

## Requirements Review Checklist

|    | Criteria  | Yes/No/NA | Exceptions  | Notes |
|----|---|-----------|---|-------|
|    | <b>}Prioritized:</b>  |           |   |       |
| P1 | }Tradeoffs between requirements are clear.  | N/A       |   |       |
| P2 | } Multiple dimensions have been considered, such as cost, customer value, and development risk.                               | N/A       |   |       |
| P3 | }All product stakeholders have provided input to the prioritization process.  | N/A       |   |       |
| P4 | }The requirements are realistically distributed among the priority levels.  | N/A       |   |       |
|    | <b>}Unambiguous:</b>  |           |   |       |
| U1 | }Each requirement is clear to the intended audience, possessing a single interpretation.                                      | No        | 2.2 and what is up with section 7?  |       |
| U2 | }Terms are defined where necessary and used consistently.   | No        | 2.1   |       |
| U3 | }The requirements are devoid of weak words (easy, fast, etc.) and unbounded lists (such as, including, ...).                  | No        | "not too big" is pretty weak  |       |
| U4 | }Diagrams, algorithms, use cases, tables, or other devices are used to reduce ambiguity where appropriate.                    | No        | 3.1 algorithm should be: $A + B > C$ or $B + C > A$ or $C + A > B$  |       |
|    | <b>}Verifiable:</b>   |           |   |       |
| V1 | }Each requirement is unambiguous.   | No        | 2.1 and 2.2 are both ambiguous  |       |
| V2 | }The implementation of each requirement can be clearly and effectively established via demonstration, inspection, or testing. | No        | 3.1 algorithm should be: $A + B > C$ or $B + C > A$ or $C + A > B$<br>Section 5 should include requirements for scalene triangle<br>Section 6 " " " " " " |       |
| V3 | }Non-functional requirements (performance, reliability, etc.) are quantified using an appropriate scale of measure.           | N/A       |   |       |
|    | <b>}Consistent:</b>   |           |   |       |
| C1 | }Each requirement is represented only once in a specification and referenced where needed.                                    | Yes       |   |       |
| C2 | }Each requirement is internally consistent with other product requirements at its level.                                      | No        | Section 6 is incomplete   |       |
| C3 | }Each requirement is externally consistent with requirements at other levels (product, business, market, etc.).               | N/A       |   |       |
|    | <b>}Traceable:</b>  |           |   |       |
| T1 | }Each requirement is uniquely and persistently identified.  | Yes       |   |       |
| T2 | }Each requirement is written as concisely and simply as possible.   | No        | They are simple and declarative but sections 3 and 4 have statements that could be simplified   |       |
| T3 | }Each requirement expresses only one function or idea.  | No        | 2.2, 3.2  |       |

### Trace Matrix

[illegible]

PokemonGo

|                   |
|-------------------|
| Medicine Drone De |
|                   |
| form              |
| function          |
| cost              |
| time              |
| operation         |