

<Additional exercise>

(1) Schur complement

$$(a) f(u, v) = u^T A u + 2v^T B^T u + v^T C v$$

$$f_u(u, v) = 2A u + 2B v = 0$$

$$u = -A^{-1} B v$$

$$\rightarrow g(v) = (-A^{-1} B v)^T A (-A^{-1} B v) + 2v^T B^T (-A^{-1} B v) + v^T C v$$

$$v^T B^T (A^{-1})^T B v - 2v^T (B^T A^{-1} B) v + v^T C v$$

(A is symmetric)

$$= v^T (\underbrace{C - B^T A^{-1} B}_S) v = v^T S v$$

$$(b-1) x > 0 \Leftrightarrow A > 0 \text{ and } S > 0$$

$$x > 0 \rightarrow f(u, v) > 0 \rightarrow f(u, 0) > 0 \Rightarrow A > 0$$

u or v $\neq 0$

$$\rightarrow v^T (C - B^T A^{-1} B) v > 0 \Rightarrow S > 0$$

If $v \neq 0$, $A > 0$, $S > 0$,

$$\rightarrow f(u, v) \geq v^T S v > 0$$

If $v = 0$, $A > 0$, $S > 0$,

$$\rightarrow u^T A u > 0 \rightarrow A > 0$$

$$(b-2) \quad A \succ 0 \text{ then } X \succeq 0 \iff S \succeq 0$$

$$\text{If } A \succ 0, X \succeq 0 \rightarrow f(u, v) \geq \inf f(u, v) = v^T S v \geq 0 \\ \rightarrow S \succeq 0$$

$$\text{If } A \succ 0, S \succeq 0 \rightarrow 0 \leq \inf f(u, v) \leq f(u, v) \\ \rightarrow X \succeq 0$$

$$(b-2) \quad X \succeq 0 \iff \underbrace{A \succeq 0}_{(1)}, \underbrace{B^T(I - AA^T)}_{(2)} = 0, \underbrace{C - B^T A^T B}_{(3)} \succeq 0$$

<intuitive explanation>

: $I - AA^T$: projection matrix to $N(A)$

$$f(u, v) = u^T A u + 2v^T B u + v^T C v \text{ 에서}$$

✓ $X \succ 0$ 일 때, $A \succ 0, S \succ 0$ 이면 필요충분.

✓ $X \succeq 0$ 일 때 pseudo inverse 사용.

① $A \prec 0$ 이면 $-\infty$ 발산 가능.

② $u^T A u = 0$ 으로 만들면서 $2v^T B u \neq 0$ 인 u 가 존재하면,
(같은 발로, A 의 Null space 성분이 B 에도 존재하면,)

음수 부분이 존재가능. $-\infty$ 으로 발산하진 않음. $v^T C v$ 때문에.

③ Schur - Complement 계산하면, $X = 0$ 인 경우에도 같음.

$$\rightarrow \inf f(u, v) = g(v) = v^T (C - B^T A^T B) v$$

↳ 이 부분이 ≥ 0 이어야겠지.

(C)

$(P_0 + \lambda_1 P_1 + \dots + \lambda_n P_n)$: linear comb of P_i .

$$f_{\min} \leq t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} t & Ax+b \\ (Ax+b)^T & P_0 + \dots + \lambda_n P_n \end{bmatrix} \preceq 0$$

(2) $F_i \in S^m$, $F(x)$ is symmetric, $F(x) \succ 0$

(a)

$$\langle \text{minimize } C^T F^{-1}(x) C \rangle$$

$$C^T F^{-1} C \leq t, \text{ minimize } t$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{minimize } t \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{bmatrix} t & C \\ C^T & F(x) \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$

(b)

$$\langle \text{minimize } \max_{i=1 \sim K} C_i^T F^{-1}(x) C_i \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{minimize } t \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{bmatrix} F(x) & C_i \\ C_i^T & t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad i=1 \dots K \end{cases}$$

(c)

$$\langle \text{minimize } \sup_{\|C\|_2 \leq 1} C^T F^{-1}(x) C \rangle \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{min } t \\ \begin{bmatrix} F(x) & I \\ I & tI \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ y = F^{-1/2} C \mid \|C\|_2 \leq 1 \} \text{ 이 타원.}$$

$$y^T y = C^T F^{-1}(x) C, \quad \sup_{\|C\|_2 \leq 1} C^T F^{-1}(x) C \text{ 은}$$

$$\text{타원의 가장 긴 방향의 제곱} \Rightarrow \lambda_{\max}(F(x)^{-1})$$

(d)

$$f(x) = \bar{c}^T F(x)^{-1} \bar{c}$$

$$E(c^T F^{-1} c) = E(\text{tr}(F^{-1} c c^T))$$

$$= \text{tr}(F^{-1} E(c c^T)) = \text{tr}(F^{-1} (S + \bar{c} \bar{c}^T))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \because \text{Cov} &= E(c c^T) - \bar{c} \bar{c}^T \\ \rightarrow &= \bar{c}^T F^{-1} \bar{c} + \text{tr}(F^{-1} S) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \bar{c}^T F(x)^{-1} \bar{c} + \text{tr}(F(x)^{-1} S)$$

$$\text{tr}(F^{-1} S) = \sum_k C_k^T F^{-1} C_k$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \min & t_0 + \sum_k t_k \\ \text{s.t.} & \begin{bmatrix} F & \bar{c} \\ \bar{c}^T & t_0 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} F & C_k \\ C_k^T & t_k \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$

③ optimality conditions and dual for log-optimal investment

(a) with 1st optimality

j번째 scenario 의 i번째 asset 의 수익률 : P_{ij}

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \frac{P_{ij}}{P_j^T x} : \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\pi_j \times (i\text{만 투자})}{(j\text{번째 평균수익률})} \rightarrow \frac{i\text{의 평균}}{\text{포트폴리오 전체 평균}}$$

Original prob : minimize $-\sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \log(P_j^T x)$

If x is feasible $\sum \nabla f(x)^T (z - x) \geq 0$ for all z
 $z \geq 0, 1^T z = 1$

$\lambda > 0 \rightarrow \nabla f =$ 모든 방향에 대해 같은 상수 λ
 $\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \nabla f \geq \lambda$

$$\nabla f(x)_i = -\sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \frac{P_{ij}}{P_j^T x}$$

$$\nabla f(x)^T x = -\sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \frac{P_j^T x}{P_j^T x} = -1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 1$$

Then, optimal conditions are,

$1^T x = 1, x \geq 0$ and for each

$\rightarrow q$

(b)

$$L(x, v, \lambda, v_0) = - \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \log y_j + \underbrace{(-v^T p^T - \lambda^T + v_0 \mathbf{1}^T)}_{\text{if } \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{unbounded below}} x + v^T y - v_0$$

if $\neq 0 \rightarrow$ unbounded below

if $= 0 \rightarrow$ bounded

minimize over y_j

$$\Rightarrow \text{maximize } g(v, \lambda, v_0) = 1 - v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \log \left(\frac{v_j}{\pi_j} \right)$$

$$, v_0 \mathbf{1} - P v = \lambda^T \succeq 0$$

$$\rightarrow \text{maximize } 1 - v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \log(\tilde{v}_j v_0 / \pi_j)$$

$$v_0 P \tilde{v} \leq v_0 \mathbf{1}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{maximize } 1 - v_0 + \log v_0 + \sum \pi_j \log(\tilde{v}_j / \pi_j) \\ \text{s.t. } P \tilde{v} \leq \mathbf{1}$$

$v_0 = 1$ is optimal

$$\rightarrow \max \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \log(\tilde{v}_j / \pi_j)$$

$$\text{s.t. } P \tilde{v} \leq \mathbf{1}$$

④ : log-optimal investment strategy (4.60)

with CVXPY

1. λ^*

Compare with

1) uniform $\lambda = (\frac{1}{n}) \mathbf{1}$, pure investment in each

$$\lambda = e_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

log-optimal = 1/2 cr.

⑤ Maximizing house profit in gamble and imputed probabilities

(a)

$$\text{House profit} = \text{benefit} - \text{cost}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i p_i}_{= p^T x} - \sum_{i: j \in S_i} x_i$$

$$\text{worst-case profit} : p^T x - \max_{j=1 \dots m} \sum_{j \in S_i} x_i$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Maximize } p^T x - \max_{j=1 \dots m} A_j^T x \\ \text{s.t. } 0 \leq x \leq q \end{cases}$$

$$A_{ji} = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in S_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\left(\max_j \dots \right)$ is non-convex

↳ piece wise

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \max_{x, t} & p^T x - t \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i: j \in S_i} x_i \leq t \\ & 0 \leq x_i \leq q_i \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \max_{x, t} & p^T x - t \\ \text{s.t.} & A x \leq t \mathbf{1} \\ & 0 \leq x \leq q \end{cases}$$

(b) LP for worst case

$$\begin{cases} \text{maximize} & p^T x - t \\ \text{s.t.} & t1 \geq Ax \\ & 0 \leq x \leq q \end{cases}$$

참가자 i 에 x_i 개 팔람. j : 결과

$$L(x, t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$$

$$= p^T x - t + \lambda_1^T (Ax - t1) - \lambda_2^T x + \lambda_3^T (x - q)$$

$$= (p^T + \lambda_1^T A - \lambda_2^T + \lambda_3^T) x - t + \lambda_1^T t1 - \lambda_3^T q$$

LP just

$$\begin{cases} \max & p^T x - \pi^T A x \\ \text{s.t.} & 0 \leq x \leq q \end{cases}$$

→ 이 문제의 분리가능

$$p_i - (A^T \pi)_i > 0 \rightarrow x_i = q_i$$

$$'' < 0 \rightarrow x_i = 0$$

$$= 0 \rightarrow 0 \leq x \leq q$$

Dual Problem for worst case

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Maximize } -\lambda_3^T q$$

$$\text{s.t. } \begin{cases} p^T + \lambda_1^T A - \lambda_2^T + \lambda_3^T = 0, \\ 1^T \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

* KKT 조건

$$p - A^T \pi = \lambda_3^* - \lambda_2^*$$

works as probability $\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \pi$

즉 π 가 λ_1 라 하면

즉 KKT 조건이 동일!

< Complementary slackness >

$$\textcircled{1} : \lambda_2^* x^* = 0, \lambda_3^* (x^* - q) = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} : \lambda_2 x = 0, \lambda_3 (x - q) = 0$$