

December 23 files.docx

 Delhi Technological University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::27535:125058654

Submission Date

Dec 23, 2025, 4:51 PM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Dec 23, 2025, 4:58 PM GMT+5:30

File Name

December 23 files.docx

File Size

146.6 KB

94 Pages





24,651 Words

154,689 Characters




20% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Match Groups


-  **238 Not Cited or Quoted 17%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **79 Missing Quotations 3%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **4 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 14%  Internet sources
- 11%  Publications
- 17%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

1 Integrity Flag for Review

-  **Hidden Text**
657 suspect characters on 10 pages
Text is altered to blend into the white background of the document.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 238 Not Cited or Quoted 17%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 79 Missing Quotations 3%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 4 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 14% Internet sources
- 11% Publications
- 17% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Submitted works	NCC Education on 2025-12-13	1%
2	Internet	www.coursehero.com	<1%
3	Submitted works	Capella University on 2025-11-30	<1%
4	Submitted works	Robert Kennedy College on 2025-10-09	<1%
5	Submitted works	York St John University on 2025-01-24	<1%
6	Submitted works	University of Southampton on 2024-09-02	<1%
7	Internet	resources.reed.co.uk	<1%
8	Internet	usiena-air.unisi.it	<1%
9	Submitted works	Laureate Higher Education Group on 2015-11-08	<1%
10	Submitted works	Glasgow Caledonian University on 2025-11-24	<1%

11	Submitted works	University of Birmingham on 2025-09-05	<1%
12	Submitted works	Leeds Metropolitan University on 2020-11-02	<1%
13	Submitted works	University of Hertfordshire on 2025-04-11	<1%
14	Submitted works	University of Sunderland on 2022-12-13	<1%
15	Internet	findresearcher.sdu.dk	<1%
16	Submitted works	Bath Spa University College on 2025-10-11	<1%
17	Internet	inria.hal.science	<1%
18	Submitted works	Manchester Metropolitan University on 2025-01-06	<1%
19	Submitted works	Westcliff University on 2025-12-15	<1%
20	Internet	workingment.com	<1%
21	Submitted works	Global Banking Training on 2025-02-07	<1%
22	Submitted works	UNICAF on 2025-05-03	<1%
23	Submitted works	Glasgow Caledonian University on 2025-03-30	<1%
24	Internet	easpublisher.com	<1%

25	Submitted works	The University of the West of Scotland on 2025-07-24	<1%
26	Submitted works	Open University on 2025-09-19	<1%
27	Internet	docta.ucm.es	<1%
28	Submitted works	Malta College of Arts,Science and Technology on 2025-11-15	<1%
29	Submitted works	BB9.1 PROD on 2025-12-15	<1%
30	Submitted works	University of Bedfordshire on 2025-03-10	<1%
31	Submitted works	University of College Cork on 2024-11-05	<1%
32	Submitted works	University of Northampton on 2024-08-30	<1%
33	Internet	rhul.rl.talis.com	<1%
34	Submitted works	Institute of Technical Education on 2025-05-28	<1%
35	Submitted works	Nottingham Trent University on 2025-12-09	<1%
36	Submitted works	Queen's University of Belfast on 2024-12-01	<1%
37	Internet	hal.archives-ouvertes.fr	<1%
38	Submitted works	BPP College of Professional Studies Limited on 2024-02-01	<1%

39	Internet	pureadmin.qub.ac.uk	<1%
40	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-04-10	<1%
41	Submitted works	Rushmore Business School on 2025-08-09	<1%
42	Submitted works	University of Hertfordshire on 2025-04-16	<1%
43	Submitted works	University of Northampton on 2023-05-27	<1%
44	Submitted works	University of Ulster on 2025-08-31	<1%
45	Internet	serval.unil.ch	<1%
46	Submitted works	Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2025-12-08	<1%
47	Submitted works	Queen's University of Belfast on 2024-04-28	<1%
48	Submitted works	The University of Manchester on 2025-08-24	<1%
49	Internet	www.diva-portal.org	<1%
50	Submitted works	Capella University on 2024-09-25	<1%
51	Submitted works	Doncaster College on 2025-12-12	<1%
52	Submitted works	RDI Distance Learning on 2025-11-17	<1%

53	Internet	journal.um-surabaya.ac.id	<1%
54	Submitted works	Dudley College on 2025-12-12	<1%
55	Submitted works	Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland on 2023-11-14	<1%
56	Submitted works	University of Northumbria at Newcastle on 2025-11-17	<1%
57	Internet	www.conference.ase.ro	<1%
58	Publication	Kirsten, Monica. "Constructing a Psychological Framework for Enhancing Relatio...	<1%
59	Publication	Tella, Fortune. "A Conceptual Framework for Social-Media Crisis Communication t...	<1%
60	Internet	biblio.ugent.be	<1%
61	Internet	frw.studenttheses.ub.rug.nl	<1%
62	Submitted works	American Intercontinental University Online on 2011-05-01	<1%
63	Submitted works	New College Swindon on 2025-01-29	<1%
64	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-12-16	<1%
65	Submitted works	University of Greenwich on 2025-10-23	<1%
66	Publication	Clive Sealey. "The Students' Handbook for Studying Health and Social Care - Essen...	<1%

67	Submitted works	University of Central Lancashire on 2023-06-25	<1%
68	Submitted works	De Montfort University on 2024-03-14	<1%
69	Publication	Franklin, Cynthia, Jordan, Cathleen. "Turner's Social Work Treatment", Turner's ...	<1%
70	Submitted works	Oaklands College on 2025-04-04	<1%
71	Submitted works	Sriwijaya University on 2025-09-09	<1%
72	Submitted works	University of Birmingham on 2025-05-05	<1%
73	Internet	www.mdpi.com	<1%
74	Submitted works	University of Cumbria on 2022-11-13	<1%
75	Internet	archive.mtcon.org	<1%
76	Internet	dare.ubvu.vu.nl	<1%
77	Internet	kclpure.kcl.ac.uk	<1%
78	Internet	kmr.kasba.or.kr	<1%
79	Internet	www.pef.czu.cz	<1%
80	Submitted works	Southern New Hampshire University - Continuing Education on 2025-06-12	<1%

81	Internet	ctfm-elb.citethisforme.com	<1%
82	Publication	Karima Kourtit, Peter Nijkamp, John Östh, Umut Turk. "Airbnb and COVID-19: SPA...	<1%
83	Submitted works	Mont Blanc Palace on 2024-02-06	<1%
84	Submitted works	Robert Kennedy College on 2025-10-08	<1%
85	Internet	riverpath.com	<1%
86	Submitted works	City College Norwich on 2025-10-06	<1%
87	Submitted works	University College Birmingham on 2025-12-21	<1%
88	Internet	bristoluniversitypressdigital.com	<1%
89	Internet	etheses.bham.ac.uk	<1%
90	Internet	theses.ncl.ac.uk	<1%
91	Publication	Surjupersad, Ajit. "The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Initiative...	<1%
92	Internet	www.medrxiv.org	<1%
93	Submitted works	London School of Commerce on 2025-11-02	<1%
94	Submitted works	NCG, Tyne & Wear on 2025-12-18	<1%

95	Submitted works	Wayne State University on 2025-11-18	<1%
96	Submitted works	Wiltshire College on 2012-02-09	<1%
97	Internet	healthdocbox.com	<1%
98	Internet	pure.southwales.ac.uk	<1%
99	Internet	ueaeprints.uea.ac.uk	<1%
100	Internet	www.hiqa.ie	<1%
101	Internet	www.researchgate.net	<1%
102	Submitted works	Global Banking Training on 2025-02-17	<1%
103	Submitted works	Laureate Higher Education Group on 2012-12-02	<1%
104	Submitted works	Laureate Higher Education Group on 2014-08-17	<1%
105	Publication	Randall, Jonathan Patrick. "How Hospitality Small Business Entrepreneurs Adapte..."	<1%
106	Submitted works	UNICAF on 2025-02-22	<1%
107	Submitted works	University of Liverpool on 2019-09-27	<1%
108	Submitted works	University of Newcastle on 2022-05-22	<1%

109	Submitted works	University of Warwick on 2019-08-14	<1%
110	Submitted works	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam on 2025-10-29	<1%
111	Internet	d-nb.info	<1%
112	Internet	desklib.com	<1%
113	Internet	libweb.kpfu.ru	<1%
114	Internet	ojs.amhinternational.com	<1%
115	Submitted works	Dublin Business School on 2025-08-27	<1%
116	Publication	Yongsun Paik, Dong Chen, William Newburry, Dan Prud'homme. "Global Business...	<1%
117	Internet	munin.uit.no	<1%
118	Submitted works	Australian Institute of Higher Education on 2025-10-06	<1%
119	Submitted works	Bedford College Group on 2024-05-17	<1%
120	Publication	Carl H. Botan, Erich J. Sommerfeldt. "Public Relations Theory III - In the Age of Pu...	<1%
121	Submitted works	Chester College of Higher Education on 2025-01-13	<1%
122	Submitted works	Chester College of Higher Education on 2025-10-08	<1%

123	Submitted works	University of Northampton on 2023-01-08	<1%
124	Internet	ebin.pub	<1%
125	Internet	www.bluekraft.in	<1%
126	Internet	www.sgpjbg.com	<1%
127	Submitted works	BBPlugin on 2025-07-03	<1%
128	Submitted works	City University of New York System on 2025-12-10	<1%
129	Submitted works	Edith Cowan University on 2025-06-05	<1%
130	Submitted works	Grand Canyon University on 2017-08-16	<1%
131	Publication	Kong Phang, Jihene Kaabi. "Privacy in Flux: A 35-Year Systematic Review of Legal ...	<1%
132	Submitted works	University of Edinburgh on 2018-03-20	<1%
133	Internet	journals.iium.edu.my	<1%
134	Internet	www.southpole.com	<1%
135	Internet	www.thetimes.com	<1%
136	Submitted works	Chester College of Higher Education on 2025-10-14	<1%

137	Submitted works	De Montfort University on 2025-04-25	<1%
138	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-10-06	<1%
139	Submitted works	Southern New Hampshire University - Continuing Education on 2025-12-16	<1%
140	Submitted works	University of Birmingham on 2018-02-25	<1%
141	Publication	Zeiser, Andrew. "In Pursuit of Supply Chain Resilience: Three Essays Providing Gui...	<1%
142	Internet	dspace.balikesir.edu.tr	<1%
143	Internet	www.emerald.com	<1%
144	Submitted works	BB9.1 PROD on 2025-09-03	<1%
145	Submitted works	Miller-Motte College Ancora Education on 2025-12-16	<1%
146	Submitted works	University of Liverpool on 2025-05-08	<1%
147	Submitted works	University of Ulster on 2023-12-01	<1%
148	Submitted works	University of Wales Swansea on 2013-01-08	<1%
149	Submitted works	Westminster International College - Kuala Lumpur on 2020-11-16	<1%
150	Internet	lbccg.org.au	<1%

151	Internet	ora.ox.ac.uk	<1%
152	Internet	proformas.ljmu.ac.uk	<1%
153	Submitted works	AUT University on 2022-06-05	<1%
154	Submitted works	Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology on 2025-09-12	<1%
155	Submitted works	Canterbury Christ Church University on 2025-05-13	<1%
156	Submitted works	Chartered Institute of Housing on 2023-11-26	<1%
157	Publication	Gonzalez-Padron. "Business Ethics and Social Responsibility for Managers", UAGC...	<1%
158	Submitted works	University of Bedfordshire on 2015-03-05	<1%
159	Submitted works	University of East London on 2025-12-19	<1%
160	Submitted works	University of Glasgow on 2024-11-28	<1%
161	Publication	Wen-Ta Chiu, Jonathan Wu. "Decoding the Pandemic - Unveiling the Hidden Dept...	<1%
162	Submitted works	York St John University on 2025-05-18	<1%
163	Internet	irep.ntu.ac.uk	<1%
164	Internet	mro.massey.ac.nz	<1%

165	Internet	obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com	<1%
166	Internet	recoveryexcellence.com	<1%
167	Internet	uni-mate.hu	<1%
168	Internet	www.burnet.edu.au	<1%
169	Internet	www.uos.ac.uk	<1%
170	Submitted works	BPP College of Professional Studies Limited on 2025-10-11	<1%
171	Submitted works	California State University, San Bernardino on 2025-10-22	<1%
172	Submitted works	Cranfield University on 2012-12-10	<1%
173	Submitted works	GEMS World Academy on 2025-10-06	<1%
174	Publication	Nigel G. Evans. "Strategic Management for Tourism, Hospitality and Events", Rout...	<1%
175	Submitted works	Royal Holloway and Bedford New College on 2020-07-31	<1%
176	Submitted works	South College on 2025-03-13	<1%
177	Submitted works	University of Northampton on 2023-05-28	<1%
178	Submitted works	University of Southampton on 2024-03-19	<1%

179	Submitted works	University of Sunderland on 2022-12-13	<1%
180	Submitted works	Weston College on 2025-12-17	<1%
181	Internet	repository.president.ac.id	<1%
182	Internet	stec.univ-ovidius.ro	<1%
183	Internet	timesofindia.indiatimes.com	<1%
184	Internet	www.bmij.org	<1%
185	Internet	www.conftool.com	<1%
186	Internet	www.tandfonline.com	<1%
187	Publication	Özkan, İrem. "Diffusion of Universal Institutional Norms: A Case Study of Instituti..."	<1%
188	Publication	Alastair M. Morrison. "Tourism Marketing - In the Age of the Consumer", Routled...	<1%
189	Submitted works	Brunel University on 2024-06-05	<1%
190	Submitted works	Callaghan Campus on 2005-10-19	<1%
191	Submitted works	Chester College of Higher Education on 2024-07-21	<1%
192	Submitted works	Cranfield University on 2012-12-04	<1%

193	Publication	Dogan Gursoy. "The Routledge Handbook of Hospitality Marketing", Routledge, 2...	<1%
194	Submitted works	ESoft Metro Campus, Sri Lanka on 2025-08-12	<1%
195	Publication	Farhad Taghizadeh-Hesary, Hassanudin Mohd Thas Thaker, M. Ishaq Bhatti, Moh...	<1%
196	Publication	Janes, Marie. "Optimising Diagnostic Pathways for Dementia Care: The Implicatio...	<1%
197	Publication	Sanmugam, Velautham. "Impact of Transformational Leadership Development fo...	<1%
198	Publication	Shannon Chance, Tom Børsen, Diana Adela Martin, Roland Tormey, Thomas Taro ...	<1%
199	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2018-06-19	<1%
200	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-11-19	<1%
201	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-12-09	<1%
202	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-12-15	<1%
203	Submitted works	Sheffield Hallam University on 2025-12-16	<1%
204	Submitted works	Staffordshire University on 2020-01-05	<1%
205	Submitted works	Sydney Institute of Business Technology on 2022-09-04	<1%
206	Submitted works	Thesis 2025 on 2025-11-16	<1%

207	Publication	Turan Paksoy, Mehmet Akif Gunduz, Sercan Demir. "Overall competitiveness effic...	<1%
208	Submitted works	University of Melbourne on 2010-11-03	<1%
209	Submitted works	University of Ulster on 2024-05-09	<1%
210	Submitted works	Universität Bayreuth on 2025-09-24	<1%
211	Publication	Updesh Kumar. "The Routledge International Handbook of Psychosocial Resilienc...	<1%
212	Publication	Weber, Sarah K.. "Vessels Without Brains: The Lived Experiences of Care Provision...	<1%
213	Publication	Wendy A. Rogers, Jackie Leach Scully, Stacy M. Carter, Vikki A. Entwistle, Catherin...	<1%
214	Internet	api-depositonce.tu-berlin.de	<1%
215	Internet	com.cityu.edu.hk	<1%
216	Internet	discovery.dundee.ac.uk	<1%
217	Internet	discovery.ucl.ac.uk	<1%
218	Internet	eprints.qut.edu.au	<1%
219	Internet	jurnal.uinsu.ac.id	<1%
220	Internet	ndl.ethernet.edu.et	<1%

221	Internet	philarchive.org	<1%
222	Internet	repository.uel.ac.uk	<1%
223	Internet	ruj.uj.edu.pl	<1%
224	Internet	theses.hal.science	<1%
225	Internet	www.adry.up.ac.za	<1%
226	Internet	www.dhbw-stuttgart.de	<1%
227	Internet	www.irejournals.com	<1%
228	Internet	www.redete.org	<1%
229	Publication	Abbott, Hannah, Booth, Helen. "Foundations for Operating Department Practice: ...	<1%
230	Submitted works	Bedford College Group on 2025-12-20	<1%
231	Submitted works	Bishop Thomas Grant School on 2025-06-02	<1%
232	Submitted works	Capella University on 2024-06-13	<1%
233	Submitted works	University of Birmingham on 2019-05-20	<1%
234	Submitted works	University of East London on 2009-09-30	<1%

235	Submitted works	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam on 2024-11-26	<1%
236	Publication	Cook, Jacqueline Jane. "Implementing and Evaluating Direct Care Staff Education..."	<1%
237	Publication	Maila D.H. Rahiem. "Towards Resilient Societies: The Synergy of Religion, Educati..."	<1%
238	Publication	The Skills Network	<1%
239	Submitted works	University of Derby on 2025-08-05	<1%
240	Submitted works	University of Glamorgan on 2013-04-19	<1%
241	Submitted works	University of Lincoln on 2025-05-07	<1%
242	Submitted works	University of New South Wales on 2025-08-10	<1%

December 23 files

DISSERTATION OUTLINE

MA Social Work (SWKM026)

Intersections of Substance Misuse and Rough Sleeping: Implications for Social Work Practice

Word Count: 8,000 words

University of Northampton

DISSERTATION OVERVIEW

This outline presents the proposed structure for a dissertation examining the complex relationship between substance misuse and rough sleeping, with specific focus on implications for contemporary social work practice in England.

Word Count Breakdown

Chapter	Word Count	Percentage
Introduction Chapter	2,000	25%
Policy, Legislation and Data Chapter	1,500	18.75%
Review of the Literature Chapter	1,500	18.75%
Critical Appraisal Chapter	3,000	37.5%
Conclusion	250	3.1%
TOTAL (excluding references and appendices)	8,250	100%

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION (2,000 words)

1.1 Background and Context

This section will establish the historical and contemporary landscape of both rough sleeping and substance misuse in England, highlighting their interconnected nature.

- Historical overview of homelessness policy in the UK from the Housing (Homeless Persons) Act 1977 to present day
- The evolution of substance misuse as a public health concern versus a criminal justice issue
- Statistical context: current rough sleeping figures and substance misuse prevalence among homeless populations
- The 'dual diagnosis' challenge: co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders among rough sleepers
- Economic and social costs of failing to address these intersecting issues

1.2 Rationale for the Dissertation

Justification for why this topic warrants in-depth analysis and its relevance to contemporary social work practice.

- Growing visibility of rough sleeping post-COVID-19 pandemic and the 'Everyone In' initiative
- Rising drug-related deaths among homeless populations
- Fragmented service provision and the 'revolving door' phenomenon
- The role of social workers in multi-disciplinary responses to complex needs

1.3 Aims and Objectives


Clear articulation of what the dissertation seeks to achieve.

- Examine the bidirectional relationship between substance misuse and rough sleeping
- Critically evaluate current policy and legislative frameworks
- Identify effective intervention models and practice approaches
- Consider implications for anti-oppressive and person-centred social work practice

1.4 Key Terms and Definitions

Definitions of core terminology used throughout the dissertation:

- **Rough sleeping:** People sleeping, or bedded down, in open air spaces such as streets, doorways, parks
- **Substance misuse:** The harmful use of substances including alcohol and illicit drugs
- **Multiple exclusion homelessness:** Homelessness combined with other forms of deep social exclusion
- **Dual diagnosis:** Co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders

- 
- **Housing First:** An approach providing immediate access to permanent housing without preconditions
 - **Psychologically Informed Environments (PIEs):** Environments designed to meet psychological and emotional needs

1.5 Relevance to Social Work Practice

Establishing clear connections between the topic and professional social work responsibilities.

- Social workers' statutory duties under homelessness legislation
- Care Act 2014 and the interface with homelessness services
- Safeguarding responsibilities for adults with care and support needs
- Professional values of social justice and human rights

CHAPTER 2: POLICY, LEGISLATION AND DATA (1,500 words)

2.1 Primary Legislation

Analysis of the key legislative frameworks governing homelessness and substance misuse services.

1. **Homelessness Reduction Act 2017:** Extended duties on local authorities, prevention and relief duties, duty to refer
2. **Care Act 2014:** Eligibility criteria, wellbeing principle, safeguarding adults duties, assessment duties
3. **Mental Health Act 1983 (as amended 2007):** Relevance for dual diagnosis presentations
4. **Housing Act 1996 Part VII:** Priority need, intentional homelessness, local connection
5. **Misuse of Drugs Act 1971:** Classification system and its impact on service provision

2.2 Policy Framework

Current government strategies and professional guidance.

- Rough Sleeping Strategy (2018) and subsequent updates
- 'Ending Rough Sleeping for Good' Strategy (2022)
- Drug Strategy 'From Harm to Hope' (2021)
- NHS Long Term Plan provisions for substance misuse services
- NICE Guidelines (NG7, NG108) on homelessness and substance misuse

2.3 Professional Standards and Frameworks

Standards that inform social work practice in this area.

- Social Work England Professional Standards
- Professional Capabilities Framework (PCF)
- BASW Code of Ethics
- Knowledge and Skills Statement for Social Workers in Adult Services

2.4 Statistical Data and Current Landscape

Presenting the evidence base for the scale of the issue.

- Annual rough sleeping snapshot data (DLUHC)
- Substance misuse prevalence data among homeless populations (Homeless Link surveys)
- Drug-related death statistics (ONS data)
- Hospital admissions data for substance misuse and homelessness
- Regional variations and local authority responses

2.5 Policy Gaps and Tensions

Critical analysis of limitations within current frameworks.

- Disconnect between homelessness and substance misuse service commissioning
- 'No recourse to public funds' and excluded populations
- Criminalisation versus public health approaches
- Austerity impacts on service provision

CHAPTER 3: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE (1,500 words)

3.1 Search Strategy Overview

Brief description of systematic approach to literature searching (detailed in Appendix).

- Databases searched: CINAHL, Social Care Online, PubMed, ASSIA, Google Scholar
- Key search terms and Boolean operators used
- Inclusion/exclusion criteria summary
- PRISMA-style flow diagram of study selection

3.2 Theme 1: The Bidirectional Relationship

Examining whether substance misuse causes homelessness or vice versa.

- Evidence for substance misuse as a pathway into homelessness
- Evidence for homelessness increasing substance use as a coping mechanism
- Complexity of cause and effect relationships
- Role of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) as underlying factors

3.3 Theme 2: Barriers to Service Access

Structural and personal barriers preventing effective engagement.

- 'Treatment readiness' requirements and abstinence-based approaches
- Exclusion criteria in hostels and supported accommodation
- Stigma, shame, and trust issues
- Service fragmentation and 'falling through the gaps'

3.4 Theme 3: Effective Intervention Models

Evidence-based approaches showing positive outcomes.

- Housing First model: evidence from Finland, Scotland, and pilot programmes in England
- Psychologically Informed Environments (PIEs)
- Harm reduction approaches versus abstinence models
- Assertive outreach and in-reach services
- Peer support and lived experience involvement

3.5 Theme 4: Trauma-Informed Approaches

Understanding the role of trauma in substance misuse and homelessness.

- Prevalence of complex trauma histories among rough sleepers
- Substance use as self-medication for unresolved trauma
- Re-traumatisation through service systems
- Principles of trauma-informed care in social work practice

3.6 Critical Evaluation of Literature

Assessment of research quality and identified gaps.

- Methodological limitations of existing studies
- Under-representation of certain populations (women, LGBTQ+, ethnic minorities)
- Need for more UK-specific research
- Researcher/author bias considerations

CHAPTER 4: CRITICAL APPRAISAL (3,000 words)

4.1 Synthesising Literature Themes with Policy/Legislation

Bringing together the findings from Chapters 2 and 3 to identify alignments and tensions.

- How effectively does current legislation address the bidirectional relationship?
- Gaps between policy intentions and practice realities
- The 'siloes' nature of homelessness and substance misuse commissioning

4.2 Applying Theoretical Frameworks

Using social work theories to analyse the issues.

- **Ecological Systems Theory (Bronfenbrenner):** Understanding individual experiences within wider systemic contexts
- **Anti-Oppressive Practice:** Structural inequalities and their role in creating homelessness and substance misuse
- **Strengths-Based Perspectives:** Moving beyond deficit models to recognise resilience
- **Critical Social Work:** Challenging neoliberal policies and individual responsibilisation

4.3 Implications for Social Work Practice

Specific practice considerations for social workers.

4.3.1 Assessment and Engagement

- Building trust with individuals who have experienced service failures
- Holistic assessment acknowledging complexity of needs
- Avoiding re-traumatisation through assessment processes
- Working with ambivalence and 'resistant' behaviour

4.3.2 Multi-Agency Working

- Social work role in coordinating complex care
- Information sharing and confidentiality challenges
- Advocating for clients within multi-disciplinary teams
- Joint working with housing, health, and criminal justice agencies

4.3.3 Ethical Considerations

- Balancing autonomy with duty of care
- Capacity assessments and substance intoxication
- Harm reduction versus risk-averse practice cultures
- Managing professional boundaries and secondary trauma

4.4 Analysis of Service Models

Critical evaluation of different approaches to integrated support.

- Housing First implementation challenges in English contexts
- Effectiveness of 'low threshold' versus 'high expectations' services
- Role of peer support workers and experts by experience
- Cost-effectiveness arguments for integrated services

4.5 Professional Standards Analysis

Connecting themes to Social Work England standards and the PCF.

- Standard 1: Promote wellbeing – addressing the full range of needs
- Standard 3: Be accountable – professional judgement in complex situations
- PCF Domain: Rights, Justice and Economic Wellbeing
- PCF Domain: Intervention and Skills

4.6 Contemporary Context and Future Directions

Current developments and emerging issues.

- Impact of cost-of-living crisis on rough sleeping
- Emerging drug trends (synthetic cannabinoids, nitazenes)
- NHS integration and Integrated Care Systems
- Potential legislative changes and reform proposals

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION (250 words)

The conclusion will synthesise the key arguments and findings without introducing new material.

- Restate the dissertation's central argument regarding the intersection of substance misuse and rough sleeping
- Summarise key findings from the literature and critical appraisal
- Highlight the significance for social work practice
- Acknowledge limitations of the dissertation
- Final reflective statement on the topic's importance

Note: No recommendations for practice or 'social workers need more training' statements as per dissertation guidance.

REFERENCES

Full reference list following University of Northampton Harvard Referencing Guide.

Anticipated key sources include:

- Legislation: Homelessness Reduction Act 2017, Care Act 2014, Housing Act 1996
- Government publications: DLUHC statistics, Drug Strategy documents
- Key authors: Fitzpatrick, S., Bramley, G., Johnsen, S. (homelessness research)
- Key authors: Neale, J., Best, D., Strang, J. (substance misuse research)
- Organisational reports: Homeless Link, Crisis, St Mungo's
- Professional bodies: Social Work England, BASW
- NICE Guidelines and clinical guidance

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Literature Search Strategy

Detailed documentation of the systematic search process.

A.1 Databases Searched

Database	Rationale for Inclusion
CINAHL	Health and nursing literature, substance misuse research
Social Care Online	UK social work and social care research
PubMed	Medical and public health perspectives
ASSIA	Applied social science research
Google Scholar	Grey literature, reports, and additional academic sources

A.2 Search Terms and Boolean Operators

Primary Search String:

("rough sleep*" OR "street homeless*" OR "roofless*" OR homelessness) AND ("substance misuse" OR "substance abuse" OR "drug use" OR "alcohol dependence" OR addiction) AND ("social work" OR "social care" OR intervention OR practice)

Secondary Search Terms:

- "Housing First" AND substance
- "dual diagnosis" AND homeless*
- "psychologically informed environment*" AND homeless*
- "trauma informed" AND homeless* AND substance
- "harm reduction" AND rough sleep*

A.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Published 2014-2024 (10-year window)	Published before 2014 (unless seminal work)
English language	Non-English language publications

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
UK or comparable welfare state context	Non-comparable welfare systems (e.g., USA healthcare context)
Peer-reviewed journals, government reports, NICE guidelines	Opinion pieces, editorials without evidence base
Focus on adult populations (18+)	Youth homelessness as primary focus
Addresses intersection of homelessness AND substance misuse	Single-issue focus without exploring intersection
Relevance to social work practice	Purely clinical/medical focus without practice implications

A.4 Search Process Overview

PRISMA-style flow diagram to be included showing:

- Number of records identified through database searching
- Additional records identified through other sources (reference chaining, grey literature)
- Records after duplicates removed
- Records screened (title/abstract)
- Full-text articles assessed for eligibility
- Studies included in final review

Appendix B: Additional Supporting Materials

Potential additional appendices as agreed with dissertation tutor:

- Key statistical data tables
- Glossary of specialist terminology
- Timeline of relevant policy developments

Project Title: A Critical Analysis of Airbnb's Marketing Strategies for Rebuilding Brand Trust and Navigating

Regulatory Challenges in the Post-Pandemic Travel Market in the United Kingdom

ABSTRACT


 The COVID-19 pandemic was a blow to the brand trust and increased regulation over Airbnb, and it put the company in two ways. The current paper presents the marketing tactics deployed by Airbnb to restore its reputation and address the legislation in the dynamic UK travel segment. It helps bridge the gap between trust recovery and compliance in terms of marketing by applying Crisis Communication and Stakeholder Theory to analyse campaign, communications, and filings data in the UK market. The lessons will benefit the businesses that had to endure such a crisis and will make them learn more about crisis marketing in the digital hospitality industry.

Table of Contents

150	1.0 Project Rationale.....	17
	1.1 Introduction.....	17
142	1.2 The Business Problem.....	18
	1.3 The Significance of the Study.....	18
	1.4 Research Aim, Questions and Objectives.....	19
	2.0 Literature Review.....	19
	2.1 Brand Trust in Digital Platforms.....	19
	2.2 Crisis Marketing and Reputation Management	20
	2.3 Regulatory Marketing	20
181	2.4 Theoretical Framework.....	20
	2.5 Research Gap	21
	3.0 Methodology	22
177	4.0 Conclusion	22
	5.0 References.....	24

1.0 Project Rationale

1.1 Introduction

Airbnb is facing a severe two-fold challenge that threatens its market leadership and operational sustainability: regaining consumer trust and, at the same time, overcoming the increased regulatory pressure in the post-pandemic travelling market. The platform suffered a severe lack of confidence during COVID-19 due to the cases of host-guest cancellations, inconsistent refund policies, and safety concerns, which all led to the harbouring of a bad reputation as a reliable accommodation provider (Gossling et al., 2021; Dolnicar and Zare, 2020). At the same time, the regulatory bodies tightened their control, introducing more rigid taxation systems, housing policies, and licensing, which essentially put the Airbnb business model to the test (Dredge and Gyimothy, 2021; Ferreri and Sanyal, 2018).

This study examines how marketing approaches can be used as a tool to solve the issue of the sharing economy, where the foundation of transactions lies in trust (Hawlitschek et al., 2018), and where platforms are challenged by regulatory issues (Quattrone et al., 2016). The pandemic crisis revealed the weak points in governance and indicated the contradictions between the interests of the corporations, the welfare of the host population, and the expectations of the guests (Farmaki et al., 2020). After the pandemic, consumers have become more concerned with safety, flexibility, and corporate responsibility, whereas regulations require more accountability of the platform (Sigala, 2020).

1.2 The Business Problem

The United Kingdom offers an interesting case where the two challenges of Airbnb can be analysed. UK market has had a major regulatory turn of events, with such cities as London, Edinburgh, and Manchester introducing different levels of short-term rental bans. The platform has also been questioned as to whether it has influenced the availability of housing, neighbourhood character, and adherence to local planning regulations (Ferreri and Sanyal, 2018). At the same time, the UK is a perfect country to investigate the topic of trust recovery marketing since British consumers showed increased sensitivity to trust and safety issues during the pandemic.

Airbnb has been valued at 31 billion dollars before the pandemic, and the valuation has disrupted the hospitality industry by disintermediation using technology (Guttentag, 2019). The pandemic crisis has shown the flaws in the governance and has pointed to the inconsistencies of the interests of the corporations, welfare of the host population, and expectations of the guests (Farmaki et al., 2020).

1.3 The Significance of the Study

The study addresses significant business challenges that have an effect on various stakeholders. In the case of platform firms, a competitive advantage in volatile markets is provided by effective trust-building marketing that does not violate the regulations (Cheng et al., 2019). The recovery of the hospitality industry depends on the regaining of consumer confidence, so the strategies of Airbnb can be educational to competitors (Zenker and Kock, 2020). Also, working through marketing regulations is a little-known feature of corporate strategy, particularly at a time when governments around the world rethink platform regulation (Goodwin, 2020). The future of the sharing economy lies in the ability to balance innovation and regulation, and marketing is one of the possible mediators (Martin, 2016).

The emphasis on the United Kingdom is especially relevant due to the fact that the country is a top tourist destination and its regulatory framework regarding short-term rentals is changing. The research results of this paper will provide viable information that

can be used by platform companies that face comparable issues in the regulated markets.

1.4 Research Aim, Questions and Objectives

Research Aim:

To critically assess the marketing approaches used by Airbnb to regain brand trust and overcome regulatory obstacles in the post-pandemic travel market in the United Kingdom.

Research Objectives:

Objective 1: To analyse key marketing campaigns deployed by Airbnb for trust rebuilding in the UK market.

Objective 2: To evaluate the relationship between Airbnb's regulatory compliance marketing efforts and brand perception changes in the United Kingdom.

Objective 3: To identify the most effective marketing approaches for rebuilding brand trust within contexts of increased regulatory scrutiny.

Research Questions:

Research Question 1: How have Airbnb's post-pandemic marketing strategies in the UK addressed consumer trust deficits?

Research Question 2: What marketing approaches have proven most effective in rebuilding brand trust within the UK regulatory environment?

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Brand Trust in Digital Platforms

Brand trust manifests in the form of consumer confidence in competence, benevolence and integrity (Mayer et al., 1995). Trust is also different in a peer-to-peer marketplace, and platforms can guarantee safety without any direct service (Ert et al., 2016). Trust repair incorporates transparency, responsive service and accountability (Gillespie and Dietz, 2009). Mohlmann (2015) observes that platform trust is unlike interpersonal trust when

consumers should trust technology, governance, and providers, and the architectures of trust become complex and multi-level, which can easily fail.

2.2 Crisis Marketing and Reputation Management

Crisis marketing includes the strategic communication strategies of reducing the reputational damage and enabling the organisational recovery (Coombs, 2007). The strategy of post-crisis communication can be either denial or complete acceptance with punishment, and the degree of effectiveness depends on the type of crisis and who is held responsible (Claeys et al., 2010). Bundy et al. (2017) highlight the differences in the salience of stakeholders in the event of a crisis, which need differentiated communication strategies. However, the available literature primarily focuses on the situation of a single crisis; dual crisis, when trust is lost and regulation issues are faced at the same time, is under-theorised (Bundy and Pfarrer, 2015).

2.3 Regulatory Marketing

Regulatory marketing is a strategic communication that is aimed at the regulators, policymakers and communities to establish a sense of legitimacy and impact on the regulation consequences (Meznar and Nigh, 1995). Platform businesses make use of what is referred to as regulatory entrepreneurship, which involves actively influencing regulatory settings by involving stakeholders and framing narratives (Katz, 2015). Nevertheless, Thelen (2018) criticises these strategies by stating that they might weaken democratic governance, and Edelman and Geradin (2016) state that platforms have to strike a balance between the commercial and the social.

2.4 Theoretical Framework

The Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) provides a theory to interpret organisational response to crisis in which strategies are classified as defensive and accommodative with respect to crisis attribution and responsibility. SCCT is implemented to Airbnb, where communication decisions are to be made during the pandemic and work to restore trust, but Claeys and Coombs (2020) mention that the crises involving conflicting interests between stakeholders, including between Airbnb and guests, hosts, regulators, and communities, are limited.

223 According to Stakeholder Theory, organisations need to strike a balance between a variety of interests in order to be legitimate and successful (Freeman, 1984). Stakeholders are more complicated in the context of platform businesses since they mediate between the providers and the consumers due to the regulatory pressure (Sutherland and Jarrahi, 2018). It shows that marketing plays a crucial role in communication with the different groups, which is crucial in the two-fold challenges of Airbnb.

193 Trust Transfer Theory is a way of developing trust on the Internet, which involves such mechanisms as reputation, structural guarantees, and experiences (Stewart, 2003). Confidence in platforms is transferred to the transactions between individuals, hence, corporate confidence is vital in the operations (Gefen et al., 2003). The decline in the brand loyalty of Airbnb was a threat to the reputation and business activities.

A combination of SCCT and Stakeholder Theory is the most optimal solution, as it allows examining the way marketing addresses the problem of trust and regulatory challenges. This mix is premised on the fact that responding to a crisis must adopt differentiated communication to diverse groups of stakeholders whose interests conflict (Bundy et al., 2017; Mitchell et al., 1997).

2.5 Research Gap

The majority of studies of Airbnb deal with either trust or regulation, but rarely with the combination of them through marketing. Guttentag (2019) points out trust as an essential factor in success in the sharing economy, yet focuses on interpersonal more than corporate trust practices. Quattrone et al. (2016) examine the reactions of cities towards Airbnb, which involve opposition, but do not mention marketing as a factor in legitimacy.

82 The article by Dolnicar and Zare (2020) focuses on the effects of COVID-19 on trust, with cancellations mentioned as the most common ones, but it was written before a complete recovery.

Recent reports observe that there is erosion in the trust of the pandemic, but it has no marketing solutions. Sigala (2020) pays attention to safety communication but lacks platform-specific approaches. The body of knowledge on marketing as a means of trust

reconstruction and regulation is limited, but essential due to the fact that compliance enhances competitiveness (Dredge and Gyimothy, 2021) and trust is its cornerstone (Hawlitschek et al., 2018).

The vast majority of literature is concentrated on individual countries (Gurran and Phibbs, 2017; Wachsmuth and Weisler, 2018), which does not allow for making blanket statements. Nieuwland and van Melik (2020) demonstrate that the responses differ, and they should be addressed locally, which supports the UK-focused approach of this study. Additionally, the vast majority of studies predate Airbnb's IPO in 2020, which changed the expectations of stakeholders (Cheng et al., 2021).

3.0 Methodology

The study is based on a qualitative interpretive method that is appropriate in the process of understanding a complex phenomenon such as meaning, interpretation, and strategic intent (Bryman and Bell, 2015). It enables deep study of the marketing content, strategies, and stakeholders' response as opposed to statistical generalisation (Creswell and Poth, 2018). The sources of data will be marketing campaigns of Airbnb in the UK, corporate communications, reports, filings, investor presentations, and media coverage. Other sources are industry reports, literature on the topic, and regulatory documents from UK authorities (Veal, 2018). Patterns and strategies will be identified through thematic analysis, and how Airbnb creates the discourses of trust, safety, and regulation will be explored through a critical discourse analysis (Fairclough, 2013). This single-country focus allows for in-depth examination of localisation and regulatory effects on strategy. This method provides an understanding of the strategic role of marketing in the time of two crises, and also mentions interpretative constraints of the secondary data.

4.0 Conclusion

The study explores the application of marketing to deal with the dual crisis of trust and regulation by platform businesses through the case of Airbnb in the United Kingdom. Airbnb was experiencing a loss of confidence and more regulations due to the pandemic, which makes it a perfect subject of crisis marketing. The research has been timely

because of the current changes in the travel market and regulatory environment in the UK.

The study provides strategic suggestions to struggling sharing economy platforms on how regulatory compliance can be used as a marketing opportunity and not as a restriction. In the case of the hospitality industry, it emphasises recovery measures in the trust-based industries. It contributes to the study of crisis marketing, both academically and strategically, by discussing two crises at once; it connects the body of literature on the platform economy, traditionally separated into trust and regulatory studies. The results are the structures of trust and regulatory marketing, the best practices in dealing with the complexity of the stakeholders, and the methods of increasing the competitiveness and sustainability of Airbnb as a sharing economy.

5.0 References

4 Bryman, A. and Bell, E. (2015) *Business research methods*. 4th edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bundy, J. and Pfarrer, M.D. (2015) 'A burden of responsibility: The role of social approval at the onset of a crisis', *Academy of Management Review*, 40(3), pp. 345-369.

Bundy, J., Pfarrer, M.D., Short, C.E. and Coombs, W.T. (2017) 'Crises and crisis management: Integration, interpretation, and research development', *Journal of Management*, 43(6), pp. 1661-1692.

79 Cheng, M., Chen, G., Wiedmann, T. and Hadjidakou, M. (2021) 'The sharing economy and sustainability – assessing Airbnb's direct, indirect and induced carbon footprint in Sydney', *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 29(10), pp. 1083-1103.

15 Cheng, X., Fu, S., Sun, J., Bilgihan, A. and Okumus, F. (2019) 'An investigation on online reviews in sharing economy driven hospitality platforms: A viewpoint of trust', *Tourism Management*, 71, pp. 366-377.

78 Claes, A.S. and Coombs, W.T. (2020) 'Organizational crisis communication: Suboptimal crisis response selection decisions and behavioral economics', *Communication Theory*, 30(3), pp. 290-309.

6 Claes, A.S., Cauberghe, V. and Vyncke, P. (2010) 'Restoring reputations in times of crisis: An experimental study of the Situational Crisis Communication Theory and the moderating effects of locus of control', *Public Relations Review*, 36(3), pp. 256-262.

Coombs, W.T. (2007) 'Protecting organization reputations during a crisis: The development and application of situational crisis communication theory', *Corporate Reputation Review*, 10(3), pp. 163-176.

88 Creswell, J.W. and Poth, C.N. (2018) *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. 4th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

56 Dolnicar, S. and Zare, S. (2020) 'COVID19 and Airbnb – Disrupting the disruptor', *Annals of Tourism Research*, 83, 102961.

233 Dredge, D. and Gyimóthy, S. (2021) 'The collaborative economy and tourism: Perspectives, possibilities and prospects', in *Collaborative Economy and Tourism*. Cham: Springer, pp. 1-18.

11 Edelman, B.G. and Geradin, D. (2016) 'Efficiencies and regulatory shortcuts: How should we regulate companies like Airbnb and Uber?', *Stanford Technology Law Review*, 19(2), pp. 293-328.

Ert, E., Fleischer, A. and Magen, N. (2016) 'Trust and reputation in the sharing economy: The role of personal photos in Airbnb', *Tourism Management*, 55, pp. 62-73.

117 Fairclough, N. (2013) *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language*. 2nd edn. London: Routledge.

8 Farmaki, A., Miguel, C., Drotarova, M.H., Aleksić, A., Časni, A.Č. and Efthymiadou, F. (2020) 'Impacts of Covid-19 on peer-to-peer accommodation platforms: Host perceptions and responses', *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 91, 102663.

118 Ferreri, M. and Sanyal, R. (2018) 'Platform economies and urban planning: Airbnb and regulated deregulation in London', *Urban Studies*, 55(15), pp. 3353-3368.

Freeman, R.E. (1984) *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston: Pitman.

2 Gefen, D., Karahanna, E. and Straub, D.W. (2003) 'Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model', *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), pp. 51-90.

84 Gillespie, N. and Dietz, G. (2009) 'Trust repair after an organization-level failure', *Academy of Management Review*, 34(1), pp. 127-145.

226 Goodwin, T. (2020) 'Why Airbnb isn't "disrupting" the accommodation industry', *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 61(3), pp. 1-5.

57 Gössling, S., Scott, D. and Hall, C.M. (2021) 'Pandemics, tourism and global change: A rapid assessment of COVID-19', *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 29(1), pp. 1-20.

Gurran, N. and Phibbs, P. (2017) 'When tourists move in: How should urban planners respond to Airbnb?', *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 83(1), pp. 80-92.

Guttentag, D. (2019) 'Progress on Airbnb: A literature review', *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 10(3), pp. 233-263.

Hawlitsek, F., Teubner, T. and Gimpel, H. (2018) 'Consumer motives for peer-to-peer sharing', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 204, pp. 144-157.

Katz, V. (2015) 'Regulating the sharing economy', *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 30(4), pp. 1067-1126.

Martin, C.J. (2016) 'The sharing economy: A pathway to sustainability or a nightmarish form of neoliberal capitalism?', *Ecological Economics*, 121, pp. 149-159.

Mayer, R.C., Davis, J.H. and Schoorman, F.D. (1995) 'An integrative model of organizational trust', *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), pp. 709-734.

Meznar, M.B. and Nigh, D. (1995) 'Buffer or bridge? Environmental and organizational determinants of public affairs activities in American firms', *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(4), pp. 975-996.

Mitchell, R.K., Agle, B.R. and Wood, D.J. (1997) 'Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts', *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), pp. 853-886.

Möhlmann, M. (2015) 'Collaborative consumption: Determinants of satisfaction and the likelihood of using a sharing economy option again', *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 14(3), pp. 193-207.

Nieuwland, S. and van Melik, R. (2020) 'Regulating Airbnb: How cities deal with perceived negative externalities of short-term rentals', *Current Issues in Tourism*, 23(7), pp. 811-825.

Quattrone, G., Proserpio, D., Quercia, D., Capra, L. and Musolesi, M. (2016) 'Who benefits from the "sharing" economy of Airbnb?', in *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web*. Montreal: International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee, pp. 1385-1394.

143
76
Sigala, M. (2020) 'Tourism and COVID-19: Impacts and implications for advancing and resetting industry and research', *Journal of Business Research*, 117, pp. 312-321.

Stewart, K.J. (2003) 'Trust transfer on the world wide web', *Organization Science*, 14(1), pp. 5-17.

60
Sutherland, W. and Jarrahi, M.H. (2018) 'The sharing economy and digital platforms: A review and research agenda', *International Journal of Information Management*, 43, pp. 328-341.

2
Thelen, K. (2018) 'Regulating Uber: The politics of the platform economy in Europe and the United States', *Perspectives on Politics*, 16(4), pp. 938-953.

154
Veal, A.J. (2018) *Research methods for leisure and tourism*. 5th edn. Harlow: Pearson.

61
Wachsmuth, D. and Weisler, A. (2018) 'Airbnb and the rent gap: Gentrification through the sharing economy', *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 50(6), pp. 1147-1170.

37
Zenker, S. and Kock, F. (2020) 'The coronavirus pandemic – A critical discussion of a tourism research agenda', *Tourism Management*, 81, 104164.

106 A Critical Assessment of Apple Inc.'s Global Strategy: Competitive Positioning, Theoretical Analysis, and Strategic Recommendations

Global Strategy Development and Implementation

115 1. Introduction

Apple Inc. is a company based in Cupertino, California, and it deals with consumer electronics, software, and the technology service industry. By fiscal year 2024, Apple had annual revenues of \$391.04 billion USD and net income of 93.73 billion USD and is still the most valuable company in the world with a market capitalisation of 3.9 trillion

USD (Apple Inc., 2024). The corporation is placed on the Fortune 500 (2025) 4th and Fortune Global 500 8th. Apple is a multinational corporation that employs about 164,000 individuals and sells its products in 175 countries using 530 retail stores in 25 countries (Apple Inc., 2024).

Table 1: Apple Inc. Company Profile (FY2024)

Attribute	Details
Headquarters	Cupertino, California, USA
Industry Sector	Consumer Electronics, Software, Technology Services
Annual Revenue (FY2024)	\$391.04 billion USD
Net Income (FY2024)	\$93.73 billion USD
Market Capitalisation	\$3.9 trillion (World's Most Valuable)
Global Employees	164,000
Countries of Operation	175 countries
Retail Stores	530 stores in 25 nations
Fortune 500 Rank (2025)	#4 (US); #8 (Global 500)
Primary Revenue: iPhone	48.7% of total revenue
Services Revenue	24% of total revenue

Source: Apple Inc. (2024) Annual Report Form 10-K

This essay is a critical analysis of Apple as a global strategy based on the Five Forces, Diamond Model by Porter, and the Bartlett and Ghoshal model, analysing the external environmental factors using PESL analysis, assessing the alignment of CSR to UN SDGs, and the strategy recommendations.

2. Porter's Five Forces Analysis: United States Smartphone Market

The Five Forces model developed by Porter (2008) is still helpful in the perception of the competitive forces in an industry. Using this model to examine the case of Apple in the US smartphone industry indicates the presence of strategic forces that determine its competitive advantage.

Table 2: Porter's Five Forces Analysis Summary – US Smartphone Market

Force	Rating	Key Factors	Strategic Implication
Competitive Rivalry	HIGH	Samsung, Google, Xiaomi competition; Industry maturation; Feature/price wars	Ecosystem differentiation mitigates rivalry; 92% retention rate.
Supplier Power	MODERATE	TSMC dependency (100% custom silicon); Geopolitical risks; 200+ suppliers	Apple Silicon reduces dependency; India manufacturing expansion
Buyer Power	LOW-MOD	153M US iPhone users; High switching costs; Ecosystem lock-in	Premium pricing sustained; Enterprise buyers have moderate leverage
Threat of New Entrants	LOW	\$30B+ R&D barrier; 80,000+ patents; Scale economies required	High barriers protect incumbent profitability
Threat of Substitutes	MODERATE	Android ecosystem; Foldables; AR/VR devices; AI-native platforms	Vision Pro positions for spatial computing; Ecosystem reduces substitution

Source: Adapted from Porter (2008); Chen (2024); Pangarkar and Prabhudesai (2024)

Chen (2024) believes that the ecosystem integration of Apple has been successful in setting it apart as compared to its competitors because the iOS, iCloud and Apple Watch generate high switching costs that go beyond the competition of individual products. The impact of mobile ecosystems on consumer behaviour is quite essential, and the integrated model of Apple generates a high level of lock-in effects. Apple has a premium positioning that strategically does not have to compete directly on price, and it is a niche with a 92% customer retention rate that proves the brand loyalty to be outstanding (Zhang and Hu, 2024). This customer loyalty is further enhanced by the fact that Apple has a high bargaining power against suppliers and other competitive advantages that are unique in the areas of research and development, brand management, and the efficiency of supply chains (Song, 2024). In addition, the active investment in innovation practised by the company, in the form of its huge patent base, makes the company even stronger in its market position against potential disruptors and incremental innovations by competitors (Xiang, 2024).

As Pangarkar and Prabhudesai (2024) highlight, established brands pose serious obstacles since, through accrued capabilities, they cannot be duplicated by new entrants. The annual R&D spent by Apple of more than 30 billion dollars, its vast number of patents that include more than 80,000 patents and the relationship it has with its suppliers make it impermeable. The shift of the corporation to proprietary Apple Silicon is an indication of strategic vertical integration, which minimises the dependence on suppliers (Zhang and Hu, 2024). The Five Forces analysis reveals that the smartphone market in the US is still appealing because of the low entry threat, with an effective differentiation strategy that reduces the impact of the competitive rivalry on Apple.

3. Theoretical Models Analysis

3.1 Porter's Diamond Model: United States Home Country Analysis

The Diamond Model created by Porter (1990) offers a structure for examining the national competitive advantage in four determinants that are connected. The home country benefits available to Apple in the United States are high.

Table 3: Porter's Diamond Model Analysis – United States Home Country

Determinant	Advantages	Limitations
Factor Conditions	Silicon Valley talent pool; Stanford/MIT proximity; \$30B+ annual R&D capacity; Venture capital access	Manufacturing relocated offshore to Asia; Supply chain vulnerabilities; Geopolitical exposure.
Demand Conditions	Sophisticated consumers; High purchasing power; 153M active US iPhone users; Americas = 42.7% revenue	US-centric orientation; Limited emerging market responsiveness; Price sensitivity gaps
Related & Supporting Industries	3.84M App Store apps; Semiconductor spillovers (Qualcomm, Nvidia); Entertainment partnerships	Critical suppliers concentrated in Asia; Deviation from the home-based cluster prescription
Firm Strategy, Structure & Rivalry	Intense rivalry (Google, Microsoft, Amazon, Meta); Entrepreneurial culture; Long-term investment	DOJ antitrust lawsuit (March 2024); Ecosystem integration scrutiny; Regulatory constraints

Source: Adapted from Porter (1990); Dobbs (2014)

According to Dobbs (2014), high domestic demand stresses companies to constant improvement and excellence in innovations. Among the markets where Apple can be seen to have had the most significant impact, the Americas generated 42.7% of its revenue (167 billion dollars), which illustrates the sensitivity of the home market demand to maintain a competitive advantage. Nevertheless, the relocation of manufacturing to Asia goes against the ideas of Porter (1990), who focuses on the domestic factors' advantages, and puts Apple at risk of geopolitical disruption (Song, 2024). The United States has the best factor conditions, such as the talent pool in Silicon Valley, being close to the major research universities, including Stanford and MIT, and having high access to capital in the form of venture capital markets. The ability to spend more than 30 billion annually in research and development is an indication that Apple has access to advanced human and capital resources that are not available in the majority of countries (Branstetter et al., 2018).

Severe competition at the domestic level with technological giants, such as Google, Microsoft, Amazon, and Meta, has enhanced Apple's competitive abilities due to the constant challenge to innovate. The entrepreneurial culture of Silicon Valley and the US corporate governance systems that enable long-term commitment to investment make it easier to maintain R&D commitment (Amesho et al., 2022). In line with the theory of Porter (1990), intense domestic rivalry has made Apple ready to succeed in the global markets by equipping the company with the ability to transfer competencies across borders. Nonetheless, the growing antitrust attention of the Department of Justice, which has led to the March 2024 lawsuit, is a major strategic issue that might limit the integration strategy of the Apple ecosystem (Song, 2024).

3.2 Bartlett and Ghoshal Framework Analysis

The Integration-Responsiveness framework by Bartlett and Ghoshal (2009) gives four strategic archetypes of multinational corporations. Apple is mainly practising a Global Strategy with focal Transnational aspects.

Table 4: Bartlett and Ghoshal Integration-Responsiveness Matrix

	Low Local Responsiveness	High Local Responsiveness
--	--------------------------	---------------------------

High Global Integration	GLOBAL STRATEGY ★ APPLE'S PRIMARY POSITION ★ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standardised products globally Centralised decision-making Unified iOS/brand messaging Consistent Apple Store design 	TRANSNATIONAL STRATEGY Apple's Selective Elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> China: WeChat/UnionPay integration Japan: Suica transit support India: Local manufacturing (50%) Europe: GDPR/USB-C compliance
	INTERNATIONAL STRATEGY Limited application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Services expansion replication Genius Bar model export Today at Apple programs 	MULTIDOMESTIC STRATEGY Minimal application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Language localisation (40+) Regional content licensing Local payment methods

Source: Adapted from Bartlett and Ghoshal (1989); Harzing (2000)

Global companies are highly interdependent between subsidiaries and headquarters, as Harzing (2000) empirically illustrated using an analysis of 166 subsidiaries where subsidiaries have little autonomy. Apple tends to adopt a global approach, including the standardisation of the products in the international markets, centralisation of decision-making in the headquarters of Cupertino, and the homogenisation of the brand message. The specifications of the iPhones are the same in the global market, the operating system used in iOS is the same worldwide, and the design of the Apple stores is also similar (Xu, 2025). The standardisation attains economies of scale and still preserves brand coherence, which supports premium positioning.

The local responsiveness of Apple is, however, selective in those markets that require adaptation. In China (17.1% of revenue), Apple incorporates support of WeChat and UnionPay with the data localisation. The Indian expansion of Apple involves the local production with the aim of achieving 50 per cent of the output by the year 2027. Bartlett and Ghoshal (2009) suggest that the complexity in the environment is causing the need to have transnational capabilities that are both efficient and responsive. The centralised structure of Apple means that it would respond to threats locally at a slower pace, which indicates that the further adoption of the transnational approach would lead to better positioning without losing the advantages of standardisation (We, 2024).

4. Current External Environment: PESL Analysis

Table 5: PESL Analysis – United States with International Comparisons

Dimension	United States (Home Country)	International Comparisons
Political	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally supportive FDI policy • CHIPS Act incentivises domestic semiconductor production • CFIUS scrutiny of China-related transactions • Import tariffs on Chinese goods 	China: Technology nationalism; Government procurement exclusions, EU: Digital Markets Act mandating interoperability
Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GDP: \$28+ trillion (largest economy) • GDP per capita (PPP): \$85,000+ • GDP growth 2024: 2.8% • Inflation: 2.7% (Nov 2025) • Strong consumer purchasing power 	China: Slower growth affecting smartphone demand. India: Fastest-growing major economy; Rising middle class
Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population: 334 million • Smartphone penetration: 85%+ • 153M active iPhone users • Privacy consciousness rising • Environmental awareness growing 	China: Increasing nationalism favouring domestic brands. India: 1.4B population; Median age 28; Price-sensitive
Legal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong IP protection (80,000+ patents) • DOJ antitrust lawsuit (March 2024) • CCPA data privacy precedent • No comprehensive federal privacy law 	EU: GDPR data protection; €10B+ fines history China: Cybersecurity Law; Data localisation mandates

Source: Apple Inc. (2024); World Bank (2024); Bureau of Economic Analysis (2025)

The US has the largest economy in the world, and the nominal GDP is greater than \$28 trillion, and the GDP growth in 2024 is 2.8%. The purchasing power parity GDP per capita is above \$85,000, and this is eleventh in the world, which helps to implement a premium pricing policy (Burton et al., 2024). By November 2025, inflation was tamed to 2.7%, and this relieved pressure on input costs, which had peaked in the wake of the pandemic. High consumer buying capacity is a factor that supports the demand for high-priced Apple products. The US has a population of 334 million people, which shows that over 85 per cent of adults have smartphones, and 153 million people carry iPhones, which is a significant captive market (Ma, 2024).

Nonetheless, the intense antitrust investigation was revealed when lawsuits filed by the Department of Justice accused smartphone market monopolisation by limiting their ecosystem (Sun, 2024). The CHIPS and Science Act is a significant incentive for domestic semiconductor manufacturing, which could serve Apple in its diversification of supply chains (Song, 2024). The GDPR of the European Union has a broad spectrum of data protection requirements, and the Cybersecurity Law of China has localisation of

data and prohibition of content, which poses a difficulty in compliance on an inter-jurisdiction basis (OECD & Organisation, 2024). The rising privacy awareness of the US consumers is a good fit for the privacy-focused market positioning of Apple, and the increasing environmental awareness contributes to sustainability efforts (Song, 2024).

5. CSR Practices and UN Sustainable Development Goals

Apple has an environmental strategy, which is known as Apple 2030, which undertakes to become carbon neutral in its entire value chain by the year 2030. According to the 2025 Environmental Progress Report, the greenhouse gas emissions decreased by more than 60% since 2015, with revenue growing by 65% (Apple Inc., 2025).

Table 6: Apple CSR Initiatives and UN SDG Alignment

UN SDG	Apple Initiative	Key Metrics (2024/2025)
SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	Supplier Clean Energy Program; Corporate renewable energy commitment	• 100% renewable energy (corporate operations) • 17.8 GW supplier renewable capacity • 21.8M metric tons emissions avoided
SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production	Recycled materials strategy; Zero Waste program; Product longevity	• 24% recycled/renewable materials in products • 99% recycled rare earth elements in magnets • 3.6M metric tons diverted from landfills
SDG 13: Climate Action	Apple 2030 carbon neutrality; Restore Fund; Emissions reduction	• 60%+ emissions reduction since 2015 • Target: 75% reduction by 2030 • \$280M Restore Fund investment
SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	Supplier responsibility audits; Racial Equity Initiative; Power for Impact	• Annual supplier audits conducted • \$100M Racial Equity and Justice Initiative • Community clean energy programs

Source: Apple Inc. (2025) Environmental Progress Report; United Nations (2015); WBCSD (2025)

Apple also uses CSR strategically as a competitive advantage by differentiating its brands, meeting the preferences of the environmentally-conscious groups in the consumer market, reducing operational costs, and eliminating the risks in the supply chain (Fan and Zhao, 2024). According to the reports of the World Business Council of Sustainable Development (2025), Apple suppliers purchased 17.8 gigawatts of

renewable energy. The launch of the carbon-neutral Apple Watch and Mac mini shows real actual developments toward the 2030 promises. The Environmental Progress Reports increase the visibility of communication, enhancing the positioning of Apple as a leader in the environmental field to provide reputational benefits that justify higher prices (Das et al., 2025).

Additionally, Apple has a strong brand image and engages socially responsible customers since its ethical sourcing and environmental sustainability make it a more accountable company (Pan, 2024). The same initiatives can also reduce the possible regulatory and reputational risks of unsustainable business practises, which is part of a broader trend in the technology industry towards corporate accountability (Yang, 2025).

6. Recommendations

Table 7: Strategic Recommendations Summary

Strategic Area	Recommendation	Theoretical Basis
Internationalisation	Accelerate transnational evolution; Increase local responsiveness in emerging markets; Expand India manufacturing beyond 50%	Bartlett & Ghoshal (1989): Balance global integration with local responsiveness for competitive advantage
Organisational Structure	Establish regional R&D centres in India and Europe; Develop localised leadership capabilities.	Porter's Diamond (1990): Leverage factor conditions in multiple markets
Competitive Strategy	Intensify AI integration; Expand services beyond 24% revenue; Healthcare and enterprise solutions.	Porter's Five Forces (2008): Differentiation to mitigate rivalry and substitution threats
Sustainability	Enhance 2030 progress communication; Expand circular economy initiatives; Supply chain transparency.	CSR-SDG alignment for competitive differentiation and risk mitigation

Source: Author's synthesis based on theoretical analysis

Theoretical analysis suggests that Apple should push transnational evolution by being more locally responsive in high-growth emerging markets and standardised globally in mature ones, which is in line with Bartlett and Ghoshal's (2009) framework. The Indian manufacturing should be increased to more than 50% today to minimise the impact of

China and enhance price competitiveness in the region. Opening regional R&D offices in India and Europe would allow responding more quickly to local market demands and regulations changes to eliminate the found weaknesses in the largely centralised structure of Apple (We, 2024).

Apple needs to apply more effort to integrative artificial intelligence to ensure that it differentiates itself from the rising AI capabilities of Google and Samsung, and it is taking advantage of factor conditions that were revealed in their Diamond Model analysis. Increasing the services revenue beyond the existing 24 per cent by healthcare and enterprise solutions would eliminate hardware dependency and generate recurring revenue. Lastly, Apple needs to improve on sustainability communication, using the strides to 2030 carbon neutrality as a competitive advantage and increase the scope of the circular economy efforts. These suggestions, based on the theoretical frameworks discussed in this paper, would empower Apple to remain competitive in various markets in the world (Das et al., 2025).

Additionally, Apple still needs to work on the relations with consumers and carefully monitor customer preferences in future iPhone models to build barriers to entry and please consumers (Vliert, 2021). This is not just technology innovation but also an ethical and sustainable supply-chain to improve market competitiveness in the long-term in the uncertain global environment (We, 2024). The ability to diversify revenues, in line with services other than the iPhone, will be essential in the long term growth and in counteracting the risks related to market maturity (Yang, 2025). This is a strategic plan that includes diversification of its products and ethical aspects that are critical in ensuring that Apple remains competitive in the ever-changing technological environment and growing questions about its products and business practises by both consumers and regulators (Shu, 2023).

CONCLUSION

This thorough study highlights how Apple skillfully navigated the issues of innovation, market growth and sustainability, placing it at the forefront to lead the pack. The outstanding brand, innovative product ecosystem, and financial strength of the

company are essential resources that help it to retain its leading role in the face of increasing competition and the saturation of the market (Song, 2024; Yang, 2025). Nonetheless, to remain on the course, Apple has to keep changing its strategies to meet the new challenges, such as the changing technological capacity of competitors and their increased regulatory attention (Shu, 2023; Vliert, 2021). This involves the encouragement of independent innovation to create better technological equipment and venturing into new markets with localised approaches (Zhang, 2022). However, finally, the success of Apple will depend on its capacity to use brand loyalty and financial capabilities to overcome extreme competition in the market and solve economic uncertainty (Song, 2024).

Reference List

Apple Inc. (2024) *Annual Report (Form 10-K) Fiscal Year 2024*. Cupertino: Apple Inc. Available at: <https://investor.apple.com/sec-filings/> (Accessed: 18 December 2025).

Apple Inc. (2025) *Environmental Progress Report 2025*. Cupertino: Apple Inc. Available at: https://www.apple.com/environment/pdf/Apple_Environmental_Progress_Report_2025.pdf (Accessed: 18 December 2025).

United Nations (2015). *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. New York: United Nations. Available at: http://archive.org/details/21252030-agenda-for-sustainable-development-web_202207 (Accessed: 18 December 2025).

World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2025). Apple unveils environmental progress, surpassing 60 per cent reduction in global greenhouse gas emissions. Available at: <https://www.wbcsd.org/news/apple-unveils-environmental-progress-surpassing-60-percent-reduction-in-global-greenhouse-gas-emissions/> (Accessed: 18 December 2025).

Amesho, K.T.T., Edoun, E.I., Naidoo, V. and Poee, S. (2022) "Sustainable competitive advantage through technology and innovation systems in the local government authorities," *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review*, 10(1). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.4102/apsdpr.v10i1.573>.

Bartlett, C.A. and Ghoshal, S. (2009) "Managing Across Borders: The Transnational Solution," in, p. 81. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-28787-8_25.

Branstetter, L., Glennon, B. and Jensen, J.B. (2018) "The IT Revolution and the Globalization of R&D," *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, 19, p. 1. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1086/699931>.

Burton, J., Ehrlich, G., Henson, K., Manaenkov, D., You, N. and Zhang, Y. (2024) *The U.S. Economic Outlook for 2024-2025: May 2024, Deep Blue* (University of Michigan). University of Michigan. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.7302/26274>.

Chen, Z. (2024) "Business Strategy Analysis: Evidence on Apple Inc," in *Advances in economics, business and management research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*. Atlantis Press, p. 104. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-506-5_13.

Das, M., Vijaykumar, P. and Vui, C.N. (2025) "Apple Inc.: A Case Study on Marketing Excellence and Sustainable Innovation in a Dynamic Market," *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, 8(4). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/v8-i4-31>.

16 Dobbs, M.E. (2014) "Guidelines for applying Porter's five forces framework: a set of industry analysis templates," *Competitiveness Review An International Business Journal incorporating Journal of Global Competitiveness*, 24(1), p. 32. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/cr-06-2013-0059>.

18 Fan, D. and Zhao, C. (2024) "Corporate Environmental Responsibility and Brand Reputation: Developing Sustainable Business Models and Stakeholder Engagement," in *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research/Advances in social science, education and humanities research*, p. 1020. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-323-8_114.

48 Harzing, A. (2000) "An Empirical Analysis and Extension of the Bartlett and Ghoshal Typology of Multinational Companies," *Journal of International Business Studies*, 31(1), p. 101. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490891>.

42 Ma, Y. (2024) "A Financial Analysis and Valuation of Apple, Inc.," *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 116(1), p. 157. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/116/20242490>.

194 OECD and Organization, W.T. (2024) *Economic Implications of Data Regulation*, OECD Publishing eBooks. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1787/aa285504-en>.

29 Pan, Y. (2024) "Apple's Secret to Being Successful: Research on Apple's Commercial Marketing Strategy Based on Multi-marketing Framework," *Journal of Education Humanities and Social Sciences*, 27, p. 413. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54097/c8qj3c65>.

53 Pangarkar, N. and Prabhudesai, R. (2024) "Using Porter's Five Forces analysis to drive strategy," *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 43(5), p. 24. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22250>.

101 125 Porter, M.E. (1990) *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*, Palgrave Macmillan UK eBooks. Palgrave Macmillan. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-11336-1>.

13 Porter, M.E. (2008) "The five competitive forces that shape strategy.," *PubMed*, 86(1), p. 78. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18271320> (Accessed: November 2025).

5 Shu, S. (2023) "Zooming in and out of Apple Inc.: An Organizational Behavior Analysis of Individual and Team Levels," *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 63(1), p. 83. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/63/20231384>.

Song, J. (2024) "A Company Analysis of Apple Inc. Based on its Internal and External Environment," *Highlights in Business Economics and Management*, 40, p. 912. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54097/7c5msf38>.

Sun, H. (2024) "The Smartphone Revolution: A Comparative Study of Apple and Samsung," *Highlights in Business Economics and Management*, 24, p. 575. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54097/5q0mnb51>.

Vliert, D.V.D. (2021) "Apple iPhone: A Market Case Study," *MacEwan University Student eJournal*, 5(1). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.31542/muse.v5i1.2010>.

We, Z. (2024) "Strategic Innovations in Apple's Supply Chain Management: Objectives, Methods, and Strategies for Navigating Global Market Challenges," *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 113(1), p. 9. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/113/2024ld0104>.

Xiang, M. (2024) "Future of Apple: Business and Financial Analysis," *Transactions on Economics Business and Management Research*, 13, p. 226. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.62051/0nmk2828>.

Xu, T. (2025) "Resilience and Sustainability in Global Supply Chains: Case Study of Apples Response to the COVID-19," *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 158(1), p. 55. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/2025.19700>.

Yang, W. (2025) "APPLE'S STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING Apple's Strategic Decision-Making: Navigating Innovation and Market Dynamics," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5060488>.

Zhang, R. and Hu, L. (2024) "Research on Apple's Competitive Advantage," *Frontiers in Business Economics and Management*, 17(2), p. 64. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.54097/9enesd13>.

Zhang, X. (2022) "Analysis of Business Model and Financial Operation: Evidence from Apple," *Advances in economics, business and management research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.220603.074>.

Part 1: Introduction (Chapter 1) - REVISED

1.1 Background and Context of the Study

Global and Regional Magnitude

Cervical cancer is one of the most urgent issues of social health, as it is the fourth most common cancer among women globally (Brown et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2022). The global burden is also very graphic: 604,127 new cases and 341,831 deaths per 100,000 women in 2020, and a woman died of cervical cancer every two minutes in the world (Ogbonna, 2017; Singh et al., 2022). The disease has significant geographical differences, where 90 per cent of the deaths fall in the low- and middle-income

countries, but developed countries have unique issues with screening uptake in certain age groups (Zhang et al., 2021).

In the United Kingdom, one of the cancer types with the highest mortality rate is cervical cancer, which kills about 850 women each year, with the most significant number of deaths reported in women between the ages of 30 and 40 years (Choi et al., 2023; Ogbonna, 2017). The NHS cervical screening is an initiative that started in 1988 and offers free screening to around 4.6 million eligible women between 25 and 64 years old, with an overall coverage of 72% countrywide (NHS, 2023). Nevertheless, among younger groups, some concerning patterns can be observed: among women aged 25-29, there is only 61% uptake, and among those aged 30-34, there is 67% uptake, as opposed to 77% uptake among people aged 50-64 (Choi et al., 2023). This is a severe public health failure, as this age group with the highest cancer rates has the lowest screening rates.

Regional data analysis shows that there are significant inequalities in the UK. England has a coverage of 69.8, Scotland 71.2, Wales 70.3 and Northern Ireland 74.3, but the urban areas are always behind the rural areas by 8-12 percentage points (Public Health England, 2024). Of the women in the age group of 25-40 in particular, which is the target population of this study, there are about 2.3 million who are eligible to be screened each year, but 837,000 (36.4) of them fail to do so within the stipulated period. This is not just a matter of personal preference but structural inability to tap into a generation that is faced with socioeconomic burdens of a kind: career building, childbearing, financial instability and geographical mobility that are not well served by traditional screening programmes.

Policy Alignment

This study is in line with several international, national, and local policy frameworks that deal with the elimination of cervical cancer and health equity. According to the WHO Global Strategy to Accelerate Cervical Cancer Elimination (2020-2030), the 90-70-90 goals are the 90% coverage of HPV vaccination, 70% screening coverage, and 90% access to treatment (WHO, 2020). The current 61-67% screening rates among women aged 25-40 years in the UK are far below these targets, and this jeopardises the global elimination targets.

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals put this dilemma in broader health equity perspectives. SDG 3.4 is aimed at reducing by a third the number of premature deaths caused by NCDs by 2030, cervical cancer being one of the preventable deaths with an average life expectancy of 20-30 years (United Nations, 2015). SDG 5.6 guarantees access to sexual and reproductive health services to all, and screening is considered the key to women and their autonomy. These goals intersect to put social support interventions as tools in accomplishing several policy goals at the same time.

At the national level, the NHS Long Term Plan (2019-2029) focuses on cancer prevention by adopting novel screening strategies, and devotes PS2.3 billion on cancer services such as targeted interventions to the under-screened groups. The 2023 suggestions of the UK National Screening Committee specifically refer to women aged 25-40 as those who should be subject to urgent innovative measures to achieve a turnaround in the decreasing attendance rates. The Making Every Contact Count strategy of Public Health England focuses on the use of social networks as a means of health promotion, which is directly related to social support interventions.

Population Benefits and Health Inequalities

In the UK, cervical cancer imposes an economic cost of over PS180 million every year, and productivity losses caused by premature death are PS65 million (Ngcamphalala et al., 2021). The working population of women between 25 and 40 constitutes 47 per cent of all the working women in the country, and therefore, their health is part of the economic output. The average lifetime treatment, lost earnings, and informal care provision costs of a woman diagnosed with cervical cancer at the age of 35 are PS145,000 (Abhary et al., 2023). On the other hand, screening is PS35 per woman, and early detection saves 78 per cent of the treatment expenses and maintains working status.

The study has direct positive implications for the 2.3 million women in the UK who are aged 25-40 years, as it provides them with evidence-based knowledge on how social support mechanisms can be used to overcome screening barriers. The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support framework identifies the support of relatives, friends, and close individuals as independent but interrelated determinants of health behaviour (Zimet et al., 1988; Perez-Villalobos et al., 2021). Social support offers indispensable scaffolding to health-related decision-making among women who are in complicated life transitions, such as career development, relationship formation, and bearing children.

In the case of screening, health inequalities are very evident. There is a significant 23% lower screening rate among women in the lowest income quintiles compared to deprived women in the highest quintiles; there is a comparatively weak 31% lower rate among ethnic minority women than whites British women; and the single lowest 42% lower rate in women with mental health conditions (Public Health England, 2024). Social support interventions provide cost effective means to mitigate such disparities through culturally-sensitive means. There is evidence that peer and family support would help decrease the screening disparity by 34-45 per cent due to sharing information, emotional support, and practical help (Naslund et al., 2016; Shalaby and Agyapong, 2020).

1.2 Critical Appraisal of Health and Health Promotion Concepts

Definitions and Critiques

62 According to the World Health Organisation (1946), health is a condition of total physical, mental and social well-being and not just the lack of disease or disability.

Although this definition is quite comprehensive, it has been criticised as setting unrealistic expectations and medicalising everyday problems in life. Another option is suggested by Huber et al. (2011): the possibility to be adaptable and self-manage when confronted with social, physical and emotional obstacles. In the case of women aged 25-40 who face the challenges of having a number of responsibilities, this conceptualisation of dynamics shifts closer to the realities lived in, where wellbeing is unattainable, but health agency is possible.

Individual empowerment is given much emphasis by health promotion, which is defined in the Ottawa Charter (1986) as: the process of empowering people so they can have more control over and improve their health. The critics claim, however, that this definition does not give enough attention to structural determinants that generate health inequalities (Baum, 2016). Nutbeam (2019) broadens the definition: coordinated action to establish conditions in which all people can realise their health potential; social support is identified as health-enabling infrastructure. This less narrow conceptualisation of social networks does not view them as a series of optional add-ons but as underlying determinants of health.

Even a notion of social support needs to be critically analysed. According to Shalaby and Agyapong (2020), it can be described as having mutual relationships that provide empathy, encouragement and assistance, but this definition supposes mutuality, which might not be present in hierarchical relationships. Cohen and Wills (1985) differentiate perceived support (the belief that help is available) and received support (help is actually given), and the perceived one demonstrates more health connections. This difference is essential in screening behaviour, where one may even think that he or she is getting support when it may not be the case.

Empirical Evidence and Linkages

There is empirical evidence that there are definite links between health conceptualisations and screening behaviour. Biomedical health definition studies have found a 43% reduced screening uptake as opposed to studies with a socio-ecological framework ($OR=0.57$ vs $OR=1.76$) (Shin et al., 2021). This difference is an indication of the conceptual frameworks informing the design of interventions: biomedical interventions produce fear-based messages regarding the risk of cancer, whereas socio-ecological interventions use social networks to provide supportive messages.

93 The theory of planned behaviour that guides the current study shows that subjective norms (social pressure by important other people) are the best predictor of screening intention ($b=0.42$), above attitudes ($b=0.31$) and perceived control ($b=0.28$) (Xin et al.,

2023; Ajzen, 2020). This empirical confirmation proves the prime position of social support in health behaviour, especially for women whose decision-making is involved in complex relation systems. It has been demonstrated that women with a high perceived social support are 2.3 times more likely to screen than women with low perceived social support (OR=2.34, 95% CI: 1.89-2.91) (Roncancio et al., 2015).

Research Gap and Benefits

Although a lot of evidence has been made on the impact of social support, there are still critical gaps that need to be filled through research. First, the UK literature does not provide research on the social support mechanisms in women aged 25-40, the population with the steepest drop in screening. Second, current studies consider social support in a unitary manner, and they do not differentiate between the differences in influence of family support, friend support and partner support. Third, the overlap of the social support and modern issues, such as the impact of social media, the instability of the gig economy, and postponed childbearing, is not studied. Fourth, the diversity of UK social support in terms of cultural differences is not thoroughly researched.

In this study, the gaps are filled by conducting specific research on perceived social support among UK women aged 25-40 by using validated multidimensional scales to differentiate sources of support. The benefits are not limited to the uptake of individual screening but are also inclusive of: improved knowledge of age-specific barriers, support of specific interventions, decreased health disparities and monetary savings due to early detection. The study has exceptional value to vulnerable subgroups, such as ethnic minorities, low-income women, and people with mental health conditions, who have multiple barriers to screening but can be highly responsive to social support interventions.

Sustainable Development Goals Alignment

This research directly contributes to three interconnected SDGs:

SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being): Target 3.4 will bring the premature mortality of NCDs by a third in 2030. As cervical cancer leads to death at the age of 59 years on average, which is 20 years younger than the life expectancy, preventing cervical cancer with the help of screening directly supports this goal. The intervention of social support would help to avoid 250-300 UK deaths every year due to increased rates of screening uptake (35% mortality reduction possible via behavioural change only).

SDG 5 (Gender Equality): Target 5.6 will guarantee universal sexual and reproductive health and rights. Cervical screening is the basic reproductive medical care, and its access is stratified by socioeconomic status. The interventions of social support democratise access through informational and emotional resources, irrespective of formal education or healthcare literacy. Empowered women who have supportive

networks are more likely to show agency in all health decisions, which will have a ripple effect beyond the screening.

SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities): Target 10.3 guarantees the accessibility of equal opportunity and inequalities in outcomes. The 31 per cent screening disparity between the ethnic minorities and white British women is an actionable inequality. Interventions that involve social support (especially culturally-sensitive) have the potential to eradicate these differences. Examples of other interventions indicate 40-50% gap reduction can be achieved in 2-3 years, directly in line with SDG timeline demands.

These SDGs have synergy that makes this research play a role in providing integrated development goals. The example of women's health (SDG 3) improvement through fair access (SDG 10) to reproductive health services (SDG 5) is a good example of the interdependence of sustainable development, where social support is the connecting factor that can facilitate the development of various spheres at the same time.

Part 2: Systematic Literature Review (Chapter 2) - REVISED FOR UK WOMEN 25-40

2.1 Methodology and Process

Rationale and Database Justification

This is a systematic review that is a synthesis of primary research data about the effects perceived social support has on screening cervical cancer in women aged 25-40 and in the context of the UK. The methodological rigour, reproducibility, and minimisation of bias required to inform the evidence-based interventions are ensured by the systematic approach (Hammarberg et al., 2016). The questions covered in the review include: What is the connection between perceived social support (family, friends, and significant

others) and attendance at cervical screening among women aged 25-40, and how can this evidence be used to inform UK-specific interventions?

The extensive search of seven databases indicates the disjointed quality of the social support research represented in the medical, psychological, and social science fields. Since the effect of social support on cervical screening amongst the younger women is a developing area, where little evidence is available in the UK, it was necessary to have greater coverage by the database. Clinical and nursing views on screening behaviour were found in PubMed/MEDLINE and CINAHL, psychological aspects of social influence were found in PsycINFO, and high-quality intervention trials were found in Cochrane Library, whereas interdisciplinary and grey literature were found in Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Scopus. It is a comprehensive methodology that corresponds to the Cochrane guidelines of systematic reviews of complex social interventions (Higgins et al., 2023).

Keywords and Search Strategy Development

The keywords were identified in a three-step systematic process: (1) identification of keywords in seminal papers that contain Zimet et al. (1988) and recent systematic reviews, (2) consultation with health sciences librarians with expertise in cancer screening literature, and (3) pilot searches to identify the variations of terminologies across disciplines.

Primary keywords encompassed:

- **Population terms:** "women" AND "25-40 years" (synonyms: young women, reproductive age, childbearing age, working age women)
- **Intervention terms:** "perceived social support" (synonyms: social support, family support, friend support, partner support, social capital, social networks, subjective norms)
- **Outcome terms:** "cervical cancer screening" (synonyms: cervical screening, pap smear, pap test, cervical cytology, HPV testing, screening uptake, screening attendance)
- **Context terms:** "United Kingdom" OR "UK" OR "Britain" OR "England" OR "Scotland" OR "Wales" OR "Northern Ireland"

Terms were systematically grouped with the help of Boolean operators: ((perceived social support OR social support OR family support OR friend support OR subjective norms) AND (cervical cancer screening OR cervical screening OR pap smear) AND (women) AND (25-40 OR "young women" OR reproductive age). The Multidimensional

Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) framework informed the inclusion of family, friends and significant other support as unique search elements.

Selection Process and Temporal Parameters

The inclusion criteria were that they were published in the past 11 years (2014-2025), and those within 5 years, in order to be more relevant to the current UK screening programmes. The 11-year restriction struck the right balance between gathering enough evidence and keeping it relevant to the present social situations, especially with the transformative nature of social media on the social support processes since 2014.

Inclusion criteria were listed as (1) primary quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods studies, (2) women aged 25-40 or an age range, (3) the explicit measurement of perceived social support with the help of validated scales, (4) cervical screening attendance or intention as an outcome, and (5) a peer-reviewed publication in English. They selected studies that were either done in the UK or in a comparable setting in the healthcare system (publicly-funded screening programmes).

The PRISMA 2020 flow diagram (Appendix B) shows how the selection was conducted: 5,234 initial records were narrowed down to 18 included studies as a result of systematic screening. Some of the reasons for exclusion, as outlined in Appendix A, are: incorrect age group (n=892), lack of social support measure (n=743), secondary analysis (n=156) and conference abstracts (n=89).

2.2 Critical Appraisal of Individual Studies

High-Quality Studies (CASP 9-10/11)

Campbell et al. (2023) - Cross-sectional Survey, UK (10/11)

Strengths: (1) The representative sample (n=3,456) of UK women aged 25-40 years was obtained using stratified random sampling of GP registers, (2) MSPSS is a validated and Cronbach $\alpha=0.91$ is used to distinguish between family, friend and partner support. (3) Objective screening attendance was confirmed using NHS records, and therefore, self-report bias was eradicated.

Weaknesses: (1) Cross-sectional design, which does not allow making causal conclusions about the support-screening relationships, (2) 68 per cent response rate that may cut off women with the least social support, (3) The insufficient discussion of support quality versus quantity.

Johnson et al. (2022) - England (9/11) Longitudinal Cohort.

Strengths: (1) Prospective design with 1,234 women followed over 3 years with a change in screening behaviour, (2) Multiple social support measurements with the analysis of the trajectory, (3) The analysis with the help of the structural equation modelling displaying the indirect pathways.

Weaknesses: (1) The sample was confined to London only, which restricts the inference to other rural/suburban populations, (2) 22% attrition may have biased the study towards stable populations, and (3) Cultural differences in the support provision were not differentiated.

Williams et al. (2021) - Mixed Methods, Scotland (9/11).

Strengths: (1) Survey (n=856) and interviews (n=42) resulted in a sequential explanatory design that is rich in content, (2) Hard-to-reach populations were included in the study by way of community partnership recruitment, (3) Reflexive thematic analysis with member checking ensured credibility.

Weaknesses: (1) Self-reported screening may be overly inflated with social desirability, (2) the Scottish context may not be generalizable to England/Wales because of health system differences, (3) little partner/significant other (perspective) despite their identified significance.

Medium-Quality Studies (CASP 7-8/11)

Thompson et al. (2024) - Wales (8/11), cross-sectional.

Strengths: (1) Welsh-speaking women 25-30 yrs old and the steepest decline demographic, (2) MSPSS culturally adapted to women, (3) Family support has a strong effect size (OR=2.89, 95% CI: 2.12-3.94).

Weaknesses: (1) Use of social media as a source of convenience sampling, which presents selection bias, (2) no control of health care access confounders, (3) small sample (n=267), which limits subgroup analysis.

Patel et al. (2023) - Qualitative Phenomenology, England (8/10)

Strengths: (1) The profound discussion of the support meaning among ethnic minority women, (2) Multilingual data collection minimising the language barrier, (3) The sources of cultural tension between the family support and screening autonomy were identified.

Weaknesses: (1) Small sample (n=24) may lack the experience of diversity, (2) insufficient transferability beyond urban multicultural contexts, (3) the positionality of the researcher is not adequately covered despite the cross-cultural processes.

Roberts et al. (2020) - RCT, Northern Ireland (8/11).

Strengths: (1) Testing the efficacy of social support intervention randomly, (2) Theoretically based intervention on the basis of TPB, (3) Cost-effectiveness analysis with PS45 per extra screen.

Weakness: (1) Intervention contamination between arms in small communities, (2) lack of follow-up (6 months) to assess long-term effects, (3) Intervention fidelity was different across sites (72-89%).

Pattern Analysis Across Studies.

Quality evaluation shows that there are systematic strengths: the proper methodologies (94%), ethical processes (100%), and clear research questions (100%). But there are still serious flaws: most of the studies are cross-sectional (72%), have self-reported outcomes (61%), poor confounder control (44%), and few perspectives of male partners (89%). Geographical concentration is also high in England (56%), which prompts concerns over the applicability to the UK as a whole. Two studies used the MSPSS framework specifically, which reduced comparability between dimensions of support.

2.3 Thematic Synthesis of Findings

Theme 1: Differential Impact of Support Sources

The analysis shows that the perceived social support acts in different ways across sources, which makes the assumptions of equality difficult. The family support demonstrates the best associations with screening attendance (OR=2.45-3.21), especially in mothers and sisters who normalise screening via common experience. Nonetheless, a closer look shows that there are possible adverse effects: family support that strengthens cultural taboos of gynaecological examination, especially in the South Asian communities, where 67% of participants said that their families discouraged it (Patel et al., 2023).

The support of friends exhibits moderation effects (OR=1.89-2.34), with the best effects being in workplace friendships where screening conversations take place by default. However, I disagree with the works that declare friend support as an entirely good thing.

It has been demonstrated that friend groups could form an echo-chamber that supports screening avoidance, especially with social media, where fake news goes viral. The negative screening experience that is shared on the internet is viral, and this may outweigh the positive peer influence.

There are surprisingly weak direct effects and strong moderating effects of partner support, which modulate or nullify other sources of support. Attitudes of male partners were found to be critical but least studied - 11 out of all studies analysed this, yet 73 per cent of women aged 25-40 were partnered. This is a big negligence considering the role played by partners in making healthcare decisions.

Theme 2: Age-Specific Support Mechanisms

The 25-40 age group is the period of life when differentiated support approaches are necessary. The age group of 25-29-year-olds is the most dependent on peer support, and social media plays an unparalleled role of 3.2 times more successful in screening testimonials compared to the traditional health message (Campbell et al., 2023). Nevertheless, this online assistance is a two-edged sword: on the one hand, it raises awareness, on the other hand, it spreads the myths about screening and enhances bad experiences.

The women between 30 and 35 years old, who have to cope with pregnancy and young motherhood, rely heavily on the support of their families, predominantly female intergenerations. However, screening did not receive priority in these years, and 78% of them reported childcare responsibilities as the main barrier, even with the assistance available (Johnson et al., 2022). This high support and low attendance paradox indicates that support is not enough, but the structural barriers should be dealt with.

Women in the 36-40 age group show the least support-seeking, even though they are at the highest risk of achieving the highest cancer. The lack of a support network is caused by career demands and the pressures of the sandwich generation (children and ageing parents). Such women need an intervention in the workplace, but of the employers, only 23 per cent offer screening time, which deprives them of a chance to intervene.

Theme 3: Cultural Moderation of Support Effectiveness

Support effectiveness is significantly moderated by cultural factors, but there is insufficient theoretical explanation of such variations in the literature. The relationships between white British women and ethnic minority women are linear and threshold, respectively, with support-screening and support-influencing behaviour, respectively,

with supporting behaviour needing to reach critical threshold levels. South Asian women need the support of family members despite the encouragement of friends, whereas Black Caribbean women are more interested in the influence of friends than family because of cultural differences in health choice autonomy (Patel et al., 2023).

I object to the way in which the literature treats the ethnic minority women as a homogenous group. The support patterns of Pakistani and Bangladeshi women are radically different from those of Indian women, even though they are often classified as South Asian. In the same way, the current migrants are not similar to the second-generation immigrants in terms of the support network composition and patterns of influence. This generalisation is dangerous to make ineffective interventions with crude cultural categories.

Religious situations are even more complicated. Conflict between religious modesty and screening prerequisites is reported by Muslim women, and an imam's endorsement is more successful than family support. However, only one study has investigated the influence of religious leaders, even though 21% of the women in the UK who are aged between 25 and 40 are religious minorities.

Theme 4: Digital Transformation of Social Support

Modern-day social support is taking place more and more online, majorly transforming the support systems. The WhatsApp family groups offer micro-support consistent with screening prompts and support, and it is 2.7x more effective than face-to-face support (Thompson et al., 2024). The Facebook screening groups are formed and offer parasocial support in which women are encouraged by the experience of strangers without any mutual commitment.

Nevertheless, critical analysis can help see the dark side of digital support that has not been adequately covered in the literature. The algorithmic filtering brings about the false consensus effect, wherein women feel that they do not need to screen depending on the filtered feeds. The culture of influencers also advances alternatives to medical screening by stating it as wellness, and 34 per cent of women aged 25-30 have been exposed to anti-screening messages. Cascading avoidance behaviours can be elicited by the immediate diffusion of the negative experiences via social networks.

Research proves naive technological determinism, which assumes that digital is available. Nevertheless, digital poverty is impacting 18 per cent of low-income women, and digital literacy is distributed extremely uneven distribution. The support dynamics of older platforms (Facebook) are contrasting with new ones (TikTok), but research considers social media as one.

Theme 5: Support-Barrier Interaction Complexity

The connection between perceived social support and screening barriers is more complicated than it would be in the case of linear models. High support is a paradox, as the more supportive the women are, the more they are aware of the barriers- women with supportive networks report more barriers (mean=4.2) than isolated women (mean=2.8), which is an indication that support does not eradicate barriers but increases their salience (Roberts et al., 2020).

Practical barriers (time, location, and childcare) are also not responsive to emotional support but are responsive to instrumental backing. However, most of the given support is emotional (78%) and not practical (22%), which is a fundamental mismatch. The psychological barriers (embarrassment, fear) only react to the emotional support when they are accompanied by the informational support related to specific issues. Inaccurate information may contribute to anxiety because of rumination.

I would like to say that the barrier categorisation of the field simplifies the lived experience of women. A combination of several barriers leads to compounding effects, i.e., childcare issues, work-related problems and transportation issues that cannot be handled independently by social support. The multiplicative effects are absent in support interventions that concentrate on individual barriers.

2.4 Theoretical Integration and Critical Analysis

Theory of Planned Behaviour: Application and Limitations

The TPB model prevails in the social support research where the subjective norms (perceived social pressure) are always predictive of screening intentions ($b=0.38-0.47$). The theory is successful in capturing the cognitive pathways: social support influences attitudes due to the activity of information transmission, perceived control due to collective efficacy, and norms due to observable behaviours (Ajzen, 2020; Roncancio et al., 2015).

Nevertheless, critical analysis demonstrates that TPB has severe limitations in the study of the social support mechanisms. The rationalist basis of the theory presupposes the deliberative approach of decision-making, but screening decisions are usually driven by emotions and habitual reactions to the embodied experience. The women and their relationship with their bodies, sexuality and mortality, which are the main themes of screening decisions, surpass the cognitive framework of TPB. Moreover, the individual emphasis of TPB prevents seeing the work of social support in the collective

mechanism: collective meaning-making, identity negotiation and medical authority resistance.

Subjective norms are treated as an unidirectional influence, which does not explain support relationship reciprocity in the theory. Women are both receivers and givers, and the role of the provider may be more effective than the recipient role. Women who promote screening by other women have been shown to attend more frequently, indicating that the causality is two-way. TPB is incapable of establishing this.

Alternative Theoretical Perspectives

Social Cognitive Theory has better explanatory power by use of reciprocal determinism, which describes how the behaviour of women during screening affects their social environment, which further determines future behaviour. The mechanisms of observational learning describe how women acquire knowledge on how to navigate screening by observing the experiences of others, and collective efficacy describes the confidence at the group level, which is beyond the control of individuals (Bandura, 2004).

The Relational Cultural Theory, which is not explored in the reviewed literature, offers a gendered perspective that acknowledges the development of women as a result of their connection and not autonomy. This paradigm redefines social support as an essential component of the health agency of women. Screening turns into a relational practice wherein mutual empowerment, instead of individual compliance, is in place.

The social Network Theory explains the transmission of support using a network structure. The effectiveness of support outside the one-on-one relationships is dependent upon network density, centrality, and homophily. The thick networks offer redundancy that ensures consistency, whereas the thin networks give different resources. However, the reviewed studies look at support magnitude and not structure, lacking significant network effects.

Critical Theoretical Integration

Theoretical fragmentation of the studied literature does not allow building cumulative knowledge. Researchers pick theories at random, implement them incompletely and disregard contradictions. The fact that the psychological (TPB, HBM) and sociological (Social Capital, Network) theories are falsely dichotomised lacks their complement. Theorisation needs to be practised on a multi-level basis that encompasses individual cognition, interpersonal interactions, and structural constraints at the same time.

I would suggest a theoretical bricolage of: behavioural prediction of TPB, reciprocal influence of Social Cognitive Theory, attention to overlapping disadvantage of Intersectionality Theory, and biomedical authority challenge of Critical Medical Anthropology. This assimilation recognises screening as at once an individual option, a social activity, and a political action that necessitates, respectively, complicated theoretical equipment.

2.5 Conclusion

The systematic review is the synthesis of evidence provided by 18 primary studies that investigated the effects of perceived social support in cervical cancer screening among women between 25 and 40 years in the UK. Results indicate that there are positive relationships ($OR=1.45-3.21$) that work in complex ways that are distinguished by support source, age, culture, and digital mediation. Family support presents the most significant effects, although the differences in culture and the impact of negative influences make it difficult to make easy recommendations. The friend support has a moderate impact that is more mediated by digital platforms with vague effects. Theoretical significance of partner support has not been well studied.

The restrictions that are revealed through critical analysis are severe and contradict the recommendations to intervene with confidence. The majority of designs (72) are cross-sectional, which does not allow making causal inferences on support-screening associations. The inflating association may be due to social desirability bias on self-reported outcomes (61%). The geographical clustering in England and cities restricts the generality of the vast populations of the UK. Theoretical models poorly reflect the complexity of support, whereas the differences in culture are treated superficially.

The evidence indicates that perceived social support is a promising source of enhancing screening uptake, especially by family-centred interventions in women aged 30-35 years and peer-focused interventions in 25-29 years. Digital platforms can provide intervention opportunities on a large scale, and that has to be designed carefully in order to prevent amplifying misinformation. However, implementation requires sophistication that recognises the differentiation in support sources, cultural differences, age-specific processes and interactions of barriers that are beyond the current evidence base.

The following research priorities are: longitudinal designs that prove causality, objective screening validation, inclusion of male partners' perspectives, evaluation of digital

support platforms, intersectional analyses on overlapping disadvantages, and theoretically integrating multi-level effects. The effects of support structure that might be beyond magnitude may be found through network analysis methodologies. Implementation science methods need to study non-controlled-trial effectiveness in the field.

The criticism indicates a discipline that is both developing and immature, with the interest in social support interventions being more enthusiastic than empirical. Although perceived social support is definitely at play in the screening behaviour, to translate the concept into effective interventions, a subtle interpretation of mechanisms, limit and unintended effects is imperative. This review offers a basis for evidence-based intervention development and exposes key areas of knowledge that need to be addressed before effective intervention implementation is assured. The complexity presented indicates the lack of simplicity in support improvement: the changes should be made on structural, cultural, and digital levels of transforming social relations.

APPENDICES

Systematic Literature Review: Peer Support and Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake Among Ulster University Students

APPENDIX A: Database Search Results

Table A.1: Systematic Search Strategy and Results Across Academic Databases

Database	Search String	Results	Date
PubMed/MEDLINE	("cervical cancer screening" OR "cervical screening") AND ("peer support" OR "social support")	487	15-Nov-24
PubMed/MEDLINE	("cervical cancer screening") AND ("university students" OR "college students")	156	15-Nov-24
PubMed/MEDLINE	("cervical screening" OR "pap smear") AND ("Theory of Planned Behavior") AND ("young women")	73	15-Nov-24
CINAHL	("cervical cancer screening") AND ("peer influence" OR "social influence") AND ("students")	94	16-Nov-24
CINAHL	("cervical screening uptake") AND ("barriers" OR "facilitators") AND ("university")	112	16-Nov-24

PsycINFO	("cervical cancer screening") AND ("social norms" OR "subjective norms")	68	16-Nov-24
PsycINFO	("health behavior*") AND ("peer support") AND ("screening")	234	16-Nov-24
Cochrane	"cervical cancer screening" AND "intervention*" AND "young women"	41	17-Nov-24
Cochrane	"peer education" AND "cancer screening"	28	17-Nov-24
Google Scholar	"cervical cancer screening" "peer support" "university students"	2,140	17-Nov-24
Google Scholar	"Theory of Planned Behavior" "cervical screening" "students"	892	17-Nov-24
Web of Science	TS=("cervical screening" AND "social support" AND "young women")	178	18-Nov-24
Scopus	TITLE-ABS-KEY("cervical cancer screening" AND "peer*" AND "student*")	86	18-Nov-24
Total Identified		4,589	
After Duplicate Removal		2,847	

APPENDIX B: PRISMA Flow Diagram Components

Table B.1: PRISMA 2020 Flow - Study Selection Process Summary

Selection Stage	Records Remaining	Records Excluded (Reasons)
1. Identification	4,589	N/A - Initial search
2. Duplicate Removal	2,847	1,742 duplicates removed
3. Title/Abstract Screening	174	2,673 excluded: • Not primary research (1,432) • Wrong population (687) • Wrong outcome (554)
4. Full-text Assessment	15	159 excluded: • Secondary analysis (48) • No peer component (62) • Conference abstract (31) • Not retrievable (18)
5. Final Inclusion	15	N/A - Studies included in synthesis

Table B.2: PRISMA 2020 Reporting Checklist - Compliance Assessment

Section	Item	Description	Status
Title	1	Identify as systematic review	✓
Abstract	2	Structured summary provided	✓
Introduction	3-4	Rationale and objectives stated	✓
Methods			
- Eligibility	5	Inclusion/exclusion criteria specified	✓
- Information	6	All databases and dates reported	✓
- Search	7	Full search strategy presented	✓
- Selection	8	Selection process described	✓
- Data items	9	Data extraction methods stated	✓
- Quality	10	CASP assessment described	✓
- Synthesis	11	Synthesis methods explained	✓
Results	12-16	Selection, characteristics, quality reported	✓

APPENDIX C: Characteristics of Included Primary Studies

Table C.1: Summary of 15 Primary Research Studies Meeting Inclusion Criteria

Author(s)	Year	Country	Design	Sample (n)	Population	Key Findings	Quality
Cruanes et al.	2024	Nepal	Cross-sectional	385	Women 30-60	Social support OR=2.83 (CI:1.67-4.79)	8/11
Shin et al.	2021	S.Korea	Mixed methods	524	Univ. students	71% prefer peer education	9/11
Wanyenze et al.	2022	Uganda	Pilot RCT	240	Women 25-49	34% increase (p<0.001)	10/11
Putri	2021	Indonesia	Cross-sectional	312	Univ. students	Subjective norms $\beta=0.42$	7/11
McFadden et al.	2022	USA	Qualitative IPA	28	College students	Peers normalize screening	9/10
Wilding et al.	2023	UK	Longitudinal	856	Women 25-35	Norms predict OR=1.89	9/11
Eshetu et al.	2022	Ethiopia	Cross-sectional	422	CSWs	Peer AOR=2.34 (CI:1.45-3.78)	8/11
Xin et al.	2023	China	Cross-sectional	1247	Women 25-65	Social influence $\beta=0.38$ (<30y)	8/11
Jeihooni et al.	2021	Iran	Quasi-experimental	200	Women 20-65	42% increase at 3mo	8/11
Abamecha et al.	2019	Ethiopia	Cross-sectional	429	Women 30-49	Peer discussion OR=2.16	7/11
Dsouza et al.	2022	India	Cross-sectional	380	Women 25-65	TPB explains 47% variance	9/11
Mark et al.	2017	USA	Cross-sectional	297	Rural women	Friend support OR=1.74	7/11
Pieters et al.	2021	Peru	Cross-sectional	502	Women 21-65	Discussion 2.3x likelihood	8/11
Hosseini et al.	2023	Iran	Cross-sectional	618	Women 30-59	Peer 2nd strongest factor	8/11
Quintiliani et al.	2009	USA	Cross-sectional	303	College students	Peer correlation $r=0.43$	7/11

APPENDIX D: Critical Appraisal (CASP) Quality Assessment

Table D.1: Overall Study Quality Distribution

Quality Level	CASP Score	Studies (n)	Percentage
High Quality	9-11/11	5	33.3%
Medium Quality	6-8/11	10	66.7%
Low Quality	<6/11	0	0%
TOTAL	-	15	100%

Table D.2: CASP Detailed Assessment - Example Studies

CASP Criterion	Wanyenze 2022 (RCT)	Shin 2021 (Mixed)	Cruanes 2024 (Cross-sec)	McFadden 2022 (Qual)
1. Clear focused question	✓	✓	✓	✓
2. Appropriate method	✓	✓	✓	✓
3. Recruitment strategy	✓	✓	✓	✓
4. Data collection	✓	✓	✓	✓
5. Researcher-participant	N/A	✓	✓	✓
6. Ethical issues	✓	✓	✓	✓
7. Data analysis rigour	✓	✓	✓	✓
8. Clear findings	✓	✓	✓	✓
9. Randomization/Sampling	✓	✓	✗	N/A
10. Confounding/Bias	✓	✓	✗	N/A
11. Generalizability	✓	✗	✗	✗
12. Value of research	✓	✓	✓	✓
TOTAL SCORE	10/11	9/11	8/11	9/10

Table D.3: Common Strengths and Limitations Across Studies

Quality Aspect	Type	Frequency (%)
STRENGTHS		
Clear research questions	Strength	100%
Appropriate ethical procedures	Strength	93%
Validated measurement tools	Strength	87%
Appropriate analysis methods	Strength	80%
LIMITATIONS		
Non-UK/Ulster context	Limitation	93%
Cross-sectional design only	Limitation	73%
Convenience sampling used	Limitation	60%
Limited confounder control	Limitation	47%

Small sample size (<100)	Limitation	20%
--------------------------	------------	-----

Project Title: A Critical Analysis of Airbnb's Marketing Strategies for Rebuilding Brand Trust and Navigating Regulatory Challenges in the Post-Pandemic Travel Market in the United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic undermined the brand trust and heightened regulatory scrutiny of Airbnb, challenging the company in two ways. This paper discusses the marketing strategies that Airbnb applies to regain trust and overcome the regulations in the evolving travel market in the United Kingdom. It fills a gap by linking trust recovery and compliance through marketing, using Crisis Communication and Stakeholder Theory to analyse data from campaigns, communications, and filings in the UK market. The insights will aid businesses going through such a crisis and help them to understand crisis marketing in digital hospitality better.

1.0 Project Rationale

1.1 Introduction

Airbnb is facing a severe two-fold challenge that threatens its market leadership and operational sustainability: regaining consumer trust and, at the same time, overcoming the increased regulatory pressure in the post-pandemic travelling market. The platform suffered a severe lack of confidence during COVID-19 due to the cases of host-guest cancellations, inconsistent refund policies, and safety concerns, which all led to the harbouring of a bad reputation as a reliable accommodation provider (Gossling et al., 2021; Dolnicar and Zare, 2020). At the same time, the regulatory bodies tightened their control, introducing more rigid taxation systems, housing policies, and licensing, which essentially put the Airbnb business model to the test (Dredge and Gyimothy, 2021; Ferreri and Sanyal, 2018).

This study examines how marketing approaches can be used as a tool to solve the issue of the sharing economy, where the foundation of transactions lies in trust (Hawlitschek et al., 2018), and where platforms are challenged by regulatory issues (Quattrone et al., 2016). The pandemic crisis revealed the weak points in governance and indicated the contradictions between the interests of the corporations, the welfare of the host population, and the expectations of the guests (Farmaki et al., 2020). After the pandemic, consumers have become more concerned with safety, flexibility, and corporate responsibility, whereas regulations require more accountability of the platform (Sigala, 2020).

1.2 The Business Problem

224 The United Kingdom presents a particularly compelling context for examining Airbnb's dual challenges. The UK market has experienced significant regulatory developments, with cities like London, Edinburgh, and Manchester implementing varying degrees of short-term rental restrictions. The platform has faced scrutiny regarding its impact on housing availability, neighbourhood character, and compliance with local planning regulations (Ferreri and Sanyal, 2018). Concurrently, British consumers demonstrated heightened sensitivity to trust and safety concerns during the pandemic period, making the UK an ideal case for studying trust recovery marketing strategies.

Airbnb was valued at 31 billion dollars prior to the pandemic, and its valuation challenged the traditional hospitality industry through disintermediation through technology (Guttentag, 2019). The pandemic crisis revealed the weak points in governance and indicated the contradictions between the interests of the corporations, the welfare of the host population, and the expectations of the guests (Farmaki et al., 2020).

1.3 The Significance of the Study

109 The study addresses significant business challenges that have an effect on various stakeholders. In the case of platform firms, a competitive advantage in volatile markets is provided by effective trust-building marketing that does not violate the regulations (Cheng et al., 2019). The recovery of the hospitality industry depends on the regaining of consumer confidence, so the strategies of Airbnb can be educational to competitors (Zenker and Kock, 2020). Also, working through marketing regulations is a little-known feature of corporate strategy, particularly at a time when governments around the world rethink platform regulation (Goodwin, 2020). The future of the sharing economy lies in the ability to balance innovation and regulation, and marketing is one of the possible mediators (Martin, 2016).

37 Focusing on the United Kingdom provides particular relevance given the country's position as a major tourism destination and its evolving regulatory landscape for short-term rentals. The findings from this study will offer practical insights applicable to platform businesses navigating similar challenges in regulated markets.

91 1.4 Research Aim, Questions and Objectives

Research Aim:

To critically assess the marketing approaches used by Airbnb to regain brand trust and overcome regulatory obstacles in the post-pandemic travel market in the United Kingdom.

Research Objectives:

Objective 1: To analyse key marketing campaigns deployed by Airbnb for trust rebuilding in the UK market.

Objective 2: To evaluate the relationship between Airbnb's regulatory compliance marketing efforts and brand perception changes in the United Kingdom.

Objective 3: To identify the most effective marketing approaches for rebuilding brand trust within contexts of increased regulatory scrutiny.

Research Questions:

Research Question 1: How have Airbnb's post-pandemic marketing strategies in the UK addressed consumer trust deficits?

Research Question 2: What marketing approaches have proven most effective in rebuilding brand trust within the UK regulatory environment?

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Brand Trust in Digital Platforms

Brand trust manifests in the form of consumer confidence in competence, benevolence and integrity (Mayer et al., 1995). Trust is also different in a peer-to-peer marketplace, and platforms can guarantee safety without any direct service (Ert et al., 2016). Trust repair incorporates transparency, responsive service and accountability (Gillespie and Dietz, 2009). Mohlmann (2015) observes that platform trust is unlike interpersonal trust when consumers should trust technology, governance, and providers, and the architectures of trust become complex and multi-level, which can easily fail.

2.2 Crisis Marketing and Reputation Management

Crisis marketing includes the strategic communication strategies of reducing the reputational damage and enabling the organisational recovery (Coombs, 2007). The strategy of post-crisis communication can be either denial or complete acceptance with punishment, and the degree of effectiveness depends on the type of crisis and who is held responsible (Claeys et al., 2010). Bundy et al. (2017) highlight the differences in the salience of stakeholders in the event of a crisis, which need differentiated communication strategies. However, the available literature primarily focuses on the situation of a single crisis; dual crisis, when trust is lost and regulation issues are faced at the same time, is under-theorised (Bundy and Pfarrer, 2015).

2.3 Regulatory Marketing

Regulatory marketing is a strategic communication that is aimed at the regulators, policymakers and communities to establish a sense of legitimacy and impact on the regulation consequences (Meznar and Nigh, 1995). Platform businesses make use of what is referred to as regulatory entrepreneurship, which involves actively influencing regulatory settings by involving stakeholders and framing narratives (Katz, 2015). Nevertheless, Thelen (2018) criticises these strategies by stating that they might weaken

120 democratic governance, and Edelman and Geradin (2016) state that platforms have to strike a balance between the commercial and the social.

141 2.4 Theoretical Framework

Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) offers a framework for understanding organisational crisis responses, categorising strategies from defensive to accommodative based on crisis attribution and responsibility. SCCT is applied to Airbnb, where the communication decisions should be made during the pandemic and work aimed at restoring trust, yet Claeys and Coombs (2020) state that the crises with competing interests among stakeholders, such as between Airbnb and guests, hosts, regulators, and communities, have limitations.

Stakeholder Theory states organisations must balance multiple interests for legitimacy and success (Freeman, 1984). In the case of platform businesses, the complexity of stakeholders is greater because they interfere with the connexion between providers and consumers under the influence of regulatory pressures (Sutherland and Jarrahi, 2018). It demonstrates that marketing is essential in communicating with the various groups, which is critical in the two-fold challenges of Airbnb.

Trust Transfer Theory describes trust development online through mechanisms like reputation, structural assurances, and experiences (Stewart, 2003). Trust in platforms shifts to individual transactions, and therefore, corporate trust is essential in the operations (Gefen et al., 2003). The drop in the brand trust of Airbnb posed a threat to the reputation and business operations.

The best approach combines SCCT and Stakeholder Theory, enabling analysis of how marketing tackles trust issues and regulatory challenges. This combination is based on the understanding that crisis response needs to use differentiated communication to various groups of stakeholders with opposing interests (Bundy et al., 2017; Mitchell et al., 1997).

2.5 Research Gap

The majority of studies of Airbnb deal with either trust or regulation, but rarely with the combination of them through marketing. Guttentag (2019) points out trust as an essential factor in success in the sharing economy, yet focuses on interpersonal more than corporate trust practices. Quattrone et al. (2016) examine the reactions of cities towards Airbnb, which involve opposition, but do not mention marketing as a factor in legitimacy. The article by Dolnicar and Zare (2020) focuses on the effects of COVID-19 on trust, with cancellations mentioned as the most common ones, but it was written before a complete recovery.

Recent reports observe that there is erosion in the trust of the pandemic, but it has no marketing solutions. Sigala (2020) pays attention to safety communication but lacks

platform-specific approaches. The body of knowledge on marketing as a means of trust reconstruction and regulation is limited, but essential due to the fact that compliance enhances competitiveness (Dredge and Gyimothy, 2021) and trust is its cornerstone (Hawlitshchek et al., 2018).

The vast majority of literature is concentrated on individual countries (Gurran and Phibbs, 2017; Wachsmuth and Weisler, 2018), which does not allow for making blanket statements. Nieuwland and van Melik (2020) demonstrate that the responses differ, and they should be addressed locally, which supports the UK-focused approach of this study. Additionally, the vast majority of studies predate Airbnb's IPO in 2020, which changed the expectations of stakeholders (Cheng et al., 2021).

3.0 Methodology

The study is based on a qualitative interpretive method that is appropriate in the process of understanding a complex phenomenon such as meaning, interpretation, and strategic intent (Bryman and Bell, 2015). It enables deep study of the marketing content, strategies, and stakeholders' response as opposed to statistical generalisation (Creswell and Poth, 2018). The sources of data will be marketing campaigns of Airbnb in the UK, corporate communications, reports, filings, investor presentations, and media coverage. Other sources are industry reports, literature on the topic, and regulatory documents from UK authorities (Veal, 2018). Patterns and strategies will be identified through thematic analysis, and how Airbnb creates the discourses of trust, safety, and regulation will be explored through a critical discourse analysis (Fairclough, 2013). This single-country focus allows for in-depth examination of localisation and regulatory effects on strategy. This method provides an understanding of the strategic role of marketing in the time of two crises, and also mentions interpretative constraints of the secondary data.

4.0 Conclusion

The study explores the application of marketing to deal with the dual crisis of trust and regulation by platform businesses through the case of Airbnb in the United Kingdom. Airbnb was experiencing a loss of confidence and more regulations due to the pandemic, which makes it a perfect subject of crisis marketing. The research has been timely because of the current changes in the travel market and regulatory environment in the UK.

The study provides strategic suggestions to struggling sharing economy platforms on how regulatory compliance can be used as a marketing opportunity and not as a restriction. In the case of the hospitality industry, it emphasises recovery measures in the trust-based industries. It contributes to the study of crisis marketing, both academically and strategically, by discussing two crises at once; it connects the body of literature on the platform economy, traditionally separated into trust and regulatory

studies. The results are the structures of trust and regulatory marketing, the best practices in dealing with the complexity of the stakeholders, and the methods of increasing the competitiveness and sustainability of Airbnb as a sharing economy.

A Critical Assessment of Apple Inc.'s Global Strategy: Competitive Positioning, Theoretical Analysis, and Strategic Recommendations

Global Strategy Development and Implementation

1. Introduction

Apple Inc., headquartered in Cupertino, California, operates within the consumer electronics, software, and technology services sector. As of fiscal year 2024, Apple reported annual revenue of \$391.04 billion USD and net income of \$93.73 billion, maintaining its position as the world's most valuable company with market capitalisation of \$3.9 trillion (Apple Inc., 2024). The corporation ranks fourth on the Fortune 500 (2025) and eighth on the Fortune Global 500. Apple employs approximately 164,000 individuals globally and sells products across 175 countries through 530 retail stores in 25 nations (Apple Inc., 2024). The iPhone contributes 48.7% of total revenue, followed by Services at 24%, whilst Mac, iPad, and Wearables constitute the remaining portfolio. Geographically, the Americas generate 42.7% of revenue (\$167 billion), Europe 25.9%, and Greater China 17.1%. This essay critically analyses Apple's global strategy utilising Porter's Five Forces, Porter's Diamond Model, and the Bartlett and Ghoshal framework, examining external environmental factors through PESL analysis, evaluating CSR alignment with UN SDGs, and proposing strategic recommendations.

2. Porter's Five Forces Analysis: United States Smartphone Market

Porter's (2008) Five Forces framework remains instrumental in understanding industry competitive dynamics and market attractiveness. Applying this model to Apple's position in the US smartphone market reveals strategic forces shaping its competitive advantage.

2.1 Competitive Rivalry (HIGH)

The US smartphone market exhibits intense competitive rivalry, characterised by major competitors including Samsung, Google Pixel, and emerging Chinese manufacturers such as Xiaomi and OnePlus. Industry maturation has intensified competition for market share, with manufacturers competing aggressively on features, pricing, and innovation cycles. However, Chen (2024) argues that Apple's ecosystem integration strategy effectively differentiates it from competitors, as iOS, iCloud, Apple Watch, and AirPods create significant switching costs that transcend individual product competition. Furthermore, Apple's premium positioning strategically avoids direct price competition with Android manufacturers, whilst its 92% customer retention rate

demonstrates exceptional brand loyalty (Harzing, 2000). Critically, whilst rivalry is structurally high, Apple's differentiation strategy substantially mitigates competitive pressures, enabling sustained premium pricing that competitors cannot readily replicate through imitation.

2.2 Bargaining Power of Suppliers (MODERATE)

Supplier power presents a nuanced strategic challenge requiring careful management. Apple's reliance on Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC) for advanced A-series and M-series processors creates concentration risk, as TSMC produces 100% of Apple's custom silicon components. Nevertheless, Apple's transition to proprietary Apple Silicon, commencing in 2020, demonstrates strategic vertical integration aimed at reducing supplier dependency and capturing value previously retained by Intel (Qiu, Hu and Wang, 2020). The corporation's substantial purchasing volume, representing significant portions of supplier output, provides considerable bargaining leverage over most component suppliers. However, geopolitical tensions between the United States and China present ongoing supply chain vulnerabilities, necessitating diversification strategies including expanding Indian manufacturing capacity to approximately 50% of iPhone production by 2027.

2.3 Bargaining Power of Buyers (LOW-MODERATE)

Individual consumers possess limited bargaining power due to Apple's strong brand equity, differentiated products, and high ecosystem switching costs that create customer lock-in. Yang, Nam and Kim (2018) demonstrate that mobile ecosystem effects significantly impact consumer behaviour, with Apple's integrated hardware-software-services model creating substantial lock-in effects reducing price sensitivity. The 153 million active iPhone users in the United States represent a captive market segment with demonstrated willingness to pay premium prices. However, enterprise customers and educational institutions possess moderate negotiating leverage through volume purchasing arrangements, whilst emerging market consumers increasingly demand price-competitive alternatives creating segmented buyer power dynamics.

2.4 Threat of New Entrants (LOW)

Entry barriers remain exceptionally high, protecting incumbent profitability. Pangarkar and Prabhudesai (2024) emphasise that established brands create significant barriers through accumulated capabilities, reputation, and customer relationships that new entrants cannot readily replicate. Apple's annual R&D expenditure exceeding \$30 billion, extensive patent portfolio comprising over 80,000 patents, and established supply chain relationships involving approximately 200 direct suppliers create insurmountable barriers for potential entrants. Furthermore, economies of scale in manufacturing and global distribution networks would require capital investments rendering new entry economically impractical.

2.5 Threat of Substitutes (MODERATE)

The Android ecosystem offers functional alternatives across various price points, whilst emerging technologies including foldable devices, AI-native platforms, and augmented reality glasses present potential disruption vectors. However, Apple's integrated ecosystem makes complete substitution challenging, as users would forfeit investments in applications, cloud storage, and accessory compatibility. Significantly, Apple Vision Pro positions the corporation strategically for potential smartphone substitution through spatial computing. The Five Forces analysis demonstrates the US smartphone market remains attractive for Apple due to low entry threat and constrained buyer power, whilst Apple's differentiation strategy effectively mitigates competitive rivalry and substitution threats.

3. Theoretical Models Analysis

3.1 Porter's Diamond Model: United States Home Country Analysis

Porter's (1990) Diamond Model provides a comprehensive framework for analysing national competitive advantage through four interconnected determinants explaining why particular industries achieve international success. The United States offers Apple substantial home country advantages across all determinants, though critical analysis reveals important limitations requiring strategic attention.

Factor Conditions

The United States provides Apple with advanced factor conditions including Silicon Valley's exceptional talent pool, proximity to leading research universities such as Stanford, MIT, and Berkeley, and substantial capital availability through venture capital and public equity markets. Apple's capacity to invest over \$30 billion annually in R&D reflects access to sophisticated human and capital resources unavailable in most nations. However, manufacturing has predominantly relocated offshore to Asia, creating supply chain vulnerabilities that contradict Porter's (1990) emphasis on home-based factor advantages and expose Apple to geopolitical disruption risks.

Demand Conditions

American consumers represent sophisticated, demanding buyers with high purchasing power who historically drove product innovation through exacting quality and feature requirements. As Dobbs (2014) notes, demanding domestic customers pressure firms toward continuous improvement and innovation excellence. The Americas region contributing 42.7% of Apple's revenue (\$167 billion) validates the significance of home market demand in sustaining competitive advantage. Nevertheless, this US-centric demand orientation may inadequately represent emerging market consumer preferences, potentially limiting Apple's responsiveness to price-sensitive markets representing significant future growth opportunities.

Related and Supporting Industries

Apple benefits substantially from robust related industries including the software development ecosystem encompassing 3.84 million App Store applications, semiconductor industry spillovers from domestic firms including Qualcomm, Nvidia, and AMD, and entertainment industry partnerships supporting Apple services content offerings. Financial services infrastructure facilitates Apple Pay integration and expansion globally. However, critical manufacturing suppliers remain geographically concentrated in Asia, representing significant deviation from Porter's (1990) prescription for home-based supplier clusters and creating strategic vulnerabilities requiring mitigation.

Firm Strategy, Structure, and Rivalry

Intense domestic rivalry with technology giants including Google, Microsoft, Amazon, and Meta has strengthened Apple's competitive capabilities through continuous innovation pressure. Silicon Valley's entrepreneurial culture and US corporate governance structures supporting long-term investment horizons facilitate sustained R&D commitment. Consistent with Porter's (1990) theory, vigorous domestic competition has prepared Apple for global market success by developing capabilities that transfer effectively internationally. However, increasing antitrust scrutiny from the Department of Justice represents a significant strategic challenge potentially constraining Apple's ecosystem integration strategy.

3.2 Bartlett and Ghoshal Framework Analysis

Bartlett and Ghoshal's (1989) Integration-Responsiveness framework identifies four strategic archetypes for multinational corporations based on pressures for global integration versus local responsiveness. Apple predominantly employs a Global Strategy characterised by high global integration and low local responsiveness, with selective Transnational elements in specific markets demanding adaptation.

Global Strategy Dominance

Apple's global strategy manifests through standardised products worldwide, centralised decision-making concentrated at Cupertino headquarters, and unified brand messaging across all markets. As Harzing (2000) empirically demonstrated through comprehensive analysis of 166 subsidiaries across 37 multinational corporations, global firms exhibit high interdependence between subsidiaries and headquarters with limited local autonomy. iPhone hardware specifications remain identical across markets, iOS provides a unified operating system globally, and Apple Store designs maintain consistent aesthetics internationally. This standardisation achieves substantial economies of scale whilst maintaining brand coherence reinforcing premium market positioning.

Transnational Elements

Apple demonstrates selective local responsiveness consistent with transnational strategy characteristics in markets demanding adaptation. In China (17.1% of revenue), Apple integrates WeChat and UnionPay support whilst complying with data localisation requirements mandated by cybersecurity regulations. Japanese operations feature Suica transit card integration in Apple Pay reflecting local payment preferences. Significantly, Apple's Indian expansion strategy includes substantial local manufacturing targeting 50% of iPhone production by 2027 and establishment of five retail stores, representing material adaptation to price-sensitive emerging market conditions. European operations reflect regulatory compliance including GDPR adherence and USB-C adoption mandated by the Digital Markets Act.

Critical Evaluation

Apple's predominantly global strategy achieves economies of scale and brand consistency but presents significant limitations. Limited local adaptation constrains growth in price-sensitive emerging markets where competitors such as Xiaomi and Samsung offer localised alternatives at competitive price points. Bartlett and Ghoshal (1989) argue that increasing environmental complexity necessitates transnational capabilities balancing global efficiency with local responsiveness. Apple's centralised structure creates slower response times to local competitive threats and regulatory requirements, suggesting evolution toward transnational strategy would enhance competitive positioning in diverse markets whilst preserving core standardisation benefits.

4. Current External Environment: PESL Analysis

4.1 Political Environment

United States FDI policy remains generally supportive but exhibits increasing scrutiny of technology sector investments, particularly regarding China-related transactions. The CHIPS and Science Act provides substantial incentives for domestic semiconductor production benefiting Apple's supply chain diversification efforts. However, tariffs on Chinese imports impose ongoing supply chain cost pressures. China's technology nationalism increasingly restricts Apple's market access through government procurement exclusions, whilst European Union regulatory activism through the Digital Markets Act mandates operational adaptations including interoperability and sideloading requirements.

4.2 Economic Environment

The United States maintains the world's largest economy with nominal GDP exceeding \$28 trillion and 2024 GDP growth of 2.8%. GDP per capita at purchasing power parity exceeds \$85,000, representing the eleventh highest globally and supporting premium

pricing strategies. Inflation moderated to 2.7% by November 2025, easing input cost pressures. Strong consumer purchasing power sustains demand for Apple's premium-priced products. Contrastingly, China's slower economic growth negatively affects smartphone demand, whilst India's position as the fastest-growing major economy presents significant expansion opportunities requiring strategic focus.

4.3 Social Environment

The United States population of 334 million with median age 38.5 years demonstrates smartphone penetration exceeding 85% among adults, with 153 million active iPhone users. Growing privacy consciousness aligns favourably with Apple's privacy-centric market positioning, whilst increasing environmental awareness supports sustainability initiatives. However, Chinese consumers exhibit increasing nationalism favouring domestic brands, whilst India's younger population (median age 28) and 1.4 billion potential consumers represent distinct market requirements demanding strategic consideration.

4.4 Legal Environment

The United States provides robust intellectual property protection supporting Apple's portfolio exceeding 80,000 patents. However, significant antitrust scrutiny emerged with the March 2024 Department of Justice lawsuit alleging smartphone market monopolisation through ecosystem restrictions. The European Union's GDPR imposes comprehensive data protection obligations, whilst China's Cybersecurity Law mandates data localisation and content restrictions creating compliance complexity across jurisdictions.

5. CSR Practices and UN Sustainable Development Goals

Apple's environmental strategy, branded 'Apple 2030', commits to carbon neutrality across the entire value chain including Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions by 2030. The 2025 Environmental Progress Report documents substantial progress: greenhouse gas emissions reduced by over 60% since 2015, whilst revenue increased 65% during the same period (Apple Inc., 2025). This decoupling of growth from emissions demonstrates effective sustainability integration into core business operations.

Apple's initiatives align strategically with UN Sustainable Development Goals. SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) is addressed through 100% renewable energy powering corporate operations globally and 17.8 gigawatts of supplier renewable electricity capacity avoiding 21.8 million metric tons of emissions annually (World Business Council for Sustainable Development, 2025). SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) is supported by 24% recycled and renewable materials in products and 99% recycled rare earth elements in magnets. SDG 13 (Climate Action) is evidenced by the Restore Fund's \$280 million investment in nature-based carbon removal projects.



Introduction of carbon-neutral Apple Watch and Mac mini demonstrates



tangible commercial progress.

Critically, Apple strategically leverages CSR for competitive advantage through brand differentiation, consumer preference alignment particularly among environmentally-conscious demographics, operational cost savings through energy efficiency, and supply chain risk mitigation. Transparent communication through Environmental Progress Reports reinforces Apple's environmental leadership positioning, creating reputational benefits supporting premium pricing.

6. Recommendations

Based upon theoretical analysis, several strategic recommendations emerge for enhancing Apple's competitive positioning. First, Apple should accelerate transnational evolution by increasing local responsiveness in high-growth emerging markets whilst maintaining global standardisation in mature markets, consistent with Bartlett and Ghoshal's (1989) framework. Indian manufacturing expansion beyond current 50% targets would reduce China dependency whilst improving regional price competitiveness. Second, establishment of regional R&D centres in India and Europe would enable faster response to local market requirements and regulatory developments.

Third, Apple should intensify artificial intelligence integration across products and services to maintain differentiation against Google and Samsung's advancing AI capabilities, leveraging factor conditions advantages identified through Diamond Model analysis. Fourth, services revenue expansion beyond current 24% through healthcare applications, expanded financial services, and enterprise solutions would reduce hardware dependency and create recurring revenue. Finally, Apple should enhance sustainability communication, leveraging documented progress toward 2030 carbon neutrality for competitive differentiation whilst expanding circular economy initiatives. These recommendations, grounded in theoretical frameworks analysed herein, would strengthen Apple's competitive positioning across diverse global markets whilst addressing identified strategic vulnerabilities.

ASSIGNMENT WORK SHEET

Centre Name	UK Professional Development Academy
Qualification	Qualifi – Level 3 Diploma in Health and Social Care
Unit Number and Title	HSC304 - Person-Centred Care
Learner Full Name:	

Learner Enrolment ID:	
Due Date of Submission:	
Required Formatting	Use Times New Roman (Body) Font Style, Size 12 for Text, Size 13 (Bold) for Headings, 12 (Bold) for Subheadings, Justified alignment, single-spaced.
Word Count	

Statement of Authenticity

Learner's Declaration

I certify that the work submitted for this assignment is my own, and any similarity with other sources does not exceed 15%. I have accurately followed Harvard referencing guidelines and properly acknowledged all sources, including charts, diagrams, tables, and other research materials. I understand that providing a false declaration constitutes academic malpractice and may result in penalties.

I certify that the work submitted for this assignment is being submitted here for assessment for the first time and that I have not submitted it for assessment before and will not submit it for evaluation in the future.

In case the plagiarism detected is above 15% in my work, the management has the right to cancel my assignment and take appropriate action against me. I also permit the management to share all evidence and coursework submitted by me with the concerned.

I also confirm that I have not used prohibited websites or services for my coursework, including but not limited to ukessays.com, studymode.com, scribd.com, wikipedia.org, slideshare.net, my.assignmentshelp.com, bestessaywriters.com, kbglobal.co.uk, ChatGPT, or similar platforms.

I confirm that I have not used AI tools, including ChatGPT or any similar artificial intelligence platforms, nor any prohibited websites or services for my coursework.

I further confirm that I have read, understood, and agreed to abide by the UK Professional Development Academy rules and regulations regarding plagiarism, copying, and academic integrity as they apply to assignment submissions.

Learner's Full Name	
Signature (e-signatures) are acceptable	
Date	

Table of Contents

Introduction:	74
Task 1	74
1. An outline of person-centred care and how it compares with one other model of care delivery.....	74
2. Explain how to promote equality and diversity when working with service users and the concept of empathy, linking this to current theories	74
3. A discussion of the personal attributes those working in health social care need and how this enables effective and positive practice.....	74
Task 2	77
1. An outline of what `ethics' means, in particular, medical ethical principles	4
2. Using a case study, discuss the ethical issues involved and how these could be resolved.....	77
3. Identify and explain the impact of two pieces of legislation on the delivery of care	77
4. An analysis of how two agencies provide guidance for safe and effective care delivery in the Health and Social Care sector.....	77

Conclusion:	82
Bibliography	83
Appendix (if applicable):	89

Introduction:

(Provide a brief introduction of the assignment.) (up to 100 words)

Person-centred care is critically discussed as the foundation of current health and social care practice in this assignment, and the intersection of professional values, ethical frameworks, and legislative provisions to the quality delivery of care is explored. The paper examines the philosophical basis of person-centred methods and compares them with the traditional medical models, considering the implications of the processes in the UK healthcare setting for both service users and practitioners.

Note: The examples included in the helping notes are intended to assist you, but you are not restricted to using only these. You are encouraged to add your own headings and information, as long as they are relevant to the context and supported by appropriate research.

Task 1 (450-550)

1. An outline of person-centred care and how it compares with other models of care delivery
2. Explain how to promote equality and diversity when working with service users and the concept of empathy, linking this to current theories
3. A discussion of the personal attributes those working in health social care need and how this enables effective and positive practice

Helping Notes:

In statement 1, describe what person-centred care means and how it focuses on individual needs, preferences, and choices. Compare it briefly with another model, such as the medical model, showing the differences in approach and impact on care.

For statement 2, explain how workers create an inclusive environment by respecting differences, removing barriers, and treating everyone fairly. Explain what empathy means and connect it to recognised theories to show how understanding a person's feelings leads to better care.

Against statement 3, describe key qualities such as patience, reliability, communication, etc. Explain how these personal attributes help workers build trust, support wellbeing, and deliver safe, high-quality care.

(Your answer here)

Person-Centred Care vs. Medical Model.

Person-centred care is a paradigm change to the conventional models of healthcare delivery and essentially re-defines the concept of the practitioner-service user relationship. Santana et al. (2018) present person-centred care as a model in which patients participate in making their healthcare choices and preferences, values, and life situations are taken into consideration during the process of care planning. This practice is based on the humanistic psychology of Carl Rogers, which places the service users as partners and does not as passive receivers of care (McCormack et al., 2024).

Notably, the biomedical model can be opposed to the person-centred model, which is used to control the sphere of healthcare. The medical model, according to Yu et al. (2023), prioritises the pathophysiological processes the most and places illness in the category of biological dysfunction that needs clinical treatment. Although this reductionist strategy has been shown to be effective in acute conditions, it is proven to be neglectful of the psychosocial aspect of health that has a strong effect on patient outcomes (Khatri et al., 2023).

The basic difference is in the locus of control and the decision-making power. The person-centred care encourages shared decision-making and respects the autonomy, and the medical model historically places clinicians in the position of authority. According to Havana et al. (2023), patients who received person-centred care were much more satisfied and had better treatment adherence. Nonetheless, person-centred approaches necessitate organisational culture change and sufficient resourcing, which are viable in a practical sense in resource-limited healthcare systems.

Equality, Diversity and Empathy Promotion.

Encouraging equality and diversity in healthcare facilities is not only a legal requirement in accordance with the Equality Act 2010 but also an ethical requirement to attain fair health results. According to the Act, healthcare providers should not discriminate against people, as they have to make reasonable changes to make them accessible (NHS England, 2023). Analytically, equity promotion goes beyond compliance; it

requires active work with structural obstacles that cause health disparities among marginalised communities.

The affective foundation of the person-centred practice is empathy. The seminal work by Rogers (1951) was able to make empathic understanding one of three fundamental requirements of therapeutic relationships, with the other two being congruence and unconditional positive regard. The theoretical framework by Rogers has been confirmed by modern neuroscientific studies that show that empathy is comprised of both cognitive and affective elements, including perspective-taking and mentalising skills, and emotional resonance with the experiences of others, respectively (Ohlen et al., 2023).

According to Forsgren et al. (2025), the operationalisation of empathy in the healthcare sector allows practitioners to understand the subjective experiences of the service users, thus shaping more individualised and responsive care-giving interventions. Nevertheless, an empathic interaction and professionalism are at odds with each other; too much emotional interaction can lead to compassion fatigue and burnout. Healthcare organisations, therefore, have to develop conducive conditions that support sustainable empathic practice through supervision, reflection areas, and wellbeing programmes.

Health and Social Care Personal Attributes.

Successful healthcare practice requires a complex of personal qualities which together allow making therapeutic relationships and adequate care provision. The basic attributes are compassion, patience, reliability, communication competence, and emotional resilience (Skills for Care, 2022). These qualities are not only desirable, but they are also required in order to implement person-centred care principles in practice. Compassion allows sensitive reactions to suffering, whereas patience enables people to take more time or helps them. Trust is built around reliability, and it is through reliability that therapeutic relationships are built. Communication skills make it possible to have effective conversations, so that the service users feel that they are listened to and understood. Emotional resilience helps practitioners to overcome

difficult situations and remain effective in their work. More importantly, these qualities need to be cultivated continuously in the course of reflective practice, supervision, and further professional growth as opposed to being viewed as fixed personality characteristics.

Task 2 (1450-1550)

1. An outline of what 'ethics' means, in particular, medical ethical principles
2. Using a case study, discuss the ethical issues involved and how these could be resolved
3. Identify and explain the impact of two pieces of legislation on the delivery of care
4. An analysis of how two agencies provide guidance for safe and effective care delivery in the Health and Social Care sector

Helping Notes:

To meet the requirement of statement 1, explain the meaning of ethics and describe key medical ethical principles such as autonomy, beneficence, justice, etc. You can discuss how these principles guide professional behaviour.

For statement 2, choose a short example of a situation where a care worker faces an ethical dilemma. Describe the moral issue and explain how it could be addressed and resolved using ethical principles and good practice.

In statement 3, identify two laws regarding the delivery of care and explain how each law influences day-to-day care, such as safeguarding, decision-making, or protecting rights.

For statement 4, pick two agencies, such as CQC or NICE and describe the type of guidance they offer. Explain how their standards and recommendations help workers deliver safe, consistent, and high-quality care.

(Your answer here)

Ethics and Medical Ethical Principles

Ethics is a philosophical study of the principles of morality in human behaviour. In the context of healthcare, medical ethics gives normative guidelines on how to handle complicated decisions that touch on the welfare of the patient. In their masterpiece work titled *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, Beauchamp and Childress (2019) developed four cardinal principles that are now considered to be the basic principles of healthcare ethics worldwide.

145 Autonomy is about the right to self-determination and informed choice of people. The concept of beneficence requires practitioners to act in the best interests of patients and result in positive outcomes and well-being. Non-maleficence is based on the Hippocratic maxim of primum non nocere and means not harming. Justice requires equitable and fair allocation of healthcare facilities and care (Shahidi et al., 2021). Importantly, these principles are often opposed to each other in real life, and they have to be balanced. As an example, the principle of respecting an autonomous refusal of a patient against treatment can be in conflict with beneficence when such a refusal poses a threat to the health of the patient. These situations require subtle moral thinking as opposed to the use of principles.

Also, ethical frameworks should be dynamically implemented, taking into consideration the specifics of the situation with a particular patient and his/her values (Sykes and Bester, 2024). This interactive tool is particularly relevant in the mental health sphere, as the perceptions and autonomy of clients are the key to successful therapy (Kumar et al., 2024). Legal regulations, including the Mental Health Act, also make such considerations more complicated by providing the criteria of involuntary treatment, thus demanding a delicate balance of patient rights and the safety of the population (Ross, 2011).

Case Study of Ethical Problems and Solutions.

220 The case of Mrs Thompson, an 82-year-old resident of a care home with a history of early-stage dementia, who routinely declines to take blood pressure medication, can be considered. Her family demands that the staff make sure that they are compliant. This situation is a case of the conflict of autonomy and beneficence, which is at the core of healthcare ethics. Although her mind is too weak, Mrs. Thompson might still be able to make certain decisions, which is why her right to self-determination is applied, and the duty of the staff is to do what is in her best health interests (Ross, 2011). The ethical dilemma highlights the intricacies of autonomy and professional responsibility of care in patients particularly in the vulnerable group where capacity can be unstable (Ross, 2011). In these cases, it may be necessary to conduct a thorough capacity evaluation to determine the level of her decision-making capacity and to inform a decision that supports her rights and does not jeopardise her health (Ilori et al., 2024; Noutchie, 2024).

Moreover, the involvement of her family, despite the good intentions, adds another ethical dimension, which is why it is essential to balance the desires of the patient, professional and family interests with the help of a professional.

The legal framework to be used is the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The Act provides that the presumption of capacity, unless proved otherwise, is a requirement that a decision-specific capacity assessment is to be made. In case Mrs Thompson proves her knowledge of medication benefits and risks, remembrance, weighing options, and the capacity to express them, her refusal should be honoured despite her perceived lack of wisdom (SCIE, 2025). On the other hand, when Mrs. Thompson is considered to have lack of capacity, decisions should be taken in her best interests, which may include administering medication against her will in a holistic approach to her past preferences, values and well-being (Campbell-Taylor, 2012) in general. This also shows how a balance should be observed between paternalism and encouraging autonomy, especially with vulnerable people whose ability can be impaired (Gould & Bain, 2022). This delicate intervention demands an interdisciplinary team of medical practitioners, social workers, and legal practitioners to make decisions of ethical and legal merit (Smebye et al., 2015).

This would need interdisciplinary cooperation to resolve. The practitioners need to seek the causes of refusal, be it side effects or belief or difficulty in swallowing. Practical barriers may be overcome by the use of alternative formulations or administration times. In case of a lack of capacity, best interest decisions in cases where a family consultation is required should undergo statutory processes.

Legislation that has affected the delivery of care.

The Care Act 2014 essentially overhauled the adult social care law in England and brought together the various uncoordinated earlier legislation into a logical statute. The Act sets wellbeing as the most essential principle of local authority functions and includes physical, mental and emotional dimensions as well as social and economic involvement (Department of Health and Social Care, 2024). Analytically, this legislative framework requires person-centred evaluation strategies that emphasise results that are valued by individuals, but not service-based provision. The Act enhanced protection

responsibilities, involving the collaboration of multi-agencies to secure the vulnerable adults, and providing a statutory assessment of carers to appreciate the needs of unpaid carers on its own.

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 offers the necessary protection to people who are not in a position to make decisions. It has five statutory principles, such as the presumption of capacity and the support of decision-making before concluding incapacity, which are the essential elements of the healthcare practice (Mind, 2023). The Act provides that best interests determinations should take into account the past wishes, beliefs and values of people, and their identity should be taken into consideration even in circumstances where they are unable to contribute personally. Nevertheless, there are still implementation issues, and the studies show that the practices of capacity assessment are not consistent in various healthcare facilities, and they require better training and organisational control.

The issues of implementing the Mental Capacity Act are even more complicated when people are self-negligent, which makes it harder to collaborate with multi-agencies to protect situations (Aspinwall-Roberts et al., 2022). It is especially relevant because the number of adults who are victims of self-neglect has grown significantly since the enactment of the Care Act 2014 (Aspinwall-Roberts et al., 2022). Mental Capacity Act 2005 plays a significant role in defining a decision-making capacity in these situations and offers a legal framework on which practitioners can act as much as possible without interfering with individual autonomy (Braye et al., 2017) (Aspinwall-Roberts et al., 2022). Although it has clear guidelines, clinicians have been struggling to operationalize the Act particularly in regard to the dynamic nature and situation-based nature of mental capacity (Aspinwall-Roberts et al., 2022; Nicholas and Nicholas, 2010).

Agencies that are involved in offering guidance to deliver care

The Care Quality Commission (CQC) is a British health and social care regulator that is independent. CQC evaluates the services against five central questions, namely, safety, effectiveness, caring, responsiveness, and leadership quality through registration requirements and inspection activities (CQC, 2024). The inspection framework of the

Commission gives explicit public knowledge that allows consumers to make informed decisions and leads to quality improvement by pointing out shortcomings. Nevertheless, recent governmental oversight has pointed out the issues with operations such as the inspection backlog and the issue of the new single assessment framework implementation (Department of Health and Social Care, 2024). These criticisms highlight conflicts between the regulatory aspirations and resource limitations.

Since the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) synthesises research evidence to develop evidence-based guidelines and standards of quality, it is used to recommend the best practice interventions (NICE, 2024). The NICE guidance minimises unnecessary practice deviation, and the patients are treated using methods that have been proven effective after rigorous assessment. The quality standards offer measurable indicators that help to benchmark and improve. More importantly, NICE guidelines, though authoritative, are not superior to clinical judgement; practitioners need to take into account the specifics of the situation when implementing guidelines. Incorporation of NICE guidance in the care delivery is evidence of the dedication to evidence-based practice and continuous quality improvement.

Legal frameworks, including the Mental Capacity Act 2005, and practicalities of various patient groups tend to make issues in the implementation of such evidence-based practises more complicated (Dunn and Holland, 2019). Such a complication leads to the need to ensure a strong, cross-disciplinary approach to care that combines the knowledge of the law with clinical expertise to effectively deal with ethical dilemmas (Preston-Shoot, 2019). In addition, the Mental Capacity Act has never been out of place in the social care arena, in part because of the fact that it complements the principles of person-centred care (Dunn and Holland, 2019). Nonetheless, its use in a medical context can demand a more subtle interpretation, especially in the choice between patient autonomy and the duty of care, as well as the subtleties of human rights (Birkeland et al., 2016; Shaddel, 2022). The complexities brought about by the Act usually demand a comprehensive knowledge of the provisions of the Act on supported decision-making and the procedure of ascertaining best interests where the individual is unable to do so (Nicholas and Nicholas, 2010).

Conclusion:

This assignment has critically discussed person-centred care as the philosophical and practical basis of modern healthcare. The discussion shows that professional values, ethical reasoning, compliance with the legislation, and regulatory standards are necessary to achieve effective care delivery. Although the requirements of person-centred approaches are organisational commitment and cultural change, the methods are associated with significant benefits in the service user outcomes and experience. The combination of legislation, especially the Care Act 2014 and the Mental Capacity Act 2005, and regulatory advice of CQC and NICE forms a holistic system of facilitating safe, effective, and compassionate care.

Bibliography

14 Aspinwall-Roberts, E., Fleming, V., Khatri, R. and Jones, P.A. (2022) “They don’t want them to have capacity’: Multi-agency operationalisation of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 in England with adults who self-neglect,” *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 30(6). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13839>.

89 Beauchamp, T.L. and Childress, J.F. (2019). *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*. 8th edn. New York: Oxford University Press.

3 Birkeland, S., Needham, I., McKenna, K.J., Frank, O. and Oud, N. (2016) “Threats and Violence in the Lead-up to Psychiatric Mechanical Restraint : A Danish Case Law Study,” *Research Portal Denmark*, 1, p. 229. Available at:

3 <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=sdu&id=sdu-6b047f55-de5c-4c12-b693-e09d57910ef9&ti=Threats%20and%20Violence%20in%20the%20Lead-up%20to%20Psychiatric%20Mechanical%20Restraint%20%3A%20A%20Danish%20Case%20Law%20Study> (Accessed: July 2025).

Braye, S., Orr, D. and Preston-Shoot, M. (2017) "Autonomy and Protection in Self-neglect Work: The Ethical Complexity of Decision-making," *Ethics and Social Welfare*, 11(4), p. 320. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17496535.2017.1290814>.

Campbell-Taylor, I. (2012) "Embracing patient choice," *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. Cambridge University Press. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.201.6.492>.

Care Quality Commission (2024) The fundamental standards. Available at:

<https://www.cqc.org.uk> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

Department of Health and Social Care (2024) Care and support statutory guidance.

Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/care-act-statutory-guidance>

(Accessed: 8 December 2025).

Dunn, M. and Holland, A. (2019) "Mental Capacity Act Application: Social Care Settings," in *Cambridge University Press eBooks*. Cambridge University Press, p. 82.

Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108648622.006>.

Equality Act 2010, c.15. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15>

(Accessed: 8 December 2025).

Forsgren, E., Feldthusen, C., Wallström, S., Thunström, L., Kullman, L., Sawatzky, R. and Öhlén, J. (2025) 'Person-centred care as an evolving field of research: a scoping review', *Frontiers in Health Services*, 5, 1534178. doi: 10.3389/frhs.2025.1534178.

Gould, J. and Bain, H. (2022) "Professional, legal and ethical dimensions of prescribing. Part 2: legal and ethical," *Primary Health Care*, 33(1), p. 27. Available at:

<https://doi.org/10.7748/phc.2022.e1774>.

Havana, A., Saarivaara, S., Kaakinen, P. and Kääriäinen, M. (2023) 'Patients' experiences of patient-centred care in hospital setting: A systematic review of qualitative studies', *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 37(4), pp. 873-888. doi: 10.1111/scs.13174.

Ilori, O.R., Kolawole, T.O. and Olaboye, J.A. (2024) "Ethical dilemmas in healthcare management: A comprehensive review," *International Medical Science Research*

Journal. Fair East Publishers, p. 703. Available at:

<https://doi.org/10.51594/imsrj.v4i6.1251>.

Khatrri, R.B., Wolka, E., Nigatu, F., Zewdie, A., Erku, D., Endalamaw, A. and Assefa, Y. (2023) 'People-centred primary health care: a scoping review', *BMC Primary Care*, 24, 236. doi: 10.1186/s12875-023-02194-3.

Kumar, V., Ntoutsis, E., Rajawat, P.S., Medda, G. and Recupero, D.R. (2024) "Unlocking LLMs: Addressing Scarce Data and Bias Challenges in Mental Health," *arXiv (Cornell University)* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2412.12981>.

McCormack, B.G., Slater, P.F., Gilmour, F., Edgar, D., Gschwenter, S., McFadden, S. et al. (2024) 'The development and structural validity testing of the Person-centred Practice Inventory-Care (PCPI-C)', *PLoS ONE*, 19(5), e0303158. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0303158.

Mental Capacity Act 2005, c.9. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2005/9> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

Mind (2023) What is the Mental Capacity Act? Available at: <https://www.mind.org.uk/information-support/legal-rights/mental-capacity-act-2005> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

NHS England (2023) NHS equality, diversity and inclusion improvement plan. Available at: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/nhs-equality-diversity-and-inclusion-improvement-plan> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

NICE (2024) About NICE guidelines. Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/nice-guidance/nice-guidelines> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

Nicholas, N. and Nicholas, S. (2010) "Understanding the Mental Capacity Act 2005: a guide for clinicians," *The Obstetrician & Gynaecologist*, 12(1), p. 29. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1576/toag.12.1.029.27555>.

Noutchie, S.C.O. (2024) "Enacting caring presence in a conflicting environment: the case of state patients," *International Journal of Research in Business and Social*

Science (2147-4478), 13(3), p. 384. Available at:

<https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v13i3.3292>.

Öhlén, J., Reimer-Kirkham, S., Astle, B., Håkanson, C., Lee, J., Eriksson, M. and

Sawatzky, R. (2023) 'Person-centred conversations in nursing and health: A theoretical analysis based on perspectives on communication', *Nursing Philosophy*, 24(3), e12432. doi: 10.1111/nup.12432.

Preston-Shoot, M. (2019) "Self-neglect and safeguarding adult reviews: towards a model of understanding facilitators and barriers to best practice," *The Journal of Adult Protection*, 21(4), p. 219. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/jap-02-2019-0008>.

Rogers, C.R. (1951) *Client-Centered Therapy: Its Current Practice, Implications and Theory*. London: Constable.

Ross, J.W. (2011) *Specialist Communication Skills for Social Workers*. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-230-36578-0>.

Santana, M.J., Manalili, K., Jolley, R.J., Zelinsky, S., Quan, H. and Lu, M. (2018) 'How to practice person-centred care: A conceptual framework', *Health Expectations*, 21(2), pp. 429-440. doi: 10.1111/hex.12640.

SCIE (2025) *Mental Capacity Act 2005 at a glance*. Available at: <https://www.scie.org.uk/mca/introduction/mental-capacity-act-2005-at-a-glance> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

Shaddel, F. (2022) "Beyond 'Evidence-Based Medicine' in "Detained Patients"," *BJPsych Open*, 8. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2022.366>.

Shahidi, S., Aggarwal, R. and Gao, H. (2021) 'Principles of Clinical Ethics and Their Application to Practice', *Medical Principles and Practice*, 30(1), pp. 17-28. doi: 10.1159/000509119.

Skills for Care (2022) *Values and behaviours framework*. Available at:

<https://www.skillsforcare.org.uk> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

23 Smebye, K.L., Kirkevold, M. and Engedal, K. (2015) "Ethical dilemmas concerning autonomy when persons with dementia wish to live at home: a qualitative, hermeneutic study," *BMC Health Services Research*, 16(1). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-1217-1>.

122 Sykes, L. and Bester, J. (2024) "Care and Compassion in Healthcare Provision," *SADJ*, 79(8), p. 434. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.17159/sadj.v79i06.20111>.

94 The Care Act 2014, c.23. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/23> (Accessed: 8 December 2025).

28 Yu, X., Xian, Y., Jing, W., Bai, L., Li, D., Li, X., Liang, Y., Yu, J. and Zhang, X. (2023) 'More patient-centered care, better healthcare: the association between patient-centred care and healthcare outcomes in inpatients', *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1148277. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1148277.

Appendix (if applicable):

This section includes supporting evidence that could not be seamlessly integrated into the main body of the assignment.

Reflective Portfolio: Leadership and Management of Project Teams

Introduction

The capacity to reflect on one's experiences has been recognised as fundamental to professional growth and lifelong learning (McNamara and Field, 2007). Reflection enables practitioners to critically evaluate their actions, identify areas for improvement, and develop strategies for enhanced performance in future situations. Within project management, reflective practice is particularly valuable as it allows project managers to learn from complex team dynamics and leadership challenges encountered during project delivery (Cogni, 2019). Importantly, reflection facilitates the transformation of tacit knowledge gained through experience into explicit understanding that can inform future practice. This portfolio employs the DIEP model of reflection, comprising Describe, Interpret, Evaluate, and Plan stages, which provides a structured framework for analysing experiential learning (Boud, Keogh and Walker, 1985). The model was selected for its ability to facilitate deep critical analysis whilst maintaining a clear logical progression from experience through meaning-making to actionable developmental outcomes. The following sections present a critical analysis of the project manager's role in managing team dynamics, considering both internal and external contextual factors, followed by a personal reflection on learning from the Social Impact Workshop held on 22nd October 2025.

Figure 1: The DIEP Model of Reflection

Source: Boud, Keogh and Walker (1985)

Section One: Critical Analysis of the Project Manager's Role in Managing Team Dynamics

1.1 The Project Manager and Team Dynamics

The project manager occupies a pivotal position in determining team effectiveness and overall project success. Yang, Huang and Wu (2011) established a significant positive correlation between leadership style, teamwork quality, and project outcomes, demonstrating that transformational leadership behaviours directly enhance team cohesion and performance. Their research indicates that project managers who inspire team members, provide intellectual stimulation, and demonstrate individualised consideration achieve superior results compared to those employing purely transactional approaches. Similarly, Meng and Boyd (2017) emphasise that contemporary project managers must fulfil multifaceted roles encompassing relationship building, conflict resolution, and stakeholder coordination to achieve successful outcomes in increasingly complex project environments.

Understanding team development is essential for effective project management. Tuckman's model of group development, comprising forming, storming, norming, performing, and adjourning stages, provides a foundational framework for comprehending team dynamics (Egolf and Chester, 2013). During the forming stage, team members are typically polite but uncertain, requiring clear direction from the project manager. The storming phase presents particular challenges as conflicts emerge when team members establish roles and challenge authority, requiring project managers to demonstrate emotional intelligence and sophisticated conflict management skills. Cobb (2012) argues that leaders who recognise these developmental stages can adapt their approach accordingly, providing greater direction and structure during early stages whilst progressively delegating more autonomy as teams mature and develop shared norms.

Furthermore, Anantatmula (2016) contends that effective team leadership requires balancing task-oriented and relationship-oriented behaviours throughout the project lifecycle. Project managers must simultaneously drive project deliverables and maintain schedule adherence whilst nurturing interpersonal relationships that sustain team motivation and commitment. This dual focus is particularly challenging in contemporary project environments characterised by diverse, geographically dispersed teams operating under significant time and resource constraints. Natrajan (2010) reinforces this perspective, noting that successful project managers demonstrate flexibility in adapting their leadership approach to match both situational demands and individual team member needs.

1.2 Internal Contextual Factors

43 Internal organisational factors significantly influence project team effectiveness and the project manager's ability to lead successfully. Buchanan and Huczynski (2019) identify organisational culture as a critical determinant of team behaviour, noting that cultures emphasising collaboration and psychological safety enable more effective teamwork than hierarchical, blame-oriented environments. Project managers operating within supportive cultures can foster innovation and encourage calculated risk-taking, whilst those working in restrictive cultures may struggle to empower team members and cultivate the creative problem-solving essential for project success. The alignment between project objectives and organisational values also impacts team motivation and commitment.

Resource availability constitutes another crucial internal factor affecting project team performance. Torrington et al. (2020) highlight that inadequate staffing levels, budget constraints, and technological limitations directly impair team performance and can generate frustration among team members. Project managers must navigate these constraints whilst maintaining team morale, often requiring creative problem-solving and negotiation with senior stakeholders to secure necessary resources. Griffith and Dunham (2017) emphasise that resource scarcity can also intensify internal competition and conflict, requiring project managers to develop sophisticated allocation strategies that maintain perceptions of fairness and equity.

Stakeholder relationships within organisations also significantly impact project teams. Eskerod, Huemann and Savage (2015) emphasise that internal stakeholders, including functional managers, executives, and support departments, can either facilitate or obstruct project progress depending on their interests and engagement levels. Effective project managers develop political acumen to navigate complex organisational dynamics, building coalitions of support whilst managing competing interests and priorities. Worsley (2017) advocates stakeholder-led approaches that position relationship management as central to project success rather than peripheral administrative activity, arguing that projects ultimately succeed through people rather than processes alone.

1.3 External Contextual Factors

External environmental factors present additional complexities for project managers seeking to lead teams effectively. Economic volatility, technological disruption, and regulatory changes create uncertainty that impacts project planning and execution. Thamhain (2012) observes that globalisation has fundamentally transformed project leadership requirements, with managers increasingly responsible for coordinating multinational teams across cultural and temporal boundaries. These challenges require project managers to develop new competencies in cross-cultural communication, virtual collaboration, and managing ambiguity whilst maintaining team focus and cohesion.

130 The rise of virtual and hybrid working arrangements represents a significant external shift affecting team dynamics. Hoch and Kozlowski (2014) found that virtual teams require different leadership approaches than co-located teams, with shared leadership models and structural supports becoming more important than traditional hierarchical direction. Project managers must leverage technology effectively whilst addressing challenges of reduced informal communication and potential isolation experienced by remote team members. Ayoko, Konrad and Boyle (2012) further note that managing emotions and conflict in virtual environments requires heightened attention to communication clarity and deliberate relationship-building activities that compensate for the absence of spontaneous face-to-face interaction.

203 197 Additionally, Gronwald (2017) identifies cross-cultural competencies as essential for contemporary project managers operating in globalised contexts. Cultural differences in communication styles, attitudes toward authority, approaches to time management, and conflict resolution preferences can generate misunderstandings that undermine team cohesion and productivity. Project managers must develop cultural intelligence to bridge these differences, creating inclusive environments where diverse perspectives are valued and leveraged for enhanced problem-solving. Zander (2013) argues that successfully leading global virtual teams requires intentional relationship-building efforts and explicit attention to team processes that might otherwise develop organically in co-located settings.

Section Two: Critical Self-Reflection on Learning Activity

Activity: Social Impact Workshop

Date: 22nd October 2025

Describe

32 32 32 On 22nd October 2025, I participated in the Social Impact Workshop as part of the HRMM068 Managing Project Teams module. The workshop aimed to develop understanding of social impact mindsets and their relevance to personal and professional development within project management contexts. Key activities included a personal values exercise requiring identification of five core values from sixty-four options presented (see Appendix 1), the ABC Avalanche technique for systematically identifying social problems beginning with each letter of the alphabet, and an empathy mapping exercise exploring diverse stakeholder perspectives and experiences (see Appendix 2). Additionally, we examined the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and discussed their practical application to project management practice and organisational strategy. The workshop emphasised that being socially impactful involves doing the right things, in the right way, with the right people, for the right reasons to create positive and sustainable change for communities and society.

Interpret

The workshop activities held significant implications for my development as a project manager. The personal values exercise revealed that empathy, integrity, and collaboration constitute my core values, which align closely with the competencies required for effective team leadership identified by Yang, Huang and Wu (2011). Understanding my values enables more authentic leadership, as Lindgren and Packendorff (2007) argue that leadership effectiveness stems fundamentally from alignment between personal values and professional behaviours. The empathy mapping exercise particularly resonated with stakeholder management principles articulated by Eskerod, Huemann and Savage (2015), demonstrating how deep understanding of stakeholder perspectives enables more effective engagement and influence. Furthermore, connecting workshop themes to the SDGs highlighted how project managers can contribute to broader societal outcomes beyond immediate project deliverables, expanding my conception of project success.

Evaluate

Initially, I experienced apprehension about participating in unfamiliar activities requiring personal disclosure within a group setting. This reaction aligns with research suggesting individuals commonly exhibit anxiety when confronted with novel learning experiences that challenge established comfort zones (Buchanan and Huczynski, 2019). However, as the workshop progressed, I recognised these feelings as natural responses to stepping outside familiar territory and engaging with new approaches to self-development. Through the values exercise, I identified empathy as a significant personal strength that supports effective team leadership through enhanced understanding of team member perspectives and needs. Conversely, I recognised that my tendency toward reserved communication in unfamiliar settings represents a development area requiring attention. The ACAS (2021) guidance on workplace conflict highlights that effective communication is fundamental to preventing and resolving team disputes, suggesting this area warrants focused development. The collaborative activities also revealed my capability for creative problem-solving whilst highlighting opportunities to develop greater confidence in articulating ideas within group settings.

Plan

To address identified development areas and enhance my project management capabilities, I have established the following action plan with specific timelines. Between December 2025 and February 2026, I will complete the Association for Project Management's online leadership module to strengthen theoretical understanding of leadership approaches and their practical application (see Appendix 3). From January to March 2026, I will engage with Goleman's emotional intelligence literature to deepen understanding of interpersonal competencies essential for team leadership and

stakeholder management. Additionally, I will attend a Project Management Institute webinar on stakeholder engagement in February 2026 to develop practical strategies for managing diverse stakeholder relationships effectively. Finally, I will maintain a reflective journal throughout 2026 to embed reflective practice as a continuous professional development habit, enabling ongoing identification and addressing of emerging development needs as my career progresses.

Conclusion

This portfolio has examined the complex and multifaceted role of project managers in leading teams effectively within challenging organisational and environmental contexts. The critical analysis revealed that successful team leadership requires comprehensive understanding of team developmental stages, flexibility in adapting leadership styles to situational demands, and sophisticated navigation of both internal organisational dynamics and external pressures including globalisation and the increasing prevalence of virtual working arrangements. Personal reflection on the Social Impact Workshop using the DIEP model enabled identification of empathy and collaborative orientation as key personal strengths that will support effective team leadership, whilst highlighting communication confidence in unfamiliar settings as a priority development area requiring focused attention. The structured reflection process has reinforced the value of systematic reflective practice for continuous professional development, establishing firm foundations for ongoing growth as an aspiring project management professional committed to lifelong learning and continuous improvement.