

## Formal Logical/Cryptographic Condition and corresponding law

Law ID	Law Name	Formal Logical/Cryptographic Condition	Notes on the Formula
LAW ZERO	PRIMACY OF HUMAN SAFETY	$\neg (\text{Harm}) \text{ UNLESS } (S_{\{\text{Authority}\}}(\text{Lawful}) \wedge \text{DueProcess})$	Harm is forbidden unless justified by a signed, provable legal authority.
LAW 1	VERIFIED INPUT ONLY (NIPS)	$\text{Execute} \iff (\text{SchemaValid}(D) \wedge \text{Verify}(S_{\{\text{Source}\}}(H(D)))$	Execution proceeds only if the input is valid and carries a verifiable, signed attestation from its source.
LAW 2	EXECUTION RECEIPT IMMUTABILITY (HARMONEE)	$\text{Receipt} = H(R_{\{\text{ID}\}} \mid H(D) \mid H(O) \mid \text{Actor}_{\{\text{ID}\}} \mid \text{Timestamp})$	Every execution creates a unique, immutable hash (the receipt) binding all essential parameters.
LAW 3	GLOBAL AUDIT CONSISTENCY (REVELATION)	$\forall n: L_n = H(L_{\{n-1\}} \mid \text{Receipt}_n)$	The ledger state ( $L_n$ ) is the cryptographic hash of the previous state and the current receipt, ensuring historical integrity.

<b>LAW 4</b>	<b>EQUAL EXECUTION REQ.</b>	$\text{IF } (D_1 \equiv D_2) \wedge (R(D_1) \neq R(D_2)) \text{ implies } \text{MUST Produce } J \text{ where } S(H(J))$	If inputs are identical but outputs differ, a signed cryptographic <b>justification (\$J\$)</b> must be generated.
<b>LAW 5</b>	<b>PUBLIC VERIFIABILITY</b>	$\forall \text{Verifier } V: V(\text{Receipt}, \text{PublicKeys}) = \text{TRUE}$	Any third party can independently verify the receipt's integrity using only public, non-secret materials.
<b>LAW 6</b>	<b>CORRECTNESS BEFORE IMMUTABILITY</b>	$H(\text{LegalityProof}) \text{ implies } \text{WriteTo}(L)$	The system must prove legality/correctness (\$P\$) before the immutable ledger (\$L\$) can be updated (the <b>"Prove THEN Record"</b> principle).
<b>LAW 7</b>	<b>FORBIDDEN SILENT OUTPUTS</b>	$\neg (\text{Decision}) \wedge \neg \text{Logged} \text{ implies } \text{HALT} \wedge \text{LogForensics}$	If a decision is made but not logged, the system must immediately stop and capture forensic data.

<b>LAW 8</b>	<b>REDUNDANT AUTHORITY</b>	$\$\\text{\\{State\\}}_1 \\equiv \\text{\\{State\\}}_2 \\equiv \\text{\\{State\\}}_3\$ \$\\text{\\{ IF } } \\\\ \\neg(\\text{\\{Consensus\\}}) \\text{\\{ implies }} \\text{\\{HALT\\}}\$$	The state of at least three independent integrity anchors must be identical. Failure to agree results in a system halt.
<b>LAW 9</b>	<b>REALITY CONSISTENCY RULE</b>	$\$\\text{\\{OutputClaim\\}}(O) \\text{\\{iff}} \\\\ \\text{\\{Verify\\}}(S_{\\text{\\{\\text{\\{Oracle\\}}\\}}}(H(\\text{\\{RealityMatch\\}})))\$$	The success claim (\$O\$) must be validated by a signed cryptographic report from an external, attested oracle.
<b>LAW 10</b>	<b>MACHINE ACCOUNTABILITY</b>	$\$R \\subset H(\\text{\\{LegalCode\\}}_{\\text{\\{ID\\}}})\$$	The executed rule (\$R\$) must be provably derived from (a subset of) a verifiable, hashed version of legal code.
<b>LAW 11</b>	<b>HUMAN OVERRIDE SUPREMACY</b>	$\$\\text{\\{Override\\}} \\text{\\{implies }} \\text{\\{Logged\\}}(S_{\\text{\\{\\text{\\{Human\\}}\\}}}(\\text{\\{OverrideAction\\}}))\$$	Any human override must be logged and carry a non-anonymous, permanent digital signature.

<b>LAW 12</b>	<b>MODEL AND DATA PROVENANCE</b>	<pre>\$\text{Execute} \iff \text{Verify}(S_{\text{Dev}})(\text{ModelHash} \mid \text{DataHash} \mid \text{ProcHash})\$</pre>	Execution requires a verifiable signature attesting to the integrity of the Model, its Training Data, and the Training Process.
-------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---