so that $a = \varphi'(\theta_a)/\varphi(\theta_a)$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$n^{-1} \log P(S_n \geq na) \rightarrow -a\theta_a + \log \varphi(\theta_a)$$

Lemma 2.7.8 $\frac{dF^n}{dF_\lambda^n} = e^{-\lambda x} \varphi(\lambda)^n$

Theorem 2.7.9 Suppose $x_o = \sup\{x : F(x) < 1\} < \infty$ and F is not a point mass at x_o . $\phi(\theta) < \infty$ for all $\theta > 0$ and $\phi'(\theta)/\phi(\theta) \rightarrow x_o$ as $\theta \uparrow \infty$

Theorem 2.7.10 Suppose $x_o = \infty$, $\theta_+ < \infty$, and $\varphi'(\theta)/\varphi(\theta)$ increases to a finite limit a_0 as $\theta \uparrow \theta_+$. If $a_0 \leq a < \infty$

$$n^{-1} \log P(S_n \geq na) \rightarrow -a\theta_+ + \log \varphi(\theta_+)$$

i.e. $\gamma(a)$ is linear for $a \geq a_0$.