

# Scharfetter-Gummel Discretization Scheme for Drift-Diffusion Equations

William R. Frensley  
April 15, 2004

The Scharfetter-Gummel scheme provides an optimum way to discretize the drift-diffusion equation for particle transport. (Drift is also known as advection or streaming in different disciplines.) For the purpose of developing the key relations, let us just consider a one-dimensional transport problem in the spatial variable  $x$ . Let  $n(x, t)$  be the density of transporting particles. Then the key transport equations are the continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial j}{\partial x}, \quad (1)$$

and the drift-diffusion equation:

$$j = -D \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + v(x, t)n. \quad (2)$$

Here  $j$  is the particle current density (flux),  $D$  is the diffusivity, and  $v(x, t)$  is the drift (or advection or streaming) velocity. We assume that  $v$  depends upon  $n$  only indirectly, such as through a self-consistent potential which is computed in a loop that lies outside of the present transport calculation. While  $v$  is usually taken to obey some simple relationship such as  $v = -\mu d\phi/dx$ , where  $\mu$  is a mobility and  $\phi$  the electrostatic potential, we will here leave the dependence unspecified, to achieve greater generality.

On a uniform mesh, the continuity equation (1) can be discretized by assuming that the densities are associated with the meshpoints  $i$  and the currents are associated with the intervals between meshpoints. Thus, if the mesh spacing is  $\Delta$ , we can write the discrete continuity equation as:

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - j_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta} = \frac{j_{i-\frac{1}{2}} - j_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta}. \quad (3)$$

Now, the thrust of the Scharfetter-Gummel scheme is to find the optimum approximation for  $j_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  in the interval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ .

What we will assume is that  $j_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is constant over the interval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ , and moreover that  $v$  and  $D$  are also constant over this interval. What is

not constant is the density  $n$ , but we assume that we do know the boundary values of  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} n_i &= n(x_i), \\ n_{i+1} &= n(x_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We thus have a boundary-value problem for the equation

$$j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = -D \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + v n(x). \quad (4)$$

How can we have a boundary value problem with two boundary values but only a first-order equation? Because we only know  $j_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is constant, not that it has a particular value. This gives the second degree of freedom in the problem.

Now, we know that any first-order equation can be integrated with the proper integrating factor. In this case it is an exponential, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v(x-x_i)/D} &= \left( -D \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + v n \right) e^{-v(x-x_i)/D}, \\ &= -D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( n e^{-v(x-x_i)/D} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_i}^{x_i+\Delta} j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v(x-x_i)/D} dx &= -D \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( n e^{-v(x-x_i)/D} \right) dx, \\ j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\Delta e^{-vx'/D} dx' &= -D \left( n e^{-v(x-x_i)/D} \right) \Big|_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}, \\ j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{D}{v} \left( e^{-v\Delta/D} - 1 \right) &= D \left( n_i - n_{i+1} e^{-v\Delta/D} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = v \frac{n_i - e^{-v\Delta/D} n_{i+1}}{1 - e^{-v\Delta/D}}. \quad (5)$$

This is the generalized Scharfetter-Gummel expression for the current density. [If you prefer, it can be written in a more symmetric form by multiplying numerator and denominator by  $\exp(v\Delta/2D)$ .]

Since (5) gives  $j_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  as a linear combination of  $n_i$  and  $n_{i+1}$ , we will get a three-point finite-difference scheme when we insert (5) into (3).

But the three coefficients will now vary with  $v/D$ . Examining the limiting cases, we see

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = D \frac{n_i - n_{i+1}}{\Delta},$$

which just gives the usual three-point approximation for  $-D\partial^2 n/\partial x^2$  when inserted into (3). On the other hand, when the drift current is large compared to the diffusion current ( $|v|\Delta \gg D$ ),

$$j_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} v n_i & \text{if } v > 0, \\ v n_{i+1} & \text{if } v < 0. \end{cases}$$

This produces precisely the upwind-difference form in this limit.