PATTERN RECOGNITION AND MACHINE LEARNING

Slide Set 2: Estimation Theory

January 2019

Heikki Huttunen

heikki.huttunen@tuni.fi

Signal Processing
Tampere University

Classical Estimation and Detection Theory

- Before the machine learning part, we will take a look at classical estimation theory.
- Estimation theory has many connections to the foundations of modern machine learning.
- Outline of the next few hours:
  1. Estimation theory:
     - Fundamentals
     - Maximum likelihood
     - Examples
  2. Detection theory:
     - Fundamentals
     - Error metrics
     - Examples

Introduction - estimation

- Our goal is to estimate the values of a group of parameters from data.
- Examples: radar, sonar, speech, image analysis, biomedicine, communications, control, seismology, etc.
- *Parameter estimation*: Given an N-point data set $\mathbf{x} = \{x[0], x[1], \ldots, x[N-1]\}$ which depends on the unknown parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we wish to design an *estimator* $g(\cdot)$ for θ

$$\hat{\theta} = g(x[0], x[1], \ldots, x[N-1]).$$

- The fundamental questions are:
  1. What is the model for our data?
 2. How to determine its parameters?

Introductory Example – Straight line

- Suppose we have the illustrated time series and would like to approximate the relationship of the two coordinates.
- The relationship looks linear, so we could assume the following model:

$$y[n] = ax[n] + b + w[n],$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ unknown and $w[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

- $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ is the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2.

Introductory Example – Straight line

- Each pair of *a* and *b* represent one line.
- Which line of the three would best describe the data set? Or some other line?

Introductory Example – Straight line

- It can be shown that the best solution (in the *maximum likelihood* sense; to be defined later) is given by

$$\hat{a} = -\frac{6}{N(N+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y(n) + \frac{12}{N(N^2-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)y(n)$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{2(2N-1)}{N(N+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y(n) - \frac{6}{N(N+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)y(n).$$

- Or, as we will later learn, in an easy matrix form:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{b} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

Introductory Example – Straight line

- In this case, $\hat{a} = 0.07401$ and $\hat{b} = 0.49319$, which produces the line shown on the right.
- The line also minimizes the squared distances (green dashed lines) between the model (blue line) and the data (red circles).

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- Consider transmitting the sinusoid below.

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- When the data
  is received, it is corrupted by noise and the received samples look like below.

- Can we recover the parameters of the sinusoid?

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- In this case, the problem is to find good values for A, f_0 and ϕ in the following model:

$$x[n] = A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi) + w[n],$$

with $w[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- It can be shown that the *maximum likelihood estimator; MLE* for parameters A, f_0 and ϕ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_0 &= \text{value of } f \text{ that maximizes } \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-2\pi i f n} \right|, \\
\hat{A} &= \frac{2}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-2\pi i \hat{f}_0 n} \right| \\
\hat{\phi} &= \arctan \frac{-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \sin(2\pi \hat{f}_0 n)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \cos(2\pi \hat{f}_0 n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- It turns out that the sinusoidal parameter estimation is very successful:

$\hat{f}_0 = 0.068\ (0.068);\ \hat{A} = 0.692\ (0.667);\ \hat{\phi} = 0.238\ (0.609)$


- The blue curve is the original sinusoid, and the red curve is the one estimated from the green circles.
- The estimates are shown in the figure (true values in parentheses).

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- However, the results are different for each *realization* of the noise $w[n]$.

$\hat{f}_0 = 0.068$; $\hat{A} = 0.652$; $\hat{\phi} = -0.023$

$\hat{f}_0 = 0.066$; $\hat{A} = 0.660$; $\hat{\phi} = 0.851$

$\hat{f}_0 = 0.067$; $\hat{A} = 0.786$; $\hat{\phi} = 0.814$

$\hat{f}_0 = 0.459$; $\hat{A} = 0.618$; $\hat{\phi} = 0.299$

Introductory Example 2 – Sinusoid

- Thus, we're not very interested in an individual case, but rather on the distributions of estimates
  - What are the expectations: $E[\hat{f}_0]$, $E[\hat{\phi}]$ and $E[\hat{A}]$?
  - What are their respective variances?
  - Could there be a better formula that would yield smaller variance?
  - If yes, how to discover the better estimators?

LEAST SQUARES

Least Squares

- The general solution for linear case is easy to remember.
- Consider the line fitting case: $y[n] = ax[n] + b + w[n]$.
- This can be written in matrix form as follows:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} y[0] \\ y[1] \\ \vdots \\ y[N-1] \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{y}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} x[0] & 1 \\ x[1] & 1 \\ x[2] & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x[N-1] & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{X}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \mathbf{w}.$$

Least Squares

- Now the model is written compactly as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{w}$$

- Solution: The value of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ minimizing the error $\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w}$ is given by:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS} = \left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}.$$

- See sample Python code here: **https://github.com/mahehu/ SGN-41007/blob/master/code/Least_Squares.ipynb**

LS Example with two variables

- Consider an example, where microscope images have an uneven illumination.
- The illumination pattern appears to have the highest brightness at the center.
- Let's try to fit a paraboloid to remove the effect:

$$z(x, y) = c_1 x^2 + c_2 y^2 + c_3 xy + c_4 x + c_5 y + c_6,$$

with $z(x, y)$ the brightness at pixel (x, y).

LS Example with two variables

- In matrix form, the model looks like the following:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_N \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{z}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 & y_1^2 & x_1 y_1 & x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & y_2^2 & x_2 y_2 & x_2 & y_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_N^2 & y_N^2 & x_N y_N & x_N & y_N & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{H}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{c}} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \tag{1}$$

with z_k the grayscale value at k'th pixel (x_k, y_k).

LS Example with two variables

- As a result we get the LS fit by $\hat{\mathbf{c}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{z}$,

  $\hat{\mathbf{c}} = (-0.000080, -0.000288, 0.000123, 0.022064, 0.284020, 106.538687)$

- Or, in other words

$$z(x, y) = -0.000080x^2 - 0.000288y^2$$
$$+ 0.000123xy + 0.022064x$$
$$+ 0.284020y + 106.538687.$$

LS Example with two variables

- Finally, we remove the illumination component by subtraction.

-

=

ESTIMATOR VARIANCE

Example of the Variance of an Estimator

- Consider the estimation of the mean of the following measurement data:

Example of the Variance of an Estimator

- Now we're searching for the estimator $\hat{A}$ in the model

$$x[n] = A + w[n],$$

with $w[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is also unknown.

- A natural estimator of A is the sample mean:

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n].$$

- Alternatively, one might propose to use only the first sample as such:

$$\check{A} = x[0].$$

- How to justify that the first one is better?

Example of the Variance of an Estimator

- Method 1: estimate variances **empirically**.
- Histograms of the estimates over 1000 data realizations are shown on the right.
- In other words, we synthesized 1000 versions of the data with the same statistics.
- Each synthetic sample produces one estimate of the mean for both estimators.
- Code available at **https://github.com/mahehu/SGN-41007/blob/master/code/Two_Estimators.ipynb**

Distribution of the sample mean estimator

Small Variance

Distribution of the first sample estimator

Large Variance

Example of the Variance of an Estimator

- Method 2: estimate variances **analytically**.
- Namely, it is easy to compute variances in a closed form:

$$
\textbf{Estimator 1:} \quad \mathrm{var}(\hat{A}) = \mathrm{var}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{var}(x[n])
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{N^2} N\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}. \qquad \Leftarrow \boxed{\text{good estimator}}
$$

$$
\textbf{Estimator 2:} \quad \mathrm{var}(\check{A}) = \mathrm{var}(x[0]) = \sigma^2. \qquad \Leftarrow \boxed{\text{bad estimator}}
$$

Estimator Design

- There are a few well established approaches for estimator design:
  - **Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVU):** Analytically discover the estimator that minimizes the output variance among all *unbiased* estimators.
  - **Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE):** Analytically discover the estimator that maximizes the likelihood of observing the measured data.
  - Others: **Method of Moments (MoM)** and **Least Squares (LS)**.
- Our emphasis will be on Maximum Likelihood, as it appears in the machine learning part as well.
- Note, that different methods often (not always) result in the same estimator.
- For example, the MVU, MLE, MoM and LS estimators for the mean parameter all end up at the same formula: $\hat{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum x_n$.

Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator

- Commonly the MVU estimator is considered optimal.
- However, finding the MVU estimator may be difficult. The MVUE may not even exist.
- We will not concentrate on this estimator design approach. Interested reader may consult, *e.g.*, S. Kay: *Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Volume 1* (1993).
- For an overview, read Wikipedia articles on *Minimum-variance unbiased estimator* and *Lehmann–Scheffé theorem*.

	Small Bias	Large Bias
Small Variance		
Large Variance		

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Maximum likelihood (MLE) is the most popular estimation approach due to its applicability in complicated estimation problems.
- Maximization of likelihood also appears often as the optimality criterion in machine learning.
- The method was proposed by Fisher in 1922, though he published the basic principle already in 1912 as a third year undergraduate.
- The basic principle is simple: find the parameter θ that is the most probable to have generated the data **x**.
- The MLE estimator may or may not be optimal in the minimum variance sense. It is not necessarily unbiased, either.

The Likelihood Function

- Consider again the problem of estimating the mean level A of noisy data.
- Assume that the data originates from the following model:

$$x[n] = A + w[n],$$

where $w[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$: Constant plus Gaussian random noise with zero mean and variance σ^2.

The Likelihood Function

- The key to maximum likelihood is the *likelihood function*.
- If the probability density function (PDF) of the data is viewed as a function of the unknown parameter (with fixed data), it is called likelihood function.
- Often the likelihood function has an exponential form. Then it's usual to take the natural logarithm to get rid of the exponential. Note that the maximum of the new *log-likelihood* function does not change.

The Likelihood Function

PDF of A assuming x[0] = 3

MLE Example

- In our case of estimating the mean of a signal:

$$x[n] = A + w[n], \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1,$$

the likelihood function is easy to construct.

- The noise samples $w[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ are assumed independent, so the PDF of the whole batch of samples $\mathbf{x} = (x[0], \ldots, x[N-1])$ is obtained by the product rule:

$$p(\mathbf{x};A) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} p(x[n];A) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n]-A)^2 \right]$$

MLE Example

- When we have observed the data **x**, we can turn the problem around and consider *what is the most likely parameter A to have generated the data*.
- Some authors emphasize this by turning the order around: $p(A; \mathbf{x})$ or give the function a different name such as $L(A; \mathbf{x})$ or $\ell(A; \mathbf{x})$.
- So, consider $p(\mathbf{x}; A)$ as a function of A and try to maximize it.

MLE Example

- The picture below shows the likelihood function and the log-likelihood function for one possible realization of data.
- The data consists of 50 points, with true $A = 5$.
- The likelihood function gives the probability of observing these particular points with different values of A.

Likelihood of A (max at 5.17)

MLE Example

- Instead of finding the maximum from the plot, we wish to have a closed form solution.
- Closed form is faster, more elegant, accurate and numerically more stable.
- Just for the sake of an example, below is the code for the stupid version.

```python
# The samples are in array called x0

x = np.linspace(2, 8, 200)
likelihood = []
log_likelihood = []

for A in x:
    likelihood.append(gaussian(x0, A, 1).prod())
    log_likelihood.append(gaussian_log(x0, A, 1).sum())

print ("Max likelihood is at %.2f" % (x[np.argmax(log_likelihood)]))
```

MLE Example

- Maximization of $p(\mathbf{x};A)$ directly is nontrivial. Therefore, we take the logarithm, and maximize it instead:

$$p(\mathbf{x};A) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n]-A)^2 \right]$$

$$\ln p(\mathbf{x};A) = -\frac{N}{2}\ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n]-A)^2$$

- The maximum is found via differentiation:

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{x};A)}{\partial A} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n]-A)$$

MLE Example

- Setting this equal to zero gives

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - A) = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - A) = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} A = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] - NA = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] = NA$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]$$

Conclusion

- *What did we actually do?*
  - We proved that the **sample mean** is the maximum likelihood estimator for the **distribution mean**.
- *But I could have guessed this result from the beginning. What's the point?*
  - We can do the same thing for cases where you can not guess.

Example: Sinusoidal Parameter Estimation

- Consider the model

$$x[n] = A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi) + w[n]$$

with $w[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. It is possible to find the MLE for all three parameters: $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [A, f_0, \phi]^T$.

- The PDF is given as

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \underbrace{(x[n] - A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi))^2}_{w[n]}\right]$$

Example: Sinusoidal Parameter Estimation

- Instead of proceeding directly through the log-likelihood function, we note that the above function is maximized when

$$J(A, f_0, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi))^2$$

  is minimized.

- The minimum of this function can be found although it is a nontrivial task (about 10 slides).

- We skip the derivation, but for details, see Kay *et al.* "Statistical Signal Processing: Estimation Theory," 1993.

Sinusoidal Parameter Estimation

- The MLE of frequency f_0 is obtained by maximizing the *periodogram* over f_0:

$$\hat{f}_0 = \arg \max_f \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \exp(-j2\pi f n) \right|$$

- Once $\hat{f}_0$ is available, proceed by calculating the other parameters:

$$\hat{A} = \frac{2}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \exp(-j2\pi \hat{f}_0 n) \right|$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \arctan \left(-\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \sin 2\pi \hat{f}_0 n}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \cos 2\pi \hat{f}_0 n} \right)$$

Sinusoidal Parameter Estimation—Experiments

- Four example runs of the estimation algorithm are illustrated in the figures.
- The algorithm was also tested for 10000 realizations of a sinusoid with fixed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $N = 160$, $\sigma^2 = 1.2$.
- Note that the estimator is not unbiased.

Estimation Theory—Summary

- We have seen a brief overview of estimation theory with particular focus on Maximum Likelihood.
- If your problem is simple enough to be modeled by an equation, the estimation theory is the answer.
  - Estimating the frequency of a sinusoid is completely solved by classical theory.
  - Estimating the age of the person in picture can not possibly be modeled this simply and classical theory has no answer.
- **Model based** estimation is the best answer when a model exists.
- Machine learning can be understood as **a data driven approach**.