

# Iptables-Semantics

Cornelius Diekmann, Lars Hupel

December 18, 2014

## Contents

<b>1 Firewall Basic Syntax</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Big Step Semantics</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Boolean Matcher Algebra . . . . .	15
<b>3 Call Return Unfolding</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1 Completeness . . . . .	22
3.2 Background Ruleset Updating . . . . .	29
3.3 <i>process-ret</i> correctness . . . . .	38
3.4 Soundness . . . . .	45
<b>4 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1 Example Packet . . . . .	48
<b>5 Examples Big Step Semantics</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>6 Ternary Logic</b>	<b>51</b>
6.1 Negation Normal Form . . . . .	54
<b>7 Packet Matching in Ternary Logic</b>	<b>55</b>
7.1 Ternary Matcher Algebra . . . . .	57
7.2 Removing Unknown Primitives . . . . .	60
<b>8 Embedded Ternary-Matching Big Step Semantics</b>	<b>62</b>
8.1 wf ruleset . . . . .	67
8.1.1 Append, Prepend, Postpend, Composition . . . . .	68
8.2 Equality with $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ semantics . . . . .	70
<b>9 Approximate Matching Tactics</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>10 Boolean Matching vs. Ternary Matching</b>	<b>77</b>

<b>11 Semantics Embedding</b>	<b>80</b>
11.1 Tactic <i>in-doubt-allow</i> . . . . .	80
11.2 Tactic <i>in-doubt-deny</i> . . . . .	83
11.3 Approximating Closures . . . . .	85
11.4 Exact Embedding . . . . .	85
<b>12 Fixed Action</b>	<b>86</b>
12.1 <i>match-list</i> . . . . .	91
<b>13 Normalized (DNF) matches</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>14 Normalizing Rulesets in the Boolean Big Step Semantics</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>15 Negation Type</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>16 Negation Type Matching</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>17 Util: listprod</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>18 Executable Packet Set Representation</b>	<b>107</b>
18.0.1 Basic Set Operations . . . . .	109
18.0.2 Derived Operations . . . . .	112
18.0.3 Optimizing . . . . .	113
18.1 Conjunction Normal Form Packet Set . . . . .	115
<b>19 Optimizing</b>	<b>117</b>
19.1 Removing Shadowed Rules . . . . .	117
19.1.1 Soundness . . . . .	118
<b>20 iptables LN formatting</b>	<b>126</b>
20.1 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher . . . . .	132
20.2 Formatting . . . . .	142
<b>21 Packet Set</b>	<b>149</b>
21.1 The set of all accepted packets . . . . .	149
21.2 The set of all dropped packets . . . . .	152
21.3 Rulesets with default rules . . . . .	155
21.4 The set of all accepted packets – Executable Implementation	156
<b>22 Example: Chair for Network Architectures and Services (TUM)</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>23 Example: SQRL Shorewall</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>24 Example: Synology Diskstation</b>	<b>171</b>

## 25 Example: ringofsaturn.com 175

### 25.1 Example Ruleset 1 . . . . . 175

```
theory Firewall-Common-Decision-State
imports Main
begin
```

```
datatype final-decision = FinalAllow | FinalDeny
```

The state during packet processing. If undecided, there are some remaining rules to process. If decided, there is an action which applies to the packet

```
datatype state = Undecided | Decision final-decision
```

```
end
theory Firewall-Common
imports Main Firewall-Common-Decision-State
begin
```

## 1 Firewall Basic Syntax

Our firewall model supports the following actions.

```
datatype action = Accept | Drop | Log | Reject | Call string | Return | Empty |
Unknown
```

The type parameter *'a* denotes the primitive match condition For example, matching on source IP address or on protocol. We list the primitives to an algebra. Note that we do not have an Or expression.

```
datatype 'a match-expr = Match 'a | MatchNot 'a match-expr | MatchAnd 'a
match-expr 'a match-expr | MatchAny
```

```
datatype-new 'a rule = Rule (get-match: 'a match-expr) (get-action: action)
datatype-compact rule
```

```
end
theory Misc
imports Main
begin
```

```
lemma list-app-singletonE:
  assumes  $rs_1 @ rs_2 = [x]$ 
  obtains  $(first) rs_1 = [x] rs_2 = []$ 
  |  $(second) rs_1 = [] rs_2 = [x]$ 
using assms
by (cases  $rs_1$ ) auto
```

```
lemma list-app-eq-cases:
  assumes  $xs_1 @ xs_2 = ys_1 @ ys_2$ 
```

```

obtains (longer)  $xs_1 = \text{take } (\text{length } xs_1) \text{ } ys_1$   $xs_2 = \text{drop } (\text{length } xs_1) \text{ } ys_1 @ ys_2$ 
          | (shorter)  $ys_1 = \text{take } (\text{length } ys_1) \text{ } xs_1$   $ys_2 = \text{drop } (\text{length } ys_1) \text{ } xs_1 @ xs_2$ 
using assms
apply (cases  $\text{length } xs_1 \leq \text{length } ys_1$ )
apply (metis append-eq-append-conv-if)+
done

end
theory Semantics
imports Main Firewall-Common Misc  $\sim\sim / \text{src} / \text{HOL} / \text{Library} / \text{LaTeXsugar}$ 
begin

```

## 2 Big Step Semantics

The assumption we apply in general is that the firewall does not alter any packets.

```

type-synonym 'a ruleset = string  $\rightarrow$  'a rule list

```

```

type-synonym ('a, 'p) matcher = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

fun matches :: ('a, 'p) matcher  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd e1 e2) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  e1 p  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  e2 p |
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot me) p  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  me p |
  matches  $\gamma$  (Match e) p  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\gamma$  e p |
  matches - MatchAny -  $\longleftrightarrow$  True

```

```

inductive iptables-bigstep :: 'a ruleset  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'p) matcher  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$ 
state  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

  ( $\neg$ ,  $\neg$ ,  $\vdash$   $\langle \neg, \neg \rangle \Rightarrow$  - [60,60,60,20,98,98] 89)

```

```

  for  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma$  and  $p$  where

```

```

  skip:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t$  |

```

```

  accept: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision}$ 
  FinalAllow |

```

```

  drop: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision}$ 
  FinalDeny |

```

```

  reject: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision}$ 
  FinalDeny |

```

```

  log: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  |

```

```

  empty: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  |

```

```

  nomatch:  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ a}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  |

```

```

  decision:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$  |

```

```

  seq:  $\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$  |

```

```

  call-return:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m p}; \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } (rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{ Return}] @ rs_2);$ 
               matches  $\gamma$  m' p;  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \mid \\
\text{call-result: } \llbracket \text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p; \Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \\
\Rightarrow \\
\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t
\end{array}$$

The semantic rules again in pretty format:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [],\ t \rangle \Rightarrow t} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Accept],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Drop],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Reject],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{\neg \text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Decision\ X \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t \rangle \Rightarrow t'} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ rs_2,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p \quad \Gamma\ chain = Some\ (rs_1\ @\ [Rule\ m'\ Return]\ @\ rs_2) \quad \text{matches } \gamma\ m'\ p \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p \quad \Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t}
\end{array}$$

**lemma deny:**

*matches*  $\gamma\ m\ p \implies a = Drop \vee a = Reject \implies iptables\text{-bigstep}\ \Gamma\ \gamma\ p\ [Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ (Decision\ FinalDeny)$

**by** (*auto intro: drop reject*)

**lemma seq-cons:**

**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$  **and**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

**shows**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

**proof** –

**from** *assms* **have**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r] @ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$  **by** (*rule seq*)

thus *?thesis* by *simp*  
qed

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-induct*

[*case-names Skip Allow Deny Log Nomatch Decision Seq Call-return Call-result*,  
*induct pred: iptables-bigstep*]:

$\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \bigwedge t. P \rrbracket t$ ;  
 $\bigwedge m a. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Accept} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } (\text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow});$   
 $\bigwedge m a. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } (\text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny});$   
 $\bigwedge m a. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Log} \vee a = \text{Empty} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } \text{Undecided};$   
 $\bigwedge m a. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } \text{Undecided};$   
 $\bigwedge rs X. P rs (\text{Decision } X) (\text{Decision } X);$   
 $\bigwedge rs rs_1 rs_2 t t'. rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow P rs_1 \text{ Undecided } t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Rightarrow P rs_2 t t' \Rightarrow P rs \text{ Undecided } t';$   
 $\bigwedge m a \text{ chain } rs_1 m' rs_2. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Call chain} \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } (rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{Return}] @ rs_2) \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m' p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow P rs_1 \text{ Undecided } \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } \text{Undecided};$   
 $\bigwedge m a \text{ chain } rs t. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Call chain} \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow P rs \text{ Undecided } t \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } t \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $P rs s t$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *skipD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [] \Rightarrow s = t$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *decisionD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow s = \text{Decision } X \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision } X$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*) *auto*

**context**

**notes** *skipD*[*dest*] *list-app-singletonE*[*elim*]

**begin**

**lemma** *acceptD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{Accept}] \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *dropD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{Drop}] \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *rejectD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{Reject}] \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *logD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$

**by** (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *emptyD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$

**by** (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *nomatchD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \ a] \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$

**by** (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *callD*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ r = [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})] \ s = \text{Undecided} \ \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \ \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs$

**obtains**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

$\mid rs_1 \ rs_2 \ m' \ \text{where } rs = rs_1 \ @ \ \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return } \# \ rs_2 \ \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ p$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \ t = \text{Undecided}$

**using** *assms*

**proof** (*induction r s t arbitrary: rs rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*)

**case** (*seq rs<sub>1</sub>*)

**thus** *?case by (cases rs<sub>1</sub>) auto*

**qed** *auto*

**end**

**lemmas** *iptables-bigstepD = skipD acceptD dropD rejectD logD emptyD nomatchD decisionD callD*

**lemma** *seq'*:

**assumes**  $rs = rs_1 \ @ \ rs_2 \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

**shows**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

**using** *assms by (cases s) (auto intro: seq decision dest: decisionD)*

**lemma** *seq'-cons*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

**by** (*metis decision decisionD state.exhaust seq-cons*)

**lemma** *seq-split*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$

**obtains**  $t' \ \text{where } \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$

**using** *assms*

**proof** (*induction rs s t arbitrary: rs<sub>1</sub> rs<sub>2</sub> thesis rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)

**case** *Allow* **thus** *?case by (cases rs<sub>1</sub>) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)*

**next**

**case** *Deny* **thus** *?case by (cases rs<sub>1</sub>) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)*

**next**

**case** *Log* **thus** *?case by (cases rs<sub>1</sub>) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)*

**next**

```

    case Nomatch thus ?case by (cases  $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
case (Seq  $rs\ rsa\ rsb\ t\ t'$ )
hence  $rs: rsa @ rsb = rs_1 @ rs_2$  by simp
note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]
from  $rs$  show ?case
proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
  case longer
  with Seq have  $t1: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle take\ (length\ rsa)\ rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by simp
  from Seq longer obtain  $t2$ 
  where  $t2a: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle drop\ (length\ rsa)\ rs_1, t \rangle \Rightarrow t2$ 
  and  $rs2-t2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
  by blast
  with  $t1\ rs2-t2$  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle take\ (length\ rsa)\ rs_1 @ drop\ (length\ rsa)$ 
 $rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t2$ 
  by (blast intro: iptables-bigstep.seq)
  with Seq  $rs2-t2$  show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case shorter
with  $rs$  have  $rsa': rsa = rs_1 @ take\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2$ 
by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
from shorter  $rs$  have  $rsb': rsb = drop\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2$ 
by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
from Seq  $rsa'$  obtain  $t1$ 
where  $t1a: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t1$ 
and  $t1b: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle take\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
by blast
from  $rsb'\ Seq.hyps$  have  $t2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle drop\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2, t \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow t'$ 
by blast
with  $seq'\ t1b$  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
by fastforce
with Seq  $t1a$  show ?thesis
by fast
qed
next
case Call-return
hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
by (case-tac [!] $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.skip iptables-bigstep.call-return)
thus ?case by fact
next
case (Call-result - - -  $t$ )
show ?case
proof (cases  $rs_1$ )
  case Nil
  with Call-result have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,$ 

```



```

Undecided⟩ ⇒ t
  by (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  thus ?thesis by fact
next
case Cons
with Call-result have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  thus ?thesis by fact
qed
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

```

lemma seqE:
  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  obtains  $ti$  where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow ti \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms by (force elim: seq-split)

```

```

lemma seqE-cons:
  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  obtains  $ti$  where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil seqE)

```

```

lemma nomatch':
  assumes  $\bigwedge r. r \in set \ rs \Longrightarrow \neg matches \ \gamma \ (get-match \ r) \ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow s$ 
  proof (cases s)
  case Undecided
  have  $\forall r \in set \ rs. \neg matches \ \gamma \ (get-match \ r) \ p \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  proof (induction rs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (fast intro: skip)
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
  hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  by (cases r) (auto intro: nomatch)
  with Cons show ?case
  by (fastforce intro: seq-cons)
  qed
  with assms Undecided show ?thesis by simp
qed (blast intro: decision)

```

```

lemma no-free-return-hlp:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle a, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow matches \ \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = Undecided \Longrightarrow a = [Rule \ m \ Return] \Longrightarrow False$ 
  proof (induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct)
  case (seq rs1)
  thus ?case
  by (cases rs1) (auto dest: skipD)
qed simp-all

```

**lemma** *no-free-return*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Return], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow False$   
**by** (*metis no-free-return-hlp*)

**lemma** *seq-progress*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof**(*induction arbitrary: rs<sub>1</sub> rs<sub>2</sub> t' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
  **case** *Allow*  
  **thus** ?*case*  
  **by** (*cases rs<sub>1</sub>*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)  
**next**  
  **case** *Deny*  
  **thus** ?*case*  
  **by** (*cases rs<sub>1</sub>*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)  
**next**  
  **case** *Log*  
  **thus** ?*case*  
  **by** (*cases rs<sub>1</sub>*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)  
**next**  
  **case** *Nomatch*  
  **thus** ?*case*  
  **by** (*cases rs<sub>1</sub>*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)  
**next**  
  **case** *Decision*  
  **thus** ?*case*  
  **by** (*cases rs<sub>1</sub>*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)  
**next**  
  **case**(*Seq rs rsa rsb t t' rs<sub>1</sub> rs<sub>2</sub> t''*)  
  **hence** *rs: rsa @ rsb = rs<sub>1</sub> @ rs<sub>2</sub>* **by** *simp*  
  **note** *List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]*

**from** *rs* **show**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t'' \rangle \Rightarrow t'$   
**proof**(*cases rule: list-app-eq-cases*)  
  **case** *longer*  
  **have** *rs<sub>1</sub> = take (length rsa) rs<sub>1</sub> @ drop (length rsa) rs<sub>1</sub>*  
  **by** *auto*  
  **with** *Seq longer* **show** ?*thesis*  
  **by** (*metis append-Nil2 skipD seq-split*)

**next**  
  **case** *shorter*  
  **with** *Seq(7) Seq.hyps(3) Seq.IH(1) rs* **show** ?*thesis*  
  **by** (*metis seq' append-eq-conv-conj*)  
**qed**

**next**  
  **case**(*Call-return m a chain rsa m' rsb*)  
  **have** *xx:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$*

```

⇒
  Γ chain = Some (rsa @ Rule m' Return # rsb) ⇒
  matches γ m' p ⇒
  Γ, γ, p ⊢ ⟨rsa, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided ⇒
  t' = Undecided
  apply(erule callD)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(erule seqE)
  apply(erule seqE-cons)
  by (metis Call-return.IH no-free-return self-append-conv skipD)

show ?case
proof (cases rs₁)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?thesis
    using Call-return
    apply(case-tac [Rule m a] = rs₂)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp)
    using xx by blast
next
  case Nil
  moreover hence t' = Undecided
    by (metis Call-return.hyps(1) Call-return.prem(2) append.simps(1)
  decision no-free-return seq state.exhaust)
  moreover have ∧m. Γ, γ, p ⊢ ⟨[Rule m a], Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided
  by (metis (no-types) Call-return(2) Call-return.hyps(3) Call-return.hyps(4)
  Call-return.hyps(5) call-return nomatch)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Call-return.prem(1) by auto
qed
next
  case(Call-result m a chain rs t)
  thus ?case
  proof (cases rs₁)
    case Cons
    thus ?thesis
      using Call-result
      apply(auto simp add: iptables-bigstep.skip iptables-bigstep.call-result dest:
  skipD)
      apply(drule callD, simp-all)
      apply blast
      by (metis Cons-eq-appendI append-self-conv2 no-free-return seq-split)
    qed (fastforce intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: skipD)
  qed (auto dest: iptables-bigstepD)

lemma no-free-return-seq:
  assumes Γ, γ, p ⊢ ⟨r1 @ Rule m Return # r2, Undecided⟩ ⇒ t matches γ m p
  Γ, γ, p ⊢ ⟨r1, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided

```

**shows** *False*  
**proof** –  
    **from** *assms* **have**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \text{ Return } \# \ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
    **by** (*blast intro: seq-progress*)  
    **hence**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] \ @ \ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
    **by** *simp*  
    **with** *assms* **show** *False*  
    **by** (*blast intro: no-free-return elim: seq-split*)  
**qed**

there are only two cases when there can be a Return on top-level:

1. the firewall is in a Decision state
2. the return does not match

In both cases, it is not applied!

**lemma** *no-free-return-fst*:  
    **assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
    **obtains** (*decision*) *X* **where**  $s = \text{Decision } X$   
    | (*nomatch*) *m a* **where**  $r = \text{Rule } m \ a \ a \neq \text{Return} \vee \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$   
    **using** *assms*  
**proof** (*induction r#rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
    **case** *Seq* **thus** ?*case*  
    **by** (*metis no-free-return-seq seq skip rule.exhaust*)  
**qed** *auto*

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-deterministic*:  $\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket$   
 $\implies t = t'$   
**proof** (*induction arbitrary: t' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
    **case** *Seq*  
    **thus** ?*case*  
    **by** (*metis seq-split*)  
**next**  
    **case** *Call-result*  
    **thus** ?*case*  
    **by** (*metis no-free-return-seq callD*)  
**next**  
    **case** *Call-return*  
    **thus** ?*case*  
    **by** (*metis append-Cons callD no-free-return-seq*)  
**qed** (*auto dest: iptables-bigstepD*)

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies s = \text{Undecided}$   
**by** (*metis decisionD state.exhaust*)

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-to-decision*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } Y \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X \implies Y = X$   
**by** (*metis decisionD state.inject*)

**lemma** *Rule-UndecidedE*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$   
**obtains**  $(nomatch) \neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$   
 $\quad | (log)\ a = Log \vee a = Empty$   
 $\quad | (call)\ c\ \mathbf{where}\ a = Call\ c\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$   
**using** *assms*  
**proof** (*induction*  $[Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ Undecided\ rule:\ iptables\ bigstep\ induct$ )  
 $\quad \mathbf{case}\ Seq$   
 $\quad \mathbf{thus}\ ?case$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ append\ eq\ Cons\ conv\ append\ is\ Nil\ conv\ iptables\ bigstep\ to\ undecided)$   
**qed** *simp-all*

**lemma** *Rule-DecisionE*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$   
**obtains**  $(call)\ chain\ \mathbf{where}\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p\ a = Call\ chain$   
 $\quad | (accept\ reject)\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p\ X = FinalAllow \Longrightarrow a = Accept\ X =$   
 $FinalDeny \Longrightarrow a = Drop \vee a = Reject$   
**using** *assms*  
**proof** (*induction*  $[Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ Decision\ X\ rule:\ iptables\ bigstep\ induct$ )  
 $\quad \mathbf{case}\ (Seq\ rs_1)$   
 $\quad \mathbf{thus}\ ?case$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ (cases\ rs_1)\ (auto\ dest:\ skipD)$   
**qed** *simp-all*

**lemma** *log-remove*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ [Rule\ m\ Log]\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**shows**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof** –  
 $\quad \mathbf{from}\ assms\ \mathbf{obtain}\ t'\ \mathbf{where}\ t': \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t'\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log]\ @\ rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ (blast\ elim:\ seqE)$   
 $\quad \mathbf{hence}\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m\ Log\ \# rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ simp$   
 $\quad \mathbf{then}\ \mathbf{obtain}\ t''\ \mathbf{where}\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log],\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t''\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t'' \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ (blast\ elim:\ seqE\ cons)$   
 $\quad \mathbf{with}\ t'\ \mathbf{show}\ ?thesis$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ state.exhaust\ iptables\ bigstep\ deterministic\ decision\ log\ nomatch\ seq)$   
**qed**

**lemma** *empty-empty*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ [Rule\ m\ Empty]\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**shows**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof** –  
 $\quad \mathbf{from}\ assms\ \mathbf{obtain}\ t'\ \mathbf{where}\ t': \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t'\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty]\ @\ rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 $\quad \mathbf{by}\ (blast\ elim:\ seqE)$   
 $\quad \mathbf{hence}\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m\ Empty\ \# rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$

```

    by simp
  then obtain t'' where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty], t' \rangle \Rightarrow t'' \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
t
    by (blast elim: seqE-cons)
  with t' show ?thesis
    by (metis state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic decision empty nomatch
seq)
qed

```

The notation we prefer in the paper. The semantics are defined for fixed  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma$

```

locale iptables-bigstep-fixedbackground =
  fixes  $\Gamma :: 'a\ ruleset$ 
  and  $\gamma :: ('a, 'p)\ matcher$ 
  begin

  inductive iptables-bigstep' :: ' $p \Rightarrow 'a\ rule\ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ '
    ( $\vdash' \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow -$  [60,20,98,98] 89)
  for  $p$  where
    skip:  $p \vdash' \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t$  |
    accept:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Accept], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ Fi-$ 
nalAllow |
    drop:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Drop], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$ 
    |
    reject:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Reject], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ Fi-$ 
nalDeny |
    log:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Log], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    empty:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    nomatch:  $\neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    decision:  $p \vdash' \langle rs, Decision\ X \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$  |
    seq:  $\llbracket p \vdash' \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t; p \vdash' \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket \Rightarrow p \vdash' \langle rs_1 @ rs_2,$ 
Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow t'$  |
    call-return:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ p; \Gamma\ chain = Some\ (rs_1 @ [Rule\ m'\ Return] @ rs_2);$ 
 $matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p; p \vdash' \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \rrbracket \Rightarrow$ 
 $p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    call-result:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ p; p \vdash' \langle the\ (\Gamma\ chain), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Rightarrow$ 
 $p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 

```

**definition**  $wf\text{-}\Gamma :: 'a\ rule\ list \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs \equiv \forall rsg \in ran\ \Gamma \cup \{rs\}. (\forall r \in set\ rsg. \forall chain. get\text{-}action\ r = Call$   
 $chain \longrightarrow \Gamma\ chain \neq None)$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-}\Gamma\text{-}append$ :  $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ (rs1 @ rs2) \longleftrightarrow wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs1 \wedge wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs2$   
**by** (simp add: wf- $\Gamma$ -def, blast)  
**lemma**  $wf\text{-}\Gamma\text{-}Call$ :  $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)] \Longrightarrow wf\text{-}\Gamma\ (the\ (\Gamma\ chain)) \wedge (\exists rs.$   
 $\Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs)$   
**apply** (simp add: wf- $\Gamma$ -def)  
**by** (metis option.collapse ranI)

```

lemma wf- $\Gamma$   $rs \implies p \vdash' \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(rotate-tac 1)
  apply(induction  $rs\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep'.induct)
  apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: wf- $\Gamma$ -append dest!: wf- $\Gamma$ -Call)[11]
  apply(rotate-tac 1)
  apply(induction  $rs\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep.induct)
  apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep'.intros simp: wf- $\Gamma$ -append dest!: wf- $\Gamma$ -Call)[11]
  done
end

end
theory Matching
imports Semantics
begin

```

## 2.1 Boolean Matcher Algebra

Lemmas about matching in the *iptables-bigstep* semantics.

```

lemma matches-rule-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes matches  $\gamma\ m\ p \iff matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\iff$  ?r)
proof –
  {
    fix  $m\ m'$ 
    assume  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  matches  $\gamma\ m\ p \iff matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p$ 
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    by (induction  $[Rule\ m\ a]\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
      (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
  }
  with assms show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma matches-rule-and-simp-help:
  assumes matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\iff$  ?r)
proof
  assume ?l thus ?r
  by (induction  $[Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a]\ Undecided\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
  next
  assume ?r thus ?l
  by (induction  $[Rule\ m'\ a]\ Undecided\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
qed

```

**lemma** *matches-MatchNot-simp*:

assumes *matches*  $\gamma$  *m* *p*  
 shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchNot\ m)\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)  
**proof**  
 assume ?l thus ?r  
 by (induction [Rule (MatchNot *m*) *a*] Undecided *t* rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)  
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)  
**next**  
 assume ?r  
 hence *t* = Undecided  
 by (metis skipD)  
 with assms show ?l  
 by (fastforce intro: nomatch)  
**qed**

**lemma** *matches-MatchNotAnd-simp*:

assumes *matches*  $\gamma$  *m* *p*  
 shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd (MatchNot\ m)\ m')\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)  
**proof**  
 assume ?l thus ?r  
 by (induction [Rule (MatchAnd (MatchNot *m*) *m'*) *a*] Undecided *t* rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)  
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp add: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)  
**next**  
 assume ?r  
 hence *t* = Undecided  
 by (metis skipD)  
 with assms show ?l  
 by (fastforce intro: nomatch)  
**qed**

**lemma** *matches-rule-and-simp*:

assumes *matches*  $\gamma$  *m* *p*  
 shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a'], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a'], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof** (cases *s*)  
 case Undecided  
 with assms show ?thesis  
 by (simp add: matches-rule-and-simp-help)  
**next**  
 case Decision  
 thus ?thesis by (metis decision decisionD)  
**qed**

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-MatchAnd-comm*:



```

   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m2 m1) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof -
  { fix m1 m2
    have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m2 m1) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
      proof (induction [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a] s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
        case Seq thus ?case
        by (metis Nil-is-append-conv append-Nil butlast-append butlast-snoc seq)
      qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
    }
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

**definition** *add-match* :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list **where**  
*add-match* m rs = map ( $\lambda r$ . case r of Rule m' a'  $\Rightarrow$  Rule (MatchAnd m m') a')  
 rs

**lemma** *add-match-split*: *add-match* m (rs1@rs2) = *add-match* m rs1 @ *add-match* m rs2  
**unfolding** *add-match-def*  
**by** (fact map-append)

**lemma** *add-match-split-fst*: *add-match* m (Rule m' a' # rs) = Rule (MatchAnd m m') a' # *add-match* m rs  
**unfolding** *add-match-def*  
**by** simp

**lemma** *matches-add-match-simp*:  
**assumes** m: matches  $\gamma$  m p  
**shows**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (**is** ?l  $\iff$  ?r)  
**proof**  
**assume** ?l **with** m **show** ?r  
**proof** (induction rs)  
**case** Nil  
**thus** ?case  
**unfolding** *add-match-def* **by** simp  
**next**  
**case** (Cons r rs)  
**thus** ?case  
**apply**(cases r)  
**apply**(simp only: add-match-split-fst)  
**apply**(erule seqE-cons)  
**apply**(simp only: matches-rule-and-simp)  
**apply**(metis decision state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic seq-cons)  
**done**  
**qed**

```

next
  assume ?r with m show ?l
  proof (induction rs)
    case Nil
    thus ?case
      unfolding add-match-def by simp
  next
    case (Cons r rs)
    thus ?case
      apply (cases r)
      apply (simp only: add-match-split-fst)
      apply (erule seqE-cons)
      apply (subst(asm) matches-rule-and-simp[symmetric])
      apply (simp)
      apply (metis decision state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic seq-cons)
    done
  qed
qed

lemma matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp:
  assumes m: matches  $\gamma$  m p
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is
  ?l s  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r s)
  proof (cases s)
    case Undecided
    have ?l Undecided  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r Undecided
    proof
      assume ?l Undecided with m show ?r Undecided
      proof (induction rs)
        case Nil
        thus ?case
          unfolding add-match-def by simp
      next
        case (Cons r rs)
        thus ?case
          by (cases r) (metis matches-MatchNotAnd-simp skipD seqE-cons
          add-match-split-fst)
      qed
    next
      assume ?r Undecided with m show ?l Undecided
      proof (induction rs)
        case Nil
        thus ?case
          unfolding add-match-def by simp
      next
        case (Cons r rs)
        thus ?case
          by (cases r) (metis matches-MatchNotAnd-simp skipD seq'-cons
          add-match-split-fst)
    qed
  qed

```

```

      qed
    qed
  with Undecided show ?thesis by fast
next
  case (Decision d)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis decision decisionD)
  qed

lemma not-matches-add-match-simp:
  assumes  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction rs)
    case Nil
    thus ?case
      unfolding add-match-def by simp
  next
    case (Cons r rs)
    thus ?case
      by (cases r) (metis assms add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) nomatch
        seq'-cons nomatchD seqE-cons)
  qed

lemma iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp:
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } (\text{MatchNot } m)) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction rs)
    case Nil
    thus ?case
      unfolding add-match-def by simp
  next
    case (Cons r rs)
    thus ?case
      by (cases r)
        (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp
          not-matches-add-match-simp)
  qed

lemma not-matches-add-matchNot-simp:
   $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp)

lemma iptables-bigstep-add-match-and:
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction rs arbitrary: s t)
    case Nil

```

```

thus ?case
  unfolding add-match-def by simp
next
  case(Cons r rs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases r, simp only: add-match-split-fst)
    fix m a
    show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ m)) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } m1$ 
       $(\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ m)$ 
       $a \ \# \ \text{add-match } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
    proof
      assume ?l with Cons.IH show ?r
      apply -
      apply(erule seqE-cons)
      apply(case-tac s)
      apply(case-tac ti)
      apply (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-and-simp matches-rule-and-simp-help
nomatch seq'-cons)
      apply (metis add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp seq-cons)
      apply (metis decision decisionD)
      done
    next
      assume ?r with Cons.IH show ?l
      apply -
      apply(erule seqE-cons)
      apply(case-tac s)
      apply(case-tac ti)
      apply (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-and-simp matches-rule-and-simp-help
nomatch seq'-cons)
      apply (metis add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp seq-cons)
      apply (metis decision decisionD)
      done
    qed
  qed
qed

end
theory Call-Return-Unfolding
imports Matching
begin

```

### 3 Call Return Unfolding

Remove Returns

```

fun process-ret :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  process-ret [] = [] |

```

$process-ret (Rule\ m\ Return\ \# rs) = add-match (MatchNot\ m) (process-ret\ rs) \mid$   
 $process-ret (r\ \# rs) = r\ \# process-ret\ rs$

Remove *Calls*

**fun** *process-call* :: 'a ruleset  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list **where**  
*process-call*  $\Gamma\ [] = [] \mid$   
*process-call*  $\Gamma (Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)\ \# rs) = add-match\ m\ (process-ret\ (the\ (\Gamma\ chain)))\ @\ process-call\ \Gamma\ rs \mid$   
*process-call*  $\Gamma (r\ \# rs) = r\ \# process-call\ \Gamma\ rs$

**lemma** *process-ret-split-fst-Return*:

$a = Return \implies process-ret (Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs) = add-match (MatchNot\ m) (process-ret\ rs)$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn*:

$a \neq Return \implies process-ret((Rule\ m\ a)\ \# rs) = (Rule\ m\ a)\ \# (process-ret\ rs)$   
**by** (*cases a*) *auto*

**lemma** *add-match-simp*:  $add-match\ m = map\ (\lambda r. Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ (get-match\ r))\ (get-action\ r))$

**by** (*auto simp: add-match-def cong: map-cong split: rule.split*)

**definition** *add-missing-ret-unfoldings* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list **where**

$add-missing-ret-unfoldings\ rs1\ rs2 \equiv$   
 $foldr\ (\lambda rf\ acc. add-match\ (MatchNot\ (get-match\ rf))\ \circ\ acc)\ [r \leftarrow rs1. get-action\ r = Return]\ id\ rs2$

**fun** *MatchAnd-foldr* :: 'a match-expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr **where**

*MatchAnd-foldr*  $[] = undefined \mid$   
*MatchAnd-foldr*  $[e] = e \mid$   
*MatchAnd-foldr*  $(e\ \# es) = MatchAnd\ e\ (MatchAnd-foldr\ es)$

**fun** *add-match-MatchAnd-foldr* :: 'a match-expr list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list) **where**

*add-match-MatchAnd-foldr*  $[] = id \mid$   
*add-match-MatchAnd-foldr*  $es = add-match\ (MatchAnd-foldr\ es)$

**lemma** *add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr*:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ m\ (add-match-MatchAnd-foldr\ ms\ rs2),\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ (MatchAnd-foldr\ (m\ \# ms))\ rs2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

**proof** (*induction ms*)

**case** *Nil*

**show** ?*case* **by** (*simp add: add-match-def*)

**next**

**case** *Cons*

**thus** ?*case* **by** (*simp add: iptables-bigstep-add-match-and*)

**qed**

**lemma** *add-match-MatchAnd-foldr-empty-rs2*: *add-match-MatchAnd-foldr ms [] = []*  
**by** (*induction ms*) (*simp-all add: add-match-def*)

**lemma** *add-missing-ret-unfoldings-alt*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{add-match-MatchAnd-foldr } (\text{map } (\lambda r. \text{MatchNot } (\text{get-match } r))) [r \leftarrow rs1. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}])) \ rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof** (*induction rs1*)  
  **case** *Nil*  
  **thus** ?*case*  
  **unfolding** *add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
  **case** (*Cons r rs*)  
  **from** *Cons* **obtain** *m a* **where** *r = Rule m a* **by** (*cases r*) (*simp*)  
  **with** *Cons* **show** ?*case*  
  **unfolding** *add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def*  
  **apply** (*cases matches*  $\gamma \ m \ p$ )  
  **apply** (*simp-all add: matches-add-match-simp matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp*  
*add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr[symmetric]*)  
  **done**  
**qed**

**lemma** *add-match-add-missing-ret-unfoldings-rot*:  
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ (\text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ rs2), s \rangle \Rightarrow t =$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } (\text{Rule } (\text{MatchNot } m) \ \text{Return} \# rs1) \ rs2, s \rangle$   
 $\Rightarrow t$   
**by** (*simp add: add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp*)

### 3.1 Completeness

**lemma** *process-ret-split-obvious*: *process-ret (rs<sub>1</sub> @ rs<sub>2</sub>) =*  
*(process-ret rs<sub>1</sub>) @ (add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs<sub>1</sub> (process-ret rs<sub>2</sub>))*  
**unfolding** *add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def*  
**proof** (*induction rs<sub>1</sub> arbitrary: rs<sub>2</sub>*)  
  **case** (*Cons r rs*)  
  **from** *Cons* **obtain** *m a* **where** *r = Rule m a* **by** (*cases r*) *simp*  
  **with** *Cons.IH* **show** ?*case*  
  **apply** (*cases a*)  
  **apply** (*simp-all add: add-match-split*)  
  **done**  
**qed** *simp*

**lemma** *add-match-distrib*:  
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2$   
 $(\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof** –  
  {

```

fix m1 m2
have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match}$ 
 $m2 \ (\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof (induction rs arbitrary: s)
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp add: add-match-def)
next
  case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  with Cons.prem obtain ti where 1:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m1$ 
 $(\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ m)) \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti$  and 2:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2$ 
 $rs), ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  apply(simp add: add-match-split-fst)
  apply(erule seqE-cons)
  by simp
  from 1 r have base:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m)) \ a],$ 
 $s \rangle \Rightarrow ti$ 
  by (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-iptables-bigstep)
  from 2 Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2 \ (\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), ti \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow t$  by simp
  from base IH seq'-cons have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1$ 
 $m)) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } m2 \ (\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  by fast
  thus ?case using r by(simp add: add-match-split-fst[symmetric])
qed
}
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma add-missing-ret-unfoldings-emptyrs2: add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs1 [] =
[]
unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def
by (induction rs1) (simp-all add: add-match-def)

```

```

lemma process-call-split: process-call  $\Gamma \ (rs1 \ @ \ rs2) = \text{process-call } \Gamma \ rs1 \ @ \ \text{process-call}$ 
 $\Gamma \ rs2$ 
proof (induction rs1)
  case (Cons r rs1)
  thus ?case
  apply(cases r, rename-tac m a)
  apply(case-tac a)
  apply(simp-all)
  done
qed simp

```

```

lemma add-match-split-fst': add-match m (a # rs) = add-match m [a] @ add-match
m rs
by (simp add: add-match-split[symmetric])

```

```

lemma process-call-split-fst: process-call  $\Gamma \ (a \ \# \ rs) = \text{process-call } \Gamma \ [a] \ @ \ \text{process-call}$ 
 $\Gamma \ rs$ 

```

by (simp add: process-call-split[symmetric])

**lemma** iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**proof** (induction rs)  
 case (Cons r rs)  
 show ?case  
 proof (cases r)  
 case (Rule m' a')  
 show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (r \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 proof (cases a')  
 case Accept  
 with Cons Rule show ?thesis  
 by simp (metis acceptD decision decisionD nomatchD seqE-cons seq-cons)  
 next  
 case Drop  
 with Cons Rule show ?thesis  
 by simp (metis decision decisionD dropD nomatchD seqE-cons seq-cons)  
 next  
 case Log  
 with Cons Rule show ?thesis  
 by simp (metis logD nomatchD seqE-cons seq-cons)  
 next  
 case Reject  
 with Cons Rule show ?thesis  
 by simp (metis decision decisionD nomatchD rejectD seqE-cons seq-cons)  
 next  
 case (Call chain)  
 from Cons.prem1 obtain ti where  $1: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow ti$  and  
 $2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$  using seqE-cons by metis  
 thus ?thesis  
 proof (cases ti)  
 case Undecided  
 with Cons.IH 2 have  $IH: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$  by  
 simp  
 from Undecided 1 Call Rule have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ (Call\ chain)],$   
 $\text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by simp  
 with IH have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m'\ (Call\ chain) \# \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle$   
 $\Rightarrow t$  using seq'-cons by fast  
 thus ?thesis using Rule Call by force  
 next  
 case (Decision X)  
 with 1 Rule Call have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ (Call\ chain)], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{Decision } X$  by simp  
 moreover from 2 Decision have  $t = \text{Decision } X$  using decisionD by  
 fast  
 moreover from decision have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{Decision } X$  by fast  
 end  
 end  
 end



```

      ultimately show ?thesis using seq-cons by (metis Call Rule
process-ret.simps(7))
    qed
  next
    case Return
    with Cons Rule show ?thesis
    by simp (metis matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp no-free-return-seq
nomatchD seq seqE-cons skip)
  next
    case Empty
    show ?thesis
    apply (insert Empty Cons Rule)
    apply (erule seqE-cons)
    apply (rename-tac ti)
    apply (case-tac ti)
    apply (metis process-ret.simps(8) seq'-cons)
    apply (metis Rule-DecisionE emptyD state.distinct(1))
    done
  next
    case Unknown
    show ?thesis
    apply (insert Unknown Cons Rule)
    apply (erule seqE-cons)
    apply (case-tac ti)
    apply (metis process-ret.simps(9) seq'-cons)
    apply (metis decision iptables-bigstep-deterministic process-ret.simps(9)
seq-cons)
    done
  qed
qed
qed simp

```

**lemma** *add-match-rot-add-missing-ret-unfoldings*:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ (\text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ rs2), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} =$

```

 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ (\text{add-match } m \ rs2), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
apply (simp add: add-missing-ret-unfoldings-alt add-match-add-missing-ret-unfoldings-rot
add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr[symmetric] iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp)
apply (cases map ( $\lambda r. \text{MatchNot } (\text{get-match } r)$ ) [ $r \leftarrow rs1$  . ( $\text{get-action } r$ ) = Return])
apply (simp-all add: add-match-distrib)
done

```

Completeness

**theorem** *unfolding-complete*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

```

proof (induction rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  case (Nomatch  $m \ a$ )
  thus ?case

```

```

    by (cases a) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp add: not-matches-add-match-simp
skip)
  next
    case Seq
    thus ?case
      by (simp add: process-call-split seq')
  next
    case (Call-return m a chain rs1 m' rs2)
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by simp
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by (rule iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided)
    with Call-return have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs_1 @ add-missing-ret-unfoldings\ rs_1$ 
(add-match (MatchNot m') (process-ret rs2)), Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by (metis matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp skip add-match-ret-add-missing-ret-unfoldings
seq')
    with Call-return show ?case
      by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp process-ret-split-obvious)
  next
    case Call-result
    thus ?case
      by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided)
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

**lemma** *process-ret-cases*:

$process-ret\ rs = rs \vee (\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge (process-ret\ rs) = rs_1 @ (process-ret\ ([Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2)))$

**proof** (*induction rs*)

case (*Cons r rs*)

thus ?case

apply (cases r, rename-tac m' a')

apply (case-tac a')

apply (simp-all)

apply (erule disjE, simp, rule disjI2, elim exE, simp add: process-ret-split-obvious,
metis append-Cons process-ret-split-obvious process-ret.simps(2)) +

apply (rule disjI2)

apply (rule-tac x=[] in exI)

apply (rule-tac x=rs in exI)

apply (rule-tac x=m' in exI)

apply (simp)

apply (erule disjE, simp, rule disjI2, elim exE, simp add: process-ret-split-obvious,
metis append-Cons process-ret-split-obvious process-ret.simps(2)) +

done

qed *simp*

**lemma** *process-ret-splitcases*:

**obtains** (*id*)  $process-ret\ rs = rs$

| (*split*)  $rs_1\ rs_2\ m$  **where**  $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2$  **and** *process-ret*  
 $rs = rs_1 @ (process-ret\ ([Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2))$   
**by** (*metis process-ret-cases*)

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-cases3*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$   
**obtains** (*noreturn*)  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$   
| (*return*)  $rs_1\ rs_2\ m$  **where**  $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  *matches*  $\gamma\ m\ p$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \Rightarrow$   
 $(\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided) \vee$   
 $(\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$   
 $Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$   
**proof** (*induction rs*)  
**case** *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** (*Cons r rs*)  
**from** *Cons* **obtain**  $m\ a$  **where**  $r: r = Rule\ m\ a$  **by** (*cases r*) *simp*  
**from**  $r\ Cons$  **show** ?*case*  
**proof**(*cases a*  $\neq Return$ )  
**case** *True*  
**with**  $r\ Cons.prems$  **have**  $prems-r: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$   
 $Undecided$  **and**  $prems-rs: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$   
**apply**(*simp-all add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn*)  
**apply**(*erule seqE-cons, frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided, simp*) +  
**done**  
**from**  $prems-rs\ Cons.IH$  **have**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$   
 $\wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$  **by** *simp*  
**thus**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. r \# rs =$   
 $rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches$   
 $\gamma\ m\ p)$  (*is ?goal*)  
**proof**(*elim disjE*)  
**assume**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$   
**hence**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  **using**  $prems-r$  **by**  
(*metis r seq'-cons*)  
**thus** ?*goal* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**assume**  $(\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$   
**from this** **obtain**  $rs_1\ rs_2\ m'$  **where**  $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m'\ Return] @ rs_2$   
**and**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  **and** *matches*  $\gamma\ m'\ p$  **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$   
**apply**(*rule-tac x=Rule m a # rs\_1 in exI*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=rs\_2 in exI*)  
**apply**(*rule-tac x=m' in exI*)

```

      apply(simp add: r)
      using prems-r seq'-cons by fast
      thus ?goal by simp
    qed
  next
  case False
    hence a = Return by simp
    with Cons.prems r have prems:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ (process-ret } rs), \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by simp
    show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p)$  (is ?goal)
    proof(cases matches  $\gamma \ m \ p$ )
      case True
        hence  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ \text{Rule } m \text{ Return} \# rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
        apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
        apply(rule-tac x=rs in exI)
        apply(rule-tac x=m in exI)
        apply(simp add: skip r  $\langle a = \text{Return} \rangle$ )
        done
      thus ?goal by simp
    next
    case False
      with nomatch seq-cons False r have r-nomatch:  $\bigwedge rs. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by fast
      note r-nomatch'=r-nomatch[simplified r  $\langle a = \text{Return} \rangle$ ] — r unfolded
      from False not-matches-add-matchNot-simp prems have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by fast
      with Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p)$  .
      thus ?goal
      proof(elim disjE)
        assume  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
        hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  using r-nomatch
      by simp
      thus ?goal by simp
    next
    assume  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
    from this obtain  $rs_1 rs_2 m'$  where  $rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{ Return}] @ rs_2$  and  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  and matches  $\gamma \ m' \ p$  by blast
    hence  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
    apply(rule-tac x=Rule m Return #  $rs_1$  in exI)
    apply(rule-tac x= $rs_2$  in exI)
    apply(rule-tac x= $m'$  in exI)

```

```

      by (simp add: ⟨a = Return⟩ False r r-nomatch')
    thus ?goal by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed
with assms noreturn return show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

**lemma** *add-match-match-not-cases*:

```

   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ } rs, \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies \text{matches } \gamma$ 
 $m \text{ } p \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  by (metis matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp)

```

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-DecisionD*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**proof** (*induction rs arbitrary: s*)

case (Cons r rs)

thus ?case

apply (cases r, rename-tac m a)

apply (clarify)

apply (case-tac a  $\neq$  Return)

apply (simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn)

apply (erule seqE-cons)

apply (simp add: seq'-cons)

apply (simp)

apply (case-tac matches  $\gamma \text{ } m \text{ } p$ )

apply (simp add: matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp skip)

apply (metis decision skipD)

apply (simp add: not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)

by (metis decision state.exhaust nomatch seq'-cons)

qed simp

**lemma** *free-return-not-match*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}], \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } p$

using no-free-return by fast

### 3.2 Background Ruleset Updating

**lemma** *update-Gamma-nomatch*:

assumes  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } p$

shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\iff$  ?r)

proof

```

assume ?l thus ?r
proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  case (Call-return m a chain' rs1 m' rs2)
  thus ?case
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case True
      with Call-return show ?thesis
        apply simp
        apply (cases rs1)
        using assms apply fastforce
        apply (rule-tac rs1=list and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in call-return)
        apply (simp)
        apply (simp)
        apply (simp)
        apply (simp)
        apply (erule seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some rs else } \Gamma \ a)]$ )
        apply (frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some rs else } \Gamma \ a)]$ )
        apply (simp)
      done
    qed (auto intro: call-return)
  next
    case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs' t')
    have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain}')], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case True
      with Call-result have Rule m a # rs = rs' ( $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs})$ ) chain' =
Some rs
      by simp+
      with assms Call-result show ?thesis
      by (metis call-result nomatchD seqE-cons)
    next
      case False
      with Call-result show ?thesis
      by (metis call-result fun-upd-apply)
    qed
  with Call-result show ?case
  by fast
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
assume ?r thus ?l
proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1)
  thus ?case
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case True
      with Call-return show ?thesis

```

```

      using assms
      by (auto intro: seq-cons nomatch intro!: call-return[where rs1 = Rule
m a # rs1])
    qed (auto intro: call-return)
  next
    case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs')
    thus ?case
      proof (cases chain' = chain)
        case True
        with Call-result show ?thesis
          using assms by (auto intro: seq-cons nomatch intro!: call-result)
        qed (auto intro: call-result)
      qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
    qed

lemma update-Gamma-log-empty:
  assumes a = Log ∨ a = Empty
  shows Γ(chain ↦ Rule m a # rs), γ, p ⊢ ⟨rs', s⟩ ⇒ t ⟷
    Γ(chain ↦ rs), γ, p ⊢ ⟨rs', s⟩ ⇒ t (is ?l ⟷ ?r)
  proof
    assume ?l thus ?r
      proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
        case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1 m'' rs2)

          note [simp] = fun-upd-apply[abs-def]

          from Call-return have Γ(chain ↦ rs), γ, p ⊢ ⟨[Rule m' (Call chain')], Unde-
cided⟩ ⇒ Undecided (is ?Call-return-case)
          proof (cases chain' = chain)
            case True with Call-return show ?Call-return-case
              — rs1 cannot be empty
            proof (cases rs1)
              case Nil with Call-return(3) ⟨chain' = chain⟩ assms have False by
simp
            thus ?Call-return-case by simp
          next
            case (Cons r1 rs1s)
            from Cons Call-return have Γ(chain ↦ rs), γ, p ⊢ ⟨r1 # rs1s, Undecided⟩
⇒ Undecided by blast
            with seqE-cons[where Γ=Γ(chain ↦ rs)] obtain ti where
              Γ(chain ↦ rs), γ, p ⊢ ⟨[r1], Undecided⟩ ⇒ ti and Γ(chain ↦ rs), γ, p ⊢
⟨rs1s, ti⟩ ⇒ Undecided by metis
            with iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where Γ=Γ(chain ↦ rs)] have Γ(chain
↦ rs), γ, p ⊢ ⟨rs1s, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided by fast
            with Cons Call-return ⟨chain' = chain⟩ show ?Call-return-case
              apply (rule-tac rs1=rs1s and m'=m'' and rs2=rs2 in call-return)
              apply (simp-all)
            done
          qed
        qed
      qed
  qed

```

```

next
case False with Call-return show ?Call-return-case
  by (auto intro: call-return)
qed
thus ?case using Call-return by blast
next
case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs' t')
thus ?case
  proof (cases chain' = chain)
    case True
    with Call-result have rs' = [] @ [Rule m a] @ rs
    by simp
    with Call-result assms have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [] @ \text{rs}, \text{Undecided} \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow t'$ 
      using log-remove empty-empty by fast
      hence  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
      by simp
      with Call-result True show ?thesis
      by (metis call-result fun-upd-same)
    qed (fastforce intro: call-result)
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
have cases-a:  $\bigwedge P. (a = \text{Log} \Rightarrow P a) \Rightarrow (a = \text{Empty} \Rightarrow P a) \Rightarrow P a$ 
using assms by blast
assume ?r thus ?l
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1 m'' rs2)
    from Call-return have xx:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \ a \ \#$ 
rs1, Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
    apply -
    apply (rule cases-a)
    apply (auto intro: nomatch seq-cons intro!: log empty simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    done
    with Call-return show ?case
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case False
      with Call-return have x:  $(\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs})) \text{ chain}' = \text{Some}$ 
(rs1 @ Rule m'' Return # rs2)
      by (simp)
      with Call-return have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call}$ 
chain')], Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
      apply -
      apply (rule call-return[where rs1=rs1 and m'=m'' and rs2=rs2])
      apply (simp-all add: x xx del: fun-upd-apply)
      done
      thus  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided using Call-return by simp
    next
    case True

```



```

      with Call-return have x: ( $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs)$ )  $\text{chain}' = \text{Some}$ 
      ( $\text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs_1 \ @ \ \text{Rule } m'' \ \text{Return} \ \# \ rs_2$ )
      by (simp)
      with Call-return have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call}$ 
       $\text{chain}'), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
      apply -
      apply (rule call-return[where  $rs_1 = \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs_1$  and  $m' = m''$  and
       $rs_2 = rs_2$ ])
      apply (simp-all add: x xx del: fun-upd-apply)
      done
      thus  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
       $\text{Undecided}$  using Call-return by simp
    qed
  next
  case (Call-result ma a chaina rs t)
  thus ?case
  apply (cases chaina = chain)
  apply (rule cases-a)
  apply (auto intro: nomatch seq-cons intro!: log empty call-result)[2]
  by (auto intro!: call-result)[1]
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
qed

```

**lemma** *map-update-chain-if*:  $(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain} \text{ then } \text{Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma \ b) = \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs)$   
 by auto

**lemma** *no-recursive-calls-helper*:  
 assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 and  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$   
 and  $\Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})]$   
 shows *False*  
 using *assms*  
**proof** (*induction*  $[\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$  rule: *iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
 case Seq  
 thus ?case  
 by (metis Cons-eq-append-conv append-is-Nil-conv skipD)  
 next  
 case (Call-return chain'  $rs_1 \ m' \ rs_2$ )  
 hence  $rs_1 \ @ \ \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return} \ \# \ rs_2 = [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain}')]$   
 by simp  
 thus ?case  
 by (cases  $rs_1$ ) auto  
 next  
 case Call-result  
 thus ?case  
 by simp  
 qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

**lemma** *no-recursive-calls*:

$\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})]), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \text{False}$   
**by** (*fastforce intro: no-recursive-calls-helper*)

**lemma** *no-recursive-calls2*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto (\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})) \# rs''), \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})) \# rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**and**  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$   
**shows** *False*  
**using** *assms*  
**proof** (*induction*  $(\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})) \# rs' \text{ Undecided Undecided arbitrary:}$   
*rs' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
**case**  $(\text{Seq } rs_1 \ rs_2 \ t)$   
**thus** *?case*  
**by** (*cases*  $rs_1$ ) (*auto elim: seqE-cons simp add: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*)  
**qed** (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: Cons-eq-append-conv*)

**lemma** *update-Gamma-nochange1*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**and**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**shows**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**using** *assms(2)* **proof** (*induction*  $rs' \ s \ t \text{ rule: iptables-bigstep-induct}$ )  
**case**  $(\text{Call-return } m \ a \ \text{chaina} \ rs_1 \ m' \ rs_2)$   
**thus** *?case*  
**proof** (*cases*  $\text{chaina} = \text{chain}$ )  
**case** *True*  
**with** *Call-return* **show** *?thesis*  
**apply** *simp*  
**apply** (*cases*  $rs_1$ )  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**using** *assms* **apply** (*metis no-free-return-hlp*)  
**apply** (*rule-tac*  $rs_1 = \text{list and } m' = m' \text{ and } rs_2 = rs_2 \text{ in call-return}$ )  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**apply** (*erule seqE-cons* [**where**  $\Gamma = (\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain} \text{ then } \text{Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma$   
 $a)])$ )  
**apply** (*frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided* [**where**  $\Gamma = (\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain} \text{ then}$   
 $\text{Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma \ a)])$ )  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**done**  
**qed** (*auto intro: call-return*)  
**next**  
**case**  $(\text{Call-result } m \ a \ \text{chaina} \ rs \ t)$   
**thus** *?case*  
**proof** (*cases*  $\text{chaina} = \text{chain}$ )

```

    case True
    with Call-result show ?thesis
      apply(simp)
      apply(cases rsa)
      apply(simp)
      apply(rule-tac rs=rs in call-result)
      apply(simp-all)
      apply(erule-tac seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain then Some rs else}$ 
 $\Gamma \ b))]$ )
      apply(case-tac t)
      apply(simp)
      apply(frle iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain then}$ 
Some rs else  $\Gamma \ b))]$ )
      apply(simp)
      apply(simp)
      apply(subgoal-tac ti = Undecided)
      apply(simp)
      using assms(1)[simplified map-update-chain-if[symmetric]] iptables-bigstep-deterministic
    apply fast
      done
    qed (fastforce intro: call-result)
    qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma update-gamme-remove-Undecidedpart:
  assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  and  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs1 @ rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  using assms(2) proof (induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case Seq
    thus ?case
      by (auto simp: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided intro: seq)
  next
    case (Call-return m a chaina rs1 m' rs2)
    thus ?case
      apply(cases chaina = chain)
      apply(simp)
      apply(cases length rs1  $\leq$  length rs1)
      apply(simp add: List.append-eq-append-conv-if)
      apply(rule-tac rs1=drop (length rs1) rs1 and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in
call-return)
      apply(simp-all)[3]
      apply(subgoal-tac rs1 = (take (length rs1) rs1) @ drop (length rs1) rs1)
      prefer 2 apply (metis append-take-drop-id)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(subgoal-tac  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{drop (length rs1) rs1} @ \text{Rule m' Return \#}$ 
rs2),  $\gamma, p \vdash$ 
      ((take (length rs1) rs1) @ drop (length rs1) rs1, Undecided)  $\Rightarrow$  Undecided)
      prefer 2 apply(auto)[1]
      apply(erule-tac rs1=take (length rs1) rs1 and rs2=drop (length rs1) rs1 in

```

```

seqE)
  apply(simp)
  apply(frule-tac rs=drop (length rs1) rs1 in iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
  apply(simp)

  using assms apply (auto intro: call-result call-return)
  done
next
case (Call-result - - chain' rsa)
thus ?case
  apply(cases chain' = chain)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule call-result)
  apply(simp-all)[2]
  apply (metis iptables-bigstep-to-undecided seqE)
  apply (auto intro: call-result)

  done
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma update-Gamma-nocall:
  assumes  $\neg (\exists \text{chain. } a = \text{Call chain})$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof -
  {
    fix  $\Gamma \ \Gamma'$ 
    have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
      proof (induction  $[\text{Rule } m \ a] \ s \ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
      case Seq
        thus ?case by (metis (lifting, no-types) list-app-singletonE[where  $x =$ 
Rule m a] skipD)
      next
        case Call-return thus ?case using assms by metis
      next
        case Call-result thus ?case using assms by metis
      qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
    }
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
  qed

lemma update-Gamma-call:
  assumes  $\Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs$  and  $\Gamma' \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs'$ 
  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  and  $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})],$ 
 $s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof -
  {

```

```

fix  $\Gamma \Gamma' rs rs'$ 
assume assms:
   $\Gamma chain = Some\ rs \ \Gamma' chain = Some\ rs'$ 
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \ \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction  $[Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case Seq
      thus ?case by (metis (lifting, no-types) list-app-singletonE [where  $x = Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)$ ] skipD)
    next
      case Call-result
      thus ?case
        using assms by (metis call-result iptables-bigstep-deterministic)
      qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros assms)
  }
  note  $*$  = this
  show ?thesis
    using  $*[OF\ assms(1-4)]\ *[OF\ assms(2,1,4,3)]$  by blast
qed

```

**lemma** *update-Gamma-remove-call-undecided*:

```

assumes  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ (Call\ foo) \# rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
and matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$ 
shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
using assms
proof (induction  $rs\ Undecided\ Undecided$  arbitrary: rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (force simp: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided intro: seq')
  next
    case (Call-return  $m\ a\ chaina\ rs_1\ m'\ rs_2$ )
    thus ?case
      apply(cases  $chaina = chain$ )
      apply(cases  $rs_1$ )
      apply(force intro: call-return)
      apply(simp)
      apply(erule-tac  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto list\ @\ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs_2)$  in seqE-cons)
      apply(frule-tac  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto list\ @\ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs_2)$  in iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
      apply(auto intro: call-return)
      done
    next
      case (Call-result  $m\ a\ chaina\ rsa$ )
      thus ?case
        apply(cases  $chaina = chain$ )
        apply(simp)
        apply (metis call-result fun-upd-same iptables-bigstep-to-undecided seqE-cons)
        apply (auto intro: call-result)
        done

```

**qed** (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros*)

### 3.3 *process-ret* correctness

**lemma** *process-ret-add-match-dist1*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } (\text{process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

**apply**(*induction rs arbitrary: s t*)

**apply**(*simp add: add-match-def*)

**apply**(*rename-tac r rs s t*)

**apply**(*case-tac r*)

**apply**(*rename-tac m' a'*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*case-tac a'*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: add-match-split-fst*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**defer**

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*case-tac matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd m m')) p*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2)*)

*matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-match-simp*)

**by** (*metis add-match-distrib matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp*)

  

**lemma** *process-ret-add-match-dist2*:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } (\text{process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

**apply**(*induction rs arbitrary: s t*)

**apply**(*simp add: add-match-def*)

**apply**(*rename-tac r rs s t*)

**apply**(*case-tac r*)

**apply**(*rename-tac m' a'*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*case-tac a'*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: add-match-split-fst*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

**apply**(*erule seqE-cons*)

**using** *seq'* **apply**(*fastforce*)

```

apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
defer
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd m m')) p)
apply(simp)
apply (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2)
matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-match-simp)
by (metis add-match-distrib matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp)

```

**lemma** process-ret-add-match-dist:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } (\text{process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**by** (metis process-ret-add-match-dist1 process-ret-add-match-dist2)

**lemma** process-ret-Undecided-sound:

```

assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ } (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
proof (cases matches  $\gamma$  m p)
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis nomatch)
next
  case True
  note matches = this
  show ?thesis
  using assms proof (induction rs)
    case Nil
    from call-result[OF matches, where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto [])$ ]
    have  $(\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto [])) \text{chain} = \text{Some } [] \implies \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto []), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], \text{Unde-}$ 
cided  $\rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto []), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ } (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
    by simp
    thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: skip)
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
  obtain m' a' where  $r: r = \text{Rule } m' \text{ } a'$  by (cases r) blast

with Cons.premis have prems:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \text{ } a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret}$ 

```

$(\text{add-match } m \text{ (Rule } m' a' \# rs)), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** *fast*  
**hence** *prems-simplified*:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (Rule } m' a' \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**using matches** **by** (*metis matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist*)  
  
**have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ (Call chain)}], \text{Undecided} \rangle$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**proof** (*cases a' = Return*)  
**case** *True*  
**note**  $a' = \text{this}$   
**have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \text{Return} \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ (Call chain)}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**proof** (*cases matches  $\gamma$  m' p*)  
**case** *True*  
**with matches** **show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*fastforce intro: call-return skip*)  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**note**  $\text{matches}' = \text{this}$   
**hence**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (Rule } m' a' \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** (*metis prems-simplified update-Gamma-nomatch*)  
**with a' have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match (MatchNot } m'), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** *simp*  
**with matches matches'** **have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** (*simp add: matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp*)  
**with matches' Cons.IH** **show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*fastforce simp: update-Gamma-nomatch process-ret-add-match-dist*)  
**qed**  
**with a' show** *?thesis*  
**by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**note**  $a' = \text{this}$   
**with prems-simplified** **have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m' a' \# \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** (*simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NegReturn*)  
**hence** *step*:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**and** *IH-pre*:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** (*metis seqE-cons iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*) +  
  
**from** *step* **have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{Undecided}$   
**proof** (*cases rule: Rule-UndecidedE*)



```

      case log thus ?thesis
    using IH-pre by (metis empty iptables-bigstep.log update-Gamma-nochange1
update-Gamma-nomatch)
  next
    case call thus ?thesis
    using IH-pre by (metis update-Gamma-remove-call-undecided)
  next
    case nomatch thus ?thesis
    using IH-pre by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
  qed

  hence  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \ rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  by (metis matches matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
  with Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})],$ 
 $\text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  by fast

  from step show ?thesis
  proof (cases rule: Rule-UndecidedE)
    case log thus ?thesis using IH
    by (simp add: update-Gamma-log-empty)
  next
    case nomatch
    thus ?thesis
    using IH by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
  next
    case (call c)
    let ? $\Gamma'$  =  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \ a' \ \# \ rs)$ 
    from IH-pre show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: iptables-bigstep-process-ret-cases3)
      case noreturn
      with call have ? $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call } c) \ \# \ rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{Undecided}$ 
      by (metis step seq-cons)
      from call have ? $\Gamma'$  chain =  $\text{Some } (\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call } c) \ \# \ rs)$ 
      by simp
      from matches show ?thesis
      by (rule call-result) fact+
    next
      case (return rs1 rs2 new-m')
      with call have ? $\Gamma'$  chain =  $\text{Some } ((\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call } c) \ \# \ rs_1) \ @$ 
 $[\text{Rule } \text{new-}m' \ \text{Return}] \ @ \ rs_2)$ 
      by simp
      from call return step have ? $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call } c) \ \# \ rs_1,$ 
 $\text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
      using IH-pre by (auto intro: seq-cons)
      from matches show ?thesis
      by (rule call-return) fact+
    
```

qed  
 qed  
 qed  
 thus ?case  
 by (metis r)  
 qed  
 qed

**lemma** *process-ret-Decision-sound*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \ rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**shows**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**proof** (cases matches  $\gamma \ m \ p$ )

**case** *False*

**thus** ?thesis **by** (metis assms state.distinct(1) not-matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist1 skipD)

**next**

**case** *True*

**note** matches = this

**show** ?thesis

**using** assms **proof** (induction rs)

**case** *Nil*

**hence** *False* **by** (metis add-match-split append-self-conv state.distinct(1) process-ret.simps(1) skipD)

**thus** ?case **by** simp

**next**

**case** (Cons r rs)

**obtain**  $m' \ a'$  **where**  $r: r = \text{Rule } m' \ a'$  **by** (cases r) blast

**with** Cons.prem have prem:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \ a' \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \ (\text{Rule } m' \ a' \ \# \ rs)), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**by** fast

**hence** prem-simplified:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \ a' \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{Rule } m' \ a' \ \# \ rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**using** matches **by** (metis matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)

**have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \ a' \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**proof** (cases  $a' = \text{Return}$ )

**case** *True*

**note**  $a' = \text{this}$

**have**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return} \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

**proof** (cases matches  $\gamma \ m' \ p$ )

**case** *True*

**with** matches prem-simplified  $a'$  **show** ?thesis

**by** (auto simp: not-matches-add-match-simp dest: skipD)

**next**

**case** *False*

```

      note matches' = this
      with prems-simplified have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (Rule$ 
 $m' a' \# rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision X$ 
      by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
      with a' matches matches' have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match m$ 
 $(process-ret rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision X$ 
      by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)
      with matches matches' Cons.IH show ?thesis
      by (fastforce simp: update-Gamma-nomatch process-ret-add-match-dist
      matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)
      qed
      with a' show ?thesis
      by simp
    next
      case False
      with prems-simplified obtain ti
      where step:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule m' a'], Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow ti$ 
      and IH-pre:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $Decision X$ 
      by (auto simp: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn elim: seqE-cons)

      hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow Decision X$ 
      by (metis iptables-bigstep-process-ret-DecisionD)

      thus ?thesis
      using matches step by (force intro: call-result seq'-cons)
      qed
      thus ?case
      by (metis r)
    qed
  qed

```

**lemma** *process-ret-result-empty*:  $\square = process-ret rs \implies \forall r \in set rs. get-action r = Return$

```

proof (induction rs)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac r)
  apply (rename-tac m a)
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply (simp-all add: add-match-def)
  done
qed simp

```

**lemma** *all-return-subchain*:

```

  assumes a1:  $\Gamma chain = Some rs$ 
  and     a2: matches  $\gamma m p$ 

```

**and**  $a3: \forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}$   
**shows**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**proof** (cases  $\exists r \in \text{set } rs. \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p$ )  
**case** *True*  
**hence**  $(\exists rs1 \ r \ rs2. rs = rs1 \ @ \ r \ \# \ rs2 \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p \wedge (\forall r' \in \text{set } rs1. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r') \ p))$   
**by** (subst split-list-first-prop-iff[symmetric])  
**then obtain**  $rs1 \ r \ rs2$   
**where**  $*: rs = rs1 \ @ \ r \ \# \ rs2 \text{ matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p \ \forall r' \in \text{set } rs1. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r') \ p$   
**by** *auto*  
  
**with**  $a3$  **obtain**  $m'$  **where**  $r = \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return}$   
**by** (cases  $r$ ) *simp*  
**with**  $* \text{ assms}$  **show** *?thesis*  
**by** (fastforce intro: call-return nomatch')  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**hence**  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$   
**by** (blast intro: nomatch')  
**with**  $a1 \ a2$  **show** *?thesis*  
**by** (metis call-result)  
**qed**

**lemma** *process-ret-sound'*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \ rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**shows**  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**using** *assms* **by** (metis state.exhaust process-ret-Undecided-sound process-ret-Decision-sound)

**lemma** *get-action-case-simp*:  $\text{get-action } (\text{case } r \text{ of Rule } m' \ x \Rightarrow \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m \ m') \ x) = \text{get-action } r$   
**by** (metis rule.case-eq-if rule.sel(2))

We call a ruleset wf iff all Calls are into actually existing chains.

**definition** *wf-chain* ::  $'a \text{ ruleset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rule list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs \equiv (\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \forall \text{chain}. \text{get-action } r = \text{Call chain} \longrightarrow \Gamma \text{ chain} \neq \text{None})$

**lemma** *wf-chain-append*:  $\text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ (rs1 @ rs2) \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs1 \wedge \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs2$

**by** (simp add: wf-chain-def, blast)

**lemma** *wf-chain-process-ret*:  $\text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs \Longrightarrow \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ (\text{process-ret } rs)$

**apply** (induction  $rs$ )

**apply** (simp add: wf-chain-def add-match-def)

**apply** (case-tac  $a$ )

**apply** (case-tac  $x2 \neq \text{Return}$ )

**apply** (simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn)

**using** *wf-chain-append* **apply** (metis Cons-eq-appendI append-Nil)

**apply** (simp add: process-ret-split-fst-Return)

```

  apply(simp add: wf-chain-def add-match-def get-action-case-simp)
done
lemma wf-chain-add-match: wf-chain  $\Gamma$   $rs \implies$  wf-chain  $\Gamma$  (add-match  $m$   $rs$ )
  by(induction  $rs$ ) (simp-all add: wf-chain-def add-match-def get-action-case-simp)

```

### 3.4 Soundness

```

theorem unfolding-sound: wf-chain  $\Gamma$   $rs \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof (induction  $rs$  arbitrary:  $s$   $t$ )
  case (Cons  $r$   $rs$ )
  thus ?case
  apply -
  apply(subst(asm) process-call-split-fst)
  apply(erule seqE)

  unfolding wf-chain-def
  apply(case-tac  $r$ , rename-tac  $m$   $a$ )
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
  apply(simp-all add: seq'-cons)

  apply(case-tac  $s$ )
  defer
  apply (metis decision decisionD)
  apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ )
  defer
  apply(simp add: not-matches-add-match-simp)
  apply(drule skipD, simp)
  apply (metis nomatch seq-cons)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp add: matches-add-match-simp)
  apply(rule-tac  $t=ti$  in seq-cons)
  apply(simp-all)

  using process-ret-sound'
  by (metis fun-upd-triv matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
qed simp

```

```

corollary unfolding-sound-complete: wf-chain  $\Gamma$   $rs \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
by (metis unfolding-complete unfolding-sound)

```

```

corollary unfolding-n-sound-complete:  $\forall rsg \in \text{ran } \Gamma \cup \{rs\}. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ } rsg \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle ((\text{process-call } \Gamma) \hat{\wedge} n) \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof(induction  $n$  arbitrary:  $rs$ )
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc  $n$ )
  from Suc have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{process-call } \Gamma \hat{\wedge} n) \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow$ 

```

```

t by blast
  from Suc.premis have  $\forall a \in \text{ran } \Gamma \cup \{\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs\}. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ } a$ 
  proof(induction rs)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case(Cons r rs)
      from Cons.premis have  $\forall a \in \text{ran } \Gamma. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ } a$  by blast
      from Cons.premis have wf-chain  $\Gamma \text{ } [r]$ 
      apply(simp)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      done
      from Cons.premis have wf-chain  $\Gamma \text{ } rs$ 
      apply(simp)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      done
      from this Cons.premis Cons.IH have wf-chain  $\Gamma \text{ } (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs)$  by
blast
      from this  $\langle \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ } [r] \rangle$  have wf-chain  $\Gamma \text{ } (r \# (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs))$ 
by(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      from this Cons.premis have wf-chain  $\Gamma \text{ } (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } (r \# rs))$ 
      apply(cases r)
      apply(rename-tac m a, clarify)
      apply(case-tac a)
      apply(simp-all)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-append)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp add:  $\langle \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ } (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs) \rangle$ )
      apply(rule wf-chain-add-match)
      apply(rule wf-chain-process-ret)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      apply(clarify)
      by (metis ranI option.sel)
      from this  $\langle \forall a \in \text{ran } \Gamma. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ } a \rangle$  show ?case by simp
    qed
  from this Suc.IH[of  $((\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs))$ ] have
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } ^n) (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call}$ 
 $\Gamma \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    by simp
  from this show ?case
    by (simp, metis Suc.premis Un-commute funpow-swap1 insertI1 insert-is-Un
unfolding-sound-complete)
  qed

```

```

loops in the linux kernel:
http://lxr.linux.no/linux+v3.2/net/ipv4/netfilter/ip_tables.c#L464
/* Figures out from what hook each rule can be called: returns 0 if
   there are loops. Puts hook bitmask in comefrom. */
static int mark_source_chains(const struct xt_table_info *newinfo,

```

```

        unsigned int valid_hooks, void *entry0)

discussion: http://marc.info/?l=netfilter-devel&m=105190848425334&w=2

end
theory Datatype-Selectors
imports Main
begin

Running Example: datatype-new iptrule-match = is-Src: Src (src-range:
ipt-ipv4range)

A discriminator disc tells whether a value is of a certain constructor. Ex-
ample: is-Src

A selector sel select the inner value. Example: src-range

A constructor C constructs a value Example: Src

The are well-formed if the belong together.

fun wf-disc-sel :: (('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b))  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C = ( $\forall a. disc\ a \longrightarrow C\ (sel\ a) = a$ )
declare wf-disc-sel.simps[simp del]

end
theory IPspace-Syntax
imports Main String ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr ../Datatype-Selectors
begin

```

## 4 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher

Primitive Match Conditions which only support IPv4 addresses and layer 4 protocols. Used to partition the IPv4 address space.

```

datatype ipt-ipv4range = Ip4Addr nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat
    | Ip4AddrNetmask nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat nat — addr/xx

```

```

datatype ipt-protocol = ProtAll | ProtTCP | ProtUDP

```

```

datatype-new iptrule-match =
    is-Src: Src (src-range: ipt-ipv4range)
  | is-Dst: Dst (dst-range: ipt-ipv4range)
  | is-Prot: Prot (prot-sel: ipt-protocol)

  | is-Extra: Extra (extra-sel: string)

```

```

lemma wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match[simp]:
  wf-disc-sel (is-Src, src-range) Src
  wf-disc-sel (is-Dst, dst-range) Dst
  wf-disc-sel (is-Prot, prot-sel) Prot
  wf-disc-sel (is-Extra, extra-sel) Extra
by(simp-all add: wf-disc-sel.simps)

```

#### 4.1 Example Packet

```

datatype protPacket = ProtTCP | ProtUDP
record packet = src-ip :: ipv4addr
                dst-ip :: ipv4addr
                prot :: protPacket

```

```

hide-const (open) ProtTCP ProtUDP

```

```

fun ipv4s-to-set :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipv4addr set where
  ipv4s-to-set (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) = ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
    base) m |
  ipv4s-to-set (Ip4Addr ip) = { ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip }

```

*ipv4s-to-set* cannot represent an empty set.

```

lemma ipv4s-to-set-nonempty: ipv4s-to-set ip  $\neq$  {}
apply(cases ip)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
apply(simp add: bitmagic-zeroLast-leq-or1Last)
done

```

maybe this is necessary as code equation?

```

lemma element-ipv4s-to-set: addr  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set X = (
  case X of (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len)  $\Rightarrow$  ((ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal pre) AND
    ((mask len)  $<<$  (32 - len)))  $\leq$  addr  $\wedge$  addr  $\leq$  (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal pre)
    OR (mask (32 - len))
    | Ip4Addr ip  $\Rightarrow$  (addr = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)) )
apply(cases X)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
done

```

— Misc



```

lemma ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (0, 0, 0, 0)) 33 =
{0}
apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def)
done

end
theory Example-Semantics
imports ../Call-Return-Unfolding ../Primitive-Matchers/IPSpace-Syntax
begin

```

## 5 Examples Big Step Semantics

we use a primitive matcher which always applies.

```

fun applies-Yes :: ('a, 'p) matcher where
applies-Yes m p = True
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes MatchAny p by simp
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes (Match e) p by simp

definition m=Match (Src (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))
definition p=(src-ip=0, dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes m p by (simp add: m-def)

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [(Rule m Log), (Rule m Accept), (Rule m Drop)]], applies-Yes, p  $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$ [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
apply(rule Semantics.decision)
done

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [(Rule m Log), (Rule m (Call "foo")), (Rule m Ac-
cept)]],
  "foo"  $\mapsto$  [(Rule m Log), (Rule m Return)], applies-Yes, p  $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$ [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(rule Semantics.call-return[where rs1=[Rule m Log] and rs2=[]])
apply(simp)+
apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)

```

```

apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
done

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [Rule m (Call "foo"), Rule m Drop], "foo"  $\mapsto$  [], applies-Yes,  $p \vdash$ 
   $\langle$ [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision
FinalDeny)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
apply(rule Semantics.call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.skip)
apply(auto intro: deny)
done

lemma (( $\lambda$ rs. process-call ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [Rule m (Call "foo"), Rule m Drop],
  "foo"  $\mapsto$  [] rs)  $^{\wedge} 2$ )
  [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]
  = [Rule (MatchAnd MatchAny m) Drop] by eval

hide-const m p

We tune the primitive matcher to support everything we need in the ex-
ample. Note that the undefined cases cannot be handled with these exact
semantics!

fun applies-exampleMatchExact :: (iprule-match, packet) matcher where
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Src (Ip4Addr addr)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  src-ip p = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
addr) |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Dst (Ip4Addr addr)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  dst-ip p = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
addr) |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ProtAll) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  prot p = prot-
Packet.ProtTCP |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  prot p = prot-
Packet.ProtUDP

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [ Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0))))
  (Match (Dst (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))) Reject,
    Rule (Match (Dst (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))) Log,
    Rule (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) Accept,
    Rule (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) Drop]
  ], applies-exampleMatchExact, (src-ip=(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (1,2,3,4)),
  dst-ip=(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (0,0,0,0)), prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)] $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$ [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision
FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)

```

```

  apply(auto intro: Semantics.nomatch simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps
    ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
  apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.log simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
  apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.decision)
done

end
theory Ternary
imports Main
begin

```

## 6 Ternary Logic

Kleene logic

```

datatype ternaryvalue = TernaryTrue | TernaryFalse | TernaryUnknown
datatype ternaryformula = TernaryAnd ternaryformula ternaryformula | TernaryOr
ternaryformula ternaryformula |
TernaryNot ternaryformula | TernaryValue ternaryvalue

```

```

fun ternary-to-bool :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  bool option where

```

```

  ternary-to-bool TernaryTrue = Some True |
  ternary-to-bool TernaryFalse = Some False |
  ternary-to-bool TernaryUnknown = None

```

```

fun bool-to-ternary :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where

```

```

  bool-to-ternary True = TernaryTrue |
  bool-to-ternary False = TernaryFalse

```

```

lemma the  $\circ$  ternary-to-bool  $\circ$  bool-to-ternary = id

```

```

  by(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, case-tac x, simp-all)

```

```

lemma ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary: ternary-to-bool (bool-to-ternary X) = Some
X

```

```

  by(cases X, simp-all)

```

```

lemma ternary-to-bool-None: ternary-to-bool t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  t = TernaryUnknown

```

```

  by(cases t, simp-all)

```

```

lemma ternary-to-bool-SomeE: ternary-to-bool t = Some X  $\Longrightarrow$ 

```

```

(t = TernaryTrue  $\Longrightarrow$  X = True  $\Longrightarrow$  P)  $\Longrightarrow$  (t = TernaryFalse  $\Longrightarrow$  X = False
 $\Longrightarrow$  P)  $\Longrightarrow$  P

```

```

  by (metis option.distinct(1) option.inject ternary-to-bool.elims)

```

```

lemma ternary-to-bool-Some: ternary-to-bool t = Some X  $\longleftrightarrow$  (t = TernaryTrue
 $\wedge$  X = True)  $\vee$  (t = TernaryFalse  $\wedge$  X = False)

```

```

  by(cases t, simp-all)

```

```

lemma bool-to-ternary-Unknown: bool-to-ternary t = TernaryUnknown  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

```

```

  by(cases t, simp-all)

```

```

fun eval-ternary-And :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

```

**lemma** eval-ternary-And-comm: eval-ternary-And t1 t2 = eval-ternary-And t2 t1  
**by** (cases t1 t2 rule: ternaryvalue.exhaust[case-product ternaryvalue.exhaust]) auto

```

fun eval-ternary-Or :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-Or TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

```

```

fun eval-ternary-Not :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  eval-ternary-Not TernaryTrue = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-Not TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Not TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

```

Just to hint that we did not make a typo, we add the truth table for the implication and show that it is compliant with  $a \longrightarrow b = (\neg a \vee b)$

```

fun eval-ternary-Imp :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown
lemma eval-ternary-Imp a b = eval-ternary-Or (eval-ternary-Not a) b
apply(case-tac a)
apply(case-tac [!] b)
apply(simp-all)

```

**done**

**lemma** *eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD*: *eval-ternary-Not t = TernaryUnknown  $\implies$*   
*t = TernaryUnknown*  
**by** (*cases t*) *auto*

**lemma** *eval-ternary-DeMorgan*: *eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-And a b) = eval-ternary-Or*  
*(eval-ternary-Not a) (eval-ternary-Not b)*  
*eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-Or a b) = eval-ternary-And*  
*(eval-ternary-Not a) (eval-ternary-Not b)*  
**by** (*cases a b rule: ternaryvalue.exhaust[case-product ternaryvalue.exhaust],auto*)**+**

**lemma** *eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*: *eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-Not a) = a*  
**by** (*cases a*) *simp-all*

**fun** *ternary-ternary-eval* :: *ternaryformula  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue* **where**  
*ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t1 t2) = eval-ternary-And (ternary-ternary-eval*  
*t1) (ternary-ternary-eval t2) |*  
*ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryOr t1 t2) = eval-ternary-Or (ternary-ternary-eval*  
*t1) (ternary-ternary-eval t2) |*  
*ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot t) = eval-ternary-Not (ternary-ternary-eval t)*  
*|*  
*ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryValue t) = t*

**lemma** *ternary-ternary-eval-DeMorgan*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot (TernaryAnd*  
*a b)) =*  
*ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryOr (TernaryNot a) (TernaryNot b))*  
**by** (*simp add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan*)

**lemma** *ternary-ternary-eval-idempotence-Not*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot*  
*(TernaryNot a)) = ternary-ternary-eval a*  
**by** (*simp add: eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)

**lemma** *ternary-ternary-eval-TernaryAnd-comm*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd*  
*t1 t2) = ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t2 t1)*  
**by** (*simp add: eval-ternary-And-comm*)

**lemma** *eval-ternary-Not (ternary-ternary-eval t) = (ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot*  
*t))* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *eval-ternary-simps-simple*:  
*eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue x = x*  
*eval-ternary-And x TernaryTrue = x*  
*eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse x = TernaryFalse*  
*eval-ternary-And x TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse*  
**by**(*case-tac [!]* *x*)(*simp-all*)

**lemma** *eval-ternary-simps-2*: *eval-ternary-And* (*bool-to-ternary* *P*) *T* = *Ternary-True*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *P*  $\wedge$  *T* = *TernaryTrue*  
*eval-ternary-And* *T* (*bool-to-ternary* *P*) = *TernaryTrue*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *P*  $\wedge$  *T* = *TernaryTrue*  
**apply**(*case-tac* [!] *P*)  
**apply**(*simp-all* *add*: *eval-ternary-simps-simple*)  
**done**

**lemma** *eval-ternary-simps-3*: *eval-ternary-And* (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x*) *T* = *Ternary-True*  $\longleftrightarrow$  (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x* = *TernaryTrue*)  $\wedge$  (*T* = *TernaryTrue*)  
*eval-ternary-And* *T* (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x*) = *TernaryTrue*  $\longleftrightarrow$  (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x* = *TernaryTrue*)  $\wedge$  (*T* = *TernaryTrue*)  
**apply**(*case-tac* [!] *T*)  
**apply**(*simp-all* *add*: *eval-ternary-simps-simple*)  
**apply**(*case-tac* [!] (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x*))  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**done**

**lemmas** *eval-ternary-simps* = *eval-ternary-simps-simple* *eval-ternary-simps-2* *eval-ternary-simps-3*

**definition** *ternary-eval* :: *ternaryformula*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool option* **where**  
*ternary-eval* *t* = *ternary-to-bool* (*ternary-ternary-eval* *t*)

## 6.1 Negation Normal Form

A formula is in Negation Normal Form (NNF) if negations only occur at the atoms (not before and/or)

**inductive** *NegationNormalForm* :: *ternaryformula*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*NegationNormalForm* (*TernaryValue* *v*) |  
*NegationNormalForm* (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryValue* *v*)) |  
*NegationNormalForm*  $\varphi \Longrightarrow$  *NegationNormalForm*  $\psi \Longrightarrow$  *NegationNormalForm* (*TernaryAnd*  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) |  
*NegationNormalForm*  $\varphi \Longrightarrow$  *NegationNormalForm*  $\psi \Longrightarrow$  *NegationNormalForm* (*TernaryOr*  $\varphi$   $\psi$ )

Convert a *ternaryformula* to a *ternaryformula* in NNF.

**fun** *NNF-ternary* :: *ternaryformula*  $\Rightarrow$  *ternaryformula* **where**  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryValue* *v*) = *TernaryValue* *v* |  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryAnd* *t1* *t2*) = *TernaryAnd* (*NNF-ternary* *t1*) (*NNF-ternary* *t2*) |  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryOr* *t1* *t2*) = *TernaryOr* (*NNF-ternary* *t1*) (*NNF-ternary* *t2*) |  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryNot* *t*)) = *NNF-ternary* *t* |  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryValue* *v*)) = *TernaryValue* (*eval-ternary-Not* *v*) |  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryAnd* *t1* *t2*)) = *TernaryOr* (*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* *t1*)) (*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* *t2*)) |  
*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryOr* *t1* *t2*)) = *TernaryAnd* (*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* *t1*)) (*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* *t2*))

```

lemma NNF-ternary-correct: ternary-ternary-eval (NNF-ternary t) = ternary-ternary-eval
t
  apply(induction t rule: NNF-ternary.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
  done

lemma NNF-ternary-NegationNormalForm: NegationNormalForm (NNF-ternary
t)
  apply(induction t rule: NNF-ternary.induct)
    apply(auto simp add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan eval-ternary-idempotence-Not
intro: NegationNormalForm.intros)
  done

end
theory Matching-Ternary
imports Ternary Firewall-Common
begin

```

## 7 Packet Matching in Ternary Logic

The matcher for a primitive match expression  $'a$

**type-synonym**  $('a, 'packet) \text{ exact-match-tac} = 'a \Rightarrow 'packet \Rightarrow \text{ternaryvalue}$

If the matching is *TernaryUnknown*, it can be decided by the action whether this rule matches. E.g. in doubt, we allow packets

**type-synonym**  $'packet \text{ unknown-match-tac} = \text{action} \Rightarrow 'packet \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**type-synonym**  $('a, 'packet) \text{ match-tac} = (('a, 'packet) \text{ exact-match-tac} \times 'packet \text{ unknown-match-tac})$

For a given packet, map a firewall  $'a \text{ match-expr}$  to a *ternaryformula*. Evaluating the formula gives whether the packet/rule matches (or unknown).

```

fun map-match-tac ::  $('a, 'packet) \text{ exact-match-tac} \Rightarrow 'packet \Rightarrow 'a \text{ match-expr} \Rightarrow$ 
ternaryformula where
  map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$  (MatchAnd  $m1$   $m2$ ) = TernaryAnd (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m1$ )
  (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m2$ ) |
  map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$  (MatchNot  $m$ ) = TernaryNot (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m$ ) |
  map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$  (Match  $m$ ) = TernaryValue ( $\beta$   $m$   $p$ ) |
  map-match-tac - MatchAny = TernaryValue TernaryTrue

```

the *ternaryformulas* we construct never have Or expressions.

```

fun ternary-has-or :: ternaryformula  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  ternary-has-or (TernaryOr -)  $\longleftrightarrow \text{True}$  |

```

$\text{ternary-has-or } (\text{TernaryAnd } t1 \ t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{ternary-has-or } t1 \ \vee \ \text{ternary-has-or } t2 \mid$   
 $\text{ternary-has-or } (\text{TernaryNot } t) \longleftrightarrow \text{ternary-has-or } t \mid$   
 $\text{ternary-has-or } (\text{TernaryValue } -) \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$   
**lemma** *map-match-tac--does-not-use-TernaryOr*:  $\neg (\text{ternary-has-or } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m))$   
**by** (*induction m, simp-all*)

**fun** *ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac* :: '*packet unknown-match-tac*  $\Rightarrow$  *action*  $\Rightarrow$  '*packet*  $\Rightarrow$  *ternaryvalue*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
 $\text{ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac} \ - \ - \ - \ \text{TernaryTrue} = \text{True} \mid$   
 $\text{ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac} \ - \ - \ - \ \text{TernaryFalse} = \text{False} \mid$   
 $\text{ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac} \ \alpha \ a \ p \ \text{TernaryUnknown} = \alpha \ a \ p$

Matching a packet and a rule:

1. Translate '*a match-expr* to ternary formula
2. Evaluate this formula
3. If *TernaryTrue*/*TernaryFalse*, return this value
4. If *TernaryUnknown*, apply the '*a unknown-match-tac* to get a Boolean result

**definition** *matches* :: ('*a*, '*packet*) *match-tac*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a match-expr*  $\Rightarrow$  *action*  $\Rightarrow$  '*packet*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \equiv \text{ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac } (\text{snd } \gamma) \ a \ p \ (\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } (\text{fst } \gamma) \ p \ m))$

Alternative matches definitions, some more or less convenient

**lemma** *matches-tuple*:  $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p = \text{ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac } \alpha \ a \ p \ (\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m))$   
**unfolding** *matches-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *matches-case*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\text{case ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } (\text{fst } \gamma) \ p \ m) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow (\text{snd } \gamma) \ a \ p \mid \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b)$   
**unfolding** *matches-def ternary-eval-def*  
**by** (*cases (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac (fst  $\gamma$ ) p m))) auto*

**lemma** *matches-case-tuple*:  $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\text{case ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \alpha \ a \ p \mid \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b)$   
**by** (*auto simp: matches-case split: option.splits*)

**lemma** *matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*:  $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\text{case ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) \text{ of } \text{TernaryUnknown} \Rightarrow \alpha \ a \ p \mid \text{TernaryTrue} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{TernaryFalse} \Rightarrow \text{False})$



**by**(*simp split: option.split ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case ternary-to-bool-None ternary-eval-def*)

**lemma** *matches-casesE*:

*matches*  $(\beta, \alpha)$  *m a p*  $\implies$   
 $(\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p m}) = \text{TernaryUnknown} \implies \alpha \text{ a p}$   
 $\implies P) \implies$   
 $(\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p m}) = \text{TernaryTrue} \implies P)$   
 $\implies P$

**apply**(*induction m*)

**apply**(*auto split: option.split-asm simp: matches-case-tuple ternary-eval-def ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary elim: ternary-to-bool.elims*)

**done**

Example:  $\neg \text{Unknown}$  is as good as  $\text{Unknown}$

**lemma**  $\llbracket \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p expr}) = \text{TernaryUnknown} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \text{ expr a p} \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot expr}) \text{ a p}$   
**by**(*simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

**lemma** *bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*:

*matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchAnd* *m1 m2*) *a p*  $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m1 a p} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m2 a p}$   
*matches*  $\gamma$  *MatchAny* *a p*  
*matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchNot MatchAny*) *a p*  $\longleftrightarrow \text{False}$   
*matches*  $(\beta, \alpha)$  (*Match expr*) *a p* = (case *ternary-to-bool* ( $\beta \text{ expr p}$ ) of *Some r*  
 $\Rightarrow r \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (\alpha \text{ a p})$ )  
*matches*  $(\beta, \alpha)$  (*Match expr*) *a p* = (case ( $\beta \text{ expr p}$ ) of *TernaryTrue*  $\Rightarrow \text{True} \mid$   
*TernaryFalse*  $\Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{TernaryUnknown} \Rightarrow (\alpha \text{ a p})$ )  
*matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchNot (MatchNot m)*) *a p*  $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m a p}$   
**apply**(*case-tac [!]  $\gamma$* )  
**by** (*simp-all split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

**lemma** *matches-DeMorgan*: *matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)*) *a p*  $\longleftrightarrow$   
(*matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchNot m1*) *a p*)  $\vee$  (*matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchNot m2*) *a p*)  
**by** (*cases  $\gamma$* ) (*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple eval-ternary-DeMorgan*)

## 7.1 Ternary Matcher Algebra

**lemma** *matches-and-comm*: *matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchAnd m m'*) *a p*  $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma$   
(*MatchAnd m' m*) *a p*  
**apply**(*cases  $\gamma$ , rename-tac  $\beta \alpha$ , clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)  
**by** (*metis eval-ternary-And-comm ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(3) ternaryvalue.distinct(5)*)

**lemma** *matches-not-idem*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot (MatchNot } m)) \text{ } a \text{ } p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
**by** (*metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*(6))

**lemma** (*TernaryNot* (*map-match-tac*  $\beta$   $p$  ( $m$ ))) = (*map-match-tac*  $\beta$   $p$  (*MatchNot*  $m$ ))  
**by** (*metis map-match-tac.simps*(2))

**lemma** *matches-simp1*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \text{ } m') \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m' \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
**apply**(*cases*  $\gamma$ , *rename-tac*  $\beta$   $\alpha$ , *clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)  
**done**

**lemma** *matches-simp11*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m' \text{ } m) \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m' \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
**by**(*simp-all add: matches-and-comm matches-simp1*)

**lemma** *matches-simp2*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \text{ } m') \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
 $\implies \text{False}$   
**by** (*metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*(1))  
**lemma** *matches-simp22*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \text{ } m') \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m' \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
 $\implies \text{False}$   
**by** (*metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*(1))

**lemma** *matches-simp3*:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m) \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies$   
 $(\text{snd } \gamma) \text{ } a \text{ } p$   
**apply**(*cases*  $\gamma$ , *rename-tac*  $\beta$   $\alpha$ , *clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)  
**done**  
**lemma**  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m) \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies (\text{ternary-eval}$   
 $(\text{map-match-tac (fst } \gamma) \text{ } p \text{ } m)) = \text{None}$   
**apply**(*cases*  $\gamma$ , *rename-tac*  $\beta$   $\alpha$ , *clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*  
*ternary-eval-def*)  
**done**

**lemmas** *matches-simps* = *matches-simp1 matches-simp11*

**lemmas** *matches-dest* = *matches-simp2 matches-simp22*

**lemma** *matches-iff-apply-f-generic*:  $\text{ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac } \beta \text{ } p \text{ (f$   
 $(\beta, \alpha) \text{ } a \text{ } m)) = \text{ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac } \beta \text{ } p \text{ } m) \implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \text{ (f$

$(\beta, \alpha) \ a \ m) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p$   
**apply**(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)  
**done**

**lemma** matches-iff-apply-f: ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta \ p \ (f \ m)$ ) =  
ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta \ p \ m$ )  $\implies$  matches  $(\beta, \alpha) \ (f \ m) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow$   
matches  $(\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p$   
**apply**(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)  
**done**

Optimize away MatchAny matches

**fun** opt-MatchAny-match-expr :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr **where**  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr MatchAny = MatchAny |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (Match a) = (Match a) |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = (opt-MatchAny-match-expr  
m) |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchNot m) = MatchNot (opt-MatchAny-match-expr  
m) |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd MatchAny MatchAny) = MatchAny |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd MatchAny m) = m |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd m MatchAny) = m |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd m (MatchNot MatchAny)) = (MatchNot  
MatchAny) |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny) m) = (MatchNot  
MatchAny) |  
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (opt-MatchAny-match-expr  
m1) (opt-MatchAny-match-expr m2)

need to apply multiple times until it stabelizes

**lemma** opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct: matches  $\gamma \ (opt-MatchAny-match-expr$   
m) = matches  $\gamma \ m$   
**apply**(case-tac  $\gamma$ , rename-tac  $\beta \ \alpha$ , clarify)  
**apply**(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, rename-tac a p)  
**apply**(rule-tac f=opt-MatchAny-match-expr **in** matches-iff-apply-f)  
**apply**(simp)  
**apply**(induction m rule: opt-MatchAny-match-expr.induct)  
**apply**(simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)  
**done**

An 'p unknown-match-tac is wf if it behaves equal for Reject and Drop

**definition** wf-unknown-match-tac :: 'p unknown-match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \equiv (\alpha \ \text{Drop} = \alpha \ \text{Reject})$

**lemma** wf-unknown-match-tacD-False1: wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies \neg \text{matches}$   
 $(\beta, \alpha) \ m \ \text{Reject} \ p \implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ \text{Drop} \ p \implies \text{False}$   
**apply**(simp add: wf-unknown-match-tac-def)  
**apply**(simp add: matches-def)  
**apply**(case-tac (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta \ p \ m$ )))

```

    apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma wf-unknown-match-tacD-False2: wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies \text{matches } (\beta,$ 
 $\alpha) m \text{ Reject } p \implies \neg \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) m \text{ Drop } p \implies \text{False}$ 
  apply(simp add: wf-unknown-match-tac-def)
  apply(simp add: matches-def)
  apply(case-tac (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m)))
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma bool-to-ternary-simp1: bool-to-ternary  $X = \text{TernaryTrue} \longleftrightarrow X$ 
  by (metis bool-to-ternary.elims ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp2: bool-to-ternary  $Y = \text{TernaryFalse} \longleftrightarrow \neg Y$ 
  by (metis bool-to-ternary.elims ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp3: eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary  $X$ ) = Ternary-
  True  $\longleftrightarrow \neg X$ 
  by (metis (full-types) bool-to-ternary-simp2 eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp4: eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary  $X$ ) = Ternary-
  False  $\longleftrightarrow X$ 
  by (metis bool-to-ternary-simp1 eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp5:  $\neg \text{eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary } X) = \text{TernaryUnknown}$ 
  by (metis bool-to-ternary-Unknown eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD)
lemmas bool-to-ternary-simps = bool-to-ternary-simp1 bool-to-ternary-simp2 bool-to-ternary-simp3
  bool-to-ternary-simp4 bool-to-ternary-simp5
hide-fact bool-to-ternary-simp1 bool-to-ternary-simp2 bool-to-ternary-simp3 bool-to-ternary-simp4
  bool-to-ternary-simp5

```

## 7.2 Removing Unknown Primitives

```

fun remove-unknowns-generic :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr
 $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  remove-unknowns-generic - - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  remove-unknowns-generic - - (MatchNot MatchAny) = MatchNot MatchAny |
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (Match A) = (if
    ( $\forall p. \text{ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p (Match A))} = \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
    then
      if ( $\forall p. \alpha \text{ a p}$ ) then MatchAny else if ( $\forall p. \neg \alpha \text{ a p}$ ) then MatchNot MatchAny
    else Match A
    else (Match A)) |
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot (Match A)) = (if
    ( $\forall p. \text{ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p (Match A))} = \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
    then

```

```

    if ( $\forall p. \alpha \ a \ p$ ) then MatchAny else if ( $\forall p. \neg \alpha \ a \ p$ ) then MatchNot MatchAny
  else MatchNot (Match A)
    else MatchNot (Match A)) |
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = remove-unknowns-generic
( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a m |
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd
    (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a m1)
    (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a m2) |

—  $\neg (a \wedge b) = \neg b \vee \neg a$  and  $\neg \text{Unknown} = \text{Unknown}$ 
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
    (if (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny  $\vee$ 
      (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
    then MatchAny else
      (if (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot
MatchAny then
        remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot m2) else
        if (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
MatchAny then
          remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) a (MatchNot m1) else
          MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
    )

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
=
  (let m1' = remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  a (MatchNot m1); m2' = remove-unknowns-generic
 $\gamma$  a (MatchNot m2) in
    (if m1' = MatchAny  $\vee$  m2' = MatchAny
      then MatchAny
      else
        if m1' = MatchNot MatchAny then m2' else
        if m2' = MatchNot MatchAny then m1'
        else
          MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
    )
apply(cases  $\gamma$ )
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma  $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) (\text{remove-unknowns-generic }
(\beta, \alpha) a (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } A))) a \ p = \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } A)) a$ 
 $p$ 
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
by presburger

```

```

lemma  $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies \gamma = (\beta, \alpha) \implies$ 
  matches ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) (remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  a m) a =
  matches ( $\beta, \alpha$ ) m a

```

```

apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)
apply(rename-tac p)
apply(induction  $\gamma$  a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)[2]
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)[1]
  apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)
apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply safe
  apply(simp-all add : ternary-to-bool-Some ternary-to-bool-None)
done

end
theory Semantics-Ternary
imports Matching-Ternary Misc
begin

```

## 8 Embedded Ternary-Matching Big Step Semantics

**lemma** *rules-singleton-rev-E*:  $[Rule\ m\ a] = rs_1 @ rs_2 \implies (rs_1 = [Rule\ m\ a] \implies rs_2 = [] \implies P\ m\ a) \implies (rs_1 = [] \implies rs_2 = [Rule\ m\ a] \implies P\ m\ a) \implies P\ m\ a$   
**by** (cases  $rs_1$ ) auto

**inductive** *approximating-bigstep* :: ( $'a, 'p$ ) *match-tac*  $\Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a$  *rule list*  $\Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(-, \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha - \ [60, 60, 20, 98, 98] \ 89)$   
**for**  $\gamma$  **and**  $p$  **where**  
*skip*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$  |  
*accept*:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Accept\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Accept], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ FinalAllow$  |  
*drop*:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Drop\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Drop], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ FinalDeny$  |  
*reject*:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Reject\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Reject], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ FinalDeny$  |  
*log*:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Log\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided$  |  
*empty*:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Empty\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided$  |  
*nomatch*:  $\llbracket \neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided$  |  
*decision*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Decision\ X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ X$  |  
*seq*:  $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t; \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$

**thm** *approximating-bigstep.induct*[of  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s$   $t$   $P$ ]

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep.induct*[case-names *Skip Allow Deny Log Nomatch Decision Seq*, *induct pred: approximating-bigstep*] :  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge t. P \ \square \ t \ t) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Accept} \Longrightarrow P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow})) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \Longrightarrow P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny})) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Log} \vee a = \text{Empty} \Longrightarrow P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \Longrightarrow P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge rs \ X. P \ rs \ (\text{Decision } X) \ (\text{Decision } X)) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge rs \ rs_1 \ rs_2 \ t \ t'. \ rs = rs_1 \ @ \ rs_2 \Longrightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow P \ rs_1 \ \text{Undecided} \ t \Longrightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \Longrightarrow P \ rs_2 \ t \ t' \Longrightarrow P \ rs \ \text{Undecided} \ t') \Longrightarrow$   
 $\Longrightarrow P \ rs \ s \ t$   
**by** (*induction rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *skipD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow s = t$   
**by** (*induction*  $[]::a$  *rule list s t rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *decisionD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } X$   
**by** (*induction rs Decision X t rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *acceptD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Accept} \ p \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$   
**apply** (*induction*  $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$  *rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**by** (*metis list-app-singletonE skipD*)

**lemma** *dropD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop} \ p \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$   
**apply** (*induction*  $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$  *rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*)  
**by**(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

**lemma** *rejectD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Reject} \ p \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$   
**apply** (*induction*  $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$  *rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*)  
**by**(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

**lemma** *logD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$   
**apply** (*induction*  $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$  *rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*)  
**by**(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

**lemma** *emptyD*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$   
**apply** (*induction*  $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$  *rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*)  
**by**(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

```

lemma nomatchD:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \Rightarrow \neg\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p$ 
 $\Rightarrow t = Undecided$ 
apply (induction [Rule m a] Undecided t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E)

lemmas approximating-bigstepD = skipD acceptD dropD rejectD logD emptyD no-
matchD decisionD

lemma approximating-bigstep-to-undecided:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \Rightarrow s =$ 
Undecided
by (metis decisionD state.exhaust)

lemma approximating-bigstep-to-decision1:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Decision\ Y \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ X$ 
 $\Rightarrow Y = X$ 
by (metis decisionD state.inject)
thm decisionD

lemma nomatch-fst:  $\neg\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \Rightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \Rightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule$ 
 $m\ a\ \# rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
apply (cases s)
apply (clarify)
apply (drule nomatch)
apply (drule (1) seq)
apply (simp)
apply (clarify)
apply (drule decisionD)
apply (clarify)
apply (simp-all add: decision)
done

lemma seq':
assumes  $rs = rs_1 @ rs_2\ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t\ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$ 
shows  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$ 
using assms by (cases s) (auto intro: seq decision dest: decisionD)

lemma seq-split:
assumes  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t\ rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$ 
obtains  $t'$  where  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'\ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
using assms
proof (induction rs s t arbitrary: rs1 rs2 thesis rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
case Allow thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)
next
case Deny thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)
next
case Log thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)

```



```

next
  case Nomatch thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E
intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
next
  case (Seq rs rsa rsb t t')
  hence rs: rsa @ rsb = rs1 @ rs2 by simp
  note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]
  from rs show ?case
  proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
    case longer
    with Seq have t1:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ rs}_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
    by simp
    from Seq longer obtain t2
    where t2a:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ rs}_1, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t2$ 
    and rs2-t2:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}_2, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$ 
    by blast
    with t1 rs2-t2 have  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ rs}_1 @ \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa})$ 
rs1, Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t2$ 
    by (blast intro: approximating-bigstep.seq)
    with Seq rs2-t2 show ?thesis
    by simp
  next
  case shorter
  with rs have rsa':  $\text{rsa} = \text{rs}_1 @ \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2$ 
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
  from shorter rs have rsb':  $\text{rsb} = \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2$ 
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
  from Seq rsa' obtain t1
  where t1a:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t1$ 
  and t1b:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  by blast
  from rsb' Seq.hyps have t2:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha$ 
t'
  by blast
  with seq' t1b have  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$  by (metis append-take-drop-id)
  with Seq t1a show ?thesis
  by fast
qed
qed (auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)

```

**lemma seqE-fst:**

```

assumes  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# \text{rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
obtains t' where  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \wedge \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}, t' \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
using assms seq-split by (metis append-Cons append-Nil)

```

**lemma seq-fst:**  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# \text{rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$   
**apply**(cases s)

```

apply(simp)
using seq apply fastforce
apply(simp)
apply(drule decisionD)
apply(simp)
apply(drule decisionD)
apply(simp)
using decision by fast

```

```

fun approximating-bigstep-fun :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$ 
state where
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p [] s = s |
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs (Decision X) = (Decision X) |
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p ((Rule m a)#rs) Undecided = (if
     $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p
  then
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
  else
    case a of Accept  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalAllow
      | Drop  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalDeny
      | Reject  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalDeny
      | Log  $\Rightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
      | Empty  $\Rightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
      (*unhandled cases*)
    )

```

```

thm approximating-bigstep-fun.induct[of P  $\gamma$  p rs s]

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun.induct[case-names Empty Decision Nomatch Match]
:
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p s. P  $\gamma$  p [] s)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p r rs X. P  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) (Decision X))  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p m a rs.
     $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p (Rule m a # rs)
    Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p m a rs.
    matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\Longrightarrow$  (a = Log  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a = Empty  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    P  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p (Rule m a # rs) Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    P  $\gamma$  p rs s
  apply (rule approximating-bigstep-fun.induct[of P  $\gamma$  p rs s])
  apply (simp-all)
  by metis

```

```

lemma Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs (Decision
X) = Decision X
  by(induction rs) (simp-all)

```

## 8.1 wf ruleset

A *'a rule list* here is well-formed (for a packet) if

1. either the rules do not match
2. or the action is not *Call*, not *Return*, not *Unknown*

**definition** *wf-ruleset* :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p rs  $\equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs.$   
 $(\neg \text{matches } \gamma (\text{get-match } r) (\text{get-action } r) p) \vee$   
 $(\neg (\exists \text{chain}. \text{get-action } r = \text{Call chain}) \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Return} \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Unknown})$

**lemma** *wf-ruleset-append*: *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p (rs1@rs2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p rs1  $\wedge$  *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p rs2

**by**(auto simp add: *wf-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *wf-rulesetD*: **assumes** *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) **shows** *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p [r] **and** *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p rs

**using** *assms* **by**(auto simp add: *wf-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *wf-ruleset-fst*: *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p (Rule m a # rs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p [Rule m a]  $\wedge$  *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p rs

**using** *assms* **by**(auto simp add: *wf-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *wf-ruleset-stripfst*: *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p (r # rs)  $\Longrightarrow$  *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p (rs)

**by**(simp add: *wf-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *wf-ruleset-rest*: *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p (Rule m a # rs)  $\Longrightarrow$  *wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p [Rule m a]

**by**(simp add: *wf-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf*[case-names *Empty Decision Nomatch MatchAccept MatchDrop MatchReject MatchLog MatchEmpty*, consumes 1]:

*wf-ruleset*  $\gamma$  p rs  $\Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p s. P \gamma p [] s) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p r rs X. P \gamma p (r \# rs) (\text{Decision } X)) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$   
 $\neg \text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow P \gamma p rs \text{ Undecided} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$   
 $\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Accept} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$   
 $\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Drop} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$   
 $\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Reject} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$   
 $\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Log} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p rs \text{ Undecided} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$   
 $\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Empty} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p rs \text{ Undecided} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$

```

P γ p rs s
apply(induction γ p rs s rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
apply blast
apply blast
apply(auto dest:wf-rulesetD)[1]
apply(frule wf-rulesetD(1), drule wf-rulesetD(2))
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all)
apply(auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
done

```

### 8.1.1 Append, Prepend, Postpend, Composition

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_1 \rrbracket \implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs_1 @ rs_2)$  Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_2$  (approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_1$  Undecided)
apply(induction rs1 arbitrary: )
apply simp-all
apply(rename-tac r rs1)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac x1 x2)
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma \text{ } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } p$ )
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(case-tac x2)
apply simp-all
apply(simp-all add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-Undecided-wf:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs_1 @ rs_2) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs_1 @ rs_2)$  Undecided = Undecided  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_1$  Undecided = Undecided  $\wedge$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_2$  Undecided = Undecided
apply(induction rs1 arbitrary:)
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(rename-tac r rs1)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac x1 x2)
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma \text{ } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } p$ )
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(case-tac x2)
apply simp-all
apply auto
done

```

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-Undecided-t-wf*:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \text{ Undecided} = t \iff$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$   
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs2 \text{ Undecided} = t \vee$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ Undecided} = t \wedge t \neq \text{Undecided}$   
**apply**(*induction* *rs1* *arbitrary*:)  
**apply** *simp-all*  
**apply**(*case-tac* *t*)  
**apply**(*simp-all* *add*: *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
  
**apply**(*rename-tac* *r* *rs1*)  
**apply**(*case-tac* *r*, *rename-tac* *x1* *x2*)  
**apply**(*clarify*)  
**apply**(*case-tac*  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } p$ )  
**apply**(*simp* *add*: *wf-ruleset-def*)  
**apply**(*simp* *add*: *wf-ruleset-def*)  
**apply**(*case-tac* *x2*)  
**apply** *simp-all*  
**apply** *auto*  
**done**

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-fun-wf-postpend*:  $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \implies \text{wf-ruleset}$   
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsB \implies$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsB \text{ } s$   
 $\implies$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rsA @ rsC) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p$   
 $(rsB @ rsC) \text{ } s$   
**apply**(*case-tac* *s*)  
**prefer** 2  
**apply**(*simp* *add*: *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac*  $s = ?un$ )  
**apply**(*induction*  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \text{ Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf}$ )  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply** (*metis* *approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf*)  
**apply** (*metis* *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf*) +  
**done**

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-fun-singleton-prepend*:  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma$   
 $p \text{ } rsB \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsC \text{ } s \implies$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (r \# rsB) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p$   
 $(r \# rsC) \text{ } s$   
**apply**(*case-tac* *s*)  
**prefer** 2  
**apply**(*simp* *add*: *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*cases* *r*)

```

apply(simp split: action.split)
done

```

## 8.2 Equality with $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ semantics

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-wf:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \implies wf\text{-ruleset}$ 
 $\gamma \ p \ rs$ 
unfolding wf-ruleset-def
proof(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
  next
  case Log thus ?case by auto
  next
  case Nomatch thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Seq rs rs1 rs2 t)
    from Seq approximating-bigstep-to-undecided have  $t = Undecided$  by fast
    from this Seq show ?case by auto
qed

```

only valid actions appear in this ruleset

```

definition good-ruleset :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  good-ruleset rs  $\equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs. (\neg(\exists \text{chain}. \text{get-action } r = \text{Call chain}) \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Return} \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Unknown})$ 

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: good-ruleset rs  $\equiv (\forall r \in \text{set } rs. (\text{case } \text{get-action } r \text{ of } \text{Call chain} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Return} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Unknown} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}))$ 
apply(induction rs)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
apply(thin-tac ?x = ?y)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(case-tac get-action r)
apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-alt: good-ruleset rs =  $(\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop} \vee$ 
 $\vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Empty}$ 
 $\vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Reject} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Log}$ 
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
apply(rule iffI)
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac get-action r)
apply(simp-all)
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac get-action r)
apply(simp-all)
apply(fastforce)+

```

done

**lemma** *good-ruleset-append*:  $\text{good-ruleset } (rs_1 @ rs_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{good-ruleset } rs_1 \wedge \text{good-ruleset } rs_2$   
**by**(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt, blast*)

**lemma** *good-ruleset-fst*:  $\text{good-ruleset } (r \# rs) \implies \text{good-ruleset } [r]$   
**by**(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *good-ruleset-tail*:  $\text{good-ruleset } (r \# rs) \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs$   
**by**(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)

*good-ruleset* is stricter than *wf-ruleset*. It can be easily checked with running code!

**lemma** *good-imp-wf-ruleset*:  $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \ p \ rs$  **by** (*metis good-ruleset-def wf-ruleset-def*)

**definition** *simple-ruleset* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{simple-ruleset } rs \equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept } (*\vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Reject}*) \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop}$

**lemma** *simple-imp-good-ruleset*:  $\text{simple-ruleset } rs \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs$   
**by**(*simp add: simple-ruleset-def good-ruleset-def, fastforce*)

**lemma** *simple-ruleset-tail*:  $\text{simple-ruleset } (r \# rs) \implies \text{simple-ruleset } rs$  **by** (*simp add: simple-ruleset-def*)

**lemma** *simple-ruleset-append*:  $\text{simple-ruleset } (rs_1 @ rs_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{simple-ruleset } rs_1 \wedge \text{simple-ruleset } rs_2$   
**by**(*simp add: simple-ruleset-def, blast*)

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics*:  $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \rrbracket \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (rs_1 @ rs_2) \ s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs_2 \ t$   
**apply**(*induction rs<sub>1</sub> s t arbitrary: rs<sub>2</sub> rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*)  
**apply**(*simp-all add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
**done**

**lemma** *approximating-semantics-imp-fun*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s = t$   
**apply**(*induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)  
**apply**(*auto*)[7]  
**apply**(*case-tac rs*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply**(*simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics*)  
**done**

**lemma** *approximating-fun-imp-semantics*: **assumes**  $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \ p \ rs$   
**shows**  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s = t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$   
**using** *assms* **proof**(*induction  $\gamma \ p \ rs \ s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf*)

```

case (Empty  $\gamma$   $p$   $s$ )
  thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$  using skip by (simp)
next
case (Decision  $\gamma$   $p$   $r$   $rs$   $X$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision } X$  by simp
  thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$  using decision by fast
next
case (Nomatch  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
  apply (rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
  apply (simp add: nomatch)
  apply (simp add: Nomatch.IH)
  done
next
case (MatchAccept  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$  by simp
  thus  $?case$  by (metis MatchAccept.hyps accept decision seq-fst)
next
case (MatchDrop  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$  by simp
  thus  $?case$  by (metis MatchDrop.hyps drop decision seq-fst)
next
case (MatchReject  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$  by simp
  thus  $?case$  by (metis MatchReject.hyps reject decision seq-fst)
next
case (MatchLog  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  thus  $?case$ 
  apply (simp)
  apply (rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
  apply (simp add: log)
  apply (simp add: MatchLog.IH)
  done
next
case (MatchEmpty  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  thus  $?case$ 
  apply (simp)
  apply (rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
  apply (simp add: empty)
  apply (simp add: MatchEmpty.IH)
  done
qed

```

Henceforth, we will use the *approximating-bigstep-fun* semantics, because they are easier. We show that they are equal.

**theorem** *approximating-semantics-iff-fun*:  $wf\text{-ruleset } \gamma \ p \ rs \implies$   
 $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s = t$   
**by** (*metis approximating-fun-imp-semantics approximating-semantics-imp-fun*)



**corollary** *approximating-antics-iff-fun-good-ruleset*:  $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$   
 $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s = t$   
**by** (*metis approximating-antics-iff-fun good-imp-wf-ruleset*)

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-deterministic*:  $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t; \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t' \rrbracket \implies t = t'$   
**apply** (*induction arbitrary: t' rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)  
**apply** (*auto dest: approximating-bigstepD*)[6]  
**by** (*metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) append-Nil2 approximating-bigstep-fun.simps(1) approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics*)

The actions *Log* and *Empty* do not modify the packet processing in any way.  
They can be removed.

**fun** *rm-LogEmpty* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list **where**  
*rm-LogEmpty* [] = [] |  
*rm-LogEmpty* ((*Rule* - *Empty*)#*rs*) = *rm-LogEmpty* *rs* |  
*rm-LogEmpty* ((*Rule* - *Log*)#*rs*) = *rm-LogEmpty* *rs* |  
*rm-LogEmpty* (*r*#*rs*) = *r* # *rm-LogEmpty* *rs*

**lemma** *rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics*:  
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{rm-LogEmpty } rs) \ s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$   
 $\gamma \ p \ rs \ s$   
**apply** (*induction rs*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**apply** (*rename-tac r rs*)  
**apply** (*case-tac r*)  
**apply** (*rename-tac m a*)  
**apply** (*simp*)  
**apply** (*case-tac a*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**apply** (*case-tac [!]* *s*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**apply** (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
**by** (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)

**lemma** *rm-LogEmpty-seq*:  $\text{rm-LogEmpty } (rs1 @ rs2) = \text{rm-LogEmpty } rs1 \ @ \ \text{rm-LogEmpty } rs2$   
**apply** (*induction rs1*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**apply** (*case-tac a*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**apply** (*case-tac x2*)  
**apply** (*simp-all*)  
**done**

**lemma** *rm-LogEmpty-semantics*:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rm-LogEmpty } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$

```

apply(rule iffI)

apply(induction rs arbitrary: s t)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac x2)
apply(simp-all)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(metis decision log nomatch-fst seq-fst state.exhaust)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(metis decision empty nomatch-fst seq-fst state.exhaust)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)

apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
apply(drule-tac rs1=rm-LogEmpty rs1 and rs2=rm-LogEmpty rs2 in seq)
apply(simp-all)
using rm-LogEmpty-seq apply metis
done

lemma rm-LogEmpty-simple-but-Reject:
  good-ruleset rs  $\implies \forall r \in \text{set } (rm\text{-LogEmpty } rs). \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} \vee \text{get-action}$ 
   $r = \text{Reject} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop}$ 
  apply(induction rs)
  apply(simp-all add: good-ruleset-def simple-ruleset-def)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(case-tac a)
  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac x2)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply fastforce+
  done

Rewrite Reject actions to Drop actions

fun rw-Reject :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rw-Reject [] = [] |
  rw-Reject ((Rule m Reject)#rs) = (Rule m Drop)#rw-Reject rs |
  rw-Reject (r#rs) = r # rw-Reject rs

lemma rw-Reject-fun-semantics:

```

```

wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies$ 
  (approximating-bigstep-fun ( $\beta, \alpha$ )  $p$  (rw-Reject  $rs$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun
( $\beta, \alpha$ )  $p$   $rs$   $s$ )
  apply(induction  $rs$ )
    apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac  $r$   $rs$ )
  apply(case-tac  $r$ )
  apply(rename-tac  $m$   $a$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
    apply(simp-all)

    apply(case-tac [!]  $s$ )
      apply(simp-all)
  apply(auto dest: wf-unknown-match-tacD-False1 wf-unknown-match-tacD-False2)
done

```

```

lemma good-ruleset  $rs \implies$  simple-ruleset (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty  $rs$ ))
  apply(drule rm-LogEmpty-simple-but-Reject)
  apply(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  apply(induction  $rs$ )
    apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac  $r$   $rs$ )
  apply(case-tac  $r$ )
  apply(rename-tac  $m$   $a$ )
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
    apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

definition optimize-matches :: ('a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr)  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a rule list where
  optimize-matches  $f$   $rs$  = map ( $\lambda r$ . Rule ( $f$  (get-match  $r$ )) (get-action  $r$ ))  $rs$ 

```

```

lemma optimize-matches:  $\forall m$ . matches  $\gamma$   $m$  = matches  $\gamma$  ( $f$   $m$ )  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$  (optimize-matches  $f$   $rs$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
    apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

lemma optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
 $p$  (optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr  $rs$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun

```

```

γ p rs s
using optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct by metis

definition optimize-matches-a :: (action ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ 'a match-expr) ⇒
'a rule list ⇒ 'a rule list where
  optimize-matches-a f rs = map (λr. Rule (f (get-action r) (get-match r)) (get-action
r)) rs

lemma optimize-matches-a: ∀ a m. matches γ m a = matches γ (f a m) a ⇒
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (optimize-matches-a f rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
γ p rs s
  apply(induction γ p rs s rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-a-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-a-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-a-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-a-def)
    apply(case-tac a)
    apply(simp-all)
  done

end
theory Unknown-Match-Tacs
imports Matching-Ternary
begin

```

## 9 Approximate Matching Tactics

in-doubt-tactics

```

fun in-doubt-allow :: 'packet unknown-match-tac where
  in-doubt-allow Accept - = True |
  in-doubt-allow Drop - = False |
  in-doubt-allow Reject - = False

```

```

lemma wf-in-doubt-allow: wf-unknown-match-tac in-doubt-allow
  unfolding wf-unknown-match-tac-def by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)

```

```

fun in-doubt-deny :: 'packet unknown-match-tac where
  in-doubt-deny Accept - = False |
  in-doubt-deny Drop - = True |

```

*in-doubt-deny Reject - = True*

**lemma** *wf-in-doubt-deny: wf-unknown-match-tac in-doubt-deny*  
**unfolding** *wf-unknown-match-tac-def* **by** (*simp add: fun-eq-iff*)

**end**  
**theory** *Matching-Embeddings*  
**imports** *Matching-Ternary Matching Unknown-Match-Tacs*  
**begin**

## 10 Boolean Matching vs. Ternary Matching

**term** *Semantics.matches*  
**term** *Matching-Ternary.matches*

The two matching semantics are related. However, due to the ternary logic, we cannot directly translate one to the other. The problem are *MatchNot* expressions which evaluate to *TernaryUnknown* because *MatchNot TernaryUnknown* and *TernaryUnknown* are semantically equal!

**lemma**  $\exists m \beta \alpha a. \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \alpha) m a p \neq$   
*Semantics.matches*  $(\lambda atm p. \text{case } \beta atm p \text{ of TernaryTrue} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{TernaryFalse}$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{TernaryUnknown} \Rightarrow \alpha a p) m p$   
**apply** (*rule-tac x=MatchNot (Match X) in exI*) — any *X*  
**apply** (*simp split: ternaryvalue.split ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*  
*bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)  
**by** *fast*

the *the* in the next definition is always defined

**lemma**  $\forall m \in \{m. \text{approx } m p \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}\}. \text{ternary-to-bool } (\text{approx } m$   
 $p) \neq \text{None}$   
**by** (*simp add: ternary-to-bool-None*)

The Boolean and the ternary matcher agree (where the ternary matcher is defined)

**definition** *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches* ::  $('a, 'p) \text{ matcher} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{ternaryvalue}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
*matcher-agree-on-exact-matches exact approx*  $\equiv \forall p m. \text{approx } m p \neq \text{TernaryUnknown} \longrightarrow \text{exact } m p = \text{the } (\text{ternary-to-bool } (\text{approx } m p))$

**lemma** *eval-ternary-Not-TrueD: eval-ternary-Not m = TernaryTrue  $\implies$  m =*  
*TernaryFalse*  
**by** (*metis eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)

```

lemma matches-comply-exact: ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m$ )  $\neq$ 
TernaryUnknown  $\implies$ 
  matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$   $\beta \implies$ 
    Semantics.matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p =$  Matching-Ternary.matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ )  $m$   $a$   $p$ 
proof(unfold matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple, induction  $m$ )
case Match thus ?case
  by(simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def)
next
case (MatchNot  $m$ ) thus ?case
  apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def)
  apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m$ ))
  by(simp-all)
next
case (MatchAnd  $m1$   $m2$ )
  thus ?case
  apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split)
  apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m1$ ))
  apply(case-tac [!] ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m2$ ))
  by(simp-all)
next
case MatchAny thus ?case by simp
qed

```

```

lemma in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept:  $a = \text{Accept} \implies$  matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma$   $\beta \implies$ 
  Semantics.matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p \implies$  Matching-Ternary.matches ( $\beta$ , in-doubt-allow)
 $m$   $a$   $p$ 
apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m$ )  $\neq$  TernaryUnknown)
  using matches-comply-exact apply fast
apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
done

```

```

lemma not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma$   $\beta \implies a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Reject} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$ 
   $\neg$  Semantics.matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p \implies$ 
  ( $a = \text{Accept} \wedge$  Matching-Ternary.matches ( $\beta$ , in-doubt-allow)  $m$   $a$   $p$ )  $\vee \neg$  Matching-Ternary.matches
  ( $\beta$ , in-doubt-allow)  $m$   $a$   $p$ 
apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m$ )  $\neq$  TernaryUnknown)
  apply(drule(1) matches-comply-exact[where  $\alpha = \text{in-doubt-allow}$  and  $a = a$ ])
  apply(rule disjI2)
  apply fast
apply(simp)
apply(clarify)
apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply(cases  $a$ )

```

```

    apply(simp-all)
done

```

**lemma** *in-doubt-deny-denies-DropReject*:  $a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies \text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches } \gamma \beta \implies$   
 $\text{Semantics.matches } \gamma m p \implies \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny})$   
 $m a p$   
 apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta p m$ )  $\neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )  
 using matches-comply-exact apply fast  
 apply(simp)  
 apply(auto simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)  
done

**lemma** *not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx-match*:  $\text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches } \gamma \beta \implies a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Reject} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$   
 $\neg \text{Semantics.matches } \gamma m p \implies$   
 $((a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject}) \wedge \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}) m a$   
 $p) \vee \neg \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}) m a p$   
 apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta p m$ )  $\neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )  
 apply(drule(1) matches-comply-exact[where  $\alpha = \text{in-doubt-deny}$  and  $a = a$ ])  
 apply(rule disjI2)  
 apply fast  
 apply(simp)  
 apply(clarify)  
 apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)  
 apply(cases a)  
 apply(simp-all)  
done

The ternary primitive matcher can return exactly the result of the Boolean primitive matcher

**definition**  $\beta_{\text{magic}} :: ('a, 'p) \text{ matcher} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow \text{ternaryvalue})$  **where**  
 $\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma \equiv (\lambda a p. \text{if } \gamma a p \text{ then TernaryTrue else TernaryFalse})$

**lemma** *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*  $\gamma (\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma)$   
 by(simp add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -def)

**lemma**  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -not-Unknown:  $\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } (\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma) p m) \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$   
 proof(induction m)  
 case MatchNot thus ?case using eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -def  
 by (simp) blast  
 case (MatchAnd m1 m2) thus ?case  
 apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $(\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma) p m1$ ))  
 apply(case-tac [!] ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $(\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma) p m2$ ))  
 by(simp-all add:  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -def)

```

qed (simp-all add:  $\beta_{magic}$ -def)

lemma  $\beta_{magic}$ -matching: Matching-Ternary.matches (( $\beta_{magic}$   $\gamma$ ),  $\alpha$ )  $m$   $a$   $p \longleftrightarrow$ 
Semantics.matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ 
proof(induction  $m$ )
case Match thus ?case
  by(simp add:  $\beta_{magic}$ -def matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
case MatchNot thus ?case
  by(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple  $\beta_{magic}$ -not-Unknown split: ternary-
value.split-asm)
qed (simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split ternary-
value.split-asm)

end
theory Semantics-Embeddings
imports Matching-Embeddings Semantics Semantics-Ternary
begin

```

## 11 Semantics Embedding

### 11.1 Tactic in-doubt-allow

```

lemma iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma$   $\beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \implies$ 
   $(\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \vee (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash$ 
   $\langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision FinalAllow$ 
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction  $rs$  Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.skip)
apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(case-tac  $a = Log$ )
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(case-tac  $a = Empty$ )
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(drule-tac  $a = a$  in not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
  apply fast
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.accept approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')

```



```

apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
done

```

```

lemma FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow} \implies (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow}$ 
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalAllow rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(metis approximating-bigstep.accept in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept)
apply(case-tac t)
apply(simp-all)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
apply(metis approximating-bigstep.decision approximating-bigstep.seq Semantics.decisionD state.inject)
apply(thin-tac False  $\implies ?x \implies ?y$ )
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
apply(drule(2) iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx)
apply(erule disjE)
apply(metis approximating-bigstep.seq)
apply(metis approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
done

```

```

corollary FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$ 
 $\beta \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$ 
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$ 
using FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-allow by (metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono)

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ 
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(metis iptables-bigstep.skip)
apply(metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply(metis in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch matches-casesE)

```

```

ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(5))
  apply(case-tac a)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply (metis iptables-bigstep.drop iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
    apply (metis iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
    apply (metis iptables-bigstep.nomatch iptables-bigstep.reject)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
    apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append,clarify)
  by (metis approximating-bigstep-to-undecided iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

```

**lemma** *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

```

 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
     $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ 
  apply(rotate-tac 2)
  apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalDeny rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (metis action.distinct(1) action.distinct(5) deny not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match)

  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
  apply(case-tac t)
  apply(simp)
  apply(drule(2) approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx[where
 $\Gamma = \Gamma$ ])
  apply(erule disjE)
  apply (metis iptables-bigstep.seq)
  apply (metis iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)
  by (metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-semantics-imp-fun
iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

```

**corollary** *FinalDenys-subseteq-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$*

```

 $\beta \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$ 
   $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} \subseteq \{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}\}$ 
  using FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow by (metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono)

```

If our approximating firewall (the executable version) concludes that we deny a packet, the exact semantic agrees that this packet is definitely denied!

```

corollary matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma \beta \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}) p rs \text{Undecided} = (\text{Decision FinalDeny}) \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ 
  apply(frule(1) FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow[where  $p=p$  and  $\Gamma=\Gamma$ ])
  apply(rule approximating-fun-imp-semantics)
  apply (metis good-imp-wf-ruleset)

```

**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**done**

## 11.2 Tactic *in-doubt-deny*

**lemma** *iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*  
 $\gamma \beta \implies$

$\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies$   
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Undecided} \vee (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash$   
 $\langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}$   
**apply**(*rotate-tac 2*)  
**apply**(*induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.skip*)  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch*)  
**apply**(*case-tac a = Log*)  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch*)  
**apply**(*case-tac a = Empty*)  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.nomatch*)  
**apply**(*drule-tac a=a in not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx-match*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply**(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt*)  
**apply** *fast*  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.drop approximating-bigstep.nomatch approximating-bigstep.reject*)  
**apply**(*frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*simp add: good-ruleset-append*)  
**apply**(*metis (hide-lams, no-types) approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq'*)  
**apply**(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)  
**apply**(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)  
**done**

**lemma** *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*  
 $\gamma \beta \implies$

$\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny} \implies (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs,$   
 $\text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}$   
**apply**(*rotate-tac 2*)  
**apply**(*induction rs Undecided Decision FinalDeny rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.drop approximating-bigstep.reject in-doubt-deny-denies-DropReject*)  
**apply**(*case-tac t*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**prefer 2**  
**apply**(*simp add: good-ruleset-append*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac False  $\implies ?x$* )  
**apply**(*metis approximating-bigstep.decision approximating-bigstep.seq Seman-*

```

tics.decisionD state.inject)
  apply(thin-tac False  $\implies$  ?x  $\implies$  ?y)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)

  apply(drule(2) iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx)
  apply(erule disjE)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.seq)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
done

```

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*  
 $\gamma \beta \implies$   
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$   
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}$   
 apply(rotate-tac 2)  
 apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)  
 apply(simp-all)  
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.skip)  
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)  
 apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)  
 apply (metis in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch matches-casesE ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(5))  
 apply(case-tac a)  
 apply(simp-all)  
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch)  
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)  
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)  
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)  
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.nomatch)  
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)  
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)  
 by (metis approximating-bigstep-to-undecided iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

**lemma** *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*  
 $\gamma \beta \implies$   
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$   
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}$   
 apply(rotate-tac 2)  
 apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalAllow rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)  
 apply(simp-all)  
 apply (metis action.distinct(1) action.distinct(5) iptables-bigstep.accept not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx)  
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)  
 apply(case-tac t)

**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*drule*(2) *approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx*[**where**  
 $\Gamma=\Gamma$ ])  
**apply**(*erule disjE*)  
**apply** (*metis iptables-bigstep.seq*)  
**apply** (*metis iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)  
**by** (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-semantics-imp-fun*  
*iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)

**corollary** *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$*   
 $\beta \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$   
 $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}\} \subseteq \{p.$   
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}\}$   
**using** *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-deny* **by** (*metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono*)

### 11.3 Approximating Closures

**theorem** *FinalAllowClosure:*

**assumes** *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$   $\beta$  and good-ruleset  $rs$*   
**shows**  $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}\} \subseteq$   
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}\}$   
**and**  $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash$   
 $\langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}\}$   
**apply** (*metis FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-deny assms*)  
**by** (*metis FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-allow assms*)

**theorem** *FinalDenyClosure:*

**assumes** *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$   $\beta$  and good-ruleset  $rs$*   
**shows**  $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}\} \subseteq$   
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}\}$   
**and**  $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash$   
 $\langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}\}$   
**apply** (*metis FinalDenys-subseteq-in-doubt-allow assms*)  
**by** (*metis FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-deny assms mem-Collect-eq subsetI*)

### 11.4 Exact Embedding

**thm** *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*[*of  $\gamma$   $\beta$* ]

**lemma** *LukassLemma:*

*matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma$   $\beta \implies$*   
 $(\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta p (\text{get-match } r)) \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}) \implies$   
*good-ruleset  $rs \implies$*   
 $(\beta, \alpha), p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   
**apply**(*simp add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*)  
**apply**(*rotate-tac 3*)  
**apply**(*induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)  
**apply**(*auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)

```

apply (metis iptables-bigstep.accept matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply (metis deny matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.reject matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.nomatch matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
by (metis good-ruleset-append iptables-bigstep.seq)

```

For rulesets without *Calls*, the approximating ternary semantics can perfectly simulate the Boolean semantics.

```

theorem  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-iff-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes  $\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \forall c. \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Call } c$ 
  shows  $((\beta_{magic} \gamma), \alpha), p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
apply (rule iffI)
apply (induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp:  $\beta_{magic}$ -matching)[7]
apply (insert assms)
apply (induction rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
apply (auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros simp:  $\beta_{magic}$ -matching)
done

```

```

corollary  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-fun-iff-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes good-ruleset rs
  shows approximating-bigstep-fun  $(\beta_{magic} \gamma, \alpha) p rs s = t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
apply (subst approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[symmetric])
using assms apply simp
apply (subst  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-iff-iptables-bigstep[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma$ ])
using assms apply (simp add: good-ruleset-def)
by simp

```

```

end
theory Fixed-Action
imports Semantics-Ternary
begin

```

## 12 Fixed Action

If firewall rules have the same action, we can focus on the matching only.

Applying a rule once or several times makes no difference.

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate:
   $n > 0 \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma p (r \# rs) \text{ Undecided} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $\gamma p ((\text{replicate } n r) @ rs) \text{ Undecided}$ 
apply (induction n)
apply (simp)
apply (simp)
apply (case-tac r)
apply (rename-tac m a)

```

**apply**(*simp split: action.split*)  
**by** *fastforce*

utility lemmas

**lemma** *fixedaction-Log: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m Log) ms) Undecided = Undecided*  
**apply**(*induction ms, simp-all*)  
**done**  
**lemma** *fixedaction-Empty: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m Empty) ms) Undecided = Undecided*  
**apply**(*induction ms, simp-all*)  
**done**  
**lemma** *helperX1-Log: matches  $\gamma$  m' Log p  $\implies$*   
*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map (( $\lambda m$ . Rule m Log)  $\circ$  MatchAnd m') m2' @ rs2) Undecided =*  
*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs2 Undecided*  
**apply**(*induction m2'*)  
**apply**(*simp-all split: action.split*)  
**done**  
**lemma** *helperX1-Empty: matches  $\gamma$  m' Empty p  $\implies$*   
*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map (( $\lambda m$ . Rule m Empty)  $\circ$  MatchAnd m') m2' @ rs2) Undecided =*  
*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs2 Undecided*  
**apply**(*induction m2'*)  
**apply**(*simp-all split: action.split*)  
**done**  
**lemma** *helperX3: matches  $\gamma$  m' a p  $\implies$*   
*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map (( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)  $\circ$  MatchAnd m') m2' @ rs2) Undecided =*  
*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2' @ rs2) Undecided*  
**apply**(*induction m2'*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*case-tac a*)  
**apply**(*simp-all add: matches-simps*)  
**done**

**lemmas** *fixed-action-simps = helperX1-Log helperX1-Empty helperX3*  
**hide-fact** *helperX1-Log helperX1-Empty helperX3*

**lemma** *fixedaction-swap:*

*approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1@m2)) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m2@m1)) s*  
**proof**(*cases s*)  
**case** *Decision thus* *approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1 @ m2)) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m2 @ m1)) s*  
**by**(*simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
**next**  
**case** *Undecided*

```

have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $m1$  @ map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule
 $m$   $a$ )  $m2$ ) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $m2$ 
@ map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $m1$ ) Undecided
proof(induction  $m1$ )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons  $m$   $m1$ )
    { fix  $m$   $rs$ 
      have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ((map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$  Log)  $m$ )@ $rs$ )
      Undecided =
        approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$  Undecided
      by(induction  $m$ ) (simp-all)
    } note Log-helper=this
    { fix  $m$   $rs$ 
      have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ((map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$  Empty)  $m$ )@ $rs$ )
      Undecided =
        approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$  Undecided
      by(induction  $m$ ) (simp-all)
    } note Empty-helper=this

show ?case (is ?goal)
proof(cases matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $a$   $p$ )
  case True
    thus ?goal
    proof(induction  $m2$ )
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
      next
      case Cons thus ?case
        apply(simp split:action.split action.split-asm)
        using Log-helper Empty-helper by fastforce+
      qed
    next
    case False
      thus ?goal
      apply(simp)
      apply(simp add: Cons.IH)
      apply(induction  $m2$ )
      apply(simp-all)
      apply(simp split:action.split action.split-asm)
      apply fastforce
    done
  qed
qed
thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$   $a$ ) ( $m1$  @  $m2$ ))  $s$  =
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$   $a$ ) ( $m2$  @  $m1$ ))  $s$  using Unde-
cided by simp
qed

```

**corollary** fixedaction-reorder: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule  $m$



```

a) (m1 @ m2 @ m3)) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
(m2 @ m1 @ m3)) s
proof(cases s)
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1 @
m2 @ m3)) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m2 @ m1 @
m3)) s
  by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1 @ m2 @ m3))
Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m2 @ m1 @
m3)) Undecided
  proof(induction m3)
    case Nil thus ?case using fixedaction-swap by fastforce
    next
    case (Cons m3'1 m3)
      have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ((m3'1 # m3)
@m1 @ m2)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
((m3'1 # m3) @ m2 @ m1)) Undecided
        apply(simp)
        apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m3'1 a p)
        apply(simp split: action.split action.split-asm)
        apply (metis append-assoc fixedaction-swap map-append Cons.IH)
        apply(simp)
        by (metis append-assoc fixedaction-swap map-append Cons.IH)
      hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ((m1 @ m2) @
m3'1 # m3)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
((m2 @ m1) @ m3'1 # m3)) Undecided
        apply(subst fixedaction-swap)
        apply(subst(2) fixedaction-swap)
        by simp
      thus ?case
        apply(subst append-assoc[symmetric])
        apply(subst append-assoc[symmetric])
        by simp
    qed
  thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1 @ m2 @ m3))
s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m2 @ m1 @ m3)) s
using Undecided by simp
qed

```

If the actions are equal, the *set* (position and replication independent) of the match expressions can be considered.

**lemma** *approximating-bigstep-fun-fixaction-matchseteq*:  $set\ m1 = set\ m2 \implies$   
 $approximating-bigstep-fun\ \gamma\ p\ (map\ (\lambda m. Rule\ m\ a)\ m1)\ s =$   
 $approximating-bigstep-fun\ \gamma\ p\ (map\ (\lambda m. Rule\ m\ a)\ m2)\ s$   
**proof**(cases s)  
**case** Decision **thus**  $approximating-bigstep-fun\ \gamma\ p\ (map\ (\lambda m. Rule\ m\ a)\ m1)\ s =$   
 $approximating-bigstep-fun\ \gamma\ p\ (map\ (\lambda m. Rule\ m\ a)\ m2)\ s$

```

  by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
  assume m1m2-seteq: set m1 = set m2
  hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) Undecided =
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) Undecided
  proof(induction m1 arbitrary: m2)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons m m1)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof (cases m  $\in$  set m1)
    case True
    from True have set m1 = set (m # m1) by auto
    from Cons.IH[OF  $\langle$ set m1 = set (m # m1) $\rangle$ ] have approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m # m1)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1)) Undecided ..
    thus ?goal by (metis Cons.IH Cons.prem1  $\langle$ set m1 = set (m # m1) $\rangle$ )
  next
  case False
  from False have m  $\notin$  set m1 .
  show ?goal
  proof (cases m  $\notin$  set m2)
    case True
    from True  $\langle$ m  $\notin$  set m1 $\rangle$  Cons.prem1 have set m1 = set m2 by auto
    from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?goal by (metis Cons.IH Cons.prem1  $\langle$ set
m1 = set m2 $\rangle$ )
  next
  case False
  hence m  $\in$  set m2 by simp

  have repl-filter-simp: (replicate (length [x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x = m]) m) = [x $\leftarrow$ m2 .
x = m]
  by (metis (lifting, full-types) filter-set member-filter replicate-length-same)

  from Cons.prem1  $\langle$ m  $\notin$  set m1 $\rangle$  have set m1 = set (filter ( $\lambda x$ . x $\neq$ m)
m2) by auto
  from Cons.IH[OF this] have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ .
Rule m a) m1) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
[x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x  $\neq$  m]) Undecided .
  from this have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m
a) (m#m1)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
(m#[x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x  $\neq$  m])) Undecided
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  by fast
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
([x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x = m]@[x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x  $\neq$  m])) Undecided
  apply(simp only: list.map)
  thm approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate[where n=length [x $\leftarrow$ m2

```

```

. x = m]]
  apply(subst approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate[where n=length
[x←m2 . x = m]])
  apply (metis (full-types) False filter-empty-conv neq0-conv repl-filter-simp
replicate-0)
  by (metis (lifting, no-types) map-append map-replicate repl-filter-simp)
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) m2)
Undecided
  proof(induction m2)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case(Cons m2'1 m2')
  have approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x
= m] @ Rule m2'1 a # map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m]) Undecided =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) ([x←m2' . x
= m] @ [m2'1] @ [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided by fastforce
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a)
([m2'1] @ [x←m2' . x = m] @ [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  using fixedaction-reorder by fast
  finally have XX: approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m
a) [x←m2' . x = m] @ Rule m2'1 a # map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m])
Undecided =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (Rule m2'1 a # (map (λm. Rule m
a) [x←m2' . x = m] @ map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  by fastforce
  from Cons show ?case
  apply(case-tac m2'1 = m)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  apply fast
  apply(simp del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
  apply(simp only: XX)
  apply(case-tac matches γ m2'1 a p)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  apply(fast)
  apply(simp)
  done
  qed
  finally show ?goal .
  qed
  qed
  qed
  thus approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) m1) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) m2) s using Undecided m1m2-seteq by simp
qed

```

### 12.1 match-list

Reducing the firewall semantics to shortcircuit matching evaluation

```

fun match-list :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr list  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  'packet
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  match-list  $\gamma$  [] a p = False |
  match-list  $\gamma$  (m#ms) a p = (if matches  $\gamma$  m a p then True else match-list  $\gamma$  ms
a p)

```

```

lemma match-list-True: match-list  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\Longrightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p
(map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ms) Undecided = (case a of Accept  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalAllow
| Drop  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalDeny
| Reject  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalDeny
| Log  $\Rightarrow$  Undecided
| Empty  $\Rightarrow$  Undecided
(*unhandled cases*)
)
apply(induction ms)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm action.split)
apply(simp add: fixedaction-Log fixedaction-Empty)
done

lemma match-list-False:  $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\Longrightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ms) Undecided = Undecided
apply(induction ms)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm action.split)
done

```

```

lemma match-list-semantics: match-list  $\gamma$  ms1 a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  ms2 a p
 $\Longrightarrow$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ms1) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ms2) s
apply(case-tac s)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
apply(simp)
apply(thin-tac s = ?un)
apply(induction ms2)
apply(simp)
apply(induction ms1)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(rename-tac m ms2)
apply(simp del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
apply(simp split: action.split add: match-list-True fixedaction-Log fixedaction-Empty)
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma match-list-singleton: match-list  $\gamma$  [m] a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p by(simp)

```

```

lemma empty-concat: (concat (map (λx. []) ms)) = []
apply(induction ms)
by(simp-all)

lemma match-list-append: match-list γ (m1@m2) a p ↔ (¬ match-list γ m1
a p → match-list γ m2 a p)
apply(induction m1)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

lemma match-list-helper1: ¬ matches γ m2 a p ⇒ match-list γ (map (λx.
MatchAnd x m2) m1') a p ⇒ False
apply(induction m1')
apply(simp)
apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper2: ¬ matches γ m a p ⇒ ¬ match-list γ (map (MatchAnd
m) m2') a p
apply(induction m2')
apply(simp)
apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper3: matches γ m a p ⇒ match-list γ m2' a p ⇒
match-list γ (map (MatchAnd m) m2') a p
apply(induction m2')
apply(simp)
apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
by (simp add: matches-simps)

lemma match-list-helper4: ¬ match-list γ m2' a p ⇒ ¬ match-list γ (map
(MatchAnd aa) m2') a p
apply(induction m2')
apply(simp)
apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper5: ¬ match-list γ m2' a p ⇒ ¬ match-list γ (concat
(map (λx. map (MatchAnd x) m2') m1')) a p
apply(induction m2')
apply(simp add:empty-concat)
apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
apply(induction m1')
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: match-list-append)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper6: ¬ match-list γ m1' a p ⇒ ¬ match-list γ (concat
(map (λx. map (MatchAnd x) m2') m1')) a p
apply(induction m2')
apply(simp add:empty-concat)

```

```

apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
apply(induction m1')
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: match-list-append split: split-if-asm)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemmas match-list-helper = match-list-helper1 match-list-helper2 match-list-helper3
match-list-helper4 match-list-helper5 match-list-helper6
hide-fact match-list-helper1 match-list-helper2 match-list-helper3 match-list-helper4
match-list-helper5 match-list-helper6

lemma match-list-map-And1: matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p = match-list  $\gamma$  m1' a p  $\implies$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map ( $\lambda x$ . MatchAnd
x m2) m1') a p
apply(induction m1')
apply(auto dest: matches-dest)[1]
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply safe
apply(simp-all add: matches-simps)
apply(auto dest: match-list-helper(1))[1]
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma matches-list-And-concat: matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p = match-list  $\gamma$  m1' a p  $\implies$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  m2 a p = match-list  $\gamma$  m2' a p  $\implies$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  [MatchAnd x y. x
<- m1', y <- m2'] a p
apply(induction m1')
apply(auto dest: matches-dest)[1]
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: match-list-append)
apply(subgoal-tac  $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map (MatchAnd aa) m2') a p)
apply(simp)
apply safe
apply(simp-all add: matches-simps match-list-append match-list-helper)
done

lemma fixedaction-wf-ruleset: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ms)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$ 
  match-list  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\vee$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  chain. a = Call chain)  $\wedge$  a  $\neq$  Return  $\wedge$  a  $\neq$  Unknown
proof -
have helper:  $\bigwedge a b c. a \longleftrightarrow c \implies (a \longrightarrow b) = (c \longrightarrow b)$  by fast
show ?thesis
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(rule helper)
apply(induction ms)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

```

qed

**lemma** *wf-ruleset-singleton*:  $wf\text{-}ruleset\ \gamma\ p\ [Rule\ m\ a] \longleftrightarrow \neg\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \vee$   
 $\neg\ (\exists\ chain.\ a = Call\ chain) \wedge a \neq Return \wedge a \neq Unknown$   
**by**(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

## 13 Normalized (DNF) matches

simplify a match expression. The output is a list of match expressions, the semantics is  $\vee$  of the list elements.

**fun** *normalize-match* :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr list **where**  
*normalize-match* (MatchAny) = [MatchAny] |  
*normalize-match* (Match m) = [Match m] |  
*normalize-match* (MatchAnd m1 m2) = [MatchAnd x y. x <- *normalize-match* m1, y <- *normalize-match* m2](\*[MatchAnd m1 m2]\*)(\*and-orlist (*normalize-match* m1) (*normalize-match* m2)\*) |  
*normalize-match* (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) = *normalize-match* (MatchNot m1) @ *normalize-match* (MatchNot m2) |  
*normalize-match* (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = *normalize-match* m |  
*normalize-match* (MatchNot (MatchAny)) = [] |  
*normalize-match* (MatchNot (Match m)) = [MatchNot (Match m)]

**lemma** *match-list-normalize-match*:  $match\text{-}list\ \gamma\ [m]\ a\ p \longleftrightarrow match\text{-}list\ \gamma\ (normalize\text{-}match\ m)\ a\ p$

**proof**(*induction m rule:normalize-match.induct*)  
**case 1 thus** ?case **by**(*simp add: match-list-singleton*)  
**next**  
**case 2 thus** ?case **by**(*simp add: match-list-singleton*)  
**next**  
**case (3 m1 m2) thus** ?case  
  **apply**(*simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2)*)  
  **apply**(*case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p*)  
  **apply**(*rule matches-list-And-concat*)  
  **apply**(*simp*)  
  **apply**(*case-tac (normalize-match m1)*)  
  **apply** *simp*  
  **apply** (*auto*)[1]  
  **apply**(*simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches match-list-helper*)  
  **done**  
**next**  
**case 4 thus** ?case  
  **apply**(*simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2)*)  
  **apply**(*simp add: match-list-append*)  
  **apply**(*safe*)  
  **apply**(*simp-all add: matches-DeMorgan*)  
  **done**  
**next**  
**case 5 thus** ?case

```

    apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
    apply (metis matches-not-idem)
  done
next
case 6 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3))
next
case 7 thus ?case by(simp add: match-list-singleton)
qed

```

**thm** *match-list-normalize-match*[simplified match-list-singleton]

**theorem** *normalize-match-correct*: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ ) (normalize-match  $m$ ))  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  [Rule  $m$   $a$ ]  $s$   
**apply**(rule match-list-semanticsof - - - [m], simplified])  
**using** match-list-normalize-match **by** fastforce

**lemma** *normalize-match-empty*: normalize-match  $m$  = []  $\implies \neg$  matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $a$   $p$   
**proof**(induction  $m$  rule: normalize-match.induct)  
 case 3 thus ?case **by** (simp) (metis ex-in-conv matches-simp2 matches-simp22 set-empty)  
 next  
 case 4 thus ?case **using** match-list-normalize-match **by** (metis match-list.simps)  
 next  
 case 5 thus ?case **using** matches-not-idem **by** fastforce  
 next  
 case 6 thus ?case **by** (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3) matches-def matches-tuple)  
**qed**(simp-all)

**lemma** *matches-to-match-list-normalize*: matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $a$   $p$  = match-list  $\gamma$  (normalize-match  $m$ )  $a$   $p$   
**using** match-list-normalize-match[simplified match-list-singleton] .

**lemma** *wf-ruleset-normalize-match*: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$  [(Rule  $m$   $a$ )]  $\implies$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ ) (normalize-match  $m$ ))  
**proof**(induction  $m$  rule: normalize-match.induct)  
 case 1 thus ?case **by** simp  
 next  
 case 2 thus ?case **by** simp  
 next  
 case 3 thus ?case  
 apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset )  
 apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)  
 apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)



```

done
next
case 4 thus ?case
  apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-append)
  apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset)
  apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-append)
done
next
case 5 thus ?case
  apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
  apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
done
next
case 6 thus ?case by(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
next
case 7 thus ?case by(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-append)
qed

```

**lemma** *normalize-match-wf-ruleset: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (normalize-match m))  $\implies$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [Rule m a]*

**proof**(*induction m rule: normalize-match.induct*)

```

case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case 2 thus ?case by simp
next
case 3 thus ?case
  apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset )
  apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
  apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
done
next
case 4 thus ?case
  apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-append)
  apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset)
  apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-append)
done
next
case 5 thus ?case
  apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
  apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
done
next

```

```

case 6 thus ?case unfolding wf-ruleset-singleton using bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3)
by metis
next
case 7 thus ?case by(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-append)
qed

```

```

fun normalize-rules :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  normalize-rules [] = [] |
  normalize-rules ((Rule m a)#rs) = (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule m a) (normalize-match
m))@(normalize-rules rs)

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-singleton: normalize-rules [Rule m a] = map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule m
a) (normalize-match m) by simp

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-fst: (normalize-rules (r # rs)) = (normalize-rules [r]) @
(normalize-rules rs)
by(cases r) (simp)

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-normalize-match: good-ruleset [(Rule m a)]  $\implies$  good-ruleset
(map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule m a) (normalize-match m))
by(simp add: good-ruleset-def)

```

```

lemma wf-ruleset-normalize-rules: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs  $\implies$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules
rs)
proof(induction rs)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case(Cons r rs)
from Cons have IH: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules rs) by(auto dest: wf-rulesetD)

from Cons.prem1 have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] by(auto dest: wf-rulesetD)
hence wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r]) using wf-ruleset-normalize-match
by(cases r) simp
with IH wf-ruleset-append have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r] @ normalize-rules
rs) by fast
thus ?case by(subst normalize-rules-fst)
qed

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-normalize-rules: good-ruleset rs  $\implies$  good-ruleset (normalize-rules
rs)
proof(induction rs)
case Nil thus ?case by (simp add: good-ruleset-tail)
next

```

```

    case(Cons r rs)
      from Cons have IH: good-ruleset (normalize-rules rs) using good-ruleset-tail
    by blast
      from Cons.premis have good-ruleset [r] using good-ruleset-fst by fast
      hence good-ruleset (normalize-rules [r]) by (cases r) (simp add: good-ruleset-normalize-match)
      with IH good-ruleset-append have good-ruleset (normalize-rules [r] @ normalize-rules
rs) by blast
      thus ?case by (subst normalize-rules-fst)
    qed

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-correct: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p (normalize-rules rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs s
proof(induction rs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons r rs)
    thus ?case (is ?goal)
    proof(cases s)
      case Decision thus ?goal
        by (simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
      next
        case Undecided
          from Cons wf-rulesetD(2) have IH: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules
rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs s by fast
          from Cons.premis have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] and wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules
[r])
            by (auto dest: wf-rulesetD simp: wf-ruleset-normalize-rules)
          with IH Undecided have
            approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules rs) (approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r]) Undecided) = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # rs)
            Undecided
            apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
            apply(simp)
            apply(case-tac a)
            apply(simp-all add: normalize-match-correct Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun
wf-ruleset-singleton)
          done
          hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r] @ normalize-rules rs)
s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) s
            using Undecided  $\langle$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r]  $\rangle$   $\langle$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r])  $\rangle$ 
            by (simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf)
          thus ?goal using normalize-rules-fst by metis
        qed
      qed
    qed

```

```

fun normalized-match :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

normalized-match MatchAny = True |
normalized-match (Match -) = True |
normalized-match (MatchNot (Match -)) = True |
normalized-match (MatchAnd m1 m2) = ((normalized-match m1) ∧ (normalized-match
m2)) |
normalized-match - = False

```

Essentially, *normalized-match* checks for a negation normal form: Only AND is at toplevel, negation only occurs in front of literals. Since 'a *match-expr* does not support OR, the result is in conjunction normal form. Applying *normalize-match*, the result is a list. Essentially, this is the disjunctive normal form.

**lemma** *normalized-match-normalize-match*:  $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-match } m). \text{normalized-match } m'$

```

proof(induction m arbitrary: rule: normalize-match.induct)
case 4 thus ?case by fastforce
qed (simp-all)

```

Example

**lemma** *normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchAnd (Match ip-src) (Match tcp))) = [MatchNot (Match ip-src), MatchNot (Match tcp)]* **by** simp

```

end
theory Iptables-Semantics
imports Semantics-Embeddings Fixed-Action
begin

```

## 14 Normalizing Rulesets in the Boolean Big Step Semantics

**corollary** *normalize-rules-correct-BooleanSemantics*:

```

assumes good-ruleset rs
shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{normalize-rules } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof -
from assms have assm': good-ruleset (normalize-rules rs) by (metis good-ruleset-normalize-rules)

from normalize-rules-correct assms good-imp-wf-ruleset have
 $\forall \beta \alpha. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } (\beta, \alpha) p (\text{normalize-rules } rs) s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $(\beta, \alpha) p rs s$  by fast
hence
 $\forall \alpha. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } (\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma, \alpha) p (\text{normalize-rules } rs) s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $(\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma, \alpha) p rs s$  by fast
with  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -approximating-bigstep-fun-iff-iptables-bigstep assms assm' show ?thesis
by metis
qed

```

```

end
theory Negation-Type
imports Main
begin

```

## 15 Negation Type

Only negated or non-negated literals

**datatype** *'a negation-type* = *Pos 'a* | *Neg 'a*

```

fun getPos :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  getPos [] = [] |
  getPos ((Pos x)#xs) = x#(getPos xs) |
  getPos (-#xs) = getPos xs

```

```

fun getNeg :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  getNeg [] = [] |
  getNeg ((Neg x)#xs) = x#(getNeg xs) |
  getNeg (-#xs) = getNeg xs

```

If there is *'a negation-type*, then apply a *map* only to *'a*. I.e. keep *Neg* and *Pos*

```

fun NegPos-map :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'b negation-type list where
  NegPos-map - [] = [] |
  NegPos-map f ((Pos a)#as) = (Pos (f a))#NegPos-map f as |
  NegPos-map f ((Neg a)#as) = (Neg (f a))#NegPos-map f as

```

Example

**lemma** *NegPos-map* ( $\lambda x::nat. x+1$ ) [*Pos* 0, *Neg* 1] = [*Pos* 1, *Neg* 2] **by** *eval*

**lemma** *getPos-NegPos-map-simp*: (*getPos* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map Pos src*))) = *map X src*

**by**(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *getNeg-NegPos-map-simp*: (*getNeg* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map Neg src*))) = *map X src*

**by**(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *getNeg-Pos-empty*: (*getNeg* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map Pos src*))) = []

**by**(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *getNeg-Neg-empty*: (*getPos* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map Neg src*))) = []

**by**(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *getPos-NegPos-map-simp2*: (*getPos* (*NegPos-map* *X src*)) = *map X* (*getPos src*)

**by**(*induction src rule: getPos.induct*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *getNeg-NegPos-map-simp2*: (*getNeg* (*NegPos-map* *X src*)) = *map X* (*getNeg src*)

**by**(*induction src rule: getPos.induct*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *getPos-id*: (*getPos* (*map Pos* (*getPos src*))) = *getPos src*

```

    by(induction src rule: getPos.induct) (simp-all)
lemma getNeg-id: (getNeg (map Neg (getNeg src))) = getNeg src
    by(induction src rule: getNeg.induct) (simp-all)
lemma getPos-empty2: (getPos (map Neg src)) = []
    by(induction src) (simp-all)
lemma getNeg-empty2: (getNeg (map Pos src)) = []
    by(induction src) (simp-all)

lemmas NegPos-map-simps = getPos-NegPos-map-simp getNeg-NegPos-map-simp
getNeg-Pos-empty getNeg-Neg-empty getPos-NegPos-map-simp2
getNeg-NegPos-map-simp2 getPos-id getNeg-id getPos-empty2
getNeg-empty2

lemma NegPos-map-append: NegPos-map C (as @ bs) = NegPos-map C as @
NegPos-map C bs
    by(induction as rule: getNeg.induct) (simp-all)

lemma getPos-set: Pos a ∈ set x ⟷ a ∈ set (getPos x)
    apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
    apply(auto)
    done
lemma getNeg-set: Neg a ∈ set x ⟷ a ∈ set (getNeg x)
    apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
    apply(auto)
    done
lemma getPosgetNeg-subset: set x ⊆ set x' ⟷ set (getPos x) ⊆ set (getPos x')
    ∧ set (getNeg x) ⊆ set (getNeg x')
    apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: getPos-set)
    apply(rule iffI)
    apply(simp-all add: getPos-set getNeg-set)
    done
lemma set-Pos-getPos-subset: Pos ' set (getPos x) ⊆ set x
    apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply blast+
    done
lemma set-Neg-getNeg-subset: Neg ' set (getNeg x) ⊆ set x
    apply(induction x rule: getNeg.induct)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply blast+
    done
lemmas NegPos-set = getPos-set getNeg-set getPosgetNeg-subset set-Pos-getPos-subset
set-Neg-getNeg-subset
hide-fact getPos-set getNeg-set getPosgetNeg-subset set-Pos-getPos-subset set-Neg-getNeg-subset

fun invert :: 'a negation-type ⇒ 'a negation-type where

```

```

invert (Pos x) = Neg x |
invert (Neg x) = (Pos x)

end
theory Negation-Type-Matching
imports Negation-Type ../Matching-Ternary ../Datatype-Selectors ../Fixed-Action
begin

```

## 16 Negation Type Matching

Transform a '*a* negation-type list to a '*a* match-expr via conjunction.

```

fun alist-and :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  alist-and [] = MatchAny |
  alist-and ((Pos e)#es) = MatchAnd (Match e) (alist-and es) |
  alist-and ((Neg e)#es) = MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match e)) (alist-and es)

fun negation-type-to-match-expr :: 'a negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  negation-type-to-match-expr (Pos e) = (Match e) |
  negation-type-to-match-expr (Neg e) = (MatchNot (Match e))
lemma alist-and-negation-type-to-match-expr: alist-and (n#es) = MatchAnd (negation-type-to-match-expr
n) (alist-and es)
by(cases n, simp-all)

lemma alist-and-append: matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (l1 @ l2)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$ 
(MatchAnd (alist-and l1) (alist-and l2)) a p
apply(induction l1)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(rename-tac l l1)
apply(case-tac l)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done

fun to-negation-type-nnf :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type list where
  to-negation-type-nnf MatchAny = [] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (Match a) = [Pos a] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (MatchNot (Match a)) = [Neg a] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (MatchAnd a b) = (to-negation-type-nnf a) @ (to-negation-type-nnf
b)

lemma normalized-match m  $\Longrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (to-negation-type-nnf m))
a p = matches  $\gamma$  m a p
apply(induction m rule: to-negation-type-nnf.induct)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches alist-and-append)
done

```

Isolating the matching semantics

```
fun nt-match-list :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type
list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  nt-match-list - - - [] = True |
  nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p ((Pos x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (Match x) a p  $\wedge$  nt-match-list
 $\gamma$  a p xs |
  nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p ((Neg x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (Match x)) a p  $\wedge$ 
nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p xs
```

```
lemma nt-match-list-matches: nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p l  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and l) a
p
apply(induction l rule: alist-and.induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac [|]  $\gamma$ )
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done
```

```
lemma nt-match-list-simp: nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p ms  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ms). \text{matches } \gamma (\text{Match } m) a p$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ms).$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (Match m)) a p)
apply(induction  $\gamma$  a p ms rule: nt-match-list.induct)
apply(simp-all)
by fastforce
```

```
lemma matches-alist-and: matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and l) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } l).$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (Match m) a p)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } l). \text{matches } \gamma$  (MatchNot (Match
  m)) a p)
by (metis (poly-guards-query) nt-match-list-matches nt-match-list-simp)
```

Test if a *disc* is in the match expression. For example, it call tell whether there are some matches for *Src ip*.

```
fun has-disc :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  has-disc - MatchAny = False |
  has-disc disc (Match a) = disc a |
  has-disc disc (MatchNot m) = has-disc disc m |
  has-disc disc (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (has-disc disc m1  $\vee$  has-disc disc m2)
```

The following function takes a tuple of functions ( $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \times ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ ) and a 'a match-expr. The passed function tuple must be the discriminator and selector of the datatype package. *primitive-extractor* filters the 'a match-expr and returns a tuple. The first element of the returned tuple is the filtered primitive matches, the second element is the remaining match expression.

It requires a *normalized-match*.

```
fun primitive-extractor :: (('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b))  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  ('b
negation-type list  $\times$  'a match-expr) where
```



```

primitive-extractor - MatchAny = ([], MatchAny) |
primitive-extractor (disc, sel) (Match a) = (if disc a then ([Pos (sel a)], MatchAny)
else ([], Match a)) |
primitive-extractor (disc, sel) (MatchNot (Match a)) = (if disc a then ([Neg (sel
a)], MatchAny) else ([], MatchNot (Match a))) |
primitive-extractor C (MatchAnd ms1 ms2) = (
  let (a1', ms1') = primitive-extractor C ms1;
      (a2', ms2') = primitive-extractor C ms2
  in (a1'@a2', MatchAnd ms1' ms2'))

```

The first part returned by *primitive-extractor*, here *as*: A list of primitive match expressions. For example, let  $m = \text{MatchAnd } (\text{Src } ip1) (\text{Dst } ip2)$  then, using the src (*disc*, *sel*), the result is  $[ip1]$ . Note that *Src* is stripped from the result.

The second part, here *ms* is the match expression which was not extracted. Together, the first and second part match iff *m* matches.

**theorem** *primitive-extractor-correct*: **assumes**

*normalized-match m and wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C and primitive-extractor (disc, sel) m = (as, ms)*

**shows** *matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map C as)) a p  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p*

**and** *normalized-match ms*

**and**  $\neg \text{has-disc disc ms}$

**and**  $\forall \text{disc2. } \neg \text{has-disc disc2 m} \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc disc2 ms}$

**proof** –

— better simplification rule

**from** *assms* **have** *assm3'*:  $(as, ms) = \text{primitive-extractor } (disc, sel) m$  **by** *simp*

**with** *assms(1) assms(2)* **show** *matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map C as)) a p  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p*

**apply**(*induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches wf-disc-sel.simps*

*split: split-if-asm*)

**apply**(*simp split: split-if-asm split-split-asm add: NegPos-map-append*)

**apply**(*auto simp add: alist-and-append bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)

**done**

**from** *assms(1) assm3'* **show** *normalized-match ms*

**apply**(*induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*simp split: split-if-asm*)

**apply**(*simp split: split-if-asm*)

**apply**(*clarify*)

**apply**(*simp split: split-split-asm*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**done**

```

from assms(1) assm3' show  $\neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc } ms$ 
apply(induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct)
by(simp-all split: split-if-asm split-split-asm)

from assms(1) assm3' show  $\forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2}$ 
ms
apply(induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(clarify)
apply(simp split: split-split-asm)
apply(simp-all)
done
qed

```

**lemma** *primitive-extractor-matchesE*:  $\text{wf-disc-sel } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) \ C \implies \text{normalized-match } m \implies \text{primitive-extractor } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) \ m = (\text{as}, \text{ms})$

$\implies$

$(\text{normalized-match } ms \implies \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc } ms \implies (\forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ms) \implies \text{matches-other} \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p)$

$\implies$

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } C \ \text{as})) \ a \ p \wedge \text{matches-other} \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$

**using** *primitive-extractor-correct* **by** *metis*

**lemma** *primitive-extractor-matches-lastE*:  $\text{wf-disc-sel } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) \ C \implies \text{normalized-match } m \implies \text{primitive-extractor } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) \ m = (\text{as}, \text{ms})$

$\implies$

$(\text{normalized-match } ms \implies \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc } ms \implies (\forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ms) \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p)$

$\implies$

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } C \ \text{as})) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$

**using** *primitive-extractor-correct* **by** *metis*

The lemmas  $\llbracket \text{wf-disc-sel } (?disc, ?sel) \ ?C; \text{normalized-match } ?m; \text{primitive-extractor } (?disc, ?sel) \ ?m = (?as, ?ms); \llbracket \text{normalized-match } ?ms; \neg \text{has-disc } ?disc \ ?ms; \forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ?m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ?ms \rrbracket \implies ?\text{matches-other} = \text{matches } ?\gamma \ ?ms \ ?a \ ?p \rrbracket \implies (\text{matches } ?\gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } ?C \ ?as)) \ ?a \ ?p \wedge ?\text{matches-other}) = \text{matches } ?\gamma \ ?m \ ?a \ ?p$  and  $\llbracket \text{wf-disc-sel } (?disc, ?sel) \ ?C; \text{normalized-match } ?m; \text{primitive-extractor } (?disc, ?sel) \ ?m = (?as, ?ms); \llbracket \text{normalized-match } ?ms; \neg \text{has-disc } ?disc \ ?ms; \forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ?m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ?ms \rrbracket \implies \text{matches } ?\gamma \ ?ms \ ?a \ ?p \rrbracket \implies \text{matches } ?\gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } ?C \ ?as)) \ ?a \ ?p = \text{matches } ?\gamma$

*?m ?a ?p* can be used as erule to solve goals about consecutive application of *primitive-extractor*. They should be used as *primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-for-first-extracted-thing]*.

```

end
theory Packet-Set-Impl
imports Fixed-Action-Output-Format/Negation-Type-Matching-Datatype-Selectors
begin

```

## 17 Util: listprod

**definition** *listprod* :: *nat list*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where** *listprod as*  $\equiv$  *foldr (op \*) as 1*

```

lemma listprod-append[simp]: listprod (as @ bs) = listprod as * listprod bs
apply(induction as arbitrary: bs)
apply(simp-all add: listprod-def)
done
lemma listprod-simps [simp]:
  listprod [] = 1
  listprod (x # xs) = x * listprod xs
by (simp-all add: listprod-def)
lemma distinct as  $\implies$  listprod as =  $\prod$  (set as)
by(induction as) simp-all

```

## 18 Executable Packet Set Representation

Recall: *alist-and* transforms *'a negation-type list*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a match-expr* and uses conjunction as connective.

Symbolic (executable) representation. inner is  $\wedge$ , outer is  $\vee$

**datatype-new** *'a packet-set* = *PacketSet* (*packet-set-repr*: (*'a negation-type*  $\times$  *action negation-type*) *list*) *list*)

Essentially, the *'a list list* structure represents a DNF. See *Negation\_Type\_DNF.thy* for a pure Boolean version (without matching).

**definition** *to-packet-set* :: *action*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a match-expr*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a packet-set* **where**  
*to-packet-set a m* = *PacketSet* (*map* (*map* ( $\lambda m'. (m', Pos a)$ ) *o to-negation-type-nnf*)  
(*normalize-match m*))

```

fun get-action :: action negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  action where
  get-action (Pos a) = a |
  get-action (Neg a) = a

```

```

fun get-action-sign :: action negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  (bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool) where
  get-action-sign (Pos -) = id |
  get-action-sign (Neg -) = ( $\lambda m. \neg m$ )

```

We collect all entries of the outer list. For the inner list, we request that a packet matches all the entries. A negated action means that the expression must not match. Recall:  $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m) \text{ } a \text{ } p \neq (\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p)$ , due to *TernaryUnknown*

**definition** *packet-set-to-set* :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'packet set **where**

$\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ } ps \equiv \bigcup ms \in \text{set } (\text{packet-set-repr } ps). \{p. \forall (m, a) \in \text{set } ms. \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr } m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } p)\}$

**lemma** *packet-set-to-set-alt*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ } ps = (\bigcup ms \in \text{set } (\text{packet-set-repr } ps)).$

$\{p. \forall m \ a. (m, a) \in \text{set } ms \longrightarrow \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr } m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } p)\}$

**unfolding** *packet-set-to-set-def*

**by** *fast*

We really have a disjunctive normal form

**lemma** *packet-set-to-set-alt2*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ } ps = (\bigcup ms \in \text{set } (\text{packet-set-repr } ps)).$

$(\bigcap (m, a) \in \text{set } ms. \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr } m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } p)\})$

**unfolding** *packet-set-to-set-alt*

**by** *blast*

**lemma** *to-packet-set-correct*:  $p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (to-packet-set } a \text{ } m) \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$

**apply**(*simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-to-set-def*)

**apply**(*rule iffI*)

**apply**(*clarify*)

**apply**(*induction m rule: normalize-match.induct*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)

**apply** *force*

**apply** (*metis matches-DeMorgan*)

**apply**(*induction m rule: normalize-match.induct*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)

**apply** (*metis Un-iff*)

**apply** (*metis Un-iff matches-DeMorgan*)

**done**

**lemma** *to-packet-set-set*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (to-packet-set } a \text{ } m) = \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p\}$

**using** *to-packet-set-correct* **by** *fast*

**definition** *packet-set-UNIV* :: 'a packet-set **where**

$\text{packet-set-UNIV} \equiv \text{PacketSet } []$

**lemma** *packet-set-UNIV*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ packet-set-UNIV} = \text{UNIV}$

**by**(*simp add: packet-set-UNIV-def packet-set-to-set-def*)

```

definition packet-set-Empty :: 'a packet-set where
  packet-set-Empty  $\equiv$  PacketSet []
lemma packet-set-Empty: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  packet-set-Empty = {}
by(simp add: packet-set-Empty-def packet-set-to-set-def)

```

If the matching agrees for two actions, then the packet sets are also equal

```

lemma  $\forall p. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a1 \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a2 \ p \implies \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma$ 
 $(\text{to-packet-set } a1 \ m) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{to-packet-set } a2 \ m)$ 
apply(subst(asm) to-packet-set-correct[symmetric])+
apply safe
apply simp-all
done

```

### 18.0.1 Basic Set Operations

$\cap$

```

fun packet-set-intersect :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-intersect (PacketSet olist1) (PacketSet olist2) = PacketSet [andlist1
@ andlist2. andlist1 <- olist1, andlist2 <- olist2]

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect (PacketSet [[a,b], [c,d]]) (PacketSet [[v,w], [x,y]])
= PacketSet [[a, b, v, w], [a, b, x, y], [c, d, v, w], [c, d, x, y]] by simp

```

```

declare packet-set-intersect.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-intersect: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-intersect
P1 P2) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P1  $\cap$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P2
unfolding packet-set-to-set-def
apply(cases P1)
apply(cases P2)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: packet-set-intersect.simps)
apply blast
done

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-intersect
(to-packet-set a m1) (to-packet-set a m2)) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (to-packet-set a
(MatchAnd m1 m2))
apply(simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-intersect.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)

```

```

apply safe
apply simp-all
apply blast+
done

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-correct':  $p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{packet-set-intersect}$ 
 $(\text{to-packet-set } a \ m1) \ (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m2)) \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a$ 

```

*p*  
**apply**(*simp add: to-packet-set-correct[symmetric]*)  
**using** *packet-set-intersect-correct* **by** *fast*

The length of the result is the product of the input lengths

**lemma** *packet-set-intersect-length: length (packet-set-repr (packet-set-intersect (PacketSet ass) (PacketSet bss))) = length ass \* length bss*  
**by**(*induction ass*) (*simp-all add: packet-set-intersect.simps*)

∪

**fun** *packet-set-union* :: '*a* packet-set ⇒ '*a* packet-set ⇒ '*a* packet-set **where**  
*packet-set-union* (PacketSet olist1) (PacketSet olist2) = PacketSet (olist1 @ olist2)  
**declare** *packet-set-union.simps*[*simp del*]

**lemma** *packet-set-union-correct: packet-set-to-set γ (packet-set-union P1 P2)*  
= *packet-set-to-set γ P1 ∪ packet-set-to-set γ P2*  
**unfolding** *packet-set-to-set-def*  
**apply**(*cases P1*)  
**apply**(*cases P2*)  
**apply**(*simp add: packet-set-union.simps*)  
**done**

**lemma** *packet-set-append:*  
*packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (p1 @ p2)) = packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet p1) ∪ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet p2)*  
**by**(*simp add: packet-set-to-set-def*)  
**lemma** *packet-set-cons: packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (a # p3)) = packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet [a]) ∪ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet p3)*  
**by**(*simp add: packet-set-to-set-def*)

—

**fun** *listprepend* :: '*a* list ⇒ '*a* list list ⇒ '*a* list list **where**  
*listprepend* [] *ns* = [] |  
*listprepend* (*a* # *as*) *ns* = (map (λ*xs*. *a* # *xs*) *ns*) @ (*listprepend as ns*)

The returned result of *listprepend* can get long.

**lemma** *listprepend-length: length (listprepend as bss) = length as \* length bss*  
**by**(*induction as*) (*simp-all*)

**lemma** *packet-set-map-a-and: packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (map (op # a) ds)) = packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet [[a]]) ∩ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet ds)*  
**apply**(*induction ds*)  
**apply**(*simp-all add: packet-set-to-set-def*)  
**apply**(*case-tac a*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply** *blast+*  
**done**

**lemma** *listprepend-correct*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet (listprepend as ds))} = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet (map } (\lambda a. [a]) \text{ as))} \cap \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet ds)}$

**apply**(*induction as arbitrary*: )  
**apply**(*simp add*: *packet-set-to-set-alt*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*rename-tac a as*)  
**apply**(*simp add*: *packet-set-map-a-and packet-set-append*)

**apply**(*subst*(2) *packet-set-cons*)  
**by** *blast*

**lemma** *packet-set-to-set-map-singleton*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet (map } (\lambda a. [a]) \text{ as))} = (\bigcup a \in \text{set as. } \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet [[a]]))$   
**by**(*simp add*: *packet-set-to-set-alt*)

**fun** *invertt* :: ('a negation-type × action negation-type) ⇒ ('a negation-type × action negation-type) **where**  
*invertt* (n, a) = (n, invert a)

**lemma** *singleton-invertt*:  $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet [[invertt n]])} = - \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet [[n]])}$   
**apply**(*simp add*: *to-packet-set-def packet-set-intersect.simps packet-set-to-set-alt*)  
**apply**(*case-tac n, rename-tac m a*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*case-tac a*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply** *safe*  
**done**

**lemma** *packet-set-to-set-map-singleton-invertt*:  
 $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet (map ((\lambda a. [a]) \circ \text{invertt}) d))} = - (\bigcap a \in \text{set d. } \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (PacketSet [[a]]))$   
**apply**(*induction d*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*simp add*: *packet-set-to-set-alt*)  
**apply**(*simp add*: )  
**apply**(*subst*(1) *packet-set-cons*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*simp add*: *packet-set-to-set-map-singleton singleton-invertt*)  
**done**

**fun** *packet-set-not-internal* :: ('a negation-type × action negation-type) list list  
⇒ ('a negation-type × action negation-type) list list **where**  
*packet-set-not-internal* [] = [[]] |  
*packet-set-not-internal* (ns#nss) = *listprepend* (map *invertt* ns) (*packet-set-not-internal* nss)

**lemma** *packet-set-not-internal-length*:  $\text{length (packet-set-not-internal ass)} =$

```
listprod ([length n. n <- ass])
  by(induction ass) (simp-all add: listprepend-length)
```

```
lemma packet-set-not-internal-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (packet-set-not-internal
d)) = - packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet d)
  apply(induction d)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(rename-tac d ds)
  apply(simp add: )
  apply(simp add: listprepend-correct)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-map-singleton-invertt)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
  by blast
```

```
fun packet-set-not :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-not (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (packet-set-not-internal ps)
declare packet-set-not.simps[simp del]
```

The length of the result of *packet-set-not* is the multiplication over the length of all the inner sets. Warning: gets huge! See *length (packet-set-not-internal ?ass) = listprod (map length ?ass)*

```
lemma packet-set-not-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-not P) = - packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  P
  apply(cases P)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-not.simps)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-not-internal-correct)
  done
```

### 18.0.2 Derived Operations

```
definition packet-set-constrain :: action  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a
packet-set where
  packet-set-constrain a m ns = packet-set-intersect ns (to-packet-set a m)
```

```
theorem packet-set-constrain-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-constrain a
m P) = {p  $\in$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P. matches  $\gamma$  m a p}
unfolding packet-set-constrain-def
unfolding packet-set-intersect-intersect
unfolding to-packet-set-set
by blast
```

Warning: result gets huge

```
definition packet-set-constrain-not :: action  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set
 $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-constrain-not a m ns = packet-set-intersect ns (packet-set-not (to-packet-set
a m))
```



```

theorem packet-set-constrain-not-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-constrain-not
a m P) = {p  $\in$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p}
unfolding packet-set-constrain-not-def
unfolding packet-set-intersect-intersect
unfolding packet-set-not-correct
unfolding to-packet-set-set
by blast

```

### 18.0.3 Optimizing

```

fun packet-set-opt1 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt1 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (map remdups (remdups ps))
declare packet-set-opt1.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-opt1-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt1 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt packet-set-opt1.simps)

fun packet-set-opt2-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list
 $\Rightarrow$  (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list where
  packet-set-opt2-internal [] = [] |

  packet-set-opt2-internal ([_#ps]) = [ ] |

```

```

  packet-set-opt2-internal (as#ps) = as#(if length as  $\leq$  5 then packet-set-opt2-internal
((filter ( $\lambda$ ass.  $\neg$  set as  $\subseteq$  set ass) ps)) else packet-set-opt2-internal ps)

```

```

lemma packet-set-opt2-internal-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (packet-set-opt2-internal
ps)) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet ps)
apply(induction ps rule:packet-set-opt2-internal.induct)
apply(simp-all add: packet-set-UNIV)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(safe)[1]
apply(simp-all)
apply blast+

done

```

```

export-code packet-set-opt2-internal in SML

```

```

fun packet-set-opt2 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt2 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (packet-set-opt2-internal ps)

```

```

declare packet-set-opt2.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-opt2-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt2 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-opt2.simps packet-set-opt2-internal-correct)

```

If we sort by length, we will hopefully get better results when applying *packet-set-opt2*.

```

fun packet-set-opt3 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt3 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (sort-key ( $\lambda p$ . length p) ps)
declare packet-set-opt3.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-opt3-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt3 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-opt3.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)

```

```

fun packet-set-opt4-internal-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type)
list)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  packet-set-opt4-internal-internal cs = ( $\forall$  (m, a)  $\in$  set cs. (m, invert a)  $\notin$  set
cs)
fun packet-set-opt4 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt4 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (filter packet-set-opt4-internal-internal
ps)
declare packet-set-opt4.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-opt4-internal-internal-helper: assumes
   $\forall m a. (m, a) \in \text{set } xb \longrightarrow \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr }
m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } xa)$ 
shows  $\forall (m, a) \in \text{set } xb. (m, \text{invert } a) \notin \text{set } xb$ 
proof(clarify)
  fix a b
  assume a1: (a, b)  $\in$  set xb and a2: (a, invert b)  $\in$  set xb
  from assms a1 have 1: get-action-sign b (matches  $\gamma$  (negation-type-to-match-expr
a) (get-action b) xa) by simp
  from assms a2 have 2: get-action-sign (invert b) (matches  $\gamma$  (negation-type-to-match-expr
a) (get-action (invert b)) xa) by simp
  from 1 2 show False
  by(cases b) (simp-all)
qed
lemma packet-set-opt4-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt4 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  apply(cases ps, clarify)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-opt4.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(rule)
  apply blast
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule-tac x=xb in exI)
  apply(simp)

```

**using** *packet-set-opt4-internal-internal-helper* **by** *fast*

**definition** *packet-set-opt* :: 'a *packet-set*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *packet-set* **where**  
*packet-set-opt* *ps* = *packet-set-opt1* (*packet-set-opt2* (*packet-set-opt3* (*packet-set-opt4* *ps*))))

**lemma** *packet-set-opt-correct*: *packet-set-to-set*  $\gamma$  (*packet-set-opt* *ps*) = *packet-set-to-set*  $\gamma$  *ps*

**using** *packet-set-opt-def* *packet-set-opt2-correct* *packet-set-opt3-correct* *packet-set-opt4-correct* *packet-set-opt1-correct* **by** *metis*

## 18.1 Conjunction Normal Form Packet Set

**datatype-new** 'a *packet-set-cnf* = *PacketSetCNF* (*packet-set-repr-cnf*: (('a *negation-type*  $\times$  *action negation-type*) *list*) *list*)

**lemma**  $\neg ((a \wedge b) \vee (c \wedge d)) \longleftrightarrow (\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge (\neg c \vee \neg d)$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $\neg ((a \vee b) \wedge (c \vee d)) \longleftrightarrow (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg c \wedge \neg d)$  **by** *blast*

**definition** *packet-set-cnf-to-set* :: ('a, 'packet) *match-tac*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *packet-set-cnf*  $\Rightarrow$  'packet *set* **where**

*packet-set-cnf-to-set*  $\gamma$  *ps*  $\equiv (\bigcap ms \in \text{set } (\text{packet-set-repr-cnf } ps)).$   
 $(\bigcup (m, a) \in \text{set } ms. \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a (\text{matches } \gamma (\text{negation-type-to-match-expr } m) (\text{get-action } a) p)\}))$

Inverting a 'a *packet-set* and returning 'a *packet-set-cnf* is very efficient!

**fun** *packet-set-not-to-cnf* :: 'a *packet-set*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *packet-set-cnf* **where**  
*packet-set-not-to-cnf* (*PacketSet* *ps*) = *PacketSetCNF* (*map* ( $\lambda a. \text{map invertt } a$ ) *ps*)  
**declare** *packet-set-not-to-cnf.simps*[*simp del*]

**lemma** *helper*: (*case invertt* *x* of (*m*, *a*)  $\Rightarrow \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a (\text{matches } \gamma (\text{negation-type-to-match-expr } m) (\text{Packet-Set-Impl.get-action } a) p)\}$ ) =  
 $(- (\text{case } x \text{ of } (m, a) \Rightarrow \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a (\text{matches } \gamma (\text{negation-type-to-match-expr } m) (\text{Packet-Set-Impl.get-action } a) p)\}))$   
**apply**(*case-tac* *x*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*case-tac* *b*)  
**apply**(*simp-all*)  
**apply** *safe*  
**done**

**lemma** *packet-set-not-to-cnf-correct*: *packet-set-cnf-to-set*  $\gamma$  (*packet-set-not-to-cnf* *P*) =  $-$  *packet-set-to-set*  $\gamma$  *P*  
**apply**(*cases* *P*)  
**apply**(*simp add: packet-set-not-to-cnf.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-to-set-alt2*)  
**apply**(*subst helper*)  
**by** *simp*

```

fun packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSet (map ( $\lambda a$ . map in-
vertt a) ps)
  declare packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf.simps[simp del]
  lemma packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf
P) =  $\neg$  packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P
  apply(cases P)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-to-set-alt2)
  apply(subst helper)
  by simp

```

Also, intersection is highly efficient in CNF

```

fun packet-set-cnf-intersect :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-intersect (PacketSetCNF ps1) (PacketSetCNF ps2) = Packet-
SetCNF (ps1 @ ps2)
  declare packet-set-cnf-intersect.simps[simp del]

  lemma packet-set-cnf-intersect-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-intersect
P1 P2) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P1  $\cap$  packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P2
  apply(case-tac P1)
  apply(case-tac P2)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-cnf-intersect.simps)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all)
  done

```

Optimizing

```

fun packet-set-cnf-opt1 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt1 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (map remdups (remdups
ps))
  declare packet-set-cnf-opt1.simps[simp del]

```

```

  lemma packet-set-cnf-opt1-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt1
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-cnf-opt1.simps)

```

```

fun packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list)
list  $\Rightarrow$  (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list where
  packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal [] = [] |
  packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal ([#ps]) = [[]] |

  packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal (as#ps) = (as#(filter ( $\lambda ass$ .  $\neg$  set as  $\subseteq$  set ass)
ps))

```

```

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSetCNF
(packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal ps)) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSetCNF ps)

```

```

    apply(induction ps rule:packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def)
    by blast
  fun packet-set-cnf-opt2 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
    packet-set-cnf-opt2 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal
ps)
  declare packet-set-cnf-opt2.simps[simp del]

  lemma packet-set-cnf-opt2-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt2
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-opt2.simps packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal-correct)

  fun packet-set-cnf-opt3 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
    packet-set-cnf-opt3 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (sort-key ( $\lambda p$ . length
p) ps)
  declare packet-set-cnf-opt3.simps[simp del]
  lemma packet-set-cnf-opt3-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt3
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-opt3.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def)

  definition packet-set-cnf-opt :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
    packet-set-cnf-opt ps = packet-set-cnf-opt1 (packet-set-cnf-opt2 (packet-set-cnf-opt3
(ps)))

  lemma packet-set-cnf-opt-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt ps)
= packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  using packet-set-cnf-opt-def packet-set-cnf-opt2-correct packet-set-cnf-opt3-correct
packet-set-cnf-opt1-correct by metis

hide-const (open) get-action get-action-sign packet-set-repr packet-set-repr-cnf

end
theory Optimizing
imports Semantics-Ternary Packet-Set-Impl
begin

```

## 19 Optimizing

### 19.1 Removing Shadowed Rules

Assumes: *simple-ruleset*

```

fun rmshadow :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rmshadow - [] - = [] |
  rmshadow  $\gamma$  ((Rule m a)#rs) P = (if ( $\forall p \in P$ .  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p)

```

then  
      $\text{rmshadow } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } P$   
 else  
      $(\text{Rule } m \text{ } a) \# (\text{rmshadow } \gamma \text{ } rs \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p\}))$

### 19.1.1 Soundness

**lemma** *rmshadow-sound*:  
      $\text{simple-ruleset } rs \implies p \in P \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p (\text{rmshadow } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } P) = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs$   
**proof**(*induction* *rs* *arbitrary*: *P*)  
**case** *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** (*Cons* *r* *rs*)  
     **let** *?fw*=*approximating-bigstep-fun*  $\gamma$  — firewall semantics  
     **let** *?rm*=*rmshadow*  $\gamma$   
     **let** *?match*=*matches*  $\gamma$  (*get-match* *r*) (*get-action* *r*)  
     **let** *?set*= $\{p \in P. \neg \text{?match } p\}$   
     **from** *Cons.IH* *Cons.prem*s **have** *IH*:  $\text{?fw } p (\text{?rm } rs \text{ } P) = \text{?fw } p \text{ } rs$  **by** (*simp* *add*: *simple-ruleset-def*)  
     **from** *Cons.IH* [*of* *?set*] *Cons.prem*s **have** *IH'*:  $p \in \text{?set} \implies \text{?fw } p (\text{?rm } rs \text{ } \text{?set}) = \text{?fw } p \text{ } rs$  **by** (*simp* *add*: *simple-ruleset-def*)  
     **from** *Cons* **show** *?case*  
     **proof**(*cases*  $\forall p \in P. \neg \text{?match } p$ ) — the if-condition of *rmshadow*  
     **case** *True*  
         **from** *True* **have** *1*:  $\text{?rm } (r \# rs) \text{ } P = \text{?rm } rs \text{ } P$   
         **apply**(*cases* *r*)  
         **apply**(*rename-tac* *m* *a*)  
         **apply**(*clarify*)  
         **apply**(*simp*)  
         **done**  
     **from** *True* *Cons.prem*s **have**  $\text{?fw } p (r \# rs) = \text{?fw } p \text{ } rs$   
     **apply**(*cases* *r*)  
     **apply**(*rename-tac* *m* *a*)  
     **apply**(*simp* *add*: *fun-eq-iff*)  
     **apply**(*clarify*)  
     **apply**(*rename-tac* *s*)  
     **apply**(*case-tac* *s*)  
     **apply**(*simp*)  
     **apply**(*simp* *add*: *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
     **done**  
     **from** *this* *IH* **have**  $\text{?fw } p (\text{?rm } rs \text{ } P) = \text{?fw } p (r \# rs)$  **by** *simp*  
     **thus**  $\text{?fw } p (\text{?rm } (r \# rs) \text{ } P) = \text{?fw } p (r \# rs)$  **using** *1* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** *False* — *else*  
     **have**  $\text{?fw } p (r \# (\text{?rm } rs \text{ } \text{?set})) = \text{?fw } p (r \# rs)$   
     **proof**(*cases*  $p \in \text{?set}$ )  
         **case** *True*  
             **from** *True* *IH'* **show**  $\text{?fw } p (r \# (\text{?rm } rs \text{ } \text{?set})) = \text{?fw } p (r \# rs)$

```

    apply(cases r)
    apply(rename-tac m a)
    apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(rename-tac s)
    apply(case-tac s)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  done
next
case False
  from False Cons.premis have ?match p by simp
  from Cons.premis have get-action r = Accept  $\vee$  get-action r = Drop
by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  from this (⟨?match p⟩show ?fw p (r # (?rm rs ?set))) = ?fw p (r#rs)
  apply(cases r)
  apply(rename-tac m a)
  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(rename-tac s)
  apply(case-tac s)
  apply(simp split:action.split)
  apply fast
  apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  done
qed
from False this show ?thesis
  apply(cases r)
  apply(rename-tac m a)
  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(rename-tac s)
  apply(case-tac s)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  done
qed
qed

```

```

fun rmMatchFalse :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rmMatchFalse [] = [] |
  rmMatchFalse ((Rule (MatchNot MatchAny) -)#rs) = rmMatchFalse rs |
  rmMatchFalse (r#rs) = r # rmMatchFalse rs

```

**lemma** *rmMatchFalse-helper*:  $m \neq \text{MatchNot MatchAny} \implies (\text{rmMatchFalse } (\text{Rule } m \text{ MatchNot MatchAny})) = m \# \text{rmMatchFalse } rs$

```

m a # rs)) = Rule m a # (rmMatchFalse rs)
  apply(case-tac m)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac match-expr)
  apply(case-tac match-expr)
  apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

lemma rmMatchFalse-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (rmMatchFalse rs)
s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs s
  apply(induction  $\gamma$  p rs s rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
    apply(simp)
    apply (metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
    apply(case-tac m = MatchNot MatchAny)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: rmMatchFalse-helper)
    apply(subgoal-tac m  $\neq$  MatchNot MatchAny)
    apply(drule-tac a=a and rs=rs in rmMatchFalse-helper)
    apply(simp split:action.split)
    apply(thin-tac a = ?x  $\implies$  ?y)
    apply(thin-tac a = ?x  $\implies$  ?y)
    by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3) surj-pair)

```

```

end
theory IPspace-Operations
imports Negation-Type ../Bitmagic/Numberwang-Ln ../Primitive-Matchers/IPspace-Syntax
../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr
begin

```

```

definition intersect-netmask-empty :: nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$ 
nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  intersect-netmask-empty base1 m1 base2 m2  $\equiv$ 
    ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base1) m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask
(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base2) m2 = {}

```

```

fun ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  32 bi-
trange where
  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len) =

    ipv4range-range (((ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal pre) AND ((mask len) << (32
- len)))) ((ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal pre) OR (mask (32 - len))) |
    ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4Addr ip) = ipv4range-single
(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)

```

```

lemma ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps:

```



```

    ipv4range-to-set (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask
base m)) =
    ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base) m
    ipv4range-to-set (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4Addr
ip)) = {ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip}
    by(simp-all add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt ipv4range-range-set-eq ipv4range-single-set-eq)

```

```

declare ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range.simps[simp del]

```

```

definition intersect-netmask-empty-executable  $\equiv (\lambda$  base1 m1 base2 m2. ipv4range-empty
(
    ipv4range-intersection
    (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask base1
m1))
    (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask base2
m2))))

```

```

lemma [code]: intersect-netmask-empty = intersect-netmask-empty-executable
apply (rule ext)+
unfolding intersect-netmask-empty-def intersect-netmask-empty-executable-def
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps)
done

```

```

export-code intersect-netmask-empty in SML

```

```

definition subset-netmask :: nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat
 $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    subset-netmask base1 m1 base2 m2  $\equiv$ 
    ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base1) m1  $\subseteq$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask
(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base2) m2

```

```

definition subset-netmask-executable :: nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$ 
nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    subset-netmask-executable  $\equiv (\lambda$  base1 m1 base2 m2. ipv4range-subset
    (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask base1
m1))
    (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask base2
m2)))

```

```

lemma [code]: subset-netmask = subset-netmask-executable
apply(simp only: fun-eq-iff, intro allI)
unfolding subset-netmask-def subset-netmask-executable-def
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps)
done

```

```

fun intersect-ips :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range option where
  intersect-ips (Ip4Addr ip) (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) =
    (if (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)  $\in$  (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
base) m)
    then
      Some (Ip4Addr ip)
    else
      None) |
  intersect-ips (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) (Ip4Addr ip) =
    (if (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)  $\in$  (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
base) m)
    then
      Some (Ip4Addr ip)
    else
      None) |
  intersect-ips (Ip4Addr ip1) (Ip4Addr ip2) =
    (if (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip2 = ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip1 (*there might
be overflows if someone uses values > 256*))
    then
      Some (Ip4Addr ip1)
    else
      None) |
  intersect-ips (Ip4AddrNetmask base1 m1) (Ip4AddrNetmask base2 m2) =
    (if (*ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base1) m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask
(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base2) m2 = { }*)
    intersect-netmask-empty base1 m1 base2 m2
    then
      None
    else if (*m1  $\geq$  m2*) (*maybe use executable subset check to make proofs
easier?*)
      subset-netmask base1 m1 base2 m2
    then
      Some (Ip4AddrNetmask base1 m1) (*andersrum?*)
    else if subset-netmask base2 m2 base1 m1 then
      Some (Ip4AddrNetmask base2 m2)
    else
      None (*cannot happen, one must be subset of each other*)

```

**export-code** intersect-ips **in** SML

**lemma**  $\neg$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2  $\subseteq$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1  
 $\longrightarrow$   
 $\neg$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1  $\subseteq$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2  $\longrightarrow$   
 ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2 = { }  
**using** ipv4range-bitmask-intersect **by** auto

```

lemma intersect-ips-None: intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$ 
(ipv4s-to-set ip2) = {}
  apply(induction ip1 ip2 rule: intersect-ips.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: intersect-netmask-empty-def subset-netmask-def ipv4range-bitmask-intersect)
done

```

```

lemma intersect-ips-Some: intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some X  $\implies$  (ipv4s-to-set ip1)
 $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2) = ipv4s-to-set X
  apply(induction ip1 ip2 rule: intersect-ips.induct)
  apply(case-tac [!] X)
  apply(auto simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps
intersect-netmask-empty-def subset-netmask-def split: split-if-asm)
done

```

The other direction does not directly hold. Someone might enter some invalid ips.

```

lemma intersect-ips-Some2: (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2) = ipv4s-to-set
X  $\implies \exists Y. \text{intersect-ips } ip1 \text{ } ip2 = \text{Some } Y \wedge \text{ipv4s-to-set } X = \text{ipv4s-to-set } Y$ 
  proof –
    assume a: (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2) = ipv4s-to-set X
    hence (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2)  $\neq \{\}$  by(simp add: ipv4s-to-set-nonempty)
    with a have ipv4s-to-set X  $\neq \{\}$  by(simp add: intersect-ips-None)
    with a have intersect-ips ip1 ip2  $\neq \text{None}$  by(simp add: intersect-ips-None)
    from this obtain Y where intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some Y by blast
    with a intersect-ips-Some have intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some Y  $\wedge$  ipv4s-to-set
X = ipv4s-to-set Y by simp
    thus ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

fun compress-pos-ips :: ipt-ipv4range list  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range option where
  compress-pos-ips [] = Some (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0) |
  compress-pos-ips [ip] = Some ip |
  compress-pos-ips (a#b#cs) = (
    case intersect-ips a b of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  compress-pos-ips (x#cs)
  )

```

```

lemma compress-pos-ips-None: compress-pos-ips ips = None  $\longleftrightarrow \bigcap$  (ipv4s-to-set
‘set ips) = {}
  apply(induction ips rule: compress-pos-ips.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: ipv4s-to-set-nonempty)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: option.split)

```

```

apply(simp add: intersect-ips-None)
using intersect-ips-Some by blast

lemma compress-pos-ips-Some: compress-pos-ips ips = Some X  $\implies \bigcap$  (ipv4s-to-set
‘ set ips) = ipv4s-to-set X
apply(induction ips rule: compress-pos-ips.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(auto simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-0)[1]
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: option.split-asm)
by (metis Int-assoc intersect-ips-Some)

```

```

fun collect-to-range :: ipt-ipv4range list  $\Rightarrow$  32 bitrange where
  collect-to-range [] = Empty-Bitrange |
  collect-to-range (r#rs) = RangeUnion (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range
r) (collect-to-range rs)

```

```

fun compress-ips :: ipt-ipv4range negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range negation-type
list option where
  compress-ips l = (if (getPos l) = [] then Some l (*fix not to introduce (Ip4AddrNetmask
(0,0,0,0) 0), only return the negative list*)
  else
    (case compress-pos-ips (getPos l)
    of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some ip  $\Rightarrow$ 
      if ipv4range-empty (ipv4range-setminus (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range
ip) (collect-to-range (getNeg l)))
      (*  $\bigcap$  pos -  $\bigcup$  neg = {}*)
      then
        None
      else Some (Pos ip # map Neg (getNeg l))
    ))

```

```

export-code compress-ips in SML

```

```

lemma ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range:
  ipv4range-to-set (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range a) = ipv4s-to-set
a
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all add:ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps)

```

done

**lemma** *ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range: ipv4range-to-set (collect-to-range ips) =*  
*( $\bigcup x \in \text{set ips}. \text{ipv4s-to-set } x$ )*  
 apply(*induction ips*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-def*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-def*)  
 by (*metis ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-def*)

**lemma** *compress-ips-None: getPos ips  $\neq \square \implies \text{compress-ips ips} = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow$*   
*( $\bigcap (\text{ipv4s-to-set 'set (getPos ips)}) - (\bigcup (\text{ipv4s-to-set 'set (getNeg ips)}) = \{\}$ )*  
 apply(*simp split: split-if*)  
 apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp split: option.split*)  
 apply(*intro conjI impI allI*)  
 apply(*simp add: compress-pos-ips-None*)  
 apply(*rename-tac a*)  
 apply(*frule compress-pos-ips-Some*)  
 apply(*case-tac a*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)  
 apply(*rename-tac a*)  
 apply(*frule compress-pos-ips-Some*)  
 apply(*case-tac a*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)  
 apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)  
 done

**lemma** *compress-ips-emptyPos: getPos ips =  $\square \implies \text{compress-ips ips} = \text{Some ips}$*   
 $\wedge \text{ips} = \text{map Neg (getNeg ips)}$   
 apply(*simp only: compress-ips.simps split: split-if*)  
 apply(*intro conjI impI*)  
 apply(*simp-all*)  
 apply(*induction ips*)  
 apply(*simp-all*)  
 apply(*case-tac a*)  
 apply(*simp-all*)  
 done

end

**theory** *Format-Ln*

**imports** *Negation-Type-Matching ../Bitmagic/Numberwang-Ln ../Primitive-Matchers/IPSpace-Syntax*  
*../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr*

begin

## 20 iptables LN formatting

Produce output as produced by the command: `iptables -L -n`

Example

```
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
STATEFUL   all  --  0.0.0.0/0              0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT     all  --  0.0.0.0/0              0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT     icmp --  0.0.0.0/0              0.0.0.0/0          icmptype 3
...
```

**datatype** *match-Ln-uncompressed* = *UncompressedFormattedMatch*  
*ipt-ipv4range negation-type list*  
*ipt-ipv4range negation-type list*  
*ipt-protocol negation-type list*  
*string negation-type list*

**fun** *UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* :: *match-Ln-uncompressed*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match*  
*match-expr* **where**  
*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch* *src*  
*dst* *proto* *extra*) =  
*MatchAnd* (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map Src src*)) (*MatchAnd* (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map*  
*Dst dst*)) (*MatchAnd* (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map Prot proto*)) (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map*  
*Extra extra*))))))

append

**fun** *match-Ln-uncompressed-append* :: *match-Ln-uncompressed*  $\Rightarrow$  *match-Ln-uncompressed*  
 $\Rightarrow$  *match-Ln-uncompressed* **where**  
*match-Ln-uncompressed-append* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch* *src1* *dst1* *proto1*  
*extra1*) (*UncompressedFormattedMatch* *src2* *dst2* *proto2* *extra2*) =  
*UncompressedFormattedMatch* (*src1*@*src2*) (*dst1*@*dst2*) (*proto1*@*proto2*)  
(*extra1*@*extra2*)

**lemma** *matches-match-Ln-uncompressed-append*: *matches*  $\gamma$  (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr*  
(*match-Ln-uncompressed-append* *fmt1* *fmt2*)) *a p*  $\longleftrightarrow$   
*matches*  $\gamma$  (*MatchAnd* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* *fmt1*)  
(*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* *fmt2*)) *a p*  
**apply**(*case-tac* *fmt1*)  
**apply**(*case-tac* *fmt2*)  
**apply**(*clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*simp* *add*: *alist-and-append NegPos-map-append bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)  
**by** *fastforce*

assumes: *normalized-match*

```

fun iptrule-match-collect :: iptrule-match match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed
 $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed where
  iptrule-match-collect MatchAny accu = accu |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Src ip)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
  proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch ((Pos ip)#src) dst proto extra |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Dst ip)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
  proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src ((Pos ip)#dst) proto extra |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Prot p)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
  proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst ((Pos p)#proto) extra |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Extra e)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
  proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto ((Pos e)#extra) |
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Src ip))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
  src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch ((Neg ip)#src) dst proto extra
  |
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Dst ip))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
  src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src ((Neg ip)#dst) proto extra
  |
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Prot p))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
  src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst ((Neg p)#proto) extra
  |
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Extra e))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
  src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto ((Neg e)#extra)
  |
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchAnd m1 m2) fmt =
    match-Ln-uncompressed-append (iptrule-match-collect m1 fmt)
    (match-Ln-uncompressed-append (iptrule-match-collect m2 fmt) fmt)

```

We can express *iptrule-match-collect* with *primitive-extractor*. Latter is more elegant. We keep the definition of *iptrule-match-collect* to show explicitly what we are doing here.

```

lemma iptrule-match-collect-by-primitive-extractor: normalized-match m  $\implies$  iptrule-match-collect
m (UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] []) = (
  let (srcs, m') = primitive-extractor (is-Src, src-range) m;
  (dsts, m'') = primitive-extractor (is-Dst, dst-range) m';
  (protos, m''') = primitive-extractor (is-Prot, prot-sel) m'';
  (extras, -) = primitive-extractor (is-Extra, extra-sel) m'''
  in UncompressedFormattedMatch srcs dsts protos extras
)
apply(induction m UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] rule: iptrule-match-collect.induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(simp add: split: split-split-asm split-split)
done

```

Example

```

lemma iptrule-match-collect (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0, 0, 0,
0) 8))) (Match (Prot ProtTCP))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] []) =
  UncompressedFormattedMatch [Pos (Ip4AddrNetmask (0, 0, 0, 0) 8)] [] [Pos
ProtTCP] [] by eval

```

The empty *UncompressedFormattedMatch* always match

```
lemma matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (UncompressedFormattedMatch
[] [] [])) a p
  by(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
lemma UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr-correct: assumes normalized-match
m and matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr accu) a p shows
  matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (iptrule-match-collect
m accu)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p
```

```
using assms apply (induction m accu arbitrary: rule: iptrule-match-collect.induct)
```

```
  apply(case-tac [!]  $\gamma$ )
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply(simp add: matches-match-Ln-uncompressed-append bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

```
  apply(simp-all) —  $\neg$  normalized-match
```

```
done
```

```
definition format-Ln-match :: iptrule-match match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed
```

```
where
```

```
  format-Ln-match m  $\equiv$  iptrule-match-collect m (UncompressedFormattedMatch []
[] [])
```

```
corollary format-Ln-match-correct: normalized-match m  $\Longrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr
(format-Ln-match m)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p
```

```
unfolding format-Ln-match-def
```

```
apply(rule UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr-correct)
```

```
  apply(assumption)
```

```
by(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```

We can also show the previous corollary by the correctness of *primitive-extractor*

```
corollary normalized-match m  $\Longrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr
(format-Ln-match m)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p
```

```
proof —
```

```
  {fix yc
```

```
    have normalized-match yc  $\Longrightarrow$   $\neg$  has-disc is-Dst yc  $\Longrightarrow$   $\neg$  has-disc is-Prot yc
```

```
 $\Longrightarrow$   $\neg$  has-disc is-Extra yc  $\Longrightarrow$   $\neg$  has-disc is-Src yc  $\Longrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  yc a p
```

```
    apply(induction yc)
```

```
    apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
```



```

    apply(case-tac aa)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(case-tac yc)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(case-tac aa)
    apply(simp-all)
  done
} note yc-exhaust=this
assume normalized: normalized-match m
{fix asrc msrc adst mdst aprot mprot aextra mextra
from normalized have
  primitive-extractor (is-Extra, extra-sel) mprot = (aextra, mextra) ==>
  primitive-extractor (is-Prot, prot-sel) mdst = (aprot, mprot) ==>
  primitive-extractor (is-Dst, dst-range) msrc = (adst, mdst) ==>
  primitive-extractor (is-Src, src-range) m = (asrc, msrc) ==>
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Src asrc)) a p  $\wedge$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst adst)) a p  $\wedge$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot aprot)) a p  $\wedge$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra aextra)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p
  apply -
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(1)])
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(2)])
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(3)])
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matches-lastE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(4)])
  using yc-exhaust by metis
}
thus ?thesis
  unfolding format-Ln-match-def
  unfolding iptrule-match-collect-by-primitive-extractor[OF normalized]
  by(simp split: split-split add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1))
qed

```

**lemma** *format-Ln-match-correct'*:  $\forall m' \in \text{set } ms. \text{normalized-match } m' \implies$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ } a) \text{ } (\text{map } (\lambda m'. \text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-exp} \\ (\text{format-Ln-match } m')) \text{ } ms)) \text{ } s =$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ } a) \text{ } ms) \text{ } s$   
**apply**(rule match-list-semantics)  
**apply**(induction ms)  
**apply**(simp)  
**apply**(simp)  
**by** (metis format-Ln-match-correct)

**definition** *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* :: *iptrule-match rule list*  $\Rightarrow$  (*match-Ln-uncompressed*  $\times$  *action*) *list* **where**  
*format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* rs = [(*format-Ln-match* (get-match r)), (get-action

$r))$ .  $r \leftarrow (\text{normalize-rules } rs)]$

**definition**  $Ln\text{-rules-to-rule} :: (\text{match-}Ln\text{-uncompressed} \times \text{action}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{iptrule-match rule list}$  **where**

$Ln\text{-rules-to-rule } rs = [\text{case } r \text{ of } (m, a) \Rightarrow \text{Rule } (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr } m) \text{ a. } r \leftarrow rs]$

**lemma**  $\text{format-}Ln\text{-rules-uncompressed-correct}$ :  $\text{good-ruleset } rs \Longrightarrow$

$\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (Ln\text{-rules-to-rule } (\text{format-}Ln\text{-rules-uncompressed } rs)) \text{ s} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } rs \text{ s}$

**proof**( $\text{induction } rs$ )

**case Nil thus**  $?case \text{ by } (\text{simp add: } Ln\text{-rules-to-rule-def format-}Ln\text{-rules-uncompressed-def})$   
**next**

**case** ( $\text{Cons } r \text{ rs}$ )

**from**  $\text{Cons.IH Cons.premis good-ruleset-tail}$  **have**  $IH$ :  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (Ln\text{-rules-to-rule } (\text{format-}Ln\text{-rules-uncompressed } rs)) \text{ s} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } rs \text{ s}$   
**by**  $\text{blast}$

**have**  $\text{map-uncompressedmapping-simp}$ :  $\bigwedge ms \text{ a. } (\text{map } ((\lambda(m, y). \text{Rule } (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr } m) \text{ y}) \circ (\lambda r. (\text{format-}Ln\text{-match } (\text{get-match } r), \text{get-action } r))) \circ (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ a})) ms) =$

$(\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ a}) (\text{map } (\lambda m'. \text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr } (\text{format-}Ln\text{-match } m')) ms)) \text{ by } (\text{simp})$

**let**  $?rsA = \text{map } ((\lambda(m, y). \text{Rule } (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr } m) \text{ y}) \circ (\lambda r. (\text{format-}Ln\text{-match } (\text{get-match } r), \text{get-action } r))) (\text{normalize-rules } [r])$

**let**  $?rsC = \text{map } ((\lambda(m, y). \text{Rule } (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr } m) \text{ y}) \circ (\lambda r. (\text{format-}Ln\text{-match } (\text{get-match } r), \text{get-action } r))) (\text{normalize-rules } rs)$

**from**  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun-wf-postpend}[\text{where } rsB = [r], \text{simplified}]$  **have**  $\text{subst-rule}$ :

$\bigwedge rsA \text{ rsC. wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ p } rsA \Longrightarrow \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ p } [r] \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } rsA \text{ s} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } [r] \text{ s} \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (rsA @ rsC) \text{ s} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (r \# rsC) \text{ s}$

**by**  $\text{fast}$

**have**  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (Ln\text{-rules-to-rule } (\text{format-}Ln\text{-rules-uncompressed } (r \# rs))) \text{ s} =$

$\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (\text{map } ((\lambda(m, y). \text{Rule } (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr } m) \text{ y}) \circ (\lambda r. (\text{format-}Ln\text{-match } (\text{get-match } r), \text{get-action } r))) (\text{normalize-rules } (r \# rs))) \text{ s}$

**by** ( $\text{simp add: } Ln\text{-rules-to-rule-def format-}Ln\text{-rules-uncompressed-def}$ )

**also have**  $\dots = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (?rsA @ ?rsC) \text{ s}$  **by** ( $\text{subst normalize-rules-fst, simp}$ )

**also have**  $\dots = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p } (r \# ?rsC) \text{ s}$

**proof**( $\text{subst subst-rule}$ )

```

    from Cons.premis good-ruleset-fst have good-ruleset [r] by fast
    hence good-ruleset ?rsA
    apply(cases r)
    apply(rename-tac m a,clarify)
    apply(simp add: normalize-rules-singleton)
    apply(drule good-ruleset-normalize-match)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
    done
    thus wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p ?rsA using good-imp-wf-ruleset by fast
  next
    show wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] using Cons.premis good-ruleset-fst good-imp-wf-ruleset
  by fast
  next
    show approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p ?rsA s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p
    [r] s
    apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a, clarify)
    apply(simp add: normalize-rules-singleton)
    apply(simp add: map-uncompressedmapping-simp)
    apply(subst normalize-match-correct[symmetric])
    apply(subst format-Ln-match-correct'[symmetric])
    apply(simp add: normalized-match-normalize-match)
    apply(simp)
    done
  next
    show approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r#?rsC) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
     $\gamma$  p (r#?rsC) s by blast
  qed
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # Ln-rules-to-rule (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
  rs)) s
  by (simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-def)
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) s using IH approximating-bigstep-fun-singleton-preper
  by fast
  finally show ?case .
qed

```

```

fun Ln-uncompressed-matching :: (iptrule-match, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$ 
'packet  $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto
  extra)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Src src))  $\wedge$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Dst dst))  $\wedge$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Prot proto))  $\wedge$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Extra extra))

```

**declare** *Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps*[*simp del*]

**lemma** *Ln-uncompressed-matching: Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p m  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr m) a p*  
**apply**(*cases m*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*simp add: nt-match-list-matches Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps*)  
**by** (*metis matches-simp1 matches-simp2*)

**lemma** *Ln-uncompressed-matching-semantics-singleton: Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p m1  $\longleftrightarrow$  Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p m2*  
 $\implies$  *approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (Ln-rules-to-rule [(m1, a)]) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (Ln-rules-to-rule [(m2, a)]) s*  
**apply**(*case-tac s*)  
**prefer** 2  
**apply**(*simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)  
**apply**(*clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def*)  
**apply**(*simp split: action.split*)  
**apply**(*simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching*)  
**apply**(*safe*)  
**done**

**end**

**theory** *IPSpace-Matcher*

**imports** *../Semantics-Ternary IPSpace-Syntax ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr ../Unknown-Match-Tacs*  
**begin**

## 20.1 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher

**fun** *simple-matcher* :: (*iprule-match*, *packet*) *exact-match-tac* **where**  
*simple-matcher* (*Src* (*Ip4Addr ip*)) *p* = *bool-to-ternary (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip = src-ip p)* |  
*simple-matcher* (*Src* (*Ip4AddrNetmask ip n*)) *p* = *bool-to-ternary (src-ip p  $\in$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip) n)* |  
*simple-matcher* (*Dst* (*Ip4Addr ip*)) *p* = *bool-to-ternary (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip = dst-ip p)* |  
*simple-matcher* (*Dst* (*Ip4AddrNetmask ip n*)) *p* = *bool-to-ternary (dst-ip p  $\in$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip) n)* |  
*simple-matcher* (*Prot ProtAll*) - = *TernaryTrue* |  
*simple-matcher* (*Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary (prot p = prot-Packet.ProtTCP)* |  
*simple-matcher* (*Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary (prot p = prot-*

*Packet.ProtUDP*) |

*simple-matcher* (*Extra -*) *p* = *TernaryUnknown*

**lemma** *simple-matcher-simps*[*simp*]:

*simple-matcher* (*Src ip*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary* (*src-ip p* ∈ *ipv4s-to-set ip*)

*simple-matcher* (*Dst ip*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary* (*dst-ip p* ∈ *ipv4s-to-set ip*)

**apply**(*case-tac* [!] *ip*)

**apply**(*auto*)

**apply** (*metis* (*poly-guards-query*) *bool-to-ternary-simps*(2))+

**done**

**declare** *simple-matcher.simps*(1)[*simp del*]

**declare** *simple-matcher.simps*(2)[*simp del*]

**declare** *simple-matcher.simps*(3)[*simp del*]

**declare** *simple-matcher.simps*(4)[*simp del*]

Perform very basic optimizations

**fun** *opt-simple-matcher* :: *iptrule-match match-expr* ⇒ *iptrule-match match-expr*

**where**

*opt-simple-matcher* (*Match* (*Src* (*Ip4AddrNetmask* (0,0,0,0) 0))) = *MatchAny*

|

*opt-simple-matcher* (*Match* (*Dst* (*Ip4AddrNetmask* (0,0,0,0) 0))) = *MatchAny*

|

*opt-simple-matcher* (*Match* (*Prot* *ProtAll*)) = *MatchAny* |

*opt-simple-matcher* (*Match* *m*) = *Match* *m* |

*opt-simple-matcher* (*MatchNot* *m*) = (*MatchNot* (*opt-simple-matcher* *m*)) |

*opt-simple-matcher* (*MatchAnd* *m1 m2*) = *MatchAnd* (*opt-simple-matcher* *m1*)

(*opt-simple-matcher* *m2*) |

*opt-simple-matcher* *MatchAny* = *MatchAny*

**lemma** *opt-simple-matcher-correct-matchexpr*: *matches* (*simple-matcher*, α) *m* =

*matches* (*simple-matcher*, α) (*opt-simple-matcher* *m*)

**apply**(*simp add: fun-eq-iff*, *clarify*, *rename-tac a p*)

**apply**(*rule matches-iff-apply-f*)

**apply**(*simp*)

**apply**(*induction m rule: opt-simple-matcher.induct*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV*)

**done**

**corollary** *opt-simple-matcher-correct*: *approximating-bigstep-fun* (*simple-matcher*,

α) *p* (*optimize-matches* *opt-simple-matcher* *rs*) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* (*simple-matcher*,

α) *p* *rs* *s*

**using** *optimize-matches* *opt-simple-matcher-correct-matchexpr* **by** *metis*

remove *Extra* (i.e. *TernaryUnknown*) match expressions

**fun** *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* :: *action* ⇒ *iptrule-match match-expr*

```

⇒ iptrule-match match-expr where
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Reject (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot
  MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Drop (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot
  MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra - (Match m) = Match m |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
  MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Drop (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
  MatchNot MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Reject (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
  MatchNot MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra
  a m |

  — ¬ (a ∧ b) = ¬ b ∨ ¬ a and ¬ Unknown = Unknown
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
  (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny ∨
    (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
    then MatchAny else
    (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot
    MatchAny then
      opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2) else
      if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
    MatchAny then
      opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1) else
      MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
    ) |

  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra - (MatchNot m) = MatchNot m |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra
  a m1) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m2)

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot (MatchAnd
m1 m2)) =
  (let m1' = opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1); m2' =
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2) in
  (if m1' = MatchAny ∨ m2' = MatchAny
  then MatchAny
  else
    if m1' = MatchNot MatchAny then m2' else
    if m2' = MatchNot MatchAny then m1'
  else
    MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
  )

```

**by**(*simp*)

*opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* can be expressed in terms of *remove-unknowns-generic*

**lemma assumes**  $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop}$  **shows** *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra*  
 $a \ m = \text{remove-unknowns-generic} \ (\text{simple-matcher}, \text{in-doubt-allow}) \ a \ m$

**proof** –

```

  {fix x1 x2 x3
   have
     (∃ p::packet. bool-to-ternary (src-ip p ∈ ipv4s-to-set x1) ≠ TernaryUnknown)
     (∃ p::packet. bool-to-ternary (dst-ip p ∈ ipv4s-to-set x2) ≠ TernaryUnknown)
     (∃ p::packet. simple-matcher (Prot x3) p ≠ TernaryUnknown)
     apply –
     apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
     apply(case-tac x3)
     apply(simp-all)
     apply(rule-tac x=⟦ src-ip = X, dst-ip = Y, prot = protPacket.ProtTCP ⟧)
in exI, simp)
     apply(rule-tac x=⟦ src-ip = X, dst-ip = Y, prot = protPacket.ProtUDP ⟧)
in exI, simp)
     done
  } note simple-matcher-packet-exists=this
  {fix γ
   have  $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies \gamma = (\text{simple-matcher}, \text{in-doubt-allow}) \implies$ 
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a \ m = \text{remove-unknowns-generic} \ \gamma \ a \ m$ 
     apply(induction γ a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
     apply(simp-all)
     apply(case-tac [!] A)
     apply(case-tac [!] a)
     apply(simp-all)
     apply(simp-all add: simple-matcher-packet-exists)
     done
  } thus ?thesis using ⟨ $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop}$ ⟩ by simp
qed

```

```

fun has-unknowns :: ('a, 'p) exact-match-tac ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ bool where
  has-unknowns β (Match A) = (∃ p. ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p
(Match A)) = TernaryUnknown) |
  has-unknowns β (MatchNot m) = has-unknowns β m |
  has-unknowns β MatchAny = False |
  has-unknowns β (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (has-unknowns β m1 ∨ has-unknowns β
m2)

```

```

value opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Drop (MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot
MatchAny) (MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny) (Match (Extra "foo"))))))
value opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept ((MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny)
(MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny) (Match (Extra "foo"))))))

```

```

lemma ( $\exists p.$  ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$   $p$   $m$ ) = TernaryUnknown)
 $\implies$  has-unknowns  $\beta$   $m$ 
apply(clarify)
apply(induction  $m$ )
apply(simp-all)
apply(rule-tac  $x=p$  in  $exI$ , simp)
apply (metis eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD)
by (metis (poly-guards-query) eval-ternary-simps(2) eval-ternary-simps(4) ternary-
value.exhaust)

lemma simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown: simple-matcher (Prot  $v$ )  $p \neq$  TernaryUnknown
apply(cases  $v$ )
apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
done
lemma a-unknown-extraD:  $\exists p.$  simple-matcher ( $a::iptrule$ -match)  $p =$  TernaryUn-
known  $\implies \exists X. a =$  Extra  $X$ 
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac  $a$ )
apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
using simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown by blast

lemma has-unknowns-simple-matcher-base: has-unknowns simple-matcher (Match
 $A$ )  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists X. A =$  Extra  $X)$ 
apply(simp)
apply(rule iffI)
apply(drule a-unknown-extraD, simp)
by auto

lemma has-unknowns simple-matcher  $m \implies$ 
  (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a$  (MatchNot  $m$ )  $\neq$  MatchAny)  $\vee$ 
  (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a$  (MatchNot  $m$ )  $\neq$  MatchNot MatchAny)
by (metis match-expr.distinct(9))

lemma matchexpr-neq-matchnot-n-matchany:  $\forall n. m \neq$  (MatchNot  $^n$ ) MatchAny
 $\implies (\exists A n. m =$  (MatchNot  $^n$ ) (Match  $A$ ))  $\vee (\exists m1 m2 n. m =$  (MatchNot  $^n$ )
(MatchAnd  $m1 m2$ ))
apply(induction  $m$ )
apply (metis funpow.simps(1) id-apply)
apply (metis comp-apply funpow.simps(2))
apply (metis funpow.simps(1) id-apply)
apply (metis funpow.simps(1) id-apply)
done
lemma matexp-neq-matchany1: Match  $X \neq$  (MatchNot  $^n$ ) MatchAny
by(induction  $n$ ) (simp-all)
lemma matexp-neq-matchany2: MatchNot (Match  $X$ )  $\neq$  (MatchNot  $^n$ ) MatchAny

```



```

    by(induction n) (simp-all add: matexp-neq-matchany1)
lemma matexp-neq-matchany3: MatchAnd m1 m2 ≠ (MatchNot ^^ n) MatchAny
    by(induction n) (simp-all)
lemma matexp-neq-matchany4: MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2) ≠ (MatchNot ^^
n) MatchAny
    by(induction n) (simp-all add: matexp-neq-matchany3)
lemma matexp-neq-matchany5: MatchAny ≠ (MatchNot ^^ n) (Match A)
    by(induction n) (simp-all)
lemma matexp-neq-matchany6: MatchNot MatchAny ≠ (MatchNot ^^ n) (Match
A)
    by(induction n) (simp-all add: matexp-neq-matchany5)

lemma opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-matchexpr-neq-matchnot-n-matchany:
    opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m) ≠ MatchNot MatchAny
    =>
        opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m) ≠ MatchAny =>
        ∀ n. opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m) ≠ (MatchNot ^^ n)
MatchAny
    apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: matexp-neq-matchany1 matexp-neq-matchany2 matexp-neq-matchany3
matexp-neq-matchany4)
    apply(safe)
    apply presburger+
    done

lemma not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1: ¬ has-unknowns simple-matcher ((MatchNot
^^ n) MatchAny)
    by(induction n) (simp-all)
lemma not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-2: ¬ has-unknowns simple-matcher m1
    =>
        ¬ has-unknowns simple-matcher m2 =>
        ¬ has-unknowns simple-matcher ((MatchNot ^^ n) (MatchAnd m1 m2))
    by(induction n) (simp-all)
lemma opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-Accept-MatchNot-MatchNotMatchAny:

    opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept (MatchNot m) = MatchNot MatchAny
    =>
        (∃ n. m = (MatchNot ^^ n) MatchAny) ∨ (∃ m1 m2 n. (m = (MatchNot ^^ n)
(MatchAnd m1 m2)) ∧ ¬ has-unknowns simple-matcher m1 ∧ ¬ has-unknowns
simple-matcher m2)
    apply(induction Accept m arbitrary: rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unknown)
    apply(rule disjI1)
    apply(rule-tac x=0 in exI,simp)
    apply(erule disjE)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(rule-tac x=Suc (Suc n) in exI,simp)
    apply(elim exE conjE)+

```

```

apply(simp)
apply(rule disjI2)
apply(rule-tac x=m1 in exI, simp)
apply(rule-tac x=m2 in exI, simp)
apply(rule-tac x=Suc (Suc n) in exI)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(erule disjE)
apply(erule exE)+
apply(erule disjE)
apply(erule exE)+
apply simp
apply(rule disjI2)
apply(rule-tac x=m1 in exI, simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1)
apply(rule-tac x=m2 in exI, simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1)
apply(rule-tac x=0 in exI)
apply simp
apply(rule disjI2)
apply(elim disjE conjE exE)
apply(rule-tac x=m1 in exI, simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1)
apply(rule-tac x=m2 in exI, simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1 not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-2)
apply(rule-tac x=0 in exI)
apply simp
apply(rule disjI2)
apply(elim disjE conjE exE)
apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=m1 in exI)
apply(simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1)
apply(rule-tac x=m2 in exI)
apply(simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1 not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-2)
apply(rule-tac x=0 in exI)
apply simp
apply(rule-tac x=m1 in exI)
apply(simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1)
apply(rule-tac x=m2 in exI)
apply(simp add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1 not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-2)
apply(rule-tac x=0 in exI)
apply simp
done
lemma a = Accept (* $\forall$  a = Drop  $\vee$  a = Reject*)  $\implies$  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra
a ( m ) = ( (Match A))  $\implies$   $\neg$  has-unknowns simple-matcher m
apply(induction a m arbitrary: rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown)
apply(auto dest: a-unknown-extraD simp: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown)[3]
apply(thin-tac ?x  $\implies$  ?y  $\implies$  True)+
apply(thin-tac ?x  $\implies$  ?y  $\implies$  ?z  $\implies$  True)+
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(thin-tac False  $\implies$  ?x)
apply(drule opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-Accept-MatchNot-MatchNotMatchAny)

```

```

apply(elim disjE exE)
apply(simp-all add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1 not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-2)
apply(thin-tac False ==> ?x)
apply(drule opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-Accept-MatchNot-MatchNotMatchAny)
apply(elim disjE exE)
apply(simp-all add: not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-1 not-has-unknowns-simplematcher-2)
done

```

```

lemma a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop  $\vee$  a = Reject ==>  $\neg$  has-unknowns simple-matcher
(opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m)
apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown)[22]
defer
apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown)[23]
apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unkown)
apply clarify
apply simp
apply(thin-tac False ==> True)+

```

```

apply(drule(1) opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-matchexpr-neq-matchnot-n-matchany)
apply(drule matchexpr-neq-matchnot-n-matchany)
apply(drule(1) opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-matchexpr-neq-matchnot-n-matchany)
apply(drule matchexpr-neq-matchnot-n-matchany)
apply(erule disjE)
back
apply(erule disjE)
back
apply(clarify)

```

```

apply(rule conjI)
thm match-expr.induct
apply(rule match-expr.induct)
apply(simp-all add: has-unknowns-simple-matcher-base del: has-unknowns.simps(1))
oops

```

```

lemma eval-ternary-And-UnknownTrue1: eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown t  $\neq$ 
TernaryTrue
apply(cases t)
apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

lemma matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p = matches  $\gamma$  m2 a p ==> matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot m1) a
p = matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot m2) a p

```

```

apply(case-tac  $\gamma$ )
apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: )
— counterexample: m1 is unknown m2 is true default matches
oops

```

```

lemma opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-correct-matchexpr: matches (simple-matcher,
in-doubt-allow) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m) a =
  matches (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) m a
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)
apply(rename-tac p)
apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)
apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)

apply safe
apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

corollary opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun
(simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) p (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra
rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) p rs s
using optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-correct-matchexpr
by metis

```

```

fun opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra :: action  $\Rightarrow$  iptrule-match match-expr
 $\Rightarrow$  iptrule-match match-expr where
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Accept (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Reject (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Drop (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra - (Match m) = Match m |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Reject (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Drop (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Accept (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchNot MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra
a m |

```

```

opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
  (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny  $\vee$ 
    (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
  then MatchAny else
  (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot

```

```

MatchAny then
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m2) else
  if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
MatchAny then
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m1) else
  MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
) |
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra - (MatchNot m) = MatchNot m |
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m1) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m2)

```

**lemma** *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-correct-matchexpr*: matches (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m) a = matches (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) m a

```

  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)
  apply(rename-tac p)
  apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)

  apply safe
  apply(simp-all)
done

```

**corollary** *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-correct*: approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) p (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) p rs s

**using** *optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-correct-matchexpr*

**by** *metis*

Lemmas when matching on *Src* or *Dst*

**lemma** *simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined*: simple-matcher (Src m) p ≠ TernaryUnknown simple-matcher (Dst m) p ≠ TernaryUnknown

```

  apply(case-tac [!] m)
  apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
done

```

**lemma** *simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined-simp*:

```

  simple-matcher (Src x) p ≠ TernaryFalse ⟷ simple-matcher (Src x) p = TernaryTrue
  simple-matcher (Dst x) p ≠ TernaryFalse ⟷ simple-matcher (Dst x) p = TernaryTrue

```

```

apply (metis eval-ternary-Not.cases simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
apply (metis eval-ternary-Not.cases simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined(2) ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
done

```

**lemma** *match-simplematcher-SrcDst*:

```

  matches (simple-matcher, α) (Match (Src X)) a p ⟷ src-ip p ∈ ipv4s-to-set X
  matches (simple-matcher, α) (Match (Dst X)) a p ⟷ dst-ip p ∈ ipv4s-to-set X

```

```

X
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)
  apply (metis bool-to-ternary.elims bool-to-ternary-Unknown ternaryvalue.distinct(1))+
  done
lemma match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not:
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchNot (Match (Src X))) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  src-ip p  $\notin$ 
  ipv4s-to-set X
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchNot (Match (Dst X))) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  dst-ip p  $\notin$ 
  ipv4s-to-set X
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)
  apply(case-tac [!]) X)
  apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-simps)
  done
lemma simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter:
  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } X. \text{matches (simple-matcher, } \alpha) (\text{Match (Src } m)) a p \longleftrightarrow \text{src-ip } p \in$ 
  ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set } X. \text{ipv4s-to-set } x$ )
  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } X. \text{matches (simple-matcher, } \alpha) (\text{Match (Dst } m)) a p \longleftrightarrow \text{dst-ip } p \in$ 
  ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set } X. \text{ipv4s-to-set } x$ )
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple bool-to-ternary-Unknown
  bool-to-ternary-simps split: ternaryvalue.split)
  done

end
theory IPspace-Format-Ln
imports Format-Ln ../Primitive-Matchers/IPspace-Matcher IPspace-Operations
begin

```

## 20.2 Formatting

```

lemma ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set } X. \text{ipv4s-to-set } x = \{\}$ )  $\implies \neg (\forall m \in \text{set } X. \text{matches (simple-matcher, } \alpha) (\text{Match (Src } m)) a p)$ 
  using simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter by blast

lemma compress-pos-ips-src-None-matching: compress-pos-ips src' = None  $\implies$ 
   $\neg \text{Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, } \alpha) a p (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch}$ 
  (map Pos src') dst proto extra)
  apply(simp add: compress-pos-ips-None)
  apply(unfold Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps)
  apply safe
  apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Dst dst))
  apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Prot proto))
  apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Extra extra))
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  using simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter by blast
lemma compress-pos-ips-dst-None-matching: compress-pos-ips dst = None  $\implies$ 
   $\neg \text{Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, } \alpha) a p (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch}$ 

```

```

src (map Pos dst) proto extra)
  apply(simp add: compress-pos-ips-None)
  apply(unfold Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps)
  apply safe
  apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Src ?x))
  apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Prot proto))
  apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Extra extra))
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  using simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter by blast

```

**lemma** *compress-pos-ips-src-Some-matching*:  $\text{compress-pos-ips src}' = \text{Some } X \implies$

```

  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src [Pos X])) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src (map Pos src')) a p
  apply(drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
  apply(simp only: nt-match-list-matches[symmetric])
  apply safe
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply(rule conjI)
    apply(simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
    apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply(simp add: getNeg-Pos-empty)
  apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply(simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
done

```

**lemma** *compress-pos-ips-dst-Some-matching*:  $\text{compress-pos-ips dst}' = \text{Some } X \implies$

```

  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst [Pos X])) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst (map Pos dst')) a p
  apply(drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
  apply(simp only: nt-match-list-matches[symmetric])
  apply safe
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply(rule conjI)
    apply(simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
    apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply(simp add: getNeg-Pos-empty)
  apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply(simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
done

```

**lemma** *Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-subset*:  $\text{set } (src') \subseteq \text{set } (src) \implies$   
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \text{ a p } (UncompressedFormattedMatch \text{ src dst proto extra}) \implies$   
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \text{ a p } (UncompressedFormattedMatch \text{ src' dst proto extra})$   
 $\text{set } (dst') \subseteq \text{set } (dst) \implies$   
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \text{ a p } (UncompressedFormattedMatch \text{ src dst proto extra}) \implies$   
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \text{ a p } (UncompressedFormattedMatch \text{ src dst' proto extra})$   
**apply**(*simp-all only: Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps nt-match-list-matches*)  
**apply**(*safe*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst ?x)) a p*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x)) a p*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x)) a p*)  
**prefer** 2  
**apply**(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src ?x)) a p*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x)) a p*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x)) a p*)  
**prefer** 2  
**apply**(*simp-all add: matches-alist-and*)  
**apply**(*simp-all add: NegPos-map-simps*)  
**apply**(*simp-all add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not*)  
**apply**(*clarify*)  
**apply**(*simp-all add: NegPos-set*)  
**apply** *blast*  
**apply**(*clarify*)  
**apply**(*blast*)  
**done**

**lemma** *compress-ips-src-None-matching*:  $\text{compress-ips src} = \text{None} \implies \neg \text{Ln-uncompressed-matching}$   
 $(simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \text{ a p } (UncompressedFormattedMatch \text{ src dst proto extra})$   
**apply**(*case-tac getPos src = []*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**apply**(*simp split: option.split-asm*)  
**apply**(*drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $a=a$  and  $p=p$  and  $dst=dst$  and  $proto=proto$  and*  
*extra=extra in compress-pos-ips-src-None-matching*)  
**apply**(*thin-tac getPos src  $\neq []$* )



```

    apply(erule HOL.rev-notE)
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule-tac src'=(map Pos (getPos src)) and src=src in Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-subset(1))
    prefer 2 apply simp
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: NegPos-set)
    apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
    apply(drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
    apply(simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range)
    apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps nt-match-list-matches)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst ?x)) a
p)
    apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x))
a p)
    apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x))
a p)
    apply(simp add: matches-alist-and)
    apply(simp add: NegPos-map-simps)
    apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)
    apply(clarify)
by (metis (erased, hide-lams) INT-iff UN-iff subsetCE)
lemma compress-ips-dst-None-matching: compress-ips dst = None  $\implies \neg$  Ln-uncompressed-matching
(simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto extra)
    apply(case-tac getPos dst = [])
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp split: option.split-asm)
    apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $a=a$  and  $p=p$  and  $src=src$  and  $proto=proto$  and
extra=extra in compress-pos-ips-dst-None-matching)
    apply(thin-tac getPos dst  $\neq$  [])
    apply(erule HOL.rev-notE)
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule-tac dst'=(map Pos (getPos dst)) and dst=dst in Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-subset(2))
    prefer 2 apply simp
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: NegPos-set)
    apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
    apply(drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
    apply(simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range)
    apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps nt-match-list-matches)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src ?x)) a
p)
    apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x))
a p)
    apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x))
a p)
    apply(simp add: matches-alist-and)
    apply(simp add: NegPos-map-simps)

```

**apply**(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)  
**apply**(clarify)  
**by** (metis (erased, hide-lams) INT-iff UN-iff subsetCE)

**lemma** Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-eq: matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src Y)) a p  $\implies$   
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch X dst proto extra)  $\longleftrightarrow$   
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch Y dst proto extra)  
**apply**(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching)  
**by** (metis matches-simp11 matches-simp22)

**lemma** Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-eq: matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src Y)) a p  $\implies$   
 matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst A)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst B)) a p  $\implies$   
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch X A proto extra)  $\longleftrightarrow$   
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch Y B proto extra)  
**apply**(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching)  
**by** (metis matches-simp11 matches-simp22)

**lemma** matches-and-x-any: matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd (Match x) MatchAny) a p = matches  $\gamma$  (Match x) a p  
**apply**(case-tac  $\gamma$ )  
**by**(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)

**lemma** compress-ips-src-Some-matching: compress-ips src = Some X  $\implies$   
 matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src src)) a p  
**apply**(case-tac getPos src = [])  
**apply**(simp)  
**apply**(simp)  
**apply**(simp split: option.split-asm split-if-asm)  
**apply**(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range)  
**apply**(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and a=a and p=p in compress-pos-ips-src-Some-matching)  
**apply**(simp add: matches-and-x-any)  
**apply**(simp add: matches-alist-and NegPos-map-simps match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)  
**apply**(safe)  
**apply**(simp-all add: NegPos-map-simps)  
**done**

```

lemma compress-ips-dst-Some-matching: compress-ips dst = Some X  $\implies$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches
  (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst dst)) a p
  apply(case-tac getPos dst = [])
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: option.split-asm split-if-asm)
  apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range)
  apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and a=a and p=p in compress-pos-ips-dst-Some-matching)
  apply(simp add: matches-and-x-any)
  apply(simp add: matches-alist-and NegPos-map-simps match-simplematcher-SrcDst
  match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all add: NegPos-map-simps)
done

```

```

fun compress-Ln-ips :: (match-Ln-uncompressed  $\times$  action) list  $\Rightarrow$  (match-Ln-uncompressed
 $\times$  action) list where
  compress-Ln-ips [] = [] |
  compress-Ln-ips (((UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto extra), a)#rs) =
    (case (compress-ips src, compress-ips dst) of
      (None, -)  $\Rightarrow$  compress-Ln-ips rs
    | (-, None)  $\Rightarrow$  compress-Ln-ips rs
    | (Some src', Some dst')  $\Rightarrow$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch src' dst' proto extra,
a)#(compress-Ln-ips rs)
    )

```

**export-code** compress-Ln-ips **in** SML

```

fun compress-prots :: ipt-protocol negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-protocol negation-type
option where
  compress-prots [] = Some (Pos ProtAll) |
  compress-prots ((Pos ProtAll)#ps) = compress-prots ps |
  compress-prots ((Neg ProtAll)#-) = None |
  compress-prots ( p # Pos ProtAll # ps) = compress-prots (p#ps)|
  compress-prots ( - # Neg ProtAll # -) = None |
  compress-prots ( Pos ProtTCP # Pos ProtUDP # -) = None|
  compress-prots ( Pos ProtUDP # Pos ProtTCP # -) = None

```

**lemma** approximating-bigstep-fun-Ln-rules-to-rule-step-simultaneously:  
 approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule (rs1)) Unde-  
 cided = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule (rs2))  
 Undecided  $\implies$   
 matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr r1) a  
 p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr

```

r2) a p
  ⇒
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, α) p (Ln-rules-to-rule ((r1, a)#rs1))
Undecided =
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, α) p (Ln-rules-to-rule ((r2,
a)#rs2)) Undecided
by(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def split: action.split)

theorem compress-Ln-ips-correctness: approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,
α) p (Ln-rules-to-rule (compress-Ln-ips rs1)) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, α) p (Ln-rules-to-rule rs1) s
apply(case-tac s)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
apply(clarify, thin-tac s = Undecided)
apply(induction rs1)
apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(case-tac r, simp)
apply(rename-tac m action)
apply(case-tac m)
apply(rename-tac src dst proto extra)
apply(simp only: compress-Ln-ips.simps)
apply(simp del: compress-ips.simps split: option.split)
apply(safe)
  apply(drule-tac α=α and p=p and proto=proto and extra=extra and dst=dst
and a=action in compress-ips-src-None-matching)
  apply(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def Ln-uncompressed-matching)
  apply(drule-tac α=α and p=p and proto=proto and extra=extra and src=src
and a=action in compress-ips-dst-None-matching)
  apply(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def Ln-uncompressed-matching)
apply(simp del: compress-ips.simps)
apply(drule-tac α=α and p=p and a=action in compress-ips-dst-Some-matching)

apply(drule-tac α=α and p=p and a=action in compress-ips-src-Some-matching)
apply(rule approximating-bigstep-fun-Ln-rules-to-rule-step-simultaneously, simp)
apply(rule Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-eq[simplified Ln-uncompressed-matching])
apply(simp-all)
done

fun does-I-has-compressed-rules :: (match-Ln-uncompressed × action) list ⇒ (match-Ln-uncompressed
× action) list where
  does-I-has-compressed-rules [] = [] |
  does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [dst] proto []),
a)#rs) =
    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
  does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [src] [] proto []),
a)#rs) =

```

```

    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [src] [dst] proto []),
a)#rs) =
    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] proto []), a)#rs)
=
    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (r#rs) = r # does-I-has-compressed-rules rs

```

```

fun does-I-has-compressed-prots :: (match-Ln-uncompressed × action) list ⇒ (match-Ln-uncompressed
× action) list where
    does-I-has-compressed-prots [] = [] |
    does-I-has-compressed-prots (((UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst [] []), a)#rs)
=
    does-I-has-compressed-prots rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-prots (((UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst [proto] []),
a)#rs) =
    does-I-has-compressed-prots rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-prots (r#rs) =
    r # does-I-has-compressed-prots rs

end
theory Packet-Set
imports Packet-Set-Impl
begin

```

## 21 Packet Set

### 21.1 The set of all accepted packets

Collect all packets which are allowed by the firewall.

```

fun collect-allow :: ('a, 'p) match-tac ⇒ 'a rule list ⇒ 'p set ⇒ 'p set where
    collect-allow - [] P = {} |
    collect-allow γ ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = {p ∈ P. matches γ m Accept p} ∪
    (collect-allow γ rs {p ∈ P. ¬ matches γ m Accept p}) |
    collect-allow γ ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow γ rs {p ∈ P. ¬ matches
γ m Drop p})

```

```

lemma collect-allow-subset: simple-ruleset rs ⇒ collect-allow γ rs P ⊆ P
apply(induction rs arbitrary: P)
apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac r rs P)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)
apply(fast)
apply blast

```

done

**lemma** *collect-allow-sound: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies p \in \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } P \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$*   
**proof**(*induction rs arbitrary: P*)  
**case** *Nil* **thus** ?case **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** (*Cons r rs*)  
**from** *Cons* **obtain** *m a* **where** *r: r = Rule m a* **by** (*cases r*) *simp*  
**from** *Cons.prem*s **have** *simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs* **by** (*simp add: r simple-ruleset-def*)  
**from** *Cons.prem*s *r* **have** *a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop* **by** (*simp add: r simple-ruleset-def*)  
**show** ?case (**is** ?goal)  
**proof**(*cases a*)  
**case** *Accept*  
**from** *Accept Cons.IH* [**where** *P = {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}*] *simple-rs*  
**have** *IH:*  
 $p \in \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m Accept } p\} \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$  **by** *simp*  
**from** *Accept Cons.prem*s **have** ( $p \in P \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m Accept } p$ )  $\vee p \in \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m Accept } p\}$   
**by**(*simp add: r*)  
**with** *Accept* **show** ?goal  
**apply** –  
**apply**(*erule disjE*)  
**apply**(*simp add: r*)  
**apply**(*simp add: r*)  
**using** *IH* **by** *blast*  
**next**  
**case** *Drop*  
**from** *Drop Cons.prem*s **have**  $p \in \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m Drop } p\}$   
**by**(*simp add: r*)  
**with** *Cons.IH simple-rs* **have**  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$  **by** *simp*  
**with** *Cons* **show** ?goal  
**apply**(*simp add: r Drop del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps*)  
**apply**(*simp*)  
**using** *collect-allow-subset[OF simple-rs]* **by** *fast*  
**qed**(*insert a-cases, simp-all*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *collect-allow-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow} \implies p \in P \implies p \in \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } P$*   
**proof**(*induction rs arbitrary: P*)  
**case** *Nil* **thus** ?case **by** *simp*  
**next**

```

case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  from Cons.prem have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.prem r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases a)
    case Accept
      from Accept Cons.IH simple-rs have IH:  $\forall P$ . approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs P by
simp
      with Accept Cons.prem show ?goal
      apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r)
      done
    next
    case Drop
      with Cons show ?goal
      apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r simple-rs)
      done
  qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

**theorem** collect-allow-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  {p. p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs UNIV} = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}

```

apply(safe)
using collect-allow-sound[where P=UNIV] apply fast
using collect-allow-complete[where P=UNIV] by fast

```

the complement of the allowed packets

```

fun collect-allow-compl :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set
where
  collect-allow-compl - [] P = UNIV |
  collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = (P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$ matches  $\gamma$  m Accept
p})  $\cap$  (collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$  {p. matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p})) |
  collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$ 
{p. matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}))

```

**lemma** collect-allow-compl-correct: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  ( $\neg$  collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs ( $\neg$  P)) = collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs P

```

proof(induction  $\gamma$  rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow.induct)
case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case (2  $\gamma$  r rs)

```

```

      have set-simp1:  $-\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\} = -P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\}$  by blast
    from 2 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. -\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (-P) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ P$  using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
    from IH[where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
       $-\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (-P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept})) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\}$  by simp
    thus ?case by auto
  next
  case ( $\exists \gamma \ r \ rs$ )
    have set-simp1:  $-\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\} = -P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$  by blast
    from 3 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. -\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (-P) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ P$  using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
    from IH[where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
       $-\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (-P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop})) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$  by simp
    thus ?case by auto
  qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)

```

## 21.2 The set of all dropped packets

Collect all packets which are denied by the firewall.

```

fun collect-deny :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set where
  collect-deny - []  $P = \{\}$  |
  collect-deny  $\gamma \ ((\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop})\#rs) \ P = \{p \in P. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop } p\} \cup$ 
   $(\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop } p\})$  |
  collect-deny  $\gamma \ ((\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept})\#rs) \ P = (\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Accept } p\})$ 

```

```

lemma collect-deny-subset: simple-ruleset  $rs \implies \text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ P \subseteq P$ 
apply(induction  $rs$  arbitrary:  $P$ )
apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac  $r \ rs \ P$ )
apply(case-tac  $r$ , rename-tac  $m \ a$ )
apply(case-tac  $a$ )
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)
apply(fast)
apply blast
done

```

```

lemma collect-deny-sound: simple-ruleset  $rs \implies p \in \text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ P \implies$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ 
proof(induction  $rs$  arbitrary:  $P$ )
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons  $r \ rs$ )
  from Cons obtain  $m \ a$  where  $r$ :  $r = \text{Rule } m \ a$  by (cases  $r$ ) simp

```



```

from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
show ?case (is ?goal)
proof(cases a)
  case Drop
    from Drop Cons.IH[where P={p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}] simple-rs
have IH:
  p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny by simp
    from Drop Cons.premis have (p  $\in$  P  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)  $\vee$  p  $\in$ 
collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}
    by(simp add: r)
    with Drop show ?goal
    apply -
    apply(erule disjE)
    apply(simp add: r)
    apply(simp add: r)
    using IH by blast
  next
  case Accept
    from Accept Cons.premis have p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$ 
m Accept p}
    by(simp add: r)
    with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
= Decision FinalDeny by simp
    with Cons show ?goal
    apply(simp add: r Accept del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
    apply(simp)
    using collect-deny-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast
    qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

```

lemma collect-deny-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny  $\implies$  p  $\in$  P  $\implies$  p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs P
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases a)
    case Accept
      from Accept Cons.IH simple-rs have IH:  $\forall$  P. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs P by

```

```

simp
  with Accept Cons.premis show ?goal
  apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)
  apply(simp add: r)
  apply(simp add: r)
  done
next
case Drop
  with Cons show ?goal
  apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)
  apply(simp add: r)
  apply(simp add: r simple-rs)
  done
qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

**theorem** *collect-deny-sound-complete: simple-ruleset  $rs \implies \{p. p \in \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } UNIV\} = \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } Undecided = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\}$*

```

  apply(safe)
  using collect-deny-sound[where  $P=UNIV$ ] apply fast
  using collect-deny-complete[where  $P=UNIV$ ] by fast

```

the complement of the denied packets

```

fun collect-deny-compl :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set
where
  collect-deny-compl - []  $P = UNIV$  |
  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Drop)#rs)  $P = (P \cup \{p. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } Drop \text{ } p\}) \cap (\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } (P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } Drop \text{ } p\}))$  |
  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs)  $P = (\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } (P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } Accept \text{ } p\}))$ 

```

**lemma** *collect-deny-compl-correct: simple-ruleset  $rs \implies (\neg \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } (\neg P)) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } P$*

```

proof(induction  $\gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } P$  arbitrary:  $P$  rule: collect-deny.induct)
case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case (3  $\gamma \text{ } r \text{ } rs$ )
  have set-simp1:  $\neg \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } r \text{ } Accept \text{ } p\} = \neg P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } r \text{ } Accept \text{ } p\}$  by blast
  from 3 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. \neg \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } (\neg P) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } P$ 
  using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
  from IH[where  $P=\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } r \text{ } Accept \text{ } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
     $\neg \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } (\neg P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } r \text{ } Accept)) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ } rs \text{ } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } r \text{ } Accept \text{ } p\}$  by simp
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (2  $\gamma \text{ } r \text{ } rs$ )

```

```

have set-simp1:  $-\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\} = -P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$  by blast
from 2 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. -\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (-P) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs$ 
P using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
from IH [where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
 $-\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (-P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop})) = \text{collect-deny}$ 
 $\gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$  by simp
thus ?case by auto
qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)

```

### 21.3 Rulesets with default rules

**definition** *has-default* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{has-default } rs \equiv \text{length } rs > 0 \wedge ((\text{last } rs = \text{Rule MatchAny Accept}) \vee (\text{last } rs = \text{Rule MatchAny Drop}))$

**lemma** *has-default-UNIV*:  $\text{good-ruleset } rs \Longrightarrow \text{has-default } rs \Longrightarrow$   
 $\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \cup \{p.$   
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}$   
**apply**(*induction rs*)  
**apply**(*simp add: has-default-def*)  
**apply**(*rename-tac r rs*)  
**apply**(*simp add: has-default-def good-ruleset-tail split: split-if-asm*)  
**apply**(*elim disjE*)  
**apply**(*simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)  
**apply**(*simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)  
**apply**(*case-tac r, rename-tac m a*)  
**apply**(*case-tac a*)  
**apply**(*auto simp: good-ruleset-def*)  
**done**

**lemma** *allow-set-by-collect-deny-compl*: **assumes** *simple-ruleset rs* **and** *has-default rs*

**shows**  $\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \ rs \ \{\} = \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$

**proof** –

**from** *assms* **have** *univ*:  $\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \cup \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}$

**using** *simple-imp-good-ruleset has-default-UNIV* **by** *fast*

**from** *assms*(1) *collect-deny-compl-correct* [**where**  $P = \text{UNIV}$ ] **have**  $\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \ rs \ \{\} = -\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ \text{UNIV}$  **by** *fastforce*

**moreover with** *collect-deny-sound-complete assms*(1) **have**  $\dots = -\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\}$  **by** *fast*

**ultimately show** ?*thesis* **using** *univ* **by** *fastforce*

**qed**

**lemma** *deny-set-by-collect-allow-compl*: **assumes** *simple-ruleset rs* **and** *has-default rs*

**shows**  $\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } \{\} = \{p. \text{ approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\}$   
**proof** –  
**from** *assms* **have** *univ*:  $\{p. \text{ approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \cup \{p. \text{ approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}$   
**using** *simple-imp-good-ruleset has-default-UNIV* **by** *fast*  
**from** *assms*(1)  $\text{collect-allow-compl-correct}[\text{where } P = \text{UNIV}]$  **have**  $\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } \{\} = - \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs UNIV}$  **by** *fastforce*  
**moreover with** *collect-allow-sound-complete assms*(1) **have**  $\dots = - \{p. \text{ approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$  **by** *fast*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **using** *univ* **by** *fastforce*  
**qed**

with  $\text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma (\text{packet-set-constrain } ?a ?m ?P) = \{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma ?P. \text{ matches } ?\gamma ?m ?a p\}$  and  $\text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma (\text{packet-set-constrain-not } ?a ?m ?P) = \{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma ?P. \neg \text{ matches } ?\gamma ?m ?a p\}$ , it should be possible to build an executable version of the algorithm above.

## 21.4 The set of all accepted packets – Executable Implementation

**fun** *collect-allow-impl-v1* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set **where**  
 $\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } [] P = \text{packet-set-Empty} \mid$   
 $\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept})\#rs) P = \text{packet-set-union } (\text{packet-set-constrain } \text{Accept } m P) (\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } rs (\text{packet-set-constrain-not } \text{Accept } m P)) \mid$   
 $\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop})\#rs) P = (\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } rs (\text{packet-set-constrain-not } \text{Drop } m P))$

**lemma** *collect-allow-impl-v1*:  $\text{simple-ruleset } rs \Longrightarrow \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } rs P) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } (\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma P)$

**apply**(*induction*  $\gamma \text{ rs } (\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma P)$  *arbitrary*: *P* *rule*: *collect-allow.induct*)

**apply**(*simp-all* *add*: *packet-set-union-correct packet-set-constrain-correct packet-set-constrain-not-correct packet-set-Empty simple-ruleset-def*)

**done**

**fun** *collect-allow-impl-v2* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set **where**  
 $\text{collect-allow-impl-v2 } [] P = \text{packet-set-Empty} \mid$   
 $\text{collect-allow-impl-v2 } ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept})\#rs) P = \text{packet-set-opt } (\text{packet-set-union}$

$(\text{packet-set-opt } (\text{packet-set-constrain } \text{Accept } m P)) (\text{packet-set-opt } (\text{collect-allow-impl-v2 } rs (\text{packet-set-opt } (\text{packet-set-constrain-not } \text{Accept } m (\text{packet-set-opt } P)))))) \mid$   
 $\text{collect-allow-impl-v2 } ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop})\#rs) P = (\text{collect-allow-impl-v2 } rs (\text{packet-set-opt } (\text{packet-set-constrain-not } \text{Drop } m (\text{packet-set-opt } P))))$

**lemma** *collect-allow-impl-v2*:  $\text{simple-ruleset } rs \Longrightarrow \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{collect-allow-impl-v2 } rs P) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{collect-allow-impl-v1 } rs P)$

**apply**(*induction*  $rs P$  *arbitrary*: *P* *rule*: *collect-allow-impl-v1.induct*)

**apply**(*simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct*  
*packet-set-constrain-not-correct collect-allow-impl-v1*)  
**done**

executable!

**export-code** *collect-allow-impl-v2* **in** *SML*

**theorem** *collect-allow-impl-v1-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$*   
*packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs packet-set-UNIV) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun*  
 *$\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}*  
**apply**(*simp add: collect-allow-impl-v1 packet-set-UNIV*)  
**using** *collect-allow-sound-complete* **by** *fast*

**corollary** *collect-allow-impl-v2-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$*   
*packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v2 rs packet-set-UNIV) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun*  
 *$\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}*  
**using** *collect-allow-impl-v1-sound-complete collect-allow-impl-v2* **by** *fast*

instead of the expensive invert and intersect operations, we try to build the algorithm primarily by union

**lemma**  $(UNIV - A) \cap (UNIV - B) = UNIV - (A \cup B)$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $A \cap (- P) = UNIV - (-A \cup P)$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $UNIV - ((- P) \cap A) = P \cup - A$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $((- P) \cap A) = UNIV - (P \cup - A)$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $UNIV - ((P \cup - A) \cap X) = UNIV - ((P \cap X) \cup (- A \cap X))$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $UNIV - ((P \cap X) \cup (- A \cap X)) = (- P \cup -X) \cap (A \cup - X)$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $(- P \cup -X) \cap (A \cup -X) = (- P \cap A) \cup - X$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $(((- P) \cap A) \cup X) = UNIV - ((P \cup - A) \cap - X)$  **by** *blast*

**lemma** *set-helper1:*

$(- P \cap - \{p. matches \gamma m a p\}) = \{p. p \notin P \wedge \neg matches \gamma m a p\}$

$- \{p \in - P. matches \gamma m a p\} = (P \cup - \{p. matches \gamma m a p\})$

$- \{p. matches \gamma m a p\} = \{p. \neg matches \gamma m a p\}$

**by** *blast+*

**fun** *collect-allow-compl-impl* :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set **where**  
*collect-allow-compl-impl* []  $P = packet-set-UNIV$  |  
*collect-allow-compl-impl* ((Rule m Accept)#rs)  $P = packet-set-intersect$   
 $(packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m))) (collect-allow-compl-impl$   
*rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Accept m)))) |  
*collect-allow-compl-impl* ((Rule m Drop)#rs)  $P = (collect-allow-compl-impl rs$   
 $(packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Drop m))))$*

**lemma** *collect-allow-compl-impl: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$*

```

    packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P) = - collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs (-
packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P)
  apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-correct[symmetric] )
  apply(induction rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow-impl-v1.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
packet-set-intersect-intersect packet-set-not-correct
    to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-UNIV )
done

```

take UNIV setminus the intersect over the result and get the set of allowed packets

```

fun collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set
list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set list where
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec [] P PAs = PAs |
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P PAs =
    collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set
Accept m))) ((packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)))#
PAs) |
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P PAs = collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec
rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Drop m))) PAs

```

**lemma** collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-helper: simple-ruleset rs  $\impl$   
(packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P))  $\cap$  ( $\bigcap$  set (map (packet-set-to-set  
 $\gamma$ ) PAs)) =

( $\bigcap$  set (map (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$ ) (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs P PAs)))  
**proof**(induction rs P arbitrary: PAs P rule: collect-allow-compl-impl.induct)

```

  case (2 m rs)
    from 2 have IH: ( $\bigwedge$  P PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P)
 $\cap$  ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) =
      ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs P PAs). packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  x))

```

```

  by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  from IH[where P=(packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Accept
m))) and PAs=(packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)) #
PAs)] have
    (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p})  $\cap$ 
    packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union
P (to-packet-set Accept m))))  $\cap$ 
    ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) =
    ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set
      (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set
Accept m))) (packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)) # PAs)).
      packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x)

```

```

  apply(simp add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-not-correct to-packet-set-set)
by blast

```

```

  thus ?case
  by(simp add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct packet-set-intersect-intersect
packet-set-not-correct

```

```

    to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-constrain-not-correct)
qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
packet-set-intersect-intersect packet-set-not-correct
    to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-constrain-not-correct packet-set-UNIV
packet-set-Empty-def)

```

```

lemma collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-correct: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$ 
  (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P)) = ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set}$  (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec
rs P [])). packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x)
using collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-helper[where PAs=[], simplified]
by metis

```

```

definition allow-set-not-inter :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set list where
  allow-set-not-inter rs  $\equiv$  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs packet-set-Empty []

```

Intersecting over the result of *allow-set-not-inter* and inverting is the list of all allowed packets

```

lemma allow-set-not-inter: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$ 
  - ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set}$  (allow-set-not-inter rs). packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}
  unfolding allow-set-not-inter-def
  apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-correct[symmetric])
  apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-impl)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-Empty)
  using collect-allow-sound-complete by fast

```

this gives the set of denied packets

```

lemma simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  has-default rs  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set}$  (allow-set-not-inter rs). packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny}
  apply(frule simple-imp-good-ruleset)
  apply(drule(1) has-default-UNIV[where  $\gamma=\gamma$ ])
  apply(drule allow-set-not-inter[where  $\gamma=\gamma$ ])
by force

```

```

lemma UNIV - ((P  $\cup$  - A)  $\cap$  X) = - ((- (- P  $\cap$  A))  $\cap$  X) by blast

```

```

end
theory Analyze-TUM-Net-Firewall
imports Main ../../Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln ../../Call-Return-Unfolding
../../Optimizing

```

```

~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Nat
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Int
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Char
../.. /Packet-Set
begin

```

## 22 Example: Chair for Network Architectures and Services (TUM)

**definition** *unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* :: *iptrule-match ruleset*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* *rs* = ((*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)  $^{\wedge}10$ )

(*optimize-matches* *opt-simple-matcher* (*rw-Reject* (*rm-LogEmpty* (((*process-call* *rs*)  $^{\wedge}5$ ) [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]))))

**definition** *map-of-string* :: (*string*  $\times$  *iptrule-match rule list*) *list*  $\Rightarrow$  *string*  $\rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*map-of-string* *rs* = *map-of* *rs*

**definition** *upper-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*upper-closure* *rs* == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)  $^{\wedge}2000$ ) (*optimize-matches-a* *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* *rs*))

**definition** *lower-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*lower-closure* *rs* == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)  $^{\wedge}2000$ ) (*optimize-matches-a* *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra* *rs*))

**definition** *deny-set* :: *iptrule-match rule list*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match packet-set list* **where**  
*deny-set* *rs*  $\equiv$  *filter* ( $\lambda a. a \neq \text{packet-set-UNIV}$ ) (*map* *packet-set-opt* (*allow-set-not-inter* *rs*))

**definition** *bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask*:: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  (*nat*  $\times$  *nat*  $\times$  *nat*  $\times$  *nat*) **where**  
*bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask* *n*  $\equiv$  *dotteddecimal-of-ipv4addr* ( (*NOT* (((*mask* *n*::*ipv4addr*)  $<<$  (32 - *n*))) )

**lemma** *bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask* 16 = (0, 0, 255, 255) **by** *eval*

**lemma** *bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask* 24 = (0, 0, 0, 255) **by** *eval*

**lemma** *bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask* 8 = (0, 255, 255, 255) **by** *eval*

**lemma** *bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask* 32 = (0, 0, 0, 0) **by** *eval*

**export-code** *unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* *map-of-string* *upper-closure* *lower-closure*



*format-Ln-rules-uncompressed compress-Ln-ips does-I-has-compressed-rules*

*Rule*

*Accept Drop Log Reject Call Return Empty Unknown*

*Match MatchNot MatchAnd MatchAny*

*Ip4Addr Ip4AddrNetmask*

*ProtAll ProtTCP ProtUDP*

*Src Dst Prot Extra*

*nat-of-integer integer-of-nat*

*UncompressedFormattedMatch Pos Neg*

*does-I-has-compressed-prots*

*bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask*

*deny-set*

**in SML module-name Test file** *unfold-code.ML*

**ML-file** *unfold-code.ML*

**ML-file** *iptables-Ln-29.11.2013.ML*

This is the firewall ruleset (excerpt, 24 of 2800 rules displayed) we are going to analyze:

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)

target	prot	source	destination	
LOG_DROP	all	127.0.0.0/8	0.0.0.0/0	
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.206	0.0.0.0/0	multiport sports 389,636
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.208	0.0.0.0/0	multiport sports 389,636
ACCEPT	udp	131.159.14.206	0.0.0.0/0	udp spt:88
ACCEPT	udp	131.159.14.208	0.0.0.0/0	udp spt:88
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.192/27	0.0.0.0/0	tcp spt:3260
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.0/23	131.159.14.192/27	tcp dpt:3260
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.20.0/24	131.159.14.192/27	tcp dpt:3260
ACCEPT	udp	131.159.15.252	0.0.0.0/0	
ACCEPT	udp	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.15.252	multiport dports 4569,5000:65535
ACCEPT	all	131.159.15.247	0.0.0.0/0	
ACCEPT	all	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.15.247	
ACCEPT	all	131.159.15.248	0.0.0.0/0	
ACCEPT	all	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.15.248	
	tcp	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.14.0/23	state NEW tcp dpt:22flags: 0x17/0x02 recent: SET name: ratessh side: source
	tcp	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.20.0/23	state NEW tcp dpt:22flags: 0x17/0x02 recent: SET name: ratessh side: source
mac_96	all	131.159.14.0/25	0.0.0.0/0	
LOG_DROP	all	!131.159.14.0/25	0.0.0.0/0	

Chain LOG\_DROP (21 references)

target	prot	source	destination	
LOG	all	0.0.0.0/0	0.0.0.0/0	limit: avg 100/min burst 5 LOG flags 0 level 4 prefix "[IPT_DROP]:"

```
DROP      all  0.0.0.0/0          0.0.0.0/0
```

```
Chain mac_96 (1 references)
```

```
target  prot  source          destination
RETURN  all  131.159.14.92    0.0.0.0/0      MAC XX:XX:XX:XX:XX:XX
DROP    all  131.159.14.92    0.0.0.0/0
RETURN  all  131.159.14.65    0.0.0.0/0      MAC XX:XX:XX:XX:XX:XX
DROP    all  131.159.14.65    0.0.0.0/0
```

```
ML⟨⟨
open Test;
⟩⟩
declare[[ML-print-depth=50]]
ML⟨⟨
val rules = unfold-ruleset-FORWARD (map-of-string firewall-chains)
⟩⟩
ML⟨⟨
length rules;
val upper = upper-closure rules;
length upper;⟩⟩
ML⟨⟨
val lower = lower-closure rules;
length lower;⟩⟩
```

How long does the unfolding take?

```
ML-val⟨⟨
val t0 = Time.now();
val - = unfold-ruleset-FORWARD (map-of-string firewall-chains);
val t1 = Time.now();
writeln(String.concat [It took , Time.toString(Time.-(t1,t0)), seconds])
⟩⟩
```

on my system, less than 1 second.

Time required for calculating both closures

```
ML-val⟨⟨
val t0 = Time.now();
val - = upper-closure rules;
val - = lower-closure rules;
val t1 = Time.now();
writeln(String.concat [It took , Time.toString(Time.-(t1,t0)), seconds])
⟩⟩
```

on my system, less than five seconds.

```
ML⟨⟨
fun dump-dotteddecimal-ip (a,(b,(c,d))) = ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat a) ^ . ^ Int.toString
(integer-of-nat b) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat c) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat
d);

fun dump-ip (Ip4Addr ip) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip) ^ /32
```

```
| dump-ip (Ip4AddrNetmask (ip, nm)) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip) ^ / ^ Int.toString
(integer-of-nat nm);
```

```
fun dump-prot ProtAll = all
| dump-prot ProtTCP = tcp
| dump-prot ProtUDP = udp;
```

```
fun dump-protos [] = all
| dump-protos [Pos p] = dump-prot p
| dump-protos [Neg p] = ! ^ dump-prot p;
(*undefined otherwise*)
```

```
fun dump-extra [] = ;
```

```
fun dump-action Accept = ACCEPT
| dump-action Drop = DROP
| dump-action Log = LOG
| dump-action Reject = REJECT
;
```

```
local
  fun dump-ip-list-hlp [] =
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Pos ip)::ips) = ((dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Neg ip)::ips) = (! ^ (dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
in
  fun dump-ip-list [] = 0.0.0.0/0
    | dump-ip-list rs = dump-ip-list-hlp rs
end;
```

```
fun dump-iptables [] = ()
| dump-iptables ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, extra), a) :: rs)
=
  (writeln (dump-action a ^
    ^ dump-protos proto ^ -- ^
    ^ dump-ip-list src ^
    ^ dump-ip-list dst ^
    ^ dump-extra extra); dump-iptables rs);
```

```
fun dump-iptables-save [] = ()
| dump-iptables-save ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, []), a) ::
rs) =
  (writeln (-A FORWARD ^
    (if List.length src = 1 then -s ^ dump-ip-list src ^ else if List.length
src > 1 then ERROR else ) ^
    (if List.length dst = 1 then -d ^ dump-ip-list dst ^ else if List.length
dst > 1 then ERROR else ) ^
    (if List.length proto = 1 then -p ^ dump-protos proto ^ else if
List.length proto > 1 then ERROR else ) ^
```

```

^ -j ^ dump-action a); dump-iptables-save rs);
>>

ML-val<<
length (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
(format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
>>
ML-val<<
(compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>
ML-val<<
length (does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
upper)));
does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>
ML-val<<
does-I-has-compressed-protos (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>

```

iptables -L -n

```

ML-val<<
writeln Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source          destination;
writeln ;
writeln Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source          destination;
dump-iptables (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));

writeln Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source          destination
>>

```

Upper Closure (excerpt)

```

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
target    prot source          destination
DROP      all  127.0.0.0/8          0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    tcp  131.159.14.206/32     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    tcp  131.159.14.208/32     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    udp  131.159.14.206/32     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    udp  131.159.14.208/32     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    tcp  131.159.14.192/27     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    tcp  131.159.14.0/23       131.159.14.192/27
ACCEPT    tcp  131.159.20.0/24       131.159.14.192/27
ACCEPT    udp  131.159.15.252/32     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    udp  0.0.0.0/0            131.159.15.252/32
ACCEPT    all  131.159.15.247/32     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    all  0.0.0.0/0            131.159.15.247/32

```

```
ACCEPT all 131.159.15.248/32 0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT all 0.0.0.0/0 131.159.15.248/32
DROP all !131.159.14.0/25 0.0.0.0/0
```

iptables -L -n

```
ML-val⟨⟨
writeln Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source          destination;
writeln ;
writeln Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source          destination;
dump-iptables (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower));

writeln Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source          destination
⟩⟩
```

Lower Closure (excerpt)

```
Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
target    prot source          destination
DROP      all 127.0.0.0/8        0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    udp 131.159.15.252/32   0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    all 131.159.15.247/32   0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    all 0.0.0.0/0          131.159.15.247/32
ACCEPT    all 131.159.15.248/32   0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    all 0.0.0.0/0          131.159.15.248/32
DROP      all 131.159.14.92/32    0.0.0.0/0
DROP      all 131.159.14.65/32    0.0.0.0/0
... (unfolded DROPs from chain mac_96
DROP      all !131.159.14.0/25 0.0.0.0/0
```

iptables-save

```
ML-val⟨⟨
writeln # Generated by iptables-save v1.4.21 on Wed Sep 3 18:02:01 2014;
writeln *filter;
writeln :INPUT ACCEPT [0:0];
writeln :FORWARD ACCEPT [0:0];
writeln :OUTPUT ACCEPT [0:0];
dump-iptables-save (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
writeln COMMIT;
writeln # Completed on Wed Sep 3 18:02:01 2014;
⟩⟩
```

Cisco

```
ML⟨⟨
fun dump-action-cisco Accept = permit
  | dump-action-cisco Drop = deny
;

```

```

fun dump-prot-cisco [] = ip
  | dump-prot-cisco [Pos ProtAll] = ip
  | dump-prot-cisco [Pos ProtTCP] = tcp
  | dump-prot-cisco [Pos ProtUDP] = udp;

local
  fun dump-ip-cisco (Ip4Addr ip) = host ^ (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip)
    | dump-ip-cisco (Ip4AddrNetmask (ip, nm)) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip) ^
      ^ (dump-dotteddecimal-ip (bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask nm));
in
  fun dump-ip-list-cisco [] = any
    | dump-ip-list-cisco [Pos ip] = dump-ip-cisco ip
    | dump-ip-list-cisco [Neg ip] = TODO ^ dump-ip-cisco ip
end;

fun dump-cisco [] = ()
  | dump-cisco ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, []), a) :: rs) =
    (writeln (access-list 101 ^ dump-action-cisco a ^
      (if List.length proto <= 1 then ^ dump-prot-cisco proto ^ else
ERROR) ^
      (dump-ip-list-cisco src) ^ ^ (dump-ip-list-cisco dst)); dump-cisco rs);
  >>

ML-val<<
  writeln interface fe0;
  writeln ip address 10.1.1.1 255.255.255.254;
  writeln ip access-group 101 in;
  writeln !;
  dump-cisco (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
  (*access-list 101 deny ip host 10.1.1.2 any
  access-list 101 permit tcp any host 192.168.5.10 eq 80
  access-list 101 permit tcp any host 192.168.5.11 eq 25
  access-list 101 deny any*)
  writeln !;
  writeln ! // need to give the end command;
  writeln end;

  >>

ML<<

fun dump-action-flowtable Accept = flood
  | dump-action-flowtable Drop = drop
;

```

```

local
  fun dump-ip-flowtable (Ip4Addr ip) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip)
    | dump-ip-flowtable (Ip4AddrNetmask (ip, nm)) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip
ip) ^ / ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat nm);
in
  fun dump-ip-list-flowtable [] = *
    | dump-ip-list-flowtable [Pos ip] = dump-ip-flowtable ip
    | dump-ip-list-flowtable [Neg ip] = TODO ^ dump-ip-flowtable ip
end;

fun dump-flowtable [] = ()
  | dump-flowtable ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, []), a) :: rs) =
    (writeln ((if List.length proto <= 1 then ^ dump-prot-cisco proto ^ else
ERROR) ^
nw-src=^(dump-ip-list-flowtable src) ^ nw-dst=^(dump-ip-list-flowtable
dst) ^
priority=^Int.toString (List.length rs) ^
action=^dump-action-flowtable a
); dump-flowtable rs);
>>
ML-val<<
(*ip nw-src=10.0.0.1/32 nw-dst=* priority=30000 action=flood*)

dump-flowtable (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>

packet set (test)

ML<<
val t0 = Time.now();
val deny-set-set = deny-set upper;
val t1 = Time.now();
writeln(String.concat [It took , Time.toString(Time.-(t1,t0)), seconds])
>>

ML-val<<
length deny-set-set;
>>
ML-val<<
deny-set-set;
>>

end
theory Analyze-SQRL-Shorewall
imports Main ../../Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln ../../Call-Return-Unfolding
../../Optimizing

```

```

~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Nat
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Int
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Char
begin

```

## 23 Example: SQRL Shorewall

**definition** *unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* :: *iptrule-match ruleset*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* *rs* = ((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*) ^ ^10)  
 (*optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call*  
*rs)* ^ ^20) [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]))))

**definition** *unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT* :: *iptrule-match ruleset*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT* *rs* = ((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*) ^ ^10)  
 (*optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call*  
*rs)* ^ ^20) [Rule MatchAny (Call "OUTPUT")]))))

**definition** *map-of-string* :: (*string*  $\times$  *iptrule-match rule list*) *list*  $\Rightarrow$  *string*  $\rightarrow$   
*iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*map-of-string* *rs* = *map-of* *rs*

**definition** *upper-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*upper-closure* *rs* == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*) ^ ^2000)  
 (*optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* *rs*))

**definition** *lower-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list*  $\Rightarrow$  *iptrule-match rule list* **where**  
*lower-closure* *rs* == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*) ^ ^2000)  
 (*optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra* *rs*))

**export-code** *unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT* *map-of-string* *upper-closure* *lower-closure*  
*format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* *compress-Ln-ips* *does-I-has-compressed-rules*  
*Rule*  
*Accept Drop Log Reject Call Return Empty Unknown*  
*Match MatchNot MatchAnd MatchAny*  
*Ip4Addr Ip4AddrNetmask*  
*ProtAll ProtTCP ProtUDP*  
*Src Dst Prot Extra*  
*nat-of-integer integer-of-nat*  
*UncompressedFormattedMatch Pos Neg*  
*does-I-has-compressed-prots*  
**in** *SML module-name* *Test* **file** *unfold-code.ML*



**ML-file** *unfold-code.ML*

**ML-file** *akachan-iptables-Ln.ML*

```
ML⟨⟨
  open Test;
⟩⟩
declare[[ML-print-depth=50]]
ML⟨⟨
  val rules = unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT (map-of-string firewall-chains)
⟩⟩
ML⟨⟨
  length rules;
  val upper = upper-closure rules;
  length upper;⟩⟩
ML⟨⟨
  val lower = lower-closure rules;
  length lower;⟩⟩

ML⟨⟨
  fun dump-ip (Ip4Addr (a,(b,(c,d)))) = ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat a) ^ . ^ Int.toString
    (integer-of-nat b) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat c) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat
    d) ^ / 32
    | dump-ip (Ip4AddrNetmask ((a,(b,(c,d))), nm)) =
      ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat a) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat b) ^ . ^ Int.toString
    (integer-of-nat c) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat d) ^ / ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat
    nm);

  fun dump-prot ProtAll = all
    | dump-prot ProtTCP = tcp
    | dump-prot ProtUDP = udp;

  fun dump-prots [] = all
    | dump-prots [Pos p] = dump-prot p
    | dump-prots [Neg p] = ! ^ dump-prot p;
    (*undefined otherwise*)

  fun dump-extra [] = ;

  fun dump-action Accept = ACCEPT
    | dump-action Drop = DROP
    | dump-action Log = LOG
    | dump-action Reject = REJECT
  ;

  local
    fun dump-ip-list-hlp [] =
```

```

    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Pos ip)::ips) = ((dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Neg ip)::ips) = (! ^ (dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
in
  fun dump-ip-list [] = 0.0.0.0/0
    | dump-ip-list rs = dump-ip-list-hlp rs
end;

fun dump-iptables [] = ()
  | dump-iptables ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, extra), a) :: rs)
  =
    (writeln (dump-action a ^
      ^ dump-prots proto ^ -- ^
      ^ dump-ip-list src ^
      ^ dump-ip-list dst ^
      ^ dump-extra extra); dump-iptables rs);
  >>

ML-val<<
length (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
(format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
>>
ML-val<<
(compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>
ML-val<<
length (does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
upper)));
does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>
ML-val<<
does-I-has-compressed-prots (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>
ML-val<<
dump-iptables (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>

ML-val<<
compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower);
>>
ML-val<<
length (does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
lower)));
does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower));
>>
ML-val<<

```

```
does-I-has-compressed-protos (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower));
>>
```

```
end
```

```
theory Analyze-Synology-Diskstation
```

```
imports iptables-Ln-tuned-parsed../Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln ../Call-Return-Unfolding
```

```
../Optimizing
```

```
../Packet-Set
```

```
begin
```

## 24 Example: Synology Diskstation

we removed the established,related rule

```
definition example-ruleset == ["DOS-PROTECT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match
(Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask
((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra ("Prot icmp"))) (Match (Extra ("icmptype
8 limit: avg 1/sec burst 5'")))))) (Return),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra ("Prot
icmp"))) (Match (Extra ("icmptype 8'")))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x04 limit: avg 1/sec burst 5'"))))) (Return),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x04'"))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x02 limit: avg 10000/sec burst 100'"))))) (Return),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x02'"))))) (Drop)],
"INPUT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0))))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Prot (ProtAll))) (MatchAny)))) (Call ("DOS-PROTECT")),
(* Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtAll)))
(Match (Extra ("state RELATED,ESTABLISHED"))))) (Accept), *)
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp dpt:22'"))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("multiport dports 21,873,5005,5006,80,548,111,2049,892'")))))
(Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtUDP)))
```

```

(Match (Extra ("multiport dports 123,111,2049,892,5353")))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((192,168,0,0)) (16)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtAll)))
(MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtAll)))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAny) (Accept)],
"FORWARD" ↦ [Rule (MatchAny) (Accept)],
"OUTPUT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAny) (Accept)]

```

**abbreviation** *MatchAndInfix* :: 'a match-expr ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ 'a match-expr  
**(infixr MATCHAND 65) where** *MatchAndInfix* m1 m2 ≡ *MatchAnd* m1 m2

**definition** *example-ruleset-simplified* = ((*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr*) ^ 10)

```

(optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call
example-ruleset) ^ 2) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]))))
value(code) example-ruleset-simplified

```

**lemma** *good-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified by eval*

**lemma** *simple-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified by eval*

packets from the local lan are allowed (in doubt)

```

value approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalAllow
lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalAllow by eval

```

However, they might also be rate-limited, ... (we don't know about icmp)

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalDeny by eval

```

But we can guarantee that packets from the outside are blocked!

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(8,8,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalDeny by eval

```

**lemma** *wf-unknown-match-tac* α ⇒ *approximating-bigstep-fun* (*simple-matcher*, α) *p* *example-ruleset-simplified* *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* (*simple-matcher*, α) *p* (((*process-call* *example-ruleset*) ^ 2) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]) *s*

```

apply(simp add: example-ruleset-simplified-def)
apply(simp add: optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr)
apply(simp add: opt-simple-matcher-correct)
apply(simp add: rw-Reject-fun-semantics)
apply(simp add: rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics)
done

```

in doubt allow closure

```

value rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^^10) (optimize-matches-a
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified))

```

in doubt deny closure

```

value rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^^10) (optimize-matches-a
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra example-ruleset-simplified))

```

upper closure

```

lemma rmshadow (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches
opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^^10) (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra
example-ruleset-simplified))) UNIV =
  [Rule (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192, 168, 0, 0) 16))) Accept, Rule MatchAny
Drop]
apply(subst tmp)
apply(subst rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp del: rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp add: Matching-Ternary.matches-def)
apply(intro conjI impI)
apply(rule-tac x=(src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (8,8,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP))
in exI)
apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def
ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def Let-def ipv4addr-of-nat-def)

apply(thin-tac  $\exists p. ?x p$ )
apply(rule-tac x=(src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (192,168,99,0), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP))
in exI)
apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def
ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def Let-def ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
done

```

lower closure

```

lemma rmshadow (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches
opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^^10) (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra
example-ruleset-simplified))) UNIV =
  [Rule MatchAny Drop]
apply(subst tmp')
apply(subst rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp del: rmshadow.simps)

```

```

apply(simp add: Matching-Ternary.matches-def)
done
hide-fact tmp

```

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed [Rule (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192,
168, 0, 0) 16))) Accept, Rule MatchAny Drop]

```

```

exact

```

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed example-ruleset-simplified

```

```

value length (example-ruleset-simplified)

```

Wow, normalization has exponential?? blowup!!

```

value length (normalize-rules example-ruleset-simplified)

```

```

value length (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed example-ruleset-simplified)

```

```

thm format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-correct

```

upper closure

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-impl-v2 (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))

```

```

packet-set-UNIV =

```

```

  PacketSet [[[Pos (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192, 168, 0, 0) 16)), Pos Accept]]] by
eval

```

lower closure

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-impl-v2 (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))

```

```

packet-set-UNIV =

```

```

  packet-set-Empty by eval

```

packet set test

```

value(code) collect-allow-impl-v2 (take 1 example-ruleset-simplified) packet-set-UNIV

```

```

value(code) collect-allow-impl-v2 (take 2 example-ruleset-simplified) packet-set-UNIV

```

```

end

```

```

theory Analyze-Ringofsaturn-com

```

```

imports

```

```

  .././Call-Return-Unfolding

```

```

  .././Optimizing

```

```

  .././Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln

```

```

../../Packet-Set
begin

```

## 25 Example: ringofsaturn.com

We have directly executable approximating semantics:  $wf\text{-ruleset } ?\gamma \text{ } ?p \text{ } ?rs \Rightarrow ?\gamma, ?p \vdash \langle ?rs, ?s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} ?t = (\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } ?\gamma \text{ } ?p \text{ } ?rs \text{ } ?s = ?t)$

```

value(code) approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (src-ip=0,
dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
  (process-call ["FORWARD" ↦ [Rule (Match (Src (Ip4Addr(192,168,0,0)
))) Drop, Rule MatchAny Accept], "foo" ↦ [] [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")])
  Undecided

```

```

definition example-ruleset == ["FORWARD" ↦ [Rule (Match (Src ((Ip4AddrNetmask
(192,168,0,0) 16)))) (Call "foo"), Rule MatchAny Drop],
"foo" ↦ [Rule MatchAny Log, Rule (Match (Extra "foobar"))
Accept ]]

```

```

definition example-ruleset-simplified = rm-LogEmpty (((process-call example-ruleset) ^ ^2)
[Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")])

```

```

value example-ruleset-simplified

```

```

value good-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified
value simple-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
  example-ruleset-simplified
  Undecided = Decision FinalAllow by eval
hide-const example-ruleset-simplified example-ruleset

```

### 25.1 Example Ruleset 1

```

definition example-firewall ≡ ["STATEFUL" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src
(Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0)
0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (Match (Extra "state RE-
LATED,ESTABLISHED"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(Match (Extra "state NEW"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP")],
"DUMP" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4"))))) (Log),

```

*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP)) (Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) (Match (Extra "reject-with tcp-reset")))) (Reject),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP)) (Match (Extra "reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Drop)] ,*  
*"INPUT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "STATEFUL"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (169,254,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (172,16,0,0) 12))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (224,0,0,0) 3))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (240,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (160,86,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Drop),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp")) (Match (Extra "icmptype 3")))) (Accept),*  
*Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match*



[illegible]

```

(Match (Extra "udp dpt:520 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ,
"FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ,
"OUTPUT"  $\mapsto$  [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0)))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot
ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ]

```

**definition** *simple-example-firewall*  $\equiv (((optimize-matches\ opt-MatchAny-match-expr) \wedge^{10})$   
 $(optimize-matches\ opt-simple-matcher\ (rw-Reject\ (rm-LogEmpty\ (((process-call\ example-firewall) \wedge^3)$   
 $[Rule\ MatchAny\ (Call\ "INPUT")]))))$

It accepts everything in state RELATED,ESTABLISHED,NEW

```

value(code) simple-example-firewall
value good-ruleset simple-example-firewall
value simple-ruleset simple-example-firewall

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) p simple-example-firewall
s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) p (((process-call
example-firewall) \wedge^3) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]) s
apply(simp add: simple-example-firewall-def)
apply(simp add: optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr)
apply(simp add: opt-simple-matcher-correct)
apply(simp add: rw-Reject-fun-semantics wf-in-doubt-allow)
apply(simp add: rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics)
done

```

```

value(code)  $((optimize-matches\ opt-MatchAny-match-expr) \wedge^{10}) (optimize-matches-a$ 
 $opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra\ simple-example-firewall)$ 

```

```

lemma rmshadow (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) \wedge^{10})
 $(optimize-matches-a\ opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra\ simple-example-firewall))$ 
UNIV =
  [Rule MatchAny Accept]
apply(subst tmp)
apply(subst rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp del: rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp add: Matching-Ternary.matches-def)

```

done

```

value(code) approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=0,
dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
    simple-example-firewall
    Undecided
value(code) approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
    simple-example-firewall
    Undecided

```

We removed the first matches on state

```

definition example-firewall2 ≡ ["STATEFUL" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src
(Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0)
0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (Match (Extra "state RE-
LATED,ESTABLISHED")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(Match (Extra "state NEW")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP")],
"DUMP" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "reject-with tcp-reset")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop)],
"INPUT" ↦ [
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))

```

```

(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (169,254,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (172,16,0,0) 12))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (224,0,0,0) 3))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (240,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (160,86,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp")
(Match (Extra "icmptype 3"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp")
(Match (Extra "icmptype 11"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp")
(Match (Extra "icmptype 0"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp")
(Match (Extra "icmptype 8"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:111"))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:113 reject-with tcp-reset"))))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:4"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:20"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:21"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:20"))))) (Accept),

```

```

Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:21")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:22")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:22")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:80")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:80")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:443")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:520 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule MatchAny (Accept) ,
"FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ,
"OUTPUT"  $\mapsto$  [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0)))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot
ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ]

```

**definition** *simple-example-firewall2*  $\equiv$  (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)  $\wedge^{10}$ )  
(*optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call example-firewall2)  $\wedge^3$ )*  
[Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]))))

**lemma** *wf-unknown-match-tac*  $\alpha \implies$  *approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,*  
 $\alpha)$  *p simple-example-firewall2 s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ )*  
 $p$  (((*process-call example-firewall2*)  $\wedge^3$ ) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]) *s*  
**apply**(*simp add: simple-example-firewall2-def*)  
**apply**(*simp add: optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)

```

apply(simp add: opt-simple-matcher-correct)
apply(simp add: rw-Reject-fun-semantics)
apply(simp add: rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics)
done

value(code) simple-example-firewall2
value(code) zip (upto 0 (int (length simple-example-firewall2))) simple-example-firewall2
value good-ruleset simple-example-firewall2
value simple-ruleset simple-example-firewall2

in doubt allow closure

value(code) rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra simple-example-firewall2))

in doubt deny closure

value(code) rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra simple-example-firewall2))

value(code) format-Ln-rules-uncompressed (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra simple-example-firewall2)))
value(code) format-Ln-rules-uncompressed (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ 10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra simple-example-firewall2)))
value(code) format-Ln-rules-uncompressed simple-example-firewall2

Allowed Packets

the first 10 rules basically accept no packets

lemma collect-allow-impl-v2 (take 10 simple-example-firewall2) packet-set-UNIV
= packet-set-Empty by eval

value(code) allow-set-not-inter simple-example-firewall2

value(code) map packet-set-opt (allow-set-not-inter simple-example-firewall2)

end

```