

## Contents

- [PREAMBLE](#)
- [Loading Data](#)
- [Time conversion](#)
- [Part a: Horizontal wind speed profile](#)
- [Part b and c: Temperature readings](#)
- [Part b and c short answer](#)
- [Part d: August 2019](#)
- [d short response](#)
- [Part e](#)

## PREAMBLE

```
close all;
clear variables;
clc;

set(groot, 'defaultTextInterpreter', 'Latex');
set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter', 'latex');
set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'latex');
set(groot, 'defaultTextFontSize', 12);
set(groot, 'defaultAxesFontSize', 16);
set(groot, 'defaultLineLineWidth', 2);
set(groot, 'defaultFigureColor', 'white');
```

## Loading Data

```
%REMEMBER TO CHANGE THIS IF ON WINDOWS cause apples hates me
fileDir = '/Users/christopherbianco/Desktop/School_Code/Wind_Physics/HW1'; %Mac

fileDir = 'C:\Users\Christopher\Desktop\School_Code\Wind_Physics\HW1'; %Windows

data = load(fullfile(fileDir, '08_28_2019_22_00_000.mat'));
```

## Time conversion

```
%Convert UTC datenum to datetime
thyme = data.time.UTC.val;
time = NaT(size(thyme));
for ti = 1 : length(thyme)
    time(ti) = datetime(floor(thyme(ti)), 'ConvertFrom', 'datenum') ...
        + days(rem(thyme(ti),1)); % convert datenum to datetime object
end
time.TimeZone = 'Etc/UTC'; % add TimeZone field (UTC time)
time.TimeZone = 'America/Denver'; % shift to NREL time zone
```

## Part a: Horizontal wind speed profile

```
%Initialize measurement height
sonic_heights = [15,41,61, 74, 100, 119];
U_av_sonic = NaN(1, length(sonic_heights));
U_std_sonic = NaN(1, length(sonic_heights));

cup_heights = [3, 10, 30, 38, 55, 80, 87, 105, 122, 130];
U_av_cup = NaN(1, length(cup_heights));
U_std_cup = NaN(1, length(cup_heights));

%Calculate horizontal wind speed from sonic anemometers
for i = 1:length(sonic_heights)
    sonic_height = sonic_heights(i);
    U = sqrt(data.(strcat('Sonic_x_clean_',num2str(sonic_height),'m')).val.^2 + data.(strcat('Sonic_y_clean_',num2str(sonic_height),'m')).val.^2);

    U_av_sonic(i) = mean(U);
    U_std_sonic(i) = std(U);
end

%Extract horizontal wind speed from cup anemometers
for i = 1:length(cup_heights)
    cup_height = cup_heights(i);

    %Now, we need to take into account the naming convention
    fn = fieldnames(data);
    matchIdx = contains(fn, 'Cup_WS_') & contains(fn, strcat(num2str(cup_height),'m'));
    match = fn(matchIdx);

    %Extract U
    U = data.(match{1}).val;

    %Do mean and standard deviation
```

```

        U_av_cup(i) = mean(U);
        U_std_cup(i) = std(U);
    end

%Make the figure
figure(1); hold on;
xlabel('Horizontal Wind Speed (m/s)');
ylabel('z (m)');
grid on

%Plot sonic
e1 = errorbar(U_av_sonic, sonic_heights, U_std_sonic, 'horizontal', '*c', 'LineWidth',2);
%Plot cup
e2 = errorbar(U_av_cup, cup_heights, U_std_cup, 'horizontal', '*k', 'LineWidth',2);

% Dummy plots for legend only
h1 = plot(nan, nan, '*c', 'LineWidth', 2);
h2 = plot(nan, nan, '*k', 'LineWidth', 2);

% Legend
legend([h1 h2], {'Sonic','Cup'}, 'Location', 'best')

hold off

```

## Part b and c: Temperature readings

```

%Initialize measurement height
sonic_heights = [15,41,61,74,100,119];
temp_av_sonic = NaN(1, length(sonic_heights));
temp_std_sonic = NaN(1, length(sonic_heights));

solo_heights = [3, 38, 87];
temp_av_solo = NaN(1, length(solo_heights));
temp_std_solo = NaN(1, length(solo_heights));

%Extract temp from sonic anemometers
for i = 1:length(sonic_heights)
    sonic_height = sonic_heights(i);
    temp = data.(strcat('Sonic_Temp_clean_', num2str(sonic_height), 'm')).val;

    temp_av_sonic(i) = mean(temp);
    temp_std_sonic(i) = std(temp);
end

%Extract temp from stand alone measurements
for i = 1:length(solo_heights)
    solo_height = solo_heights(i);
    temp = data.(strcat('Air_Temp_', num2str(solo_height), 'm')).val;

    temp_av_solo(i) = mean(temp);
    temp_std_solo(i) = std(temp);
end

%Make figure
figure(2); hold on;
xlabel('Temperature (C)');
ylabel('z (m)');
grid on

%Plot sonic
e1 = errorbar(temp_av_sonic, sonic_heights, temp_std_sonic, 'horizontal', '*c', 'LineWidth',2);
%Plot cup
e2 = errorbar(temp_av_solo, solo_heights, temp_std_solo, 'horizontal', '*b', 'LineWidth',2);

% Dummy plots for legend only
h1 = plot(nan, nan, '*c', 'LineWidth', 2);
h2 = plot(nan, nan, '*k', 'LineWidth', 2);

%Define and plot the adiabatic lapse rate
h = linspace(0,120,1000);
lapse_rate = (-9.8/1000).*h + 30;
h3 = plot(lapse_rate, h);

% Legend
legend([h1 h2 h3], {'Sonic','Stand Alone Measurement', 'Adiabatic Lapse Rate'}, 'Location', 'southwest')

hold off

%Based on these graphs, the temperature readings from the sonic anemometers
%at z = 41m and 74m are quite low, and deviate wildly from all other
%measurements. For that reason, these points look unreliable.

```

## Part b and c short answer

%In terms of stability, if we ignore the temperature readings at  $z = 41\text{m}$  and  $74\text{m}$ , we can see that the average slope is less steep (more negative) than the adiabatic lapse rate of  $-9.8\text{ K/km}$ . In other words, the lapse rate is super adiabatic. This indicates static instability. Given that these measurements were taken at 4pm local time, this observation makes sense. We would expect an unstable mixing layer at this time. However, there is a decent degree of uncertainty. As mentioned previously, we have at least two large outliers. Additionally, the temperature reading at  $z = 119\text{ m}$  doesn't fall on the qualitatively observed slope. Finally, the slope doesn't appear that much flatter than the adiabatic case, so small variations in temperature could change the characterization.

#### Part d: August 2019

```
%Load data
aug_data = load(fullfile(fileDir, '2019_August.mat'));

%Do time conversion
%Convert UTC datenum to datetime
thyme_all = aug_data.all_data.Virtual_Potential_Temperature_3m.date;
time_all = NaT(size(thyme_all));
for ti = 1 : length(thyme_all)
    time_all(ti) = datetime(floor(thyme_all(ti)), 'ConvertFrom', 'datenum') ...
        + days(rem(thyme_all(ti),1)); % convert datenum to datetime object
end
time.TimeZone = 'Etc/UTC'; % add TimeZone field (UTC time)
time.TimeZone = 'America/Denver'; % shift to NREL time zone

%From manual inspection, the entry we want to look at in the large dataset
%is 3986

aug_temp_ave_sonic = NaN(1, length(sonic_heights));
aug_temp_std_sonic = NaN(1, length(sonic_heights));

aug_temp_ave_solo = NaN(1, length(solo_heights));

%Extract air temp from sonic anemometers
for i = 1:length(sonic_heights)
    sonic_height = sonic_heights(i);
    aug_temp_ave_sonic(i) = aug_data.all_data.(strcat('Raw_Sonic_Temp_',num2str(sonic_height),'_mean')).val(3986);
    aug_temp_std_sonic(i) = aug_data.all_data.(strcat('Raw_Sonic_Temp_',num2str(sonic_height),'_sdev')).val(3986);
end

%Extract air temp from stand alone measurements
for i = 1:length(solo_heights)
    solo_height = solo_heights(i);
    aug_temp_ave_solo(i) = aug_data.all_data.(strcat('Air_Temperature_',num2str(solo_height),'m')).val(3986);
end

%Make plot
figure(3)
xlabel('Temperature (C)');
ylabel('z (m)');
title('Average temperature from August 2019 dataset')
grid on
hold on

%Plot temperatures
errorbar(aug_temp_ave_sonic, sonic_heights, aug_temp_std_sonic, 'horizontal', '*c', 'LineWidth',2)
plot(aug_temp_ave_solo -273.15 , solo_heights, '*k', 'LineWidth',2)
legend({'Sonic','Stand Alone Measurement'}, 'Location', 'southwest')

hold off

solo_heights = [3,38,87,122];
%Extract virtual potential temp
aug_vpt = NaN(1, length(solo_heights));
for i = 1:length(solo_heights)
    solo_height = solo_heights(i);
    aug_vpt(i) = aug_data.all_data.(strcat('Virtual_Potential_Temperature_',num2str(solo_height),'m')).val(3986);
end

%Make plot
figure(4)
xlabel('Virtual Potential Temperature');
ylabel('z (m)');
xlim([43 46]);
title('August 2019 dataset')
grid on
hold on

plot(aug_vpt -273, solo_heights, '*r', 'LineWidth',2)
hold off
```

## d short response

```
%As can be seen from the temperature data, the virtual potential
%temperature (VPT) is much higher than the raw temperature. The virtual potential
%is also unreasonably high, even for the summer. This is likely because,
%according to the report, a p0 of 100 kpa was used to calculate VPT.
%However, the data was taken at an elevation of 6000 feet. According to
%engineering toolbox, the pressure at 6000 feet is 81.2 kpa, much lower. If
%this value was used, the temperature values would be lower and likely more
%sensical.
```

## Part e

We can correct the virtual potential temperature measurements by multiplying them by the factor we get from changing p0 to 82 kpa. To find

```
%This, we take (82/100)^(R/Cp), where R/Cp is given as 0.286. Thus,
%multiplying by 0.94 should give us better temperature measurements.
```

```
figure(5)
xlabel('Corrected Virtual Potential Temperature');
ylabel('z (m)');
xlim([24 27])
title('August 2019 dataset')
grid on
hold on

plot(aug_vpt.*0.94 -273, solo_heights, '*r', 'LineWidth',2)
hold off
```

```
%As can be seen, this gives us much more reasonable temperature values. The
%slope here is negative, indicating a super-adiabatic, or unstable,
%boundary layer. This matches up with the conclusions from the temperature
%data from part c. These values are also much closer to the values from
%part c, but don't have the same two values that are much lower than the
%rest, indicating that those two are in fact outliers.
```