

4.2) a) Show $v_F = \frac{\hbar k_F}{m} = \frac{\hbar}{m} (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$

essentially show $k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$.

$$N = 2 \int g(k) d^3k \quad (\text{factor of 2 from spin degeneracy})$$

integrating density of states over Fermi sphere gives # of electrons N .

$$N = 2 \int_0^{k_F} \left(\frac{4}{2\pi} \right)^3 k^2 dk \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

$$N = \frac{2V}{8\pi^3} \frac{4}{3} \pi k_F^3 \leadsto \frac{N}{V} = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} k_F^3 \leadsto \boxed{k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}}$$

b) Recall $\vec{j} = -ne\vec{v}_d = \sigma \vec{E}$

$$\therefore \boxed{v_d = \frac{\sigma E}{ne}}$$

Recall from the classical eqn of motion $\dot{\vec{p}} = -e\vec{E} - \left(\frac{\vec{p}}{\tau} \right)$ scattering term
 we arrived at the following steady state expr. for σ (eqn 3.2)

$$\sigma = \frac{e^2 \tau n}{m}$$

We can rewrite τ in terms of mean free path λ as

$$\lambda = v_F \tau$$

We use v_F above and not v_d because v_d is the net effect of having these fast moving electrons bouncing around and scattering/relaxing on $\sim 10^{-14}$ s time scales. But λ is really talking about how far one of these electrons moves in that short amount of time τ , not the distance it drifts in the metal over long time scales.

$$\sigma = \frac{e^2 \tau n}{m} = \boxed{\frac{ne^2 \lambda}{mv_F}}$$

c) i. Using $E = 1 \text{ V/m}$ & $n = 8.45 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^{-3}$ (note this is e-density and not mass density, so we're all good.)
 $\neq \text{get}$

$$v_F = \frac{1.05 \times 10^{-34} \text{ Js}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}} (3\pi^2 \times 8.45 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^{-3})^{1/3}$$

$$= \boxed{1.56 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}} \approx 0.005c$$

$$v_d = \frac{(5.9 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1})(1 \text{ V/m})}{(1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(8.45 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^{-3})} = \boxed{4.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m/s}} = 4.36 \text{ mm/s}$$

So scattering REALLY attenuates net charge movement rate in a metal.

$$\text{ii} \quad \lambda = \frac{mv_F}{ne^2} = \frac{(9.11 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg})(1.56 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s})(5.9 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1})}{(8.45 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^{-3})(1.602 \times 10^{-19})^2}$$

$$= 3.87 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m} = \boxed{387 \text{ \AA}}$$

Internet tells me lattice constant in copper is $\sim 3.61 \text{ \AA}$ (FCC lattice so mean spacing is probably even smaller but same order of mag).

Roughly speaking a conduction electron moves $\sim 10^2$ atomic distances before scattering.

4.3) a & b) Read the book

d.) The linear term comes from the electrons and the T^3 term comes from phonons (remember $C_{ph} \sim T^3$ from lab #1)

► A variety of ways to do this, one is to use the coefficient γ along w/ book derivations of the heat capacity due to elec, which depends on $g(E_F)$ to solve for E_F

$$\hookrightarrow C_{elec} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 g(E_F) T V$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \frac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 g(E_F) V &= \frac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 \frac{3nV}{2E_F} \left(\frac{E_F}{E_F} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} k_B^2 \frac{N}{E_F} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{2} k_B^2 \frac{1}{E_F} n_m N_A \\ &\quad \quad \quad \uparrow \text{ \# moles.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \gamma = \frac{\pi^2}{2} k_B^2 \frac{N_A}{E_F} = 2.08 \times 10^{-3} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{K}^2}$$

$$E_F = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{k_B^2 N_A}{2.08 \times 10^{-3} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{K}^2}} = 2.72 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} = \boxed{1.70 \text{ eV}}$$

4.7.) Can use eqn. 4.8 in text

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) E &= V \int_0^{\infty} d\epsilon \epsilon g(\epsilon) n_F(\beta(\epsilon - \epsilon_F)) = V \int_0^{\infty} d\epsilon \epsilon \frac{3n}{2\epsilon_F} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_F}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon - \epsilon_F)} + 1} \\
 &= V \int_0^{\epsilon_F} d\epsilon \epsilon \frac{3n}{2\epsilon_F} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_F}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{At } T=0, n_F \text{ is a step function} \\
 &= \frac{3N}{2\epsilon_F^{3/2}} \int_0^{\epsilon_F} d\epsilon \epsilon^{3/2} = \frac{3N}{2\epsilon_F^{3/2}} \frac{2}{5} \epsilon_F^{5/2} = \boxed{\frac{3}{5} N \epsilon_F}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) P &= -\frac{\partial E}{\partial V} = -\frac{3}{5} N \frac{\partial \epsilon_F}{\partial V} = -\frac{3}{5} N \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{3\pi^2 N}{V} \right)^{2/3} \right) \sim \text{see eqn. 4.7.} \\
 &= -\frac{3}{5} N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{3\pi^2 N}{V} \right)^{2/3} \left(-\frac{2}{3} \frac{3\pi^2 N}{V^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{5m} \left(\frac{N}{V} \right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{V} \right)^{2/3} = \frac{2}{5} \frac{N}{V} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{3\pi^2 N}{V} \right)^{2/3} \right) \\
 &= \boxed{\frac{2}{5} n \epsilon_F}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= -V \frac{\partial P}{\partial V} = -V \frac{2}{5} \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{N}{V} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{3\pi^2 N}{V} \right)^{2/3} \right) \right) = -V \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{V} \right)^{2/3} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{N}{V} \right)^{5/3} \\
 &= -V \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{V} \right)^{2/3} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{N}{V} \right)^{2/3} \left(-\frac{N}{V^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{V} \right)^{2/3} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{N}{V} \right)^{5/3} = \boxed{\frac{2}{3} n \epsilon_F}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c) B_{Na} &= \frac{2}{3} n_{Na} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{3\pi^2 n_{Na}}{V} \right)^{2/3} \right) = \text{plug & chug} = 8.42 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa} = \boxed{8.42 \text{ GPa}} \\
 &\quad \uparrow \text{overestimate} \\
 B_K &= 2.88 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa} = \boxed{2.88 \text{ GPa}} \\
 &\quad \uparrow \text{underestimate}
 \end{aligned}$$

Different periods in table, perhaps core electrons are contributing to difference?

Estimate pressure needed to squeeze electrons.

$$B = V \frac{\partial P}{\partial V} \approx V \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta V}$$

$$\rightarrow B = \frac{V}{0.01 V} \Delta P$$

$$\Delta P = 0.01 B$$

$$\Delta P_{Na} = 0.01 (8.42 \text{ GPa}) = \boxed{84.2 \text{ MPa}}$$

6.6

$$a) H_1 = \chi \left(\frac{1}{|R|} + \frac{1}{|R - \vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2|} + \frac{1}{|R - \vec{r}_1|} + \frac{1}{|R + \vec{r}_2|} \right) \quad \omega / \quad \chi = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0}$$

$$|\vec{R} - \vec{r}_1| = \sqrt{(R - x_1)^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2} = \sqrt{R^2 - 2Rx_1 + r_1^2}$$

$$= R \sqrt{1 - \frac{2x_1}{R} + \frac{r_1^2}{R^2}}$$

Expand for large R

$$= \frac{1}{R} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{-2x_1}{R} + \frac{r_1^2}{R^2} \right) + \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{-2x_1}{R} + \frac{r_1^2}{R^2} \right)^2 + \dots \right)$$

Repeat for each term, cancellation, lots of algebra.

Recall field of dipole is

$$\vec{E}_{\text{dip}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{3(\vec{p}_1 \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r} - \vec{p}_1}{r^3} \right)$$

$$\text{So } H \sim -(\vec{p}_2 \cdot \vec{E}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{-3(\vec{p}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{p}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) + \vec{p}_2 \cdot \vec{p}_1}{r^3} \right)$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{-3x_1x_2 + x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2}{r^3} \right)$$

since $\hat{r} = \hat{x}$

$$= \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{r^3} (z_1z_2 + y_1y_2 - 2x_1x_2)$$

b) The first order correction would be

$$\Delta E^{(1)} = \langle 1,0,0;1,0,0 | H_1 | 1,0,0;1,0,0 \rangle$$

► However H_1 is odd in each m each atom's position so it's odd under inversion operator $\hat{\Pi}$. Note that G.S. is spherically symmetric and even under inversion. Generally, for each atom,

$$\widetilde{|1,0,0\rangle} = \Pi |1,0,0\rangle$$

inverted state

So if I take the expectation value of, say, x_1 in the inverted state, I should get same thing as normal state

$$\langle \widetilde{1,0,0} | x_1 | \widetilde{1,0,0} \rangle = \langle 1,0,0 | x_1 | 1,0,0 \rangle$$

However x_1 as an operator is odd under inversion, meaning

$$\langle \widetilde{1,0,0} | x_1 | \widetilde{1,0,0} \rangle = \langle 1,0,0 | (\Pi^\dagger x_1 \Pi) | 1,0,0 \rangle$$

$$= - \langle 1,0,0 | x_1 | 1,0,0 \rangle = \langle 1,0,0 | x_1 | 1,0,0 \rangle$$

The only way this can be true is if the expc. value is zero.

The first non zero term will come from expc values of form

$$|\langle 1,0,0;1,0,0 | H_1 | n_1, l_1, m_1; n_2, l_2, m_2 \rangle|^2 \sim \left| \frac{1}{R^3} \right|^2 \sim \frac{1}{R^6}$$

► The denominator of δE contains $E_{0,0} - E_{n_1, m_1; n_2, m_2}$ which is always negative. Since the modulus squared in the numerator is always positive, each term in the sum for δE is negative. The perturbation drops the energy implying an attractive force.

d) ~~$\delta E = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ l_1, l_2 \\ m_1, m_2}} \frac{\langle 1,0,0; 1,0,0 | H | n_1, l_1, m_1; n_2, l_2, m_2 \rangle^2}{E_{00} - E_{n_1, n_2}}$~~

$$\delta E = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ l_1, l_2 \\ m_1, m_2}} \frac{|\langle 1,0,0; 1,0,0 | H | n_1, l_1, m_1; n_2, l_2, m_2 \rangle|^2}{E_{00} - E_{n_1, n_2}}$$

The numerator is zero for the same reason as previous problem.
To get finite expectation value from

$$\langle 1,0,0 | X | n, l, m \rangle$$

l must be 1. This is impossible unless $n \geq 2$.

Upper bound.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_{\geq} &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ l_1, l_2 \\ m_1, m_2}} \frac{\langle 1,0,0; 1,0,0 | H | n_1, l_1, m_1; n_2, l_2, m_2 \rangle \langle n_1, l_1, m_1; n_2, l_2, m_2 | H | 1,0,0; 1,0,0 \rangle}{E_{00} - E_{n_2}} \\ &= \frac{\langle 1,0,0; 1,0,0 | H^2 | 1,0,0; 1,0,0 \rangle}{E_0 - E_{22}} \end{aligned}$$

only the even terms in H , (ie. x^2, x_z^2 and not z, z^2, y, y^2) contribute

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R^3}\right)^2}{E_0 - E_{22}} \left(a_0^4 + a_0^4 + 4a_0^4\right) = \frac{1}{8\pi\epsilon_0 a_0} \left(\left(\frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{1^2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2}\right)\right) \times \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R^3}\right)^2 (6a_0^4)$$

$$= \frac{-8\pi\epsilon_0 a_0}{e^2} \frac{e^4}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0^2 R^6} (6a_0^4) \times \frac{2}{3} = -2 \frac{e^2 a_0^5}{\pi\epsilon_0 R^6}$$

So $|\delta E_{\geq}| = 2 \frac{e^2 a_0^5}{\pi\epsilon_0 R^6} \rightsquigarrow$ not sure why book doesn't simplify $\frac{8}{4} \rightarrow 2$?

$|SE_z|$ is almost exactly same except \sin in denominator changes slightly.

$$|SE_z| = \left| \frac{-8\pi\epsilon_0 a_0}{c^2} \frac{c^4}{16\pi\epsilon_0 R^6} \cos^4 \times \frac{1}{2} \right|$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} \frac{e^2 a_0^5}{\pi\epsilon_0 R^6} \leadsto \text{again } \frac{6}{4} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\text{Thus } |SE_z| \leq |SE| \leq |SE_x|$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{e^2 a_0^5}{\pi\epsilon_0 R^6} \leq |SE| \leq 2 \frac{e^2 a_0^5}{\pi\epsilon_0 R^6}$$