

Formal Logical/Cryptographic Condition and corresponding law

Law ID	Law Name	Formal Logical/Cryptographic Condition	Notes on the Formula
LAW ZERO	PRIMACY OF HUMAN SAFETY	$\$ \neg (\text{Harm}) \text{ UNLESS } (S_{\{\text{Authority}\}}(\text{Lawful})) \text{ AND } \text{DueProcess} \$$	Harm is forbidden unless justified by a signed, provable legal authority.
LAW 1	VERIFIED INPUT ONLY (NIPS)	$\$ \text{Execute} \iff (\text{SchemaValid}(D) \text{ AND } \text{Verify}(S_{\{\text{Source}\}}(H(D))) \$$	Execution proceeds only if the input is valid and carries a verifiable, signed attestation from its source.
LAW 2	EXECUTION RECEIPT IMMUTABILITY (HARMONEE)	$\$ \text{Receipt} = H(R_{\{\text{ID}\}} \parallel H(D) \parallel H(O) \parallel \text{Actor}_{\{\text{ID}\}} \parallel \text{Timestamp} \$$	Every execution creates a unique, immutable hash (the receipt) binding all essential parameters.
LAW 3	GLOBAL AUDIT CONSISTENCY (REVELATION)	$\$ \forall n: L_n = H(L_{\{n-1\}} \parallel \text{Receipt}_n \$$	The ledger state (L_n) is the cryptographic hash of the previous state and the current receipt, ensuring historical integrity.

LAW 4	EQUAL EXECUTION REQ.	$\text{IF } (D_1 \equiv D_2) \wedge (R(D_1) \neq R(D_2)) \text{ implies } \text{MUST Produce } J \text{ where } S(H(J))$	If inputs are identical but outputs differ, a signed cryptographic justification (\$J\$) must be generated.
LAW 5	PUBLIC VERIFIABILITY	$\forall \text{Verifier } V: V(\text{Receipt}, \text{PublicKeys}) = \text{TRUE}$	Any third party can independently verify the receipt's integrity using only public, non-secret materials.
LAW 6	CORRECTNESS BEFORE IMMUTABILITY	$H(\text{LegalityProof}) \text{ implies } \text{WriteTo}(L)$	The system must prove legality/correctness (\$P\$) before the immutable ledger (\$L\$) can be updated (the "Prove THEN Record" principle).
LAW 7	FORBIDDEN SILENT OUTPUTS	$\neg (\text{Decision}) \wedge \neg \text{Logged} \text{ implies } \text{HALT} \wedge \text{LogForensics}$	If a decision is made but not logged, the system must immediately stop and capture forensic data.

LAW 8	REDUNDANT AUTHORITY	$\$\\text{\\{State\\}}_1 \\equiv \\text{\\{State\\}}_2 \\equiv \\text{\\{State\\}}_3\$ \$\\text{\\{ IF } } \\\\ \\neg(\\text{\\{Consensus\\}}) \\text{\\{ implies }} \\text{\\{HALT\\}}\$$	The state of at least three independent integrity anchors must be identical. Failure to agree results in a system halt.
LAW 9	REALITY CONSISTENCY RULE	$\$\\text{\\{OutputClaim\\}}(O) \\text{\\{iff}} \\\\ \\text{\\{Verify\\}}(S_{\\text{\\{\\text{\\{Oracle\\}}\\}}}(H(\\text{\\{RealityMatch\\}})))\$$	The success claim (\$O\$) must be validated by a signed cryptographic report from an external, attested oracle.
LAW 10	MACHINE ACCOUNTABILITY	$\$R \\subset H(\\text{\\{LegalCode\\}}_{\\text{\\{ID\\}}})\$$	The executed rule (\$R\$) must be provably derived from (a subset of) a verifiable, hashed version of legal code.
LAW 11	HUMAN OVERRIDE SUPREMACY	$\$\\text{\\{Override\\}} \\text{\\{implies }} \\text{\\{Logged\\}}(S_{\\text{\\{\\text{\\{Human\\}}\\}}}(\\text{\\{OverrideAction\\}}))\$$	Any human override must be logged and carry a non-anonymous, permanent digital signature.

LAW 12	MODEL AND DATA PROVENANCE	<pre>\$\text{Execute} \iff \text{Verify}(S_{\text{Dev}})(\text{ModelHash} \mid \text{DataHash} \mid \text{ProcHash})\$</pre>	Execution requires a verifiable signature attesting to the integrity of the Model, its Training Data, and the Training Process.
-------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---