| Reference   | F2532334   |
|---|--|
| Feedback date   | 09/06/2021 11:20   |
| Language  | English  |
| User type   | Company/Business organisation                                |
| First name  | Johan  |
| Surname   | Zeltner  |
| Scope   |  |
| Governance level  |  |
| Organisation name   | EDF (Électricité de France)                                  |
| Transparency register number                                  | 39966101835-69   |
| Organisation size   | Large (250 or more)  |
| Country   | France   |
| Publication privacy settings                                  | The feedback can be published with your personal information |
| Please specify your sector:                                   | Other  |
| Please specify:   | Energy Utility   |
| Please specify the type of product your organisation produces |  |
| or represents:  | Other (e.g. services):                                       |
| Please indicate the level of market(s) you are active on:     |  |
| Ruler   |  |
| 1. Challenges to making products sustainable                  |  |
| 1.A To what extent do you agree that the following market-    |  |
| related explain why products sold in the EU are not more      |  |
| sustainable?  |  |
| a. Economic actors do not have adequate and reliable          |  |
| information on the sustainability of products                 | Disagree   |
| b. Products such as electronics become obsolete quickly       |  |
| because of technological innovations                          | Agree  |
| c. Some products are designed for shorter term use due to     |  |
| changing fashion trends                                       | I do not know / no opinion                                   |
| d. Many products are not designed to be easily repaired or    |  |
| upgraded  | Agree  |
| e. Some products are designed to break down after a certain   |  |
| amount of time (planned obsolescence)                         | Agree  |

| f. Materials used in products are more and more complex and        |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| difficult to recycle   | Disagree                   |
| g. Products do not sufficiently cover the costs of the harm that   |                            |
| their production and use cause to the environment                  | Neutral                    |
| h. More sustainable products are often too expensive for           |                            |
| households with lower incomes                                      | I do not know / no opinion |
| i. The cost of repairing a product is too high, in comparison      |                            |
| with buying a brand new product                                    | Agree                      |
| j. For electronics, as well as for fashion products, there are not |                            |
| enough places where products can be repaired                       | I do not know / no opinion |
| k. The quality of second hand goods cannot be guaranteed or is     |                            |
| difficult to assess  | Disagree                   |
| 1.B To what extent do you agree that the following policy-         |                            |
| related statements explain why products sold in the EU are not     |                            |
| more sustainable?: a. There is no harmonized set of                |                            |
| requirements to foster the sustainable design of products          |                            |
| placed on the EU market  | Agree                      |
| b. There is no harmonized set of requirements to foster the        |                            |
| sustainability of services provided in the EU                      | I do not know / no opinion |
| c. Voluntary approaches, such as labelling, do not provide         |                            |
| sufficient incentives for businesses to offer more sustainable     |                            |
| products   | Neutral                    |
|  |                            |
| d. Diverging national rules and lack of a harmonized set of EU     |                            |
| rules discourage large businesses, which operate across various    |                            |
| EU Member States, from offering more sustainable products          | I do not know / no opinion |
| e. There are insufficient incentives to reward products based      |                            |
| on their different sustainability performances                     | Strongly agree             |
| 1.C Other relevant market or policy-related challenges to          |                            |
| making products more sustainable in the EU (please specify)        |                            |
| and/or other comments you may have:                                |                            |
|  |                            |

| 2.A Design for sustainability - sustainability requirements for   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| products  |   |  |
| In your view, how effective would the following measures be in    |   |  |
| achieving these objectives? Please rate the choices below from    |   |  |
| 1 to 5, with 1 denoting low preference and 5 high preference.     |   |  |
| a. Set binding rules detailing, at product group level, what      |   |  |
| actions producers are obliged to take to improve their            |   |  |
| products' durability, reusability, upgradability and reparability |   |  |
| (for example, for electronic/ICT products, setting a minimum      |   |  |
| number of cycles during which the battery must function           |   |  |
| properly)   | 4 |  |
|   |   |  |
| b. Require producers/importers to prove that the design of        |   |  |
| their products respects the following prioritization: (first      |   |  |
| preference) that the product is capable of being reused           |   |  |
| /repaired/shared; (second preference) that the product is         |   |  |
| capable of being remanufactured/refurbished/upgraded; (           |   |  |
| third preference) that the product is capable of being recycled   | 2 |  |
| c. Require producers/importers to prove that they have            |   |  |
| assessed possible causes of failures and addressed them, with     |   |  |
| a view to optimising product durability                           | 4 |  |
| d. Require producers/importers to prioritise modular design of    |   |  |
| their products, so as to facilitate repair, remanufacture,        |   |  |
| upgrade and disassembly (for example, for ICT products,           |   |  |
| batteries, screens and back covers should be removable in less    |   |  |
| than a defined number of steps).                                  | 5 |  |
| e. Require producers/importers to ensure information on           |   |  |
| repairability is provided on or with a product                    | 3 |  |
| f. Require producers/importers to ensure information on           |   |  |
| access to repair services is provided on or with a product        | 3 |  |

| g. Require producers/importers to offer product guarantees,   |                           |
|---|---------------------------|
| which could include "commitment to free repair as first   |                           |
| remedy" in case of failures and a "commitment to upgrade the  |                           |
| product periodically"   | 1                         |
| la Danning and description of the last of |                           |
| h. Require producers/importers to display a repairability score   |                           |
| on their products, in line with harmonized requirements at EU   |                           |
| level, to facilitate comparison of product repairability  | 4                         |
| i. Require producers/importers to establish a repair network  |                           |
| for their products  | I do not know/ no opinion |
| j. Require producers/importers to ensure information on a   |                           |
| product's average expected lifespan is provided on or with a  |                           |
| product   | 4                         |
|   |                           |
| k. Require producers/importers to ensure information on the   |                           |
| chemical content of a product is provided on or with a product  | 1                         |
| I. Ban the use of a substance or substances in a given product,   |                           |
| should such substances be found to inhibit product  |                           |
| recyclability   | 1                         |
| m. Require producers/importers to publish information on how  |                           |
| they have prioritised materials that are safe and sustainable-by  | -                         |
| design, and have substituted chemicals of concern with safer  |                           |
| ones whenever possible  | 2                         |
| n. Require additional information to be made available on   |                           |
| material sources, e.g. content in the product of critical raw   |                           |
| materials and minerals from conflict-affected and high-risk   |                           |
| areas   | 2                         |
|   |                           |

# 2.B Responsibility for information, including Digital Product Passport

One of the options considered for a new Sustainable Products legislative Initiative is the development of digital 'product passport(s)', which would provide producers and other key supply chain actors, consumers and market surveillance authorities with information relevant for ensuring the sustainable management of a product (maintenance, repair, remanufacturing, recycling, control of compliance, etc.).

## 2.B.1 In your opinion, what information should be collected as part of such a digital 'product passport'?

| as part or such a difficult product passport.                     |                |
|---|----------------|
| a. Economic actors at the origin of information                   |                |
| (Manufacturer/Service provider/Retailer /Distributor/Recycler/    |                |
| Providers of Repairability services)                              | Strongly agree |
| b. List of materials and substances present in the product        | Agree          |
| c. Quantities of materials and substances present in the          |                |
| product   | Neutral        |
| d. Recycled content of each material present in the product       | Agree          |
| e. Presence in the product of hazardous chemicals, and if so,     |                |
| their location  | Neutral        |
| f. List of legislation and standards that the product complies    |                |
| with, or the technical specifications that it fulfils             | Agree          |
| g. Results of compliance tests against legislations, standards or |                |
| technical specifications  | Neutral        |
| h. Expected lifespan of the product                               | Agree          |
| i. Information relevant for testing, disassembly, maintenance,    |                |
| repair or reassembly (e. g. test protocol, disassembly process    |                |
| and instructions, etc.)   | Neutral        |
|   |                |
| j. Information on safe use and instructions, where applicable     | Strongly agree |

| k. Information relevant to re-manufacture and spare parts (e.g.   |  |
|---|--|
| CAD technical drawings, 3D-printing files)                        | I do not know / no opinion   |
| I. Information on Product Environmental and/or carbon             |  |
| footprint, or other relevant sustainability characteristics       | Agree  |
| m. Social conditions along the value chain (e.g. working and      |  |
| pay conditions; respect of human rights)                          | I do not know / no opinion   |
| n. Information on the origin of product components                | Neutral  |
| o. Information on material sources (e.g. conflict-free materials, |  |
| responsible mining etc.)  | I do not know / no opinion   |
| p. Any possession of sustainability labels, such as the EU        |  |
| Ecolabel  | Strongly agree   |
| q. Information on how the product should be recycled and/or       |  |
| handled at the end of life  | Agree  |
|   | a. Managing confidential data (for example making sure that information is only available    |
| 2.B.2 In your view, what are the biggest challenges to ensuring   | to those entitled to access it)   c. Managing the complexity of products and value chains    |
| a successful establishment and implementation of digital          | and the quantity of data that is required to make such a passport effective   d.             |
| product passport(s)? Please select your top preferences from      | Minimising the costs and environmental impacts involved in setting up a digital 'product     |
| the list below.   | passport'  |
| Ruler34   |  |
| 2.C Avoidance of destruction of unsold durable goods              |  |
| The Commission intends to ban the destruction of unsold           |  |
| durable goods (e.g. home appliances, textiles, electronic         |  |
| equipment etc.)   |  |
|   |  |
| 2.C.1 In your view, are there categories of products that         | c. Highly inefficient products   e. Counterfeit products   f. Products that pose a health or |
| should be excluded from this ban?                                 | safety risk  |
| 2.C.2 What additional measures should be taken to decrease        |  |
| the amount of unsold goods in the EU, thereby complementing       |  |
| this ban?   | c. Fostering reconditioning and remanufacturing schemes                                      |
|   |  |

#### 2.D Circular business models

### 2.D.1 Circular business model types

- 2.D.1.a The ways in which businesses operate strongly influence how products are produced and consumed. The table below presents several (non-exhaustive) categories of circular business models, together with a brief description of them. How effective do you think these models can be in terms of encouraging more sustainable production and consumption patterns? Please rate from 1 to 5, with 1 denoting low impact and 5 high impact.
- a. Product-service systems (i.e. users do not buy the product from manufacturers/owners but rather the service associated with the product, e.g. car leasing. This means that the manufacturer/owner is responsible for repairing and maintaining the product, thus incentivizing better reparability and potentially longer lifespan of the product)
- b. Collaborative and sharing economy (i.e. where sharing of products replaces purchasing, e.g. for power tools or other products that consumers use only occasionally. As a result, less resources are used to satisfy the same needs)

c. Reverse logistics (i.e. where the reverse transport of products, from consumer to producer, is arranged in view of repair or reuse. e.g. beer bottles or old phones)

d. On-demand production (i.e. where the production of goods occurs only for those customers expressly requesting them, thus preventing overproduction and waste)

2.D.1.b Other relevant circular business models not included in the list above (please specify):

I do not know / no opinion

5

I do not know / no opinion

I do not know / no opinion

| I do not know / no opinion |
|----------------------------|
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
|                            |
| 4                          |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |
| I do not know / no opinion |
| Tue flot know / no opinion |
| I do not know / no opinion |
|                            |

| 2.D.3 Enabling circular business models                       |  |
|---|--|
| Taking as examples the models mentioned above, how in your    |  |
| view can the EU best enable or regulate circular business     |  |
| models?   |  |
| Please select the business model(s) for which you wish to     |  |
| provide a response, then indica                               | 1. Product-service systems   |
|   | a. Provide guidelines on the various EU funding instruments, opportunities and support   |
|   | mechanisms available to foster the creation of circular business models   b. Strengthen  |
|   | maintenance and repair obligations for producers (such as on the ease of separating  |
|   | product parts; the availability of spare parts etc.) to encourage the adoption of these  |
|   | business models   d. Develop tools and methods to better measure the (long-term)   |
| 2.D.3.a Product-service systems: please select your top       | benefits and financial viability of circular business models   i. Introduce obligatory take-   |
| preferences (max 5) from the list below                       | back schemes, to ensure products at end of life are less likely to become waste and can  |
| preferences (max s) from the list selection                   | e.g. be reused or remanufactured   |
| 2.D.3.b Collaborative and sharing economy: please select your | - State Control Contro |
| top preferences (max 5) from the list below                   |  |
| 2.D.3.c Reverse logistics: please select your top preferences |  |
| (max 5) from the list below                                   |  |
|   |  |
| 2.D.3.d On-demand production: please select your top          |  |
| preferences (max 5) from the list below                       |  |
| 2.E Incentives for circularity                                |  |
| Regulatory, market and reputational incentives are necessary  |  |
| to encourage more sustainable production and consumption      |  |
| patterns. The Commission is examining what the most effective |  |
| measures in this respect are, and how products can be         |  |
| rewarded based on their sustainability performance. In your   |  |
| view, how important are the following measures? Please rate   |  |
|   |  |

the choices below from 1 to 5, with 1 denoting low preference

and 5 high preference.

| a. Modulation of fees on the sustainability of products under   |                            |
|---|----------------------------|
| Extended Producer Responsibility schemes (e.g. producers who    |                            |
| place products that are more easily recyclable on the EU        |                            |
| market pay reduced fees)  | 5                          |
| b. Recognizing voluntary commitments by producers to            |                            |
| increase the sustainability of their products                   | I do not know / no opinion |
| c. Making better use of standardisation to promote              |                            |
| sustainability  | 3                          |
| d. Increasing transparency on the performance of products as    |                            |
| regards sustainability, for instance by identifying different   |                            |
| levels of sustainability performance at EU level                | 3                          |
| e. Better use and promotion of voluntary sustainability labels, |                            |
| such as the EU Ecolabel   | 3                          |
| f. Improving access to finance for the production and           |                            |
| consumption of more sustainable products                        | 5                          |
| g. Developing and implementing mandatory Green Public           |                            |
| Procurement criteria and targets                                | I do not know / no opinion |
| Ruler46   |                            |
| 2.F Measures to make sustainable products the norm: other       |                            |
| comments  |                            |
|   |                            |
| Other comments you may have relating to any of the sections     |                            |
| covered in 'Question 2 – Measures to make sustainable           |                            |
| products the norm':   |                            |

## 3. Compliance with and enforcement of sustainability requirements for products 3.A Compliance with requirements and enforcement of sustainable product policy are crucial for achieving results. Enforcement can be carried out via market surveillance within the EU Single Market and via customs checks at its borders. Market surveillance is the responsibility of the Member States and was the object of the recently revised Regulation (EU) 2019/1020 of 20 June 2019 on market . How do you think the European surveillance and compliance of products Commission could contribute further to this dimension? Please rate from 1 to 5 each action presented in the table, with 1 denoting low importance and 5 high importance. a. Set verification targets for the products deemed most likely to be non-compliant (e.g. electronic gadgets) I do not know / no opinion b. Support Member States in the distribution of surveillance tasks per product category (e.g. Member State A responsible for construction materials; Member State B for heating & cooling equipment etc.) I do not know / no opinion c. Require third-party certification or inspection to simplify the work of Member State enforcement authorities 3 d. Accompanying measures from the European Commission to Member States (e.g. guidance, support etc.) 4 e. Create a central reporting point/website to enable consumers to provide feedback on products that do not meet their sustainability requirements 3 3.B According to your experience with the Ecodesign Directive (if any), are there any market surveillance issues related to the current Directive that you think need to be considered in a future Ecodesign legislation?

As the European leader in low carbon energy EDF supports the strong ambition expressed in the Green Deal and EU decarbonisation objectives to 2030 and 2050. The Group can build on an existing 90% decarbonised energy mix, thanks to the synergy between renewables and increasingly flexible nuclear energy, and committed itself to further reduce its CO2 emissions when adopting its "raison d'être". This also means implementing circular economy and preservation of resources in industrial processes. In that sense, EDF promotes waste reduction through sustainable consumption and ecodesign in general.

Therefore, EDF welcomes the Commission's ambition to put in place a Sustainable Products Initiative to encourage circular business models, in particular product-services systems. Putting in place financial incentives at EU level, such as Extended Producer Responsibility schemes, will encourage more sustainable production and consumption patterns. Finally, EDF supports the view that upcoming initiatives should take into account existing regulations and mechanisms at national level and focus on EU harmonisation.

If you wish to add further information, comments or account existing suggestions (relevant to the scope of this Public Consultation), harmonisation. please do so here:

If you wish to upload a supporting file, please do so here