

Formal Logical/Cryptographic Condition and corresponding law

Law ID	Law Name	Formal Logical/Cryptographic Condition	Notes on the Formula
LAW ZERO	PRIMACY OF HUMAN SAFETY	$\neg (\text{Harm}) \text{ UNLESS } (S_{\text{Authority}}(\text{Lawful}) \wedge \text{DueProcess})$	Harm is forbidden unless justified by a signed, provable legal authority.
LAW 1	VERIFIED INPUT ONLY (NIPS)	$\text{Execute} \text{ iff } (\text{SchemaValid}(D) \wedge \text{Verify}(S_{\text{Source}}(H(D))))$	Execution proceeds only if the input is valid and carries a verifiable, signed attestation from its source.
LAW 2	EXECUTION RECEIPT IMMUTABILITY (HARMONEE)	$\text{Receipt} = H(R_{\text{ID}}) \vee H(D) \vee H(O) \vee \text{Actor}_{\text{ID}} \vee \text{Timesta}$	Every execution creates a unique, immutable hash (the receipt) binding all essential parameters.
LAW 3	GLOBAL AUDIT CONSISTENCY (REVELATION)	$\forall n: L_n = H(L_{n-1}) \vee \text{Receipt}_n$	The ledger state (L_n) is the cryptographic hash of the previous state and the current receipt, ensuring historical integrity.

LAW 4	EQUAL EXECUTION REQ.	$\text{IF } (D_1 \equiv D_2) \wedge (R(D_1) \neq R(D_2)) \implies \text{MUST Produce } J \text{ where } S(H(J))$	<p>If inputs are identical but outputs differ, a signed cryptographic justification (\$J\$) must be generated.</p>
LAW 5	PUBLIC VERIFIABILITY	$\forall \text{Verifier } V: V(\text{Receipt}, \text{PublicKeys}) = \text{TRUE}$	<p>Any third party can independently verify the receipt's integrity using only public, non-secret materials.</p>
LAW 6	CORRECTNESS BEFORE IMMUTABILITY	$H(\text{LegalityProof}) \implies \text{WriteTo}(L)$	<p>The system must prove legality/correctness (\$P\$) before the immutable ledger (\$L\$) can be updated (the "Prove THEN Record" principle).</p>
LAW 7	FORBIDDEN SILENT OUTPUTS	$\neg (\text{Decision} \wedge \neg \text{Logged}) \implies \text{HALT} \wedge \text{LogForensics}$	<p>If a decision is made but not logged, the system must immediately stop and capture forensic data.</p>

LAW 8	REDUNDANT AUTHORITY	$\text{State}_1 \equiv \text{State}_2 \equiv \text{State}_3 \text{ IF } \neg(\text{Consensus}) \implies \text{HALT}$	The state of at least three independent integrity anchors must be identical. Failure to agree results in a system halt.
LAW 9	REALITY CONSISTENCY RULE	$\text{OutputClaim}(O) \text{ iff } \text{Verify}(S_{\text{Oracle}})(H(\text{RealityMatch}))$	The success claim (\$O\$) must be validated by a signed cryptographic report from an external, attested oracle.
LAW 10	MACHINE ACCOUNTABILITY	$R \subset H(\text{LegalCode}_{\text{ID}})$	The executed rule (\$R\$) must be provably derived from (a subset of) a verifiable, hashed version of legal code.
LAW 11	HUMAN OVERRIDE SUPREMACY	$\text{Override} \implies \text{Logged}(S_{\text{Human}})(\text{OverrideAction})$	Any human override must be logged and carry a non-anonymous, permanent digital signature.

LAW 12	MODEL AND DATA PROVENANCE	$\text{Execute} \iff \text{Verify}(S_{\text{Dev}}(\text{ModelHash} \parallel \text{DataHash} \parallel \text{ProcHash}))$	Execution requires a verifiable signature attesting to the integrity of the Model, its Training Data, and the Training Process.
-------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---