a2

### April 25, 2022

# 1 COMPSCI 762 Assignment 2 Naive Bayes Classifier

Chase Robertson crob873

### 1.1 Motivation

In order to match the benchmark model performance initially, some default parameters had to be modified straightaway. I chose to ignore prior probabilities in the Naive Bayes' probability calculation on suspicion that the training data's category distribution was imbalanced. I also chose to tune the model's smoothing parameter, as that seemed a necessary change to account for words with zero count. After making those two changes, I was able to closely match the benchmark model's performance. Further improvement to prediction scores then needed to be achieved by other means.

#### 1.1.1 Task 1

I chose to first attempt to improve prediction by excluding english stop words from the word frequency vector. I also attempted to include bigrams in the vector, and tried turning off the default inverse document frequency calculation executed by the term frequency utility provided by sklearn. I also switched to the Rennie et al. (2003) version of Multinomial Naive Bayes model to try to address the issues illuminated in that paper.

### 1.1.2 Task 2

I chose to add the name and mean\_checkin\_time attributes to the model to try to improve prediction scores. Some establishment names explicitly include class information, so the benefit of including that attribute is obvious. I chose to represent that attribute as a bag of words in the same or similar way as the review attribute. I suspected that information about the time spent checking in to the establishment may be helpful as well, as some categories may be associated with longer or shorter checkins. The only other attributes available in the dataset are latitude and longitude, which do not seem very informative since different types of businesses can be mixed in the same area. There could be some association between output class and location due to local tradition or zoning policy, but it would surely require some clever preprocessing for the Naive Bayes model to discover those associations.

# 1.2 Data Representation and Preprocessing

Each observation is initially represented by a term frequency vector, constructed from the review attribute. The default behavior of sklearn's TfidfTransformer is to incorporate the inverse

document frequency in each term frequency. In Task 1, that default behavior is turned off, so basic term frequency is used. English stop words are removed from the term frequency vector in both Task 1 and 2. Bigrams from the review feature are unsuccessfully included in Task 1, but somewhat successfully included in Task 2, with the difference in effect probably due to the additional maximum limit placed on the number of features in Task 2.

## 1.3 Implementation

Initial implementation focused on boilerplate setup and matching prediction performance with the benchmark level of about 87%. This was achieved by removing prior probabilities from prediction, and tuning the smoothing parameter.

#### 1.3.1 Task 1

Though many changes were attempted in Task 1, the real improvement in prediction came from the combination of removing english stop words and skipping the inverse document frequency calculation. These changes, in concert with the use of Rennie et al. (2003) model, led to a consistent prediction accuracy of almost 90%. I believe the removal of stop words and use of simpler term frequency vectors enabled the more robust ComplementNB model to resist bias to the training data.

#### 1.3.2 Task 2

Adding the name and mean\_checkin\_time attributes in Task 2 alone led to a small improvement in prediction accuracy. When considering the addition of more term frequency features, it occurred to me that there may be thousands of features already in the model that were not very informative. Adding the max\_features argument to the Naive Bayes model, in combination with the additional attributes and re-tuning of existing hyperparameters, led to a significant improvement over the benchmark model.

### 1.4 Evaluation Procedure

All models were evaluated and their hyperparameters selected by 5-fold cross-validation. I decided that cross-validation was necessary to maximize the number of observations available for training, since only a few thousand observations were available in total. Fewer cross-validation folds were used for intermediate exploration, as computation time of exhaustive grid search over many hyperparameters became an issue.

### 1.5 Validation Results

The validation accuracy of each model is listed below. Again, this was calculated using 5-fold cross-validation to maximize the exposure of each model to the small training set.

Task 0 (benchmark): 88.5%Task 1 (optimised): 89.4%

• Task 2 (additional attributes): 91.4%

# 2 Code

### 2.1 Part 0a - Setup

```
[1]: import os
     import numpy as np
     import pandas as pd
     from pathlib import Path
     from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
     from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfTransformer
     from sklearn.naive_bayes import MultinomialNB
     from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
     from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
     from sklearn.naive bayes import ComplementNB
     from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer
     from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
     np.random.seed(12345678)
     PATH_ROOT = Path(os.getcwd())
     train_file = os.path.join(PATH_ROOT, 'train.csv')
     test_file = os.path.join(PATH_ROOT, 'test.csv')
     benchmark_file = os.path.join(PATH_ROOT, 'benchmark_predict.csv')
     improved_file = os.path.join(PATH_ROOT, 'improved_predict.csv')
     plus_attr_file = os.path.join(PATH_ROOT, 'plus_attr_predict.csv')
     train = pd.read_csv(train_file)
     X_train_review = train['review']
     y_train = train['category']
     test = pd.read_csv(test_file)
     X test review = test['review']
     X_test_id = test['ID']
```

# 2.2 Part 0b - Replicate Benchmark Model

# 2.3 Part 1 - Improve based on Review only

```
[4]: # Improve Benchmark Model with complement model and new params
     improved_pipe = Pipeline([
         ('vect', CountVectorizer()),
         ('tfidf', TfidfTransformer()),
         # IMPROVEMENT use model from Rennie et al. 2003
         ('clf', ComplementNB()),
     ])
     improved_params = {
         # IMPROVEMENT params
         'vect stop words': ['english'],
         'vect__ngram_range': [(1,1), (1,2)],
         'tfidf_use_idf': [True, False],
         # BENCHMARK params
         'clf alpha': [0.1],
         # fit_prior unneccessary - only applies to edge cases in ComplementNB
     }
     improved_cv = GridSearchCV(improved_pipe, improved_params, cv=5, n_jobs=-1)
     improved_model = improved_cv.fit(X_train_review, y_train)
     print("Improvement over benchmark: %r" %(improved_model.best_score_ -_
      ⇔bench model.best score ))
     print("Best validation score: %r" % (improved_model.best_score_))
     print("---- Achieved with -----")
     for name in sorted(improved_params.keys()):
```

### 2.4 Part 2

```
[6]: # Improve model with additional attributes
     # Add 'name' attr and use the same BoW preprocess as 'review'
     words_attrs = ['review', 'name']
     words_pipe = Pipeline([
         ('vect', CountVectorizer()),
         ('tfidf', TfidfTransformer()),
    1)
     # Add mean checkin time without any preprocessing
     num_attrs = ['mean_checkin_time']
     preprocessor = ColumnTransformer([
         ('review', words_pipe, 'review'),
         ('name', words_pipe, 'name'),
         ('num', 'passthrough', num_attrs),
    ])
     best_pipe = Pipeline([
         ('pre', preprocessor),
         ('clf', ComplementNB()),
     ])
     best_params = {
         # TASK 2 IMPROVEMENT params
         'pre__review__vect__max_features': np.arange(3000, 5000, 500),
         # TASK 1 IMPROVEMENT params
         'pre__review__vect__stop_words': ['english'], # , None],
         'pre__review__vect__ngram_range': [(1,2)], #, (1,1)],
```

```
#'pre_name_vect_ngram_range': [(1,1), (1,2)],
         #'pre__review__tfidf__use_idf': [False], #, True],
         'pre__name__tfidf__use_idf': [False], #, True],
         # BENCHMARK params
         'clf__alpha': np.arange(0.34, 0.25, -0.02), #np.arange(0.3, 0.22, -0.02),
         'clf__fit_prior': [True]#, False],
     }
     best_cv = GridSearchCV(best_pipe, best_params, cv=5, n_jobs=-1)
     best_model = best_cv.fit(train, y_train)
     print("Improvement over benchmark: %r" %(best_model.best_score_ - bench_model.
      ⇔best_score_))
     print("Improvement over Part 1: %r" %(best_model.best_score_ - improved model.
      ⇔best_score_))
     print("Best validation score: %r" % (best_model.best_score_))
     print("---- Achieved with -----")
     for name in sorted(best_params.keys()):
        print("%s: %r" % (name, best_model.best_params_[name]))
    Improvement over benchmark: 0.028885320405999004
    Improvement over Part 1: 0.0201902742008786
    Best validation score: 0.914029995455234
    ---- Achieved with -----
    clf_alpha: 0.32
    clf__fit_prior: True
    pre__name__tfidf__use_idf: False
    pre__review__vect__max_features: 3500
    pre__review__vect__ngram_range: (1, 2)
    pre__review__vect__stop_words: 'english'
[7]: # write predictions to file
     y_test_pred = pd.Series(best_model.predict(test),
                             name='category')
     submission = pd.concat([X_test_id, y_test_pred], axis=1)
     submission.to_csv(plus_attr_file, index=False)
```