

Chase Holland
10/15/23

> #Question A

```
> pivottable<- ftable(predict_tdata$Personal.Loan, predict_tdata$Online, predict_tdata$CreditCard, dnn=c('Personal.loan','CreditCard','Online') .... [TRUNCATED])
```

```
> pivottable
              Online      0      1
Personal.loan CreditCard
0              0          791    310
              1          1144   467
1              0           79     33
              1          125     51
```

> #Question B

```
> prob.cust<-pivottable[4,2]/(pivottable[2,2]+pivottable[4,2])
```

```
> prob.cust
[1] 0.0984556
```

> #Question C

```
> pivottable1<- ftable(predict_tdata$Personal.Loan,predict_tdata$Online,dnn=c('Personal.loan','Online'))
```

```
> pivottable1
              Online      0      1
Personal.loan
0              1101   1611
1              112    176
```

```
> pivottable2<- ftable(predict_tdata$Personal.Loan,predict_tdata$CreditCard, dnn=c('Personal.loan','CreditCard'))
```

```
> pivottable2
              CreditCard      0      1
Personal.loan
0              1935   777
1              204    84
```

> #Question D

```
> prob.D1<- pivottable2[2,2]/(pivottable2[2,2]+pivottable2[2,1])
```

```
> prob.D1
[1] 0.2916667
```

```
> prob.D2 <- pivottable1[2,2]/(pivottable1[2,2]+pivottable1[2,1])
```

```
> prob.D2
[1] 0.6111111
```

```
> prob.D3 <- ftable(predict_tdata[,10])
```

```
> prob.D3
  0    1
```

```

2712 288
> prob.D3.2 <- prob.D3[1,2]/(prob.D3[1,2]+prob.D3[1,1])
> prob.D3.2
[1] 0.096
> prob.D4 <- pivottable2[1,2]/(pivottable2[1,2]+pivottable2[1,1])
> prob.D4
[1] 0.2865044
> prob.D5 <- pivottable1[1,2]/(pivottable1[1,2]+pivottable1[1,1])
> prob.D5
[1] 0.5940265
> prob.D6 <- ftable(predict_tdata[,10])
> prob.D6
  0      1
2712 288

```

```

> prob.D6.2 <- prob.D6[1,1]/(prob.D6[1,1]+prob.D6[1,2])
> prob.D6.2
[1] 0.904

```

> #Question E

```

> nb <- (prob.D1*prob.D2*prob.D3.2)/(prob.D1*prob.D2*prob.D3.2+prob.D4*prob.D5*prob.D6.2)
> nb
[1] 0.1000861

```

> #Question F

The probability from the pivot table for Question B was .098 and for NaiveBayes was .100. These are extremely similar results, though if you had to choose between the two, I would say that the pivot table is more accurate.

> #Question G

```

> naivebayes <-naiveBayes(Personal.Loan~Online+CreditCard, data = predict_tdata)

```

```

> naivebayes

```

Naive Bayes Classifier for Discrete Predictors

Call:
naiveBayes.default(x = X, y = Y, laplace = laplace)

A-priori probabilities:

```

Y
  0      1
0.904 0.096

```

Conditional probabilities:

		Online	
Y		0	1
	0	0.4059735	0.5940265
	1	0.3888889	0.6111111

		CreditCard	
Y		0	1
	0	0.7134956	0.2865044
	1	0.7083333	0.2916667

Probability would be .096, which is very similar to the probability of .100 found in Question E