

Iptables-Semantics

Cornelius Diekmann, Lars Hupel

March 31, 2015

Contents

1 Firewall Basic Syntax	3
2 Big Step Semantics	4
2.1 Boolean Matcher Algebra	15
3 Call Return Unfolding	21
3.1 Completeness	23
3.2 Background Ruleset Updating	30
3.3 <i>process-ret</i> correctness	38
3.4 Soundness	45
4 Ternary Logic	47
4.1 Negation Normal Form	50
5 Packet Matching in Ternary Logic	51
5.1 Ternary Matcher Algebra	54
5.2 Removing Unknown Primitives	57
6 Embedded Ternary-Matching Big Step Semantics	60
6.1 wf ruleset	64
6.1.1 Append, Prepend, Postpend, Composition	66
6.2 Equality with $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ semantics	67
7 IPv4 Addresses	74
8 Negation Type	75
9 Network Interfaces	77
9.1 Helpers for the interface name (<i>string</i>)	78
9.2 Matching	79
9.3 WordInterval to List	84
10 Ports (layer 4)	86

11 Simple Packet	86
12 Primitive Matchers: Interfaces, IP Space, Layer 4 Ports Matcher	87
13 Approximate Matching Tactics	88
13.1 Primitive Matchers: IP Port Iface Matcher	88
14 Examples Big Step Semantics	93
15 Fixed Action	95
15.1 <i>match-list</i>	101
16 Normalized (DNF) matches	104
17 Normalizing rules instead of only match expressions	107
18 Negation Type Matching	115
19 Negation Type DNF – Draft	116
20 Util: listprod	119
21 Executable Packet Set Representation	119
21.0.1 Basic Set Operations	121
21.0.2 Derived Operations	124
21.0.3 Optimizing	125
21.1 Conjunction Normal Form Packet Set	127
22 Packet Set	129
22.1 The set of all accepted packets	129
22.2 The set of all dropped packets	132
22.3 Rulesets with default rules	135
22.4 The set of all accepted packets – Executable Implementation	136
23 Boolean Matching vs. Ternary Matching	140
24 Semantics Embedding	143
24.1 Tactic <i>in-doubt-allow</i>	143
24.2 Tactic <i>in-doubt-deny</i>	146
24.3 Approximating Closures	148
24.4 Exact Embedding	148
25 Normalizing Rulesets in the Boolean Big Step Semantics	149

26 Optimizing	150
26.1 Removing Shadowed Rules	150
26.1.1 Soundness	150
27 Primitive Normalization	153
27.1 Normalizing and Optimizing Primitives	156
27.2 Normalizing ports	160
27.3 Normalizing IP Addresses	166
27.4 Inverting single network ranges	171
28 Simple Firewall Syntax (IPv4 only)	187
28.1 Simple Firewall Semantics	188
28.2 Simple Ports	189
28.3 Simple IPs	189
28.4 Simple Match to MatchExpr	191
28.5 MatchExpr to Simple Match	192
28.5.1 Merging Simple Matches	192
28.5.2 Normalizing Interfaces	195
28.5.3 Normalizing Protocols	195

```

theory Firewall-Common-Decision-State
imports Main
begin

```

```

datatype final-decision = FinalAllow | FinalDeny

```

The state during packet processing. If undecided, there are some remaining rules to process. If decided, there is an action which applies to the packet

```

datatype state = Undecided | Decision final-decision

```

```

end
theory Firewall-Common
imports Main Firewall-Common-Decision-State
begin

```

1 Firewall Basic Syntax

Our firewall model supports the following actions.

```

datatype action = Accept | Drop | Log | Reject | Call string | Return | Empty |
Unknown

```

The type parameter *'a* denotes the primitive match condition For example, matching on source IP address or on protocol. We list the primitives to an algebra. Note that we do not have an Or expression.

```

datatype 'a match-expr = Match 'a | MatchNot 'a match-expr | MatchAnd 'a
match-expr 'a match-expr | MatchAny

```

```

datatype-new 'a rule = Rule (get-match: 'a match-expr) (get-action: action)

datatype-compat rule

end
theory Misc
imports Main
begin

lemma list-app-singletonE:
  assumes rs1 @ rs2 = [x]
  obtains (first) rs1 = [x] rs2 = []
           | (second) rs1 = [] rs2 = [x]
using assms
by (cases rs1) auto

lemma list-app-eq-cases:
  assumes xs1 @ xs2 = ys1 @ ys2
  obtains (longer) xs1 = take (length xs1) ys1 xs2 = drop (length xs1) ys1 @ ys2
           | (shorter) ys1 = take (length ys1) xs1 ys2 = drop (length ys1) xs1 @ xs2
using assms
apply (cases length xs1 ≤ length ys1)
apply (metis append-eq-append-conv-if)+
done

end
theory Semantics
imports Main Firewall-Common Misc ~~/src/HOL/Library/LaTeXsugar
begin

```

2 Big Step Semantics

The assumption we apply in general is that the firewall does not alter any packets.

```
type-synonym 'a ruleset = string → 'a rule list
```

```
type-synonym ('a, 'p) matcher = 'a ⇒ 'p ⇒ bool
```

```
fun matches :: ('a, 'p) matcher ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ 'p ⇒ bool where
  matches γ (MatchAnd e1 e2) p ⟷ matches γ e1 p ∧ matches γ e2 p |
  matches γ (MatchNot me) p ⟷ ¬ matches γ me p |
  matches γ (Match e) p ⟷ γ e p |
  matches - MatchAny - ⟷ True
```

inductive *iptables-bigstep* :: 'a ruleset \Rightarrow ('a, 'p) matcher \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool
 (\neg , \neg , \vdash $\langle \neg, \neg \rangle \Rightarrow \neg$ [60,60,60,20,98,98] 89)
for Γ **and** γ **and** p **where**
skip: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t$ |
accept: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$ |
drop: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$ |
reject: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$ |
log: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |
empty: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |
nomatch: $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |
decision: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$ |
seq: $\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ |
call-return: $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p; \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } (rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return}] @ rs_2); \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ p; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \rrbracket \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |
call-result: $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p; \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$

The semantic rules again in pretty format:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t} \\
 \frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}} \\
 \frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}} \\
 \frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}} \\
 \frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}} \\
 \frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}} \\
 \frac{\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}} \\
 \frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \quad \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } (rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return}] @ rs_2) \quad \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ p \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}} \\
\frac{\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \quad \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t}
\end{array}$$

lemma deny:

matches $\gamma \ m \ p \implies a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies \text{iptables-bigstep } \Gamma \ \gamma \ p \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision FinalDeny})$
by (auto intro: drop reject)

lemma seq-cons:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **and** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'$
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r] @ rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ **by** (rule seq)
thus ?thesis **by** simp

qed

lemma iptables-bigstep-induct

[case-names Skip Allow Deny Log Nomatch Decision Seq Call-return Call-result,
induct pred: iptables-bigstep]:

$\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t;$

$\bigwedge t. P \llbracket t \ t;$

$\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies a = \text{Accept} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision FinalAllow});$

$\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision FinalDeny});$

$\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies a = \text{Log} \vee a = \text{Empty} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided};$

$\bigwedge m \ a. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided};$

$\bigwedge rs \ X. P \ rs \ (\text{Decision } X) \ (\text{Decision } X);$

$\bigwedge rs \ rs_1 \ rs_2 \ t \ t'. rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies P \ rs_1 \ \text{Undecided} \ t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \implies P \ rs_2 \ t \ t' \implies P \ rs \ \text{Undecided} \ t';$

$\bigwedge m \ a \ \text{chain} \ rs_1 \ m' \ rs_2. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies a = \text{Call chain} \implies \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } (rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return}] @ rs_2) \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ p \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies P \ rs_1 \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided};$

$\bigwedge m \ a \ \text{chain} \ rs \ t. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies a = \text{Call chain} \implies \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies P \ rs \ \text{Undecided} \ t \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ t \implies$

$P \ rs \ s \ t$

by (induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct) auto

lemma skipD: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies r = [] \implies s = t$

by (induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct) auto

lemma *decisionD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow s = \text{Decision } X \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } X$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep-induct*) *auto*

context
notes *skipD*[*dest*] *list-app-singletonE*[*elim*]
begin

lemma *acceptD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *dropD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *rejectD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Reject}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *logD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *emptyD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *nomatchD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \ a] \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$
by (*induction rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *callD*:
assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ r = [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})] \ s = \text{Undecided} \ \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \ \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs$
obtains $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\mid rs_1 \ rs_2 \ m' \ \text{where } rs = rs_1 \ @ \ \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return } \# \ rs_2 \ \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ p$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \ t = \text{Undecided}$
using *assms*
proof (*induction* *r s t arbitrary*: *rs rule*: *iptables-bigstep.induct*)
case (*seq* *rs*₁)
thus ?*case* **by** (*cases* *rs*₁) *auto*
qed *auto*

end

lemmas *iptables-bigstepD* = *skipD acceptD dropD rejectD logD emptyD nomatchD decisionD callD*

```

lemma seq':
  assumes  $rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
using assms by (cases  $s$ ) (auto intro: seq decision dest: decisionD)

lemma seq'-cons:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
by (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust seq-cons)

lemma seq-split:
  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$   $rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$ 
  obtains  $t'$  where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms
  proof (induction  $rs$   $s$   $t$  arbitrary: rs1 rs2 thesis rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case Allow thus ?case by (cases  $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  next
    case Deny thus ?case by (cases  $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  next
    case Log thus ?case by (cases  $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  next
    case Nomatch thus ?case by (cases  $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  next
    case (Seq  $rs$   $rsa$   $rsb$   $t$   $t'$ )
    hence  $rs: rsa @ rsb = rs_1 @ rs_2$  by simp
    note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]
    from  $rs$  show ?case
    proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
      case longer
        with Seq have  $t1: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } rsa) \text{ } rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
        by simp
        from Seq longer obtain  $t2$ 
        where  $t2a: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } rsa) \text{ } rs_1, t \rangle \Rightarrow t2$ 
        and  $rs2-t2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
        by blast
        with  $t1$   $rs2-t2$  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } rsa) \text{ } rs_1 @ \text{drop } (\text{length } rsa) \text{ } rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t2$ 
        by (blast intro: iptables-bigstep.seq)
        with Seq  $rs2-t2$  show ?thesis
        by simp
      next
        case shorter
        with  $rs$  have  $rsa': rsa = rs_1 @ \text{take } (\text{length } rsa - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2$ 
        by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
        from shorter  $rs$  have  $rsb': rsb = \text{drop } (\text{length } rsa - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2$ 
        by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
        from Seq  $rsa'$  obtain  $t1$ 
        where  $t1a: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t1$ 
        and  $t1b: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } rsa - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
        by blast

```



```

    from rsb' Seq.hyps have t2:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \textit{rsa} - \text{length } \textit{rs}_1) \textit{rs}_2, t \rangle$ 
   $\Rightarrow t'$ 
    by blast
  with seq' t1b have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
    by fastforce
  with Seq t1a show ?thesis
    by fast
  qed
next
case Call-return
  hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_1, \textit{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \textit{Undecided} \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_2, \textit{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
    by (case-tac [!] rs1) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.skip iptables-bigstep.call-return)
  thus ?case by fact
next
case (Call-result - - - t)
  show ?case
    proof (cases rs1)
    case Nil
      with Call-result have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_1, \textit{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \textit{Undecided} \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_2,$ 
Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
        by (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
      thus ?thesis by fact
    next
    case Cons
      with Call-result have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_1, \textit{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
        by (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
      thus ?thesis by fact
    qed
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

lemma *seqE*:

```

  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_1 @ \textit{rs}_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  obtains ti where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow ti \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}_2, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms by (force elim: seq-split)

```

lemma *seqE-cons*:

```

  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# \textit{rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  obtains ti where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil seqE)

```

lemma *nomatch'*:

```

  assumes  $\bigwedge r. r \in \textit{set rs} \Longrightarrow \neg \textit{matches } \gamma (\textit{get-match } r) p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow s$ 
  proof (cases s)
  case Undecided
    have  $\forall r \in \textit{set rs}. \neg \textit{matches } \gamma (\textit{get-match } r) p \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \textit{rs}, \textit{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
      proof (induction rs)

```

```

      case Nil
      thus ?case by (fast intro: skip)
    next
      case (Cons r rs)
      hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
        by (cases r) (auto intro: nomatch)
      with Cons show ?case
        by (fastforce intro: seq-cons)
    qed
  with assms Undecided show ?thesis by simp
qed (blast intro: decision)

```

there are only two cases when there can be a Return on top-level:

1. the firewall is in a Decision state
2. the return does not match

In both cases, it is not applied!

lemma *no-free-return*: **assumes** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Return], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **and**
matches $\gamma\ m\ p$ **shows** *False*

```

proof -
  { fix a s
    have no-free-return-hlp:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle a, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \text{matches } \gamma\ m\ p \implies s =$   

 $\text{Undecided} \implies a = [Rule\ m\ Return] \implies \text{False}$ 
    proof (induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct)
      case (seq rs1)
      thus ?case
        by (cases rs1) (auto dest: skipD)
    qed simp-all
  } with assms show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma *seq-progress*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $t' \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$

```

proof (induction arbitrary: rs1 rs2 t' rule: iptables-bigstep.induct)
  case Allow
  thus ?case
    by (cases rs1) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)
next
  case Deny
  thus ?case
    by (cases rs1) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)
next
  case Log
  thus ?case
    by (cases rs1) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)

```

```

next
  case Nomatch
  thus ?case
    by (cases rs1) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)
next
  case Decision
  thus ?case
    by (cases rs1) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)
next
  case (Seq rs rsa rsb t t' rs1 rs2 t'')
  hence rs: rsa @ rsb = rs1 @ rs2 by simp
  note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]

from rs show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t'' \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
  case longer
  have rs1 = take (length rsa) rs1 @ drop (length rsa) rs1
  by auto
  with Seq longer show ?thesis
  by (metis append-Nil2 skipD seq-split)
next
  case shorter
  with Seq(7) Seq.hyps(3) Seq.IH(1) rs show ?thesis
  by (metis seq' append-eq-conv-conj)
qed
next
  case (Call-return m a chain rsa m' rsb)
  have xx:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$ 
 $\Rightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma\ chain = Some\ (rsa\ @\ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rsb) \Longrightarrow$ 
     $matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rsa, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \Longrightarrow$ 
     $t' = Undecided$ 
  apply (erule callD)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (erule seqE)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  by (metis Call-return.IH no-free-return self-append-conv skipD)

show ?case
proof (cases rs1)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?thesis
  using Call-return
  apply (case-tac [Rule m a] = rs2)
  apply (simp)
  apply (simp)
  using xx by blast

```

```

next
  case Nil
  moreover hence  $t' = \text{Undecided}$ 
    by (metis Call-return.hyps(1) Call-return.prem(2) append.simp(1)
decision no-free-return seq state.exhaust)
  moreover have  $\bigwedge m. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  by (metis (no-types) Call-return(2) Call-return.hyps(3) Call-return.hyps(4)
Call-return.hyps(5) call-return nomatch)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Call-return.prem(1) by auto
qed
next
case(Call-result m a chain rs t)
thus ?case
  proof (cases rs1)
  case Cons
  thus ?thesis
    using Call-result
    apply(auto simp add: iptables-bigstep.skip iptables-bigstep.call-result dest:
skipD)
    apply(drule callD, simp-all)
    apply blast
    by (metis Cons-eq-appendI append-self-conv2 no-free-return seq-split)
  qed (fastforce intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: skipD)
qed (auto dest: iptables-bigstepD)

```

theorem *iptables-bigstep-deterministic*: **assumes** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **and** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ **shows** $t = t'$

```

proof -
{ fix r1 r2 m t
  assume a1:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r1\ @\ Rule\ m\ Return\ \#\ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$  and a2:
matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$  and a3:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  have False
  proof -
    from a1 a3 have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m\ Return\ \#\ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    by (blast intro: seq-progress)
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Return]\ @\ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    by simp
    from seqE[OF this] obtain ti where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Return], \text{Undecided} \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow ti$  by blast
    with no-free-return a2 show False by fast
  qed
} note no-free-return-seq=this

```

from *assms* **show** ?thesis

proof (induction arbitrary: t' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)

case Seq

thus ?case

```

    by (metis seq-progress)
next
  case Call-result
  thus ?case
    by (metis no-free-return-seq callD)
next
  case Call-return
  thus ?case
    by (metis append-Cons callD no-free-return-seq)
qed (auto dest: iptables-bigstepD)
qed

```

lemma *iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \implies s = Undecided$
 by (metis decisionD state.exhaust)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-to-decision*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Decision\ Y \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X \implies Y = X$
 by (metis decisionD state.inject)

lemma *Rule-UndecidedE*:
 assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
 obtains (nomatch) $\neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
 | (log) $a = Log \vee a = Empty$
 | (call) c **where** $a = Call\ c\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
 using *assms*
proof (induction $[Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ Undecided$ rule: *iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case Seq
 thus ?case
 by (metis append-eq-Cons-conv append-is-Nil-conv iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
 qed *simp-all*

lemma *Rule-DecisionE*:
 assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$
 obtains (call) *chain* **where** $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p\ a = Call\ chain$
 | (accept-reject) $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p\ X = FinalAllow \implies a = Accept\ X =$
 FinalDeny $\implies a = Drop \vee a = Reject$
 using *assms*
proof (induction $[Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ Decision\ X$ rule: *iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case (Seq rs_1)
 thus ?case
 by (cases rs_1) (auto dest: skipD)
 qed *simp-all*

lemma *log-remove*:
 assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Log] @ rs_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof –
 from *assms* obtain t' **where** $t': \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log] @$

$rs_2, t' \Rightarrow t$
 by (*blast elim: seqE*)
 hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \text{ Log } \# rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by *simp*
 then obtain t'' where $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}], t' \rangle \Rightarrow t'' \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t'' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by (*blast elim: seqE-cons*)
 with t' show ?thesis
 by (*metis state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic decision log nomatch seq*)
 qed
 lemma *empty-empty*:
 assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}] @ rs_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 proof -
 from *assms* obtain t' where $t': \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}]$
 $@ rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by (*blast elim: seqE*)
 hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \text{ Empty } \# rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by *simp*
 then obtain t'' where $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}], t' \rangle \Rightarrow t'' \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t'' \rangle \Rightarrow$
 t
 by (*blast elim: seqE-cons*)
 with t' show ?thesis
 by (*metis state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic decision empty nomatch seq*)
 qed

The notation we prefer in the paper. The semantics are defined for fixed Γ and γ

locale *iptables-bigstep-fixedbackground* =

fixes $\Gamma::'a \text{ ruleset}$
 and $\gamma::('a, 'p) \text{ matcher}$
begin

inductive *iptables-bigstep'* :: $'p \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rule list} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

($\vdash' \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow -$ [60,20,98,98] 89)

for p **where**

skip: $p \vdash' \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t$ |

accept: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies p \vdash' \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}$ |

drop: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies p \vdash' \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ |

reject: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies p \vdash' \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ |

log: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies p \vdash' \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |

empty: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies p \vdash' \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |

nomatch: $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies p \vdash' \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ |

decision: $p \vdash' \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$ |

seq: $\llbracket p \vdash' \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t; p \vdash' \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket \implies p \vdash' \langle rs_1 @ rs_2,$

```

Undecided⟩ ⇒ t' |
call-return: [[ matches γ m p; Γ chain = Some (rs1@[Rule m' Return]@rs2);
               matches γ m' p; p⊢' ⟨rs1, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided ]] ⇒
               p⊢' ⟨[Rule m (Call chain)], Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided |
call-result: [[ matches γ m p; p⊢' ⟨the (Γ chain), Undecided⟩ ⇒ t ]] ⇒
               p⊢' ⟨[Rule m (Call chain)], Undecided⟩ ⇒ t

definition wf-Γ:: 'a rule list ⇒ bool where
  wf-Γ rs ≡ ∀ rsg ∈ ran Γ ∪ {rs}. (∀ r ∈ set rsg. ∀ chain. get-action r = Call
chain → Γ chain ≠ None)

lemma wf-Γ-append: wf-Γ (rs1@rs2) ⟷ wf-Γ rs1 ∧ wf-Γ rs2
by(simp add: wf-Γ-def, blast)
lemma wf-Γ-tail: wf-Γ (r # rs) ⇒ wf-Γ rs by(simp add: wf-Γ-def)
lemma wf-Γ-Call: wf-Γ [Rule m (Call chain)] ⇒ wf-Γ (the (Γ chain)) ∧ (∃ rs.
Γ chain = Some rs)
apply(simp add: wf-Γ-def)
by (metis option.collapse ranI)

lemma wf-Γ rs ⇒ p⊢' ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒ t ⟷ Γ,γ,p⊢' ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒ t
apply(rule iffI)
apply(rotate-tac 1)
apply(induction rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep'.induct)
apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep'.intros simp: wf-Γ-append dest!:
wf-Γ-Call)[11]
apply(rotate-tac 1)
apply(induction rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep'.induct)
apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep'.intros simp: wf-Γ-append dest!:
wf-Γ-Call)[11]
done

end

end
theory Matching
imports Semantics
begin

```

2.1 Boolean Matcher Algebra

Lemmas about matching in the *iptables-bigstep* semantics.

```

lemma matches-rule-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes matches γ m p ⟷ matches γ m' p
  shows Γ,γ,p⊢' ⟨[Rule m a], s⟩ ⇒ t ⟷ Γ,γ,p⊢' ⟨[Rule m' a], s⟩ ⇒ t (is ?l ⟷ ?r)
proof -
  {
    fix m m'
    assume Γ,γ,p⊢' ⟨[Rule m a], s⟩ ⇒ t matches γ m p ⟷ matches γ m' p

```

hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by (induction $[Rule\ m\ a]\ s\ t\ rule: iptables-bigstep-induct$)
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
 }
 with assms show ?thesis by blast
 qed

lemma matches-rule-and-simp-help:

assumes matches $\gamma\ m\ p$
 shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
 proof
 assume ?l thus ?r
 by (induction $[Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a]\ Undecided\ t\ rule: iptables-bigstep-induct$)
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
 next
 assume ?r thus ?l
 by (induction $[Rule\ m'\ a]\ Undecided\ t\ rule: iptables-bigstep-induct$)
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
 qed

lemma matches-MatchNot-simp:

assumes matches $\gamma\ m\ p$
 shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ (MatchNot\ m)\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
 proof
 assume ?l thus ?r
 by (induction $[Rule\ (MatchNot\ m)\ a]\ Undecided\ t\ rule: iptables-bigstep-induct$)
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
 next
 assume ?r
 hence $t = Undecided$
 by (metis skipD)
 with assms show ?l
 by (fastforce intro: nomatch)
 qed

lemma matches-MatchNotAnd-simp:

assumes matches $\gamma\ m\ p$
 shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ (MatchAnd\ (MatchNot\ m)\ m')\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
 proof
 assume ?l thus ?r
 by (induction $[Rule\ (MatchAnd\ (MatchNot\ m)\ m')\ a]\ Undecided\ t\ rule: iptables-bigstep-induct$)
 (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp add: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
 qed


```

next
  assume ?r
  hence  $t = Undecided$ 
  by (metis skipD)
  with assms show ?l
  by (fastforce intro: nomatch)
qed

```

```

lemma matches-rule-and-simp:
  assumes matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m m') a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule m' a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof (cases s)
  case Undecided
  with assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: matches-rule-and-simp-help)
next
  case Decision
  thus ?thesis by (metis decision decisionD)
qed

```

```

lemma iptables-bigstep-MatchAnd-comm:
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m2 m1) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof -
  { fix m1 m2
    have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m2 m1) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    proof (induction  $[Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a] s t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
      case Seq thus ?case
      by (metis Nil-is-append-conv append-Nil butlast-append butlast-snoc seq)
    qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  }
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

definition add-match :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  add-match m rs = map ( $\lambda r$ . case r of Rule m' a'  $\Rightarrow$  Rule (MatchAnd m m') a')
  rs

```

```

lemma add-match-split: add-match m (rs1@rs2) = add-match m rs1 @ add-match
m rs2
  unfolding add-match-def
  by (fact map-append)

```

```

lemma add-match-split-fst: add-match m (Rule m' a' # rs) = Rule (MatchAnd
m m') a' # add-match m rs
  unfolding add-match-def

```

by *simp*

lemma *matches-add-match-simp*:

assumes *m*: *matches* γ *m* *p*

shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

proof

assume $?l$ **with** *m* **show** $?r$

proof (*induction* *rs*)

case *Nil*

thus $?case$

unfolding *add-match-def* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* *r* *rs*)

thus $?case$

apply(*cases* *r*)

apply(*simp* *only*: *add-match-split-fst*)

apply(*erule* *seqE-cons*)

apply(*simp* *only*: *matches-rule-and-simp*)

apply(*metis* *decision state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic seq-cons*)

done

qed

next

assume $?r$ **with** *m* **show** $?l$

proof (*induction* *rs*)

case *Nil*

thus $?case$

unfolding *add-match-def* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* *r* *rs*)

thus $?case$

apply(*cases* *r*)

apply(*simp* *only*: *add-match-split-fst*)

apply(*erule* *seqE-cons*)

apply(*subst*(*asm*) *matches-rule-and-simp*[*symmetric*])

apply(*simp*)

apply(*metis* *decision state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic seq-cons*)

done

qed

qed

lemma *matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp*:

assumes *m*: *matches* γ *m* *p*

shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

proof (*cases* *s*)

case *Undecided*

have $?l$ *Undecided* \longleftrightarrow $?r$ *Undecided*

proof

```

assume ?l Undecided with m show ?r Undecided
proof (induction rs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
  unfolding add-match-def by simp
next
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  by (cases r) (metis matches-MatchNotAnd-simp skipD seqE-cons
add-match-split-fst)
  qed
next
assume ?r Undecided with m show ?l Undecided
proof (induction rs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
  unfolding add-match-def by simp
next
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  by (cases r) (metis matches-MatchNotAnd-simp skipD seq'-cons
add-match-split-fst)
  qed
qed
with Undecided show ?thesis by fast
next
  case (Decision d)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis decision decisionD)
qed

```

lemma not-matches-add-match-simp:

```

assumes  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ rs, \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $t$ 
proof (induction rs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
  unfolding add-match-def by simp
next
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  by (cases r) (metis assms add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) nomatch
seq'-cons nomatchD seqE-cons)
qed

```

lemma iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp:

```

 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } (\text{MatchNot } m)) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 

```

```

proof(induction rs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    unfolding add-match-def by simp
next
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
    by (cases r)
      (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp)
qed

```

lemma *not-matches-add-matchNot-simp*:

$$\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \ rs, \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$$

by (*simp add: matches-add-match-simp*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-add-match-and*:

$$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ rs, \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$$

proof(*induction rs arbitrary: s t*)

case *Nil*

thus *?case*

unfolding *add-match-def* **by** *simp*

next

case(*Cons r rs*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases r, simp only: add-match-split-fst*)

fix *m a*

show $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ m)) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ m) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ rs, \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ (\text{is } ?l \iff ?r)$

proof

assume *?l with Cons.IH show ?r*

apply $-$

apply(*erule seqE-cons*)

apply(*case-tac s*)

apply(*case-tac ti*)

apply (*metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-and-simp matches-rule-and-simp-help* *nomatch seq'-cons*)

apply (*metis add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) matches-add-match-simp* *not-matches-add-match-simp seq-cons*)

apply (*metis decision decisionD*)

done

next

assume *?r with Cons.IH show ?l*

apply $-$

apply(*erule seqE-cons*)

apply(*case-tac s*)

```

      apply(case-tac ti)
    apply (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-and-simp matches-rule-and-simp-help
nomatch seq'-cons)
    apply (metis add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp seq-cons)
    apply (metis decision decisionD)
  done
qed
qed
qed

end
theory Call-Return-Unfolding
imports Matching
begin

```

3 Call Return Unfolding

Remove *Returns*

```

fun process-ret :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  process-ret [] = [] |
  process-ret (Rule m Return # rs) = add-match (MatchNot m) (process-ret rs) |
  process-ret (r#rs) = r # process-ret rs

```

Remove *Calls*

```

fun process-call :: 'a ruleset  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  process-call  $\Gamma$  [] = [] |
  process-call  $\Gamma$  (Rule m (Call chain) # rs) = add-match m (process-ret (the ( $\Gamma$ 
chain))) @ process-call  $\Gamma$  rs |
  process-call  $\Gamma$  (r#rs) = r # process-call  $\Gamma$  rs

```

lemma process-ret-split-fst-Return:

```

  a = Return  $\implies$  process-ret (Rule m a # rs) = add-match (MatchNot m)
(process-ret rs)
by auto

```

lemma process-ret-split-fst-NegReturn:

```

  a  $\neq$  Return  $\implies$  process-ret((Rule m a) # rs) = (Rule m a) # (process-ret rs)
by (cases a) auto

```

lemma add-match-simp: add-match m = map (λr . Rule (MatchAnd m (get-match r)) (get-action r))

by (auto simp: add-match-def cong: map-cong split: rule.split)

definition add-missing-ret-unfoldings :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**

```

  add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs1 rs2  $\equiv$ 

```

$\text{foldr } (\lambda rf \text{ acc. add-match } (\text{MatchNot } (\text{get-match } rf)) \circ \text{acc}) [r \leftarrow rs1. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}] \text{id } rs2$

fun MatchAnd-foldr :: 'a match-expr list \Rightarrow 'a match-expr **where**
 MatchAnd-foldr [] = undefined |
 MatchAnd-foldr [e] = e |
 MatchAnd-foldr (e # es) = MatchAnd e (MatchAnd-foldr es)
fun add-match-MatchAnd-foldr :: 'a match-expr list \Rightarrow ('a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list)
where
 add-match-MatchAnd-foldr [] = id |
 add-match-MatchAnd-foldr es = add-match (MatchAnd-foldr es)

lemma add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (add-match-MatchAnd-foldr } ms \text{ } rs2), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash$
 $\langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchAnd-foldr } (m \# ms)) \text{ } rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof (induction ms)
 case Nil
 show ?case **by** (simp add: add-match-def)
next
 case Cons
 thus ?case **by** (simp add: iptables-bigstep-add-match-and)
qed

lemma add-match-MatchAnd-foldr-empty-rs2: add-match-MatchAnd-foldr ms [] =
 []
by (induction ms) (simp-all add: add-match-def)

lemma add-missing-ret-unfoldings-alt: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \text{ } rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow$

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{add-match-MatchAnd-foldr } (\text{map } (\lambda r. \text{MatchNot } (\text{get-match } r)) [r \leftarrow rs1. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}])) \text{ } rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof(induction rs1)
 case Nil
 thus ?case
 unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def **by** simp
next
 case (Cons r rs)
from Cons **obtain** m a **where** r = Rule m a **by**(cases r) (simp)
with Cons **show** ?case
 unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def
 apply(cases matches γ m p)
 apply (simp-all add: matches-add-match-simp matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp
 add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr[symmetric])
 done
qed

lemma add-match-add-missing-ret-unfoldings-rot:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \text{ } rs2), s \rangle \Rightarrow t =$

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } (\text{Rule } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ Return}\#rs1) \text{ } rs2, s \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow t$
by(simp add: add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp)

3.1 Completeness

lemma process-ret-split-obvious: process-ret ($rs_1 @ rs_2$) =
 (process-ret rs_1) @ (add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs_1 (process-ret rs_2))
unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def
proof (induction rs_1 arbitrary: rs_2)
case (Cons $r rs$)
from Cons **obtain** $m a$ **where** $r = \text{Rule } m a$ **by** (cases r) simp
with Cons.IH **show** ?case
apply(cases a)
apply(simp-all add: add-match-split)
done
qed simp

lemma add-match-distrib:
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \text{ (add-match } m2 \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2$
 $(\text{add-match } m1 \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof –
 {
fix $m1 m2$
have $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \text{ (add-match } m2 \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match}$
 $m2 \text{ (add-match } m1 \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof (induction rs arbitrary: s)
case Nil **thus** ?case **by** (simp add: add-match-def)
next
case (Cons $r rs$)
from Cons **obtain** $m a$ **where** $r: r = \text{Rule } m a$ **by** (cases r) simp
with Cons.prem **obtain** ti **where** $1: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m1$
 $(\text{MatchAnd } m2 \text{ } m)) \text{ } a], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti$ **and** $2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \text{ (add-match } m2$
 $rs), ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$
apply(simp add: add-match-split-fst)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
by simp
from 1 r **have** base: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \text{ (MatchAnd } m1 \text{ } m)) \text{ } a],$
 $s \rangle \Rightarrow ti$
by (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-iptables-bigstep)
from 2 Cons.IH **have** IH: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2 \text{ (add-match } m1 \text{ } rs), ti \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow t$ **by** simp
from base IH seq'-cons **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \text{ (MatchAnd } m1$
 $m)) \text{ } a \# \text{add-match } m2 \text{ (add-match } m1 \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **by** fast
thus ?case **using** r **by**(simp add: add-match-split-fst[symmetric])
qed
 }
thus ?thesis **by** blast
qed

lemma *add-missing-ret-unfoldings-emptyrs2*: *add-missing-ret-unfoldings* *rs1* [] = []

unfolding *add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def*
by (*induction* *rs1*) (*simp-all* *add*: *add-match-def*)

lemma *process-call-split*: *process-call* Γ (*rs1* @ *rs2*) = *process-call* Γ *rs1* @ *process-call* Γ *rs2*

proof (*induction* *rs1*)
case (*Cons* *r* *rs1*)
thus ?*case*
apply(*cases* *r*, *rename-tac* *m* *a*)
apply(*case-tac* *a*)
apply(*simp-all*)
done
qed *simp*

lemma *add-match-split-fst'*: *add-match* *m* (*a* # *rs*) = *add-match* *m* [*a*] @ *add-match* *m* *rs*

by (*simp* *add*: *add-match-split[symmetric]*)

lemma *process-call-split-fst*: *process-call* Γ (*a* # *rs*) = *process-call* Γ [*a*] @ *process-call* Γ *rs*

by (*simp* *add*: *process-call-split[symmetric]*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (*induction* *rs*)
case (*Cons* *r* *rs*)
show ?*case*
proof (*cases* *r*)
case (*Rule* *m'* *a'*)
show $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (r \# rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof (*cases* *a'*)
case *Accept*
with *Cons* *Rule* **show** ?*thesis*
by *simp* (*metis* *acceptD* *decision* *decisionD* *nomatchD* *seqE-cons* *seq-cons*)
next
case *Drop*
with *Cons* *Rule* **show** ?*thesis*
by *simp* (*metis* *decision* *decisionD* *dropD* *nomatchD* *seqE-cons* *seq-cons*)
next
case *Log*
with *Cons* *Rule* **show** ?*thesis*
by *simp* (*metis* *logD* *nomatchD* *seqE-cons* *seq-cons*)
next
case *Reject*
with *Cons* *Rule* **show** ?*thesis*


```

    by simp (metis decision decisionD nomatchD rejectD seqE-cons seq-cons)
  next
    case (Call chain)
    from Cons.premis obtain ti where 1:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow ti$  and
    2:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$  using seqE-cons by metis
    thus ?thesis
    proof(cases ti)
    case Undecided
    with Cons.IH 2 have IH:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$  by
simp
    from Undecided 1 Call Rule have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by simp
    with IH have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain}) \# \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$  using seq'-cons by fast
    thus ?thesis using Rule Call by force
  next
    case (Decision X)
    with 1 Rule Call have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$  by simp
    moreover from 2 Decision have  $t = \text{Decision } X$  using decisionD by fast
    moreover from decision have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$  by fast
    ultimately show ?thesis using seq-cons by (metis Call Rule process-ret.simps(7))
  qed
next
case Return
with Cons Rule show ?thesis
by simp (metis matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp no-free-return nomatchD seqE-cons)
next
case Empty
show ?thesis
apply (insert Empty Cons Rule)
apply (erule seqE-cons)
apply (rename-tac ti)
apply (case-tac ti)
apply (metis process-ret.simps(8) seq'-cons)
apply (metis Rule-DecisionE emptyD state.distinct(1))
done
next
case Unknown
show ?thesis
apply (insert Unknown Cons Rule)
apply (erule seqE-cons)
apply (case-tac ti)
apply (metis process-ret.simps(9) seq'-cons)
apply (metis decision iptables-bigstep-deterministic process-ret.simps(9))

```

seq-cons)
 done
 qed
 qed
 qed simp

lemma add-match-rot-add-missing-ret-unfoldings:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ (\text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ rs2), \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} =$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ (\text{add-match } m \ rs2), \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
apply(simp add: add-missing-ret-unfoldings-alt add-match-add-missing-ret-unfoldings-rot
 add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr[symmetric] iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp)
apply(cases map ($\lambda r. \text{MatchNot } (\text{get-match } r)$) [$r \leftarrow rs1 . (\text{get-action } r) = \text{Return}$])
 apply(simp-all add: add-match-distrib)
 done

Completeness

theorem unfolding-complete: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (induction rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
 case (Nomatch m a)
 thus ?case
 by (cases a) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp add: not-matches-add-match-simp skip)
 next
 case Seq
 thus ?case
 by (simp add: process-call-split seq')
 next
 case (Call-return m a chain rs₁ m' rs₂)
 hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
 by simp
 hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
 by (rule iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided)
 with Call-return have $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs_1 \ @ \ \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs_1$
 (add-match (MatchNot m') (process-ret rs₂)), Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
 by (metis matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp skip add-match-rot-add-missing-ret-unfoldings seq')
 with Call-return show ?case
 by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp process-ret-split-obvious)
 next
 case Call-result
 thus ?case
 by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided)
 qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma *process-ret-cases*:

process-ret $rs = rs \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1@[Rule\ m\ Return]@rs_2 \wedge (process-ret\ rs) = rs_1@(process-ret\ ([Rule\ m\ Return]@rs_2)))$
proof (*induction* rs)
case (*Cons* $r\ rs$)
thus ?*case*
apply(*cases* r , *rename-tac* $m'\ a'$)
apply(*case-tac* a')
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*erule* *disjE*, *simp*, *rule* *disjI2*, *elim* *exE*, *simp* *add*: *process-ret-split-obvious*,
metis *append-Cons* *process-ret-split-obvious* *process-ret.simps*(2))+
apply(*rule* *disjI2*)
apply(*rule-tac* $x=[]$ **in** *exI*)
apply(*rule-tac* $x=rs$ **in** *exI*)
apply(*rule-tac* $x=m'$ **in** *exI*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*erule* *disjE*, *simp*, *rule* *disjI2*, *elim* *exE*, *simp* *add*: *process-ret-split-obvious*,
metis *append-Cons* *process-ret-split-obvious* *process-ret.simps*(2))+
done
qed *simp*

lemma *process-ret-splitcases*:

obtains (*id*) *process-ret* $rs = rs$
| (*split*) $rs_1\ rs_2\ m$ **where** $rs = rs_1@[Rule\ m\ Return]@rs_2$ **and** *process-ret*
 $rs = rs_1@(process-ret\ ([Rule\ m\ Return]@rs_2))$
by (*metis* *process-ret-cases*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-cases3*:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
obtains (*noreturn*) $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
| (*return*) $rs_1\ rs_2\ m$ **where** $rs = rs_1@[Rule\ m\ Return]@rs_2$ $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ *matches* $\gamma\ m\ p$
proof –
have $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \Rightarrow$
 $(\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided) \vee$
 $(\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1@[Rule\ m\ Return]@rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$
proof (*induction* rs)
case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons* $r\ rs$)
from *Cons* **obtain** $m\ a$ **where** $r: r = Rule\ m\ a$ **by** (*cases* r) *simp*
from $r\ Cons$ **show** ?*case*
proof(*cases* $a \neq Return$)
case *True*
with $r\ Cons.preds$ **have** *prems-r*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $Undecided$ **and** *prems-rs*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
apply(*simp-all* *add*: *process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn*)

```

    apply(erule seqE-cons, frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided, simp)+
  done
  from prems-rs Cons.IH have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$  by simp
  thus  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$  (is ?goal)
  proof(elim disjE)
    assume  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  using prems-r by
    (metis r seq'-cons)
    thus ?goal by simp
  next
    assume  $(\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$ 
    from this obtain  $rs_1 rs_2 m'$  where  $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m'\ Return] @ rs_2$ 
    and  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  and  $matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p$  by blast
    hence  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$ 
    apply(rule-tac x=Rule m a # rs1 in exI)
    apply(rule-tac x=rs2 in exI)
    apply(rule-tac x=m' in exI)
    apply(simp add: r)
    using prems-r seq'-cons by fast
    thus ?goal by simp
  qed
next
case False
  hence a = Return by simp
  with Cons.prems r have prems:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ (MatchNot\ m)\ (process-ret\ rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  by simp
  show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$  (is ?goal)
  proof(cases matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$ )
    case True
      hence  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ Rule\ m\ Return \# rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$ 
      apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
      apply(rule-tac x=rs in exI)
      apply(rule-tac x=m in exI)
      apply(simp add: skip r (a = Return))
      done
      thus ?goal by simp
    next
  case False
    with nomatch seq-cons False r have r-nomatch:  $\bigwedge rs. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,$ 

```

$\text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ **by fast**
note $r\text{-nomatch}' = r\text{-nomatch}[\text{simplified } r \langle a = \text{Return} \rangle] - r \text{ unfolded}$
from $\text{False not-matches-add-matchNot-simp prems}$ **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ **by fast**
with Cons.IH **have** $\text{IH}: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma m p)$.
thus $?goal$
proof(elim disjE)
assume $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ **using** $r\text{-nomatch}$
by simp
thus $?goal$ **by simp**
next
assume $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma m p$
from this obtain $rs_1 rs_2 m'$ **where** $rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{ Return}] @ rs_2$ **and** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ **and** $\text{matches } \gamma m' p$ **by blast**
hence $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma m p$
apply($\text{rule-tac } x = \text{Rule } m \text{ Return} \# rs_1 \text{ in } exI$)
apply($\text{rule-tac } x = rs_2 \text{ in } exI$)
apply($\text{rule-tac } x = m' \text{ in } exI$)
by($\text{simp add: } \langle a = \text{Return} \rangle \text{ False } r \text{ r-nomatch}'$)
thus $?goal$ **by simp**
qed
qed
qed
qed
with $\text{assms noreturn return show ?thesis}$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $\text{add-match-match-not-cases}$:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies \text{matches } \gamma m p \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by ($\text{metis matches.simps}(2) \text{ matches-add-match-simp}$)

lemma $\text{iptables-bigstep-process-ret-DecisionD}$: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

proof ($\text{induction } rs \text{ arbitrary: } s$)

case ($\text{Cons } r rs$)

thus $?case$

apply($\text{cases } r, \text{rename-tac } m a$)

apply(clarify)

apply($\text{case-tac } a \neq \text{Return}$)

apply($\text{simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn}$)

apply(erule seqE-cons)

apply($\text{simp add: seq'-cons}$)

```

apply(simp)

apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ )
apply(simp add: matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp skip)
apply (metis decision skipD)

apply(simp add: not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)
by (metis decision state.exhaust nomatch seq'-cons)
qed simp

lemma free-return-not-match:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Return], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \neg$ 
  matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ 
  using no-free-return by fast



### 3.2 Background Ruleset Updating

lemma update-Gamma-nomatch:
  assumes  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash$ 
   $\langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
  proof
    assume ?l thus ?r
    proof (induction  $rs'$   $s$   $t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
      case (Call-return  $m$   $a$   $chain'$   $rs_1$   $m'$   $rs_2$ )
      thus ?case
      proof (cases  $chain' = chain$ )
        case True
        with Call-return show ?thesis
        apply simp
        apply (cases  $rs_1$ )
        using assms apply fastforce
        apply (rule-tac  $rs_1 = list$  and  $m' = m'$  and  $rs_2 = rs_2$  in call-return)
        apply (simp)
        apply (simp)
        apply (simp)
        apply (simp)

        apply(erule seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma = (\lambda a. \text{if } a = chain \text{ then Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma\ a)$ ])
        apply(frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma = (\lambda a. \text{if } a = chain$ 
  then Some  $rs$  else  $\Gamma\ a)$ ])
        apply (simp)
        done
      qed (auto intro: call-return)
    next
    case (Call-result  $m'$   $a'$   $chain'$   $rs'$   $t'$ )
    have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m' (Call\ chain')], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 

```

```

proof (cases chain' = chain)
  case True
    with Call-result have Rule m a # rs = rs' ( $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs})$ ) chain' =
Some rs
    by simp+
    with assms Call-result show ?thesis
    by (metis call-result nomatchD seqE-cons)
  next
    case False
    with Call-result show ?thesis
    by (metis call-result fun-upd-apply)
  qed
with Call-result show ?case
  by fast
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
  assume ?r thus ?l
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1)
    thus ?case
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case True
        with Call-return show ?thesis
        using assms
        by (auto intro: seq-cons nomatch intro!: call-return[where rs1 = Rule
m a # rs1])
      qed (auto intro: call-return)
    next
      case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs')
      thus ?case
      proof (cases chain' = chain)
        case True
          with Call-result show ?thesis
          using assms by (auto intro: seq-cons nomatch intro!: call-result)
        qed (auto intro: call-result)
      qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
    qed
qed

lemma update-Gamma-log-empty:
  assumes a = Log  $\vee$  a = Empty
  shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
proof
  assume ?l thus ?r
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1 m'' rs2)

    note [simp] = fun-upd-apply[abs-def]

```

```

    from Call-return have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ (Call\ chain')],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  (is ?Call-return-case)
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
    case True with Call-return show ?Call-return-case
      —  $rs_1$  cannot be empty
    proof (cases  $rs_1$ )
    case Nil with Call-return( $\beta$ ) (chain' = chain) assms have False by
simp
      thus ?Call-return-case by simp
    next
    case (Cons  $r_1\ rs_1s$ )
    from Cons Call-return have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle r_1 \# rs_1s,\ Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow Undecided$  by blast
    with seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto rs)$ ] obtain  $ti$  where
       $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r_1],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow ti$  and  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1s,\ ti \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  by metis
    with iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto rs)$ ] have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1s,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  by fast
    with Cons Call-return (chain' = chain) show ?Call-return-case
      apply (rule-tac  $rs_1 = rs_1s$  and  $m' = m''$  and  $rs_2 = rs_2$  in call-return)
      apply (simp-all)
    done
  qed
next
case False with Call-return show ?Call-return-case
  by (auto intro: call-return)
qed
thus ?case using Call-return by blast
next
case (Call-result  $m'\ a'\ chain'\ rs'\ t'$ )
thus ?case
  proof (cases chain' = chain)
  case True
    with Call-result have  $rs' = [] @ [Rule\ m\ a] @ rs$ 
    by simp
    with Call-result assms have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [] @ rs,\ Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow t'$ 
    using log-remove empty-empty by fast
    hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
    by simp
    with Call-result True show ?thesis
      by (metis call-result fun-upd-same)
    qed (fastforce intro: call-result)
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
have cases-a:  $\bigwedge P. (a = Log \Longrightarrow P\ a) \Longrightarrow (a = Empty \Longrightarrow P\ a) \Longrightarrow P\ a$ 
using assms by blast
assume ?r thus ?l
  proof (induction  $rs'\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)

```



```

    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1 m'' rs2)
    from Call-return have xx:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \ a \ \#$ 
rs1, Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow$  Undecided
    apply -
    apply(rule cases-a)
  apply (auto intro: nomatch seq-cons intro!: log empty simp del: fun-upd-apply)
  done
  with Call-return show ?case
  proof(cases chain' = chain)
    case False
    with Call-return have x: ( $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs)$ ) chain' = Some
(rs1 @ Rule m'' Return # rs2)
    by(simp)
    with Call-return have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call}$ 
chain'), Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow$  Undecided
    apply -
    apply(rule call-return[where rs1=rs1 and m'=m'' and rs2=rs2])
    apply(simp-all add: x xx del: fun-upd-apply)
    done
    thus  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided using Call-return by simp
  next
  case True
  with Call-return have x: ( $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs)$ ) chain' = Some
(Rule m a # rs1 @ Rule m'' Return # rs2)
  by(simp)
  with Call-return have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call}$ 
chain'), Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow$  Undecided
  apply -
  apply(rule call-return[where rs1=Rule m a # rs1 and m'=m'' and
rs2=rs2])
  apply(simp-all add: x xx del: fun-upd-apply)
  done
  thus  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided using Call-return by simp
  qed
next
case (Call-result ma a chaina rs t)
thus ?case
  apply (cases chaina = chain)
  apply(rule cases-a)
  apply (auto intro: nomatch seq-cons intro!: log empty call-result)[2]
  by (auto intro!: call-result)[1]
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
qed

```

lemma map-update-chain-if: $(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain} \text{ then } \text{Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma \ b) = \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs)$
 by auto

lemma *no-recursive-calls-helper*:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$
and $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
and $\Gamma\ chain = Some\ [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]$
shows *False*
using *assms*
proof (*induction* $[Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]\ Undecided\ t\ rule:\ iptables-bigstep-induct$)
case *Seq*
thus *?case*
by (*metis* *Cons-eq-append-conv* *append-is-Nil-conv* *skipD*)
next
case (*Call-return* $chain'\ rs_1\ m'\ rs_2$)
hence $rs_1\ @\ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs_2 = [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain')]$
by *simp*
thus *?case*
by (*cases* rs_1) *auto*
next
case *Call-result*
thus *?case*
by *simp*
qed (*auto* *intro: iptables-bigstep.intros*)

lemma *no-recursive-calls*:

$\Gamma(chain \mapsto [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\Rightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow False$
by (*fastforce* *intro: no-recursive-calls-helper*)

lemma *no-recursive-calls2*:

assumes $\Gamma(chain \mapsto (Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain))\ \# rs''), \gamma, p \vdash \langle (Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain))\ \# rs',\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
and $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
shows *False*
using *assms*
proof (*induction* $(Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain))\ \# rs'\ Undecided\ Undecided\ arbitrary:$
rs' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
case (*Seq* $rs_1\ rs_2\ t$)
thus *?case*
by (*cases* rs_1) (*auto* *elim: seqE-cons* *simp* *add: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*)
qed (*auto* *intro: iptables-bigstep.intros* *simp: Cons-eq-append-conv*)

lemma *update-Gamma-nochange1*:

assumes $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
and $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs',\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
shows $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs',\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
using *assms*(2) **proof** (*induction* $rs'\ s\ t\ rule:\ iptables-bigstep-induct$)
case (*Call-return* $m\ a\ chaina\ rs_1\ m'\ rs_2$)
thus *?case*

```

proof (cases chaina = chain)
  case True
  with Call-return show ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (cases rs1)
    apply (simp)
    using assms apply (metis no-free-return)
    apply (rule-tac rs1=list and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in call-return)
    apply (simp)
    apply (simp)
    apply (simp)
    apply (simp)
    apply (erule seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma$ 
a)])
    apply (frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then$ 
Some rs else  $\Gamma$  a)])
    apply (simp)
    done
  qed (auto intro: call-return)
next
case (Call-result m a chaina rsa t)
thus ?case
  proof (cases chaina = chain)
    case True
    with Call-result show ?thesis
      apply (simp)
      apply (cases rsa)
      apply (simp)
      apply (rule-tac rs=rs in call-result)
      apply (simp-all)
      apply (erule-tac seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain then Some } rs \text{ else}$ 
 $\Gamma$  b)])
      apply (case-tac t)
      apply (simp)
      apply (frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain then$ 
Some rs else  $\Gamma$  b)])
      apply (simp)
      apply (simp)
      apply (subgoal-tac ti = Undecided)
      apply (simp)
      using assms(1)[simplified map-update-chain-if[symmetric]] iptables-bigstep-deterministic
apply fast
      done
    qed (fastforce intro: call-result)
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma update-gamme-remove-Undecidedpart:
  assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  and  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs1 @ rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 

```

```

shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
using assms(2) proof (induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided intro: seq)
next
case (Call-return m a chaina rs1 m' rs2)
thus ?case
  apply(cases chaina = chain)
  apply(simp)
  apply(cases length rs1 ≤ length rs1)
  apply(simp add: List.append-eq-append-conv-if)
  apply(rule-tac rs1=drop (length rs1) rs1 and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in
call-return)
  apply(simp-all)[3]
  apply(subgoal-tac rs1 = (take (length rs1) rs1) @ drop (length rs1) rs1)
  prefer 2 apply (metis append-take-drop-id)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(subgoal-tac  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto drop (length rs_1) rs_1 @ Rule m' Return \#$ 
rs2),  $\gamma, p \vdash$ 
 $\langle (take (length rs_1) rs_1) @ drop (length rs_1) rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ )
  prefer 2 apply(auto)[1]
  apply(erule-tac rs1=take (length rs1) rs1 and rs2=drop (length rs1) rs1 in
seqE)
  apply(simp)
  apply(frule-tac rs=drop (length rs1) rs1 in iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
  apply(simp)

  using assms apply (auto intro: call-result call-return)
done
next
case (Call-result - - chain' rsa)
thus ?case
  apply(cases chain' = chain)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule call-result)
  apply(simp-all)[2]
  apply (metis iptables-bigstep-to-undecided seqE)
  apply (auto intro: call-result)

done
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma update-Gamma-nocall:
  assumes  $\neg (\exists chain. a = Call chain)$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof -
  {
    fix  $\Gamma\ \Gamma'$ 

```

```

have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction  $[Rule\ m\ a]\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case Seq
      thus ?case by (metis (lifting, no-types) list-app-singletonE [where  $x =$ 
Rule\ m\ a] skipD)
    next
      case Call-return thus ?case using assms by metis
    next
      case Call-result thus ?case using assms by metis
    qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  }
thus ?thesis
by blast
qed

```

lemma *update-Gamma-call*:

```

assumes  $\Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs$  and  $\Gamma'\ chain = Some\ rs'$ 
assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  and  $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],$ 
s  $\rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof –
  {
    fix  $\Gamma\ \Gamma'\ rs\ rs'$ 
    assume assms:
       $\Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs\ \Gamma'\ chain = Some\ rs'$ 
       $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided\ \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call$ 
chain)], s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      proof (induction  $[Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
        case Seq
          thus ?case by (metis (lifting, no-types) list-app-singletonE [where  $x =$ 
Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)] skipD)
        next
          case Call-result
          thus ?case
            using assms by (metis call-result iptables-bigstep-deterministic)
          qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros assms)
        }
    note  $*$  = this
    show ?thesis
    using  $*[OF\ assms(1-4)]\ *[OF\ assms(2,1,4,3)]$  by blast
  }
qed

```

lemma *update-Gamma-remove-call-undecided*:

```

assumes  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ (Call\ foo) \# rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
and  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$ 
shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
using assms

```

```

proof (induction rs Undecided Undecided arbitrary: rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (force simp: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided intro: seq')
next
  case (Call-return m a chaina rs1 m' rs2)
  thus ?case
    apply(cases chaina = chain)
    apply(cases rs1)
    apply(force intro: call-return)
    apply(simp)
    apply(erule-tac  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto list @ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs_2)$  in seqE-cons)
    apply(frule-tac  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto list @ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs_2)$  in iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
    apply(auto intro: call-return)
    done
next
  case (Call-result m a chaina rsa)
  thus ?case
    apply(cases chaina = chain)
    apply(simp)
    apply(metis call-result fun-upd-same iptables-bigstep-to-undecided seqE-cons)
    apply(auto intro: call-result)
    done
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

3.3 process-ret correctness

```

lemma process-ret-add-match-dist1:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (add-match\ m\ rs),\ s \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ m\ (process-ret\ rs),\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
apply(induction rs arbitrary: s t)
apply(simp add: add-match-def)
apply(rename-tac r rs s t)
apply(case-tac r)
apply(rename-tac m' a')
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a')
apply(simp-all add: add-match-split-fst)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
defer
apply(erule seqE-cons)

```

```

using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd m m')) p)
apply(simp)
apply (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2)
matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-match-simp)
by (metis add-match-distrib matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp)

```

```

lemma process-ret-add-match-dist2:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
apply(induction rs arbitrary: s t)
apply(simp add: add-match-def)
apply(rename-tac r rs s t)
apply(case-tac r)
apply(rename-tac m' a')
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a')
apply(simp-all add: add-match-split-fst)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
defer
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd m m')) p)
apply(simp)
apply (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2)
matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-match-simp)
by (metis add-match-distrib matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp)

```

```

lemma process-ret-add-match-dist:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
by (metis process-ret-add-match-dist1 process-ret-add-match-dist2)

```

```

lemma process-ret-Undecided-sound:
  assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (add-match } m \text{ } rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
  Undecided

```

```

shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
proof (cases matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$ )
  case False
    thus ?thesis
    by (metis nomatch)
next
  case True
    note matches = this
    show ?thesis
    using assms proof (induction rs)
      case Nil
        from call-result[OF matches, where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto [])$ ]
        have  $(\Gamma(chain \mapsto []))\ chain = Some\ [] \implies \Gamma(chain \mapsto []), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \implies \Gamma(chain \mapsto []), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
        by simp
        thus ?case
        by (fastforce intro: skip)
      next
        case (Cons r rs)
        obtain m' a' where r: r = Rule m' a' by (cases r) blast

        with Cons.prem have prems:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (add-match\ m\ (Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs)),\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
        by fast
        hence prems-simplified:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs),\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
        using matches by (metis matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)

        have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
        proof (cases a' = Return)
          case True
            note a' = this
            have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
            proof (cases matches  $\gamma\ m'\ p$ )
              case True
                with matches show ?thesis
                by (fastforce intro: call-return skip)
              next
                case False
                note matches' = this
                hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs),\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
                by (metis prems-simplified update-Gamma-nomatch)
                with a' have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ (MatchNot\ m'),\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
                by simp
            by simp
          case False
            hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs),\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
            by (metis prems-simplified update-Gamma-nomatch)
            with a' have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ (MatchNot\ m'),\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
            by simp
        by simp
    by simp

```



```

      with matches matches' have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ m$ 
(process-ret rs), Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)
      with matches' Cons.IH show ?thesis
      by (fastforce simp: update-Gamma-nomatch process-ret-add-match-dist)
      qed
      with a' show ?thesis
      by simp
    next
      case False
      note a' = this
      with prems-simplified have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m'$ 
a' # process-ret rs, Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by (simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NegReturn)
      hence step:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a'], Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      and IH-pre:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by (metis seqE-cons iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)+

      from step have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
      proof (cases rule: Rule-UndecidedE)
      case log thus ?thesis
      using IH-pre by (metis empty iptables-bigstep.log update-Gamma-nochange1
update-Gamma-nomatch)
      next
      case call thus ?thesis
      using IH-pre by (metis update-Gamma-remove-call-undecided)
      next
      case nomatch thus ?thesis
      using IH-pre by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
      qed

      hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ (add-match\ m\ rs), Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by (metis matches matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
      with Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],$ 
Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      by fast

      from step show ?thesis
      proof (cases rule: Rule-UndecidedE)
      case log thus ?thesis using IH
      by (simp add: update-Gamma-log-empty)
      next
      case nomatch
      thus ?thesis
      using IH by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)

```

```

next
case (call c)
let ?Γ' = Γ(chain ↦ Rule m' a' # rs)
from IH-pre show ?thesis
proof (cases rule: iptables-bigstep-process-ret-cases3)
case noreturn
with call have ?Γ',γ,p ⊢ ⟨Rule m' (Call c) # rs, Undecided⟩ ⇒
Undecided
by (metis step seq-cons)
from call have ?Γ' chain = Some (Rule m' (Call c) # rs)
by simp
from matches show ?thesis
by (rule call-result) fact+
next
case (return rs1 rs2 new-m^)
with call have ?Γ' chain = Some ((Rule m' (Call c) # rs1) @
[Rule new-m' Return] @ rs2)
by simp
from call return step have ?Γ',γ,p ⊢ ⟨Rule m' (Call c) # rs1,
Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided
using IH-pre by (auto intro: seq-cons)
from matches show ?thesis
by (rule call-return) fact+
qed
qed
qed
thus ?case
by (metis r)
qed
qed

```

lemma *process-ret-Decision-sound*:

```

assumes Γ(chain ↦ rs),γ,p ⊢ ⟨process-ret (add-match m rs), Undecided⟩ ⇒ De-
cision X
shows Γ(chain ↦ rs),γ,p ⊢ ⟨[Rule m (Call chain)], Undecided⟩ ⇒ Decision X
proof (cases matches γ m p)
case False
thus ?thesis by (metis assms state.distinct(1) not-matches-add-match-simp
process-ret-add-match-dist1 skipD)
next
case True
note matches = this
show ?thesis
using assms proof (induction rs)
case Nil
hence False by (metis add-match-split append-self-conv state.distinct(1)
process-ret.simps(1) skipD)
thus ?case by simp
next

```

case (*Cons r rs*)
obtain $m' a'$ **where** $r: r = \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs$ **by** (*cases r*) *blast*

with *Cons.prem*s **have** *prems*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret} (\text{add-match } m (\text{Rule } m' a' \# rs)), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
by *fast*
hence *prems-simplified*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret} (\text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
using *matches* **by** (*metis matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist*)

have $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
proof (*cases a' = Return*)
case *True*
note $a' = \text{this}$
have $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \text{Return} \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
proof (*cases matches γ m' p*)
case *True*
with *matches prems-simplified a'* **show** *?thesis*
by (*auto simp: not-matches-add-match-simp dest: skipD*)
next
case *False*
note $\text{matches}' = \text{this}$
with *prems-simplified* **have** $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret} (\text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
by (*metis update-Gamma-nomatch*)
with a' *matches matches'* **have** $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m (\text{process-ret } rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
by (*simp add: matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp*)
with *matches matches' Cons.IH* **show** *?thesis*
by (*fastforce simp: update-Gamma-nomatch process-ret-add-match-dist matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp*)
qed
with a' **show** *?thesis*
by *simp*
next
case *False*
with *prems-simplified* **obtain** ti
where *step*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow ti$
and *IH-pre*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
by (*auto simp: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn elim: seqE-cons*)

hence $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$
by (*metis iptables-bigstep-process-ret-DecisionD*)

thus *?thesis*

```

      using matches step by (force intro: call-result seq'-cons)
    qed
  thus ?case
    by (metis r)
  qed
qed

lemma process-ret-result-empty: [] = process-ret rs  $\implies \forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}$ 
proof (induction rs)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
    apply(simp)
    apply(case-tac r)
    apply(rename-tac m a)
    apply(case-tac a)
    apply(simp-all add: add-match-def)
  done
qed simp

lemma all-return-subchain:
  assumes a1:  $\Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs$ 
  and a2: matches  $\gamma \ m \ p$ 
  and a3:  $\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
proof (cases  $\exists r \in \text{set } rs. \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p$ )
  case True
  hence ( $\exists rs1 \ r \ rs2. rs = rs1 @ r \# rs2 \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p \wedge (\forall r' \in \text{set } rs1. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r') \ p)$ )
    by (subst split-list-first-prop-iff[symmetric])
  then obtain rs1 r rs2
    where *:  $rs = rs1 @ r \# rs2 \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p \wedge \forall r' \in \text{set } rs1. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r') \ p$ 
    by auto

  with a3 obtain m' where  $r = \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return}$ 
  by (cases r) simp
  with * assms show ?thesis
    by (fastforce intro: call-return nomatch')
next
  case False
  hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
    by (blast intro: nomatch')
  with a1 a2 show ?thesis
    by (metis call-result)
qed

```

lemma process-ret-sound':

assumes $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (add-match\ m\ rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$
shows $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$
using *assms* **by** (*metis state.exhaust process-ret-Undecided-sound process-ret-Decision-sound*)

lemma *get-action-case-simp*: $get-action\ (case\ r\ of\ Rule\ m'\ x \Rightarrow Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ x) = get-action\ r$
by (*metis rule.case-eq-if rule.sel(2)*)

We call a ruleset wf iff all Calls are into actually existing chains.

definition *wf-chain* :: 'a ruleset \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $wf-chain\ \Gamma\ rs \equiv (\forall r \in set\ rs. \forall chain. get-action\ r = Call\ chain \longrightarrow \Gamma\ chain \neq None)$

lemma *wf-chain-append*: $wf-chain\ \Gamma\ (rs1 @ rs2) \longleftrightarrow wf-chain\ \Gamma\ rs1 \wedge wf-chain\ \Gamma\ rs2$

by (*simp add: wf-chain-def, blast*)
lemma *wf-chain-process-ret*: $wf-chain\ \Gamma\ rs \Longrightarrow wf-chain\ \Gamma\ (process-ret\ rs)$
apply (*induction rs*)
apply (*simp add: wf-chain-def add-match-def*)
apply (*case-tac a*)
apply (*case-tac x2 \neq Return*)
apply (*simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn*)
using *wf-chain-append* **apply** (*metis Cons-eq-appendI append-Nil*)
apply (*simp add: process-ret-split-fst-Return*)
apply (*simp add: wf-chain-def add-match-def get-action-case-simp*)
done
lemma *wf-chain-add-match*: $wf-chain\ \Gamma\ rs \Longrightarrow wf-chain\ \Gamma\ (add-match\ m\ rs)$
by (*induction rs*) (*simp-all add: wf-chain-def add-match-def get-action-case-simp*)

3.4 Soundness

theorem *unfolding-sound*: $wf-chain\ \Gamma\ rs \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-call\ \Gamma\ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (*induction rs arbitrary: s t*)
case (*Cons r rs*)
thus *?case*
apply –
apply (*subst(asm) process-call-split-fst*)
apply (*erule seqE*)

unfolding *wf-chain-def*
apply (*case-tac r, rename-tac m a*)
apply (*case-tac a*)
apply (*simp-all add: seq'-cons*)

apply (*case-tac s*)
defer
apply (*metis decision decisionD*)
apply (*case-tac matches $\gamma\ m\ p$*)
defer

```

    apply(simp add: not-matches-add-match-simp)
    apply(drule skipD, simp)
    apply (metis nomatch seq-cons)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp add: matches-add-match-simp)
    apply(rule-tac t=ti in seq-cons)
    apply(simp-all)

    using process-ret-sound'
    by (metis fun-upd-triv matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
qed simp

corollary unfolding-sound-complete: wf-chain  $\Gamma$  rs  $\implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
by (metis unfolding-complete unfolding-sound)

corollary unfolding-n-sound-complete:  $\forall rsg \in \text{ran } \Gamma \cup \{rs\}. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ rsg} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle ((\text{process-call } \Gamma) \hat{\ }^n \text{ rs}, s) \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof(induction n arbitrary: rs)
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
    from Suc have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{process-call } \Gamma \hat{\ }^n \text{ rs}, s) \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
t by blast
    from Suc.prem have  $\forall a \in \text{ran } \Gamma \cup \{\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ rs}\}. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma a$ 
    proof(induction rs)
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons r rs)
        from Cons.prem have  $\forall a \in \text{ran } \Gamma. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma a$  by blast
        from Cons.prem have wf-chain  $\Gamma [r]$ 
        apply(simp)
        apply(clarify)
        apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
        done
        from Cons.prem have wf-chain  $\Gamma rs$ 
        apply(simp)
        apply(clarify)
        apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
        done
        from this Cons.prem Cons.IH have wf-chain  $\Gamma (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ rs})$  by
blast
        from this  $\langle \text{wf-chain } \Gamma [r] \rangle$  have wf-chain  $\Gamma (r \# (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ rs}))$ 
by(simp add: wf-chain-def)
        from this Cons.prem have wf-chain  $\Gamma (\text{process-call } \Gamma (r \# rs))$ 
        apply(cases r)
        apply(rename-tac m a, clarify)
        apply(case-tac a)
        apply(simp-all)

```

```

    apply(simp add: wf-chain-append)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp add: ⟨wf-chain  $\Gamma$  (process-call  $\Gamma$  rs)⟩)
    apply(rule wf-chain-add-match)
    apply(rule wf-chain-process-ret)
    apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
    apply(clarify)
    by (metis ranI option.sel)
  from this ⟨ $\forall a \in \text{ran } \Gamma. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma a$ ⟩ show ?case by simp
qed
from this Suc.IH[of ((process-call  $\Gamma$ ) rs)] have
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } ^n) (\text{process-call } \Gamma rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call}$ 
 $\Gamma rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by simp
from this show ?case
  by (simp, metis Suc.prem1 Un-commute funpow_swap1 insertI1 insert-is-Un
unfolding-sound-complete)
qed

```

loops in the linux kernel:

```

http://lxr.linux.no/linux+v3.2/net/ipv4/netfilter/ip_tables.c#L464
/* Figures out from what hook each rule can be called: returns 0 if
   there are loops. Puts hook bitmask in comefrom. */
static int mark_source_chains(const struct xt_table_info *newinfo,
                             unsigned int valid_hooks, void *entry0)

```

discussion: <http://marc.info/?l=netfilter-devel&m=105190848425334&w=2>

```

end
theory Ternary
imports Main
begin

```

4 Ternary Logic

Kleene logic

```

datatype ternaryvalue = TernaryTrue | TernaryFalse | TernaryUnknown
datatype ternaryformula = TernaryAnd ternaryformula ternaryformula | TernaryOr
ternaryformula ternaryformula |
TernaryNot ternaryformula | TernaryValue ternaryvalue

```

```

fun ternary-to-bool :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  bool option where
  ternary-to-bool TernaryTrue = Some True |
  ternary-to-bool TernaryFalse = Some False |
  ternary-to-bool TernaryUnknown = None
fun bool-to-ternary :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  bool-to-ternary True = TernaryTrue |
  bool-to-ternary False = TernaryFalse

```

lemma *the* \circ *ternary-to-bool* \circ *bool-to-ternary* = *id*
by(*simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, case-tac x, simp-all*)
lemma *ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary*: *ternary-to-bool* (*bool-to-ternary* *X*) = *Some* *X*
by(*cases X, simp-all*)
lemma *ternary-to-bool-None*: *ternary-to-bool* *t* = *None* \longleftrightarrow *t* = *TernaryUnknown*
by(*cases t, simp-all*)
lemma *ternary-to-bool-SomeE*: *ternary-to-bool* *t* = *Some* *X* \implies
(*t* = *TernaryTrue* \implies *X* = *True* \implies *P*) \implies (*t* = *TernaryFalse* \implies *X* = *False*
 \implies *P*) \implies *P*
by (*metis option.distinct(1) option.inject ternary-to-bool.elims*)
lemma *ternary-to-bool-Some*: *ternary-to-bool* *t* = *Some* *X* \longleftrightarrow (*t* = *TernaryTrue*
 \wedge *X* = *True*) \vee (*t* = *TernaryFalse* \wedge *X* = *False*)
by(*cases t, simp-all*)
lemma *bool-to-ternary-Unknown*: *bool-to-ternary* *t* = *TernaryUnknown* \longleftrightarrow *False*
by(*cases t, simp-all*)

fun *eval-ternary-And* :: *ternaryvalue* \Rightarrow *ternaryvalue* \Rightarrow *ternaryvalue* **where**
eval-ternary-And *TernaryTrue* *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryTrue* *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryFalse* *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryFalse* *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryFalse* *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryTrue* *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryUnknown* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryUnknown* *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryUnknown* *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryUnknown* |
eval-ternary-And *TernaryUnknown* *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryUnknown*

lemma *eval-ternary-And-comm*: *eval-ternary-And* *t1* *t2* = *eval-ternary-And* *t2* *t1*
by (*cases t1 t2 rule: ternaryvalue.exhaust[case-product ternaryvalue.exhaust]*) *auto*

fun *eval-ternary-Or* :: *ternaryvalue* \Rightarrow *ternaryvalue* \Rightarrow *ternaryvalue* **where**
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryTrue* *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryTrue* *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryFalse* *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryFalse* *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryTrue* *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryFalse* *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryUnknown* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryUnknown* *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryUnknown* *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryUnknown* |
eval-ternary-Or *TernaryUnknown* *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryUnknown*

fun *eval-ternary-Not* :: *ternaryvalue* \Rightarrow *ternaryvalue* **where**
eval-ternary-Not *TernaryTrue* = *TernaryFalse* |
eval-ternary-Not *TernaryFalse* = *TernaryTrue* |
eval-ternary-Not *TernaryUnknown* = *TernaryUnknown*

Just to hint that we did not make a typo, we add the truth table for the implication and show that it is compliant with $a \longrightarrow b = (\neg a \vee b)$

```
fun eval-ternary-Imp :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryUnknown |
  eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown
lemma eval-ternary-Imp a b = eval-ternary-Or (eval-ternary-Not a) b
apply(case-tac a)
apply(case-tac [!] b)
apply(simp-all)
done
```

```
lemma eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD: eval-ternary-Not t = TernaryUnknown  $\implies$ 
t = TernaryUnknown
by (cases t) auto
```

```
lemma eval-ternary-DeMorgan: eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-And a b) = eval-ternary-Or
(eval-ternary-Not a) (eval-ternary-Not b)
  eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-Or a b) = eval-ternary-And
(eval-ternary-Not a) (eval-ternary-Not b)
by (cases a b rule: ternaryvalue.exhaust[case-product ternaryvalue.exhaust],auto)+
```

```
lemma eval-ternary-idempotence-Not: eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-Not a) = a
by (cases a) simp-all
```

```
fun ternary-ternary-eval :: ternaryformula  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t1 t2) = eval-ternary-And (ternary-ternary-eval
t1) (ternary-ternary-eval t2) |
  ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryOr t1 t2) = eval-ternary-Or (ternary-ternary-eval
t1) (ternary-ternary-eval t2) |
  ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot t) = eval-ternary-Not (ternary-ternary-eval t)
|
  ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryValue t) = t
```

```
lemma ternary-ternary-eval-DeMorgan: ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot (TernaryAnd
a b)) =
  ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryOr (TernaryNot a) (TernaryNot b))
by (simp add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan)
```

```
lemma ternary-ternary-eval-idempotence-Not: ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot
(TernaryNot a)) = ternary-ternary-eval a
by (simp add: eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
```

lemma *ternary-ternary-eval-TernaryAnd-comm*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t1 t2) = ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t2 t1)*
by (*simp add: eval-ternary-And-comm*)

lemma *eval-ternary-Not (ternary-ternary-eval t) = (ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot t))* **by** *simp*

lemma *eval-ternary-simps-simple*:
eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue x = x
eval-ternary-And x TernaryTrue = x
eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse x = TernaryFalse
eval-ternary-And x TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse
by(*case-tac [!] x*)(*simp-all*)

lemma *eval-ternary-simps-2*: *eval-ternary-And (bool-to-ternary P) T = TernaryTrue \longleftrightarrow P \wedge T = TernaryTrue*
eval-ternary-And T (bool-to-ternary P) = TernaryTrue \longleftrightarrow P \wedge T = TernaryTrue
apply(*case-tac [!] P*)
apply(*simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps-simple*)
done

lemma *eval-ternary-simps-3*: *eval-ternary-And (ternary-ternary-eval x) T = TernaryTrue \longleftrightarrow (ternary-ternary-eval x = TernaryTrue) \wedge (T = TernaryTrue)*
eval-ternary-And T (ternary-ternary-eval x) = TernaryTrue \longleftrightarrow (ternary-ternary-eval x = TernaryTrue) \wedge (T = TernaryTrue)
apply(*case-tac [!] T*)
apply(*simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps-simple*)
apply(*case-tac [!] (ternary-ternary-eval x)*)
apply(*simp-all*)
done

lemmas *eval-ternary-simps = eval-ternary-simps-simple eval-ternary-simps-2 eval-ternary-simps-3*

definition *ternary-eval :: ternaryformula \Rightarrow bool option* **where**
ternary-eval t = ternary-to-bool (ternary-ternary-eval t)

4.1 Negation Normal Form

A formula is in Negation Normal Form (NNF) if negations only occur at the atoms (not before and/or)

inductive *NegationNormalForm :: ternaryformula \Rightarrow bool* **where**
NegationNormalForm (TernaryValue v) |
NegationNormalForm (TernaryNot (TernaryValue v)) |
NegationNormalForm $\varphi \Longrightarrow$ NegationNormalForm $\psi \Longrightarrow$ NegationNormalForm (TernaryAnd $\varphi \psi$) |
NegationNormalForm $\varphi \Longrightarrow$ NegationNormalForm $\psi \Longrightarrow$ NegationNormalForm

(*TernaryOr* φ ψ)

Convert a *ternaryformula* to a *ternaryformula* in NNF.

```
fun NNF-ternary :: ternaryformula  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryformula where
  NNF-ternary (TernaryValue v) = TernaryValue v |
  NNF-ternary (TernaryAnd t1 t2) = TernaryAnd (NNF-ternary t1) (NNF-ternary
t2) |
  NNF-ternary (TernaryOr t1 t2) = TernaryOr (NNF-ternary t1) (NNF-ternary
t2) |
  NNF-ternary (TernaryNot (TernaryNot t)) = NNF-ternary t |
  NNF-ternary (TernaryNot (TernaryValue v)) = TernaryValue (eval-ternary-Not
v) |
  NNF-ternary (TernaryNot (TernaryAnd t1 t2)) = TernaryOr (NNF-ternary
(TernaryNot t1)) (NNF-ternary (TernaryNot t2)) |
  NNF-ternary (TernaryNot (TernaryOr t1 t2)) = TernaryAnd (NNF-ternary
(TernaryNot t1)) (NNF-ternary (TernaryNot t2))
```

lemma NNF-ternary-correct: ternary-ternary-eval (NNF-ternary t) = ternary-ternary-eval t

```
  apply(induction t rule: NNF-ternary.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
  done
```

lemma NNF-ternary-NegationNormalForm: NegationNormalForm (NNF-ternary t)

```
  apply(induction t rule: NNF-ternary.induct)
    apply(auto simp add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan eval-ternary-idempotence-Not
intro: NegationNormalForm.intros)
  done
```

end

theory Matching-Ternary

imports Ternary ../Firewall-Common

begin

5 Packet Matching in Ternary Logic

The matcher for a primitive match expression '*a*

type-synonym ('a, 'packet) exact-match-tac='a \Rightarrow 'packet \Rightarrow ternaryvalue

If the matching is *TernaryUnknown*, it can be decided by the action whether this rule matches. E.g. in doubt, we allow packets

type-synonym 'packet unknown-match-tac=action \Rightarrow 'packet \Rightarrow bool

type-synonym ('a, 'packet) match-tac=((('a, 'packet) exact-match-tac × 'packet unknown-match-tac)

For a given packet, map a firewall 'a match-expr to a ternaryformula Evaluating the formula gives whether the packet/rule matches (or unknown).

fun map-match-tac :: ('a, 'packet) exact-match-tac ⇒ 'packet ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ ternaryformula **where**
 map-match-tac β p (MatchAnd m1 m2) = TernaryAnd (map-match-tac β p m1) (map-match-tac β p m2) |
 map-match-tac β p (MatchNot m) = TernaryNot (map-match-tac β p m) |
 map-match-tac β p (Match m) = TernaryValue (β m p) |
 map-match-tac - - MatchAny = TernaryValue TernaryTrue

the ternaryformulas we construct never have Or expressions.

fun ternary-has-or :: ternaryformula ⇒ bool **where**
 ternary-has-or (TernaryOr - -) ⟷ True |
 ternary-has-or (TernaryAnd t1 t2) ⟷ ternary-has-or t1 ∨ ternary-has-or t2 |
 ternary-has-or (TernaryNot t) ⟷ ternary-has-or t |
 ternary-has-or (TernaryValue -) ⟷ False
lemma map-match-tac--does-not-use-TernaryOr: ¬ (ternary-has-or (map-match-tac β p m))
by(induction m, simp-all)

fun ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac :: 'packet unknown-match-tac ⇒ action ⇒ 'packet ⇒ ternaryvalue ⇒ bool **where**
 ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac - - - TernaryTrue = True |
 ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac - - - TernaryFalse = False |
 ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac α a p TernaryUnknown = α a p

Matching a packet and a rule:

1. Translate 'a match-expr to ternary formula
2. Evaluate this formula
3. If TernaryTrue/TernaryFalse, return this value
4. If TernaryUnknown, apply the 'a unknown-match-tac to get a Boolean result

definition matches :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ action ⇒ 'packet ⇒ bool **where**
 matches γ m a p ≡ ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac (snd γ) a p (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac (fst γ) p m))

Alternative matches definitions, some more or less convenient

lemma matches-tuple: matches (β, α) m a p = ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac α a p (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m))

unfolding *matches-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *matches-case*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\text{case ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } (\text{fst } \gamma) \ p \ m) \ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow (\text{snd } \gamma) \ a \ p \mid \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b)$

unfolding *matches-def ternary-eval-def*

by (*cases* (*ternary-ternary-eval* (*map-match-tac* (*fst* γ) $p \ m$))) *auto*

lemma *matches-case-tuple*: $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\text{case ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) \ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \alpha \ a \ p \mid \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b)$

by (*auto simp: matches-case split: option.splits*)

lemma *matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*: $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\text{case ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) \ \text{of}$

TernaryUnknown $\Rightarrow \alpha \ a \ p \mid$

TernaryTrue $\Rightarrow \text{True} \mid$

TernaryFalse $\Rightarrow \text{False}$)

by(*simp split: option.split ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case ternary-to-bool-None ternary-eval-def*)

lemma *matches-casesE*:

$\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p \Longrightarrow$

$(\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) = \text{TernaryUnknown} \Longrightarrow \alpha \ a \ p \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow$

$(\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) = \text{TernaryTrue} \Longrightarrow P)$

$\Longrightarrow P$

apply(*induction m*)

apply(*auto split: option.split-asm simp: matches-case-tuple ternary-eval-def ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary elim: ternary-to-bool.elims*)

done

Example: $\neg \text{Unknown}$ is as good as *Unknown*

lemma $\llbracket \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ \text{expr}) = \text{TernaryUnknown} \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ \text{expr} \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (\text{MatchNot expr}) \ a \ p$

by(*simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

lemma *bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*:

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m1 \ a \ p \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m2 \ a \ p$

$\text{matches } \gamma \ \text{MatchAny} \ a \ p$

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot MatchAny}) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$

$\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (\text{Match expr}) \ a \ p = (\text{case ternary-to-bool } (\beta \ \text{expr} \ p) \ \text{of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow r \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (\alpha \ a \ p))$

$\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (\text{Match expr}) \ a \ p = (\text{case } (\beta \ \text{expr} \ p) \ \text{of } \text{TernaryTrue} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{TernaryFalse} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{TernaryUnknown} \Rightarrow (\alpha \ a \ p))$

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot } (\text{MatchNot } m)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$

apply(*case-tac [!] γ*)

by (*simp-all split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

lemma *matches-DeMorgan*: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot (MatchAnd } m1 \ m2)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m1) \ a \ p) \vee (\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m2) \ a \ p)$
by (cases γ) (simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple
eval-ternary-DeMorgan)

5.1 Ternary Matcher Algebra

lemma *matches-and-comm*: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \ m') \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma$
 $\text{(MatchAnd } m' \ m) \ a \ p$
apply(cases γ , rename-tac $\beta \ \alpha$, clarify)
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
by (metis eval-ternary-And-comm ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(3)
ternaryvalue.distinct(5))

lemma *matches-not-idem*: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot (MatchNot } m)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches}$
 $\gamma \ m \ a \ p$
by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(6))

lemma *(TernaryNot (map-match-tac $\beta \ p \ (m)$)) = (map-match-tac $\beta \ p \ (MatchNot$*
 m))
by (metis map-match-tac.simps(2))

lemma *matches-simp1*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \ m') \ a \ p$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ a \ p$
apply(cases γ , rename-tac $\beta \ \alpha$, clarify)
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
done

lemma *matches-simp11*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m' \ m) \ a \ p$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ a \ p$
by(simp-all add: matches-and-comm matches-simp1)

lemma *matches-simp2*: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \ m') \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$
 $\implies \text{False}$
by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1))
lemma *matches-simp22*: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchAnd } m \ m') \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ a$
 $p \implies \text{False}$
by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1))

lemma *matches-simp3*: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m) \ a \ p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies$
 $(\text{snd } \gamma) \ a \ p$
apply(cases γ , rename-tac $\beta \ \alpha$, clarify)
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)

done
lemma *matches* γ (*MatchNot* m) a $p \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies (\text{ternary-eval}$
 $(\text{map-match-tac } (\text{fst } \gamma) \ p \ m)) = \text{None}$
apply(*cases* γ , *rename-tac* $\beta \ \alpha$, *clarify*)
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*
ternary-eval-def)
done

lemmas *matches-simps* = *matches-simp1 matches-simp11*

lemmas *matches-dest* = *matches-simp2 matches-simp22*

lemma *matches-iff-apply-f-generic: ternary-ternary-eval* (*map-match-tac* $\beta \ p \ (f$
 $(\beta, \alpha) \ a \ m)) = \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) \implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (f$
 $(\beta, \alpha) \ a \ m) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p$
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
done

lemma *matches-iff-apply-f: ternary-ternary-eval* (*map-match-tac* $\beta \ p \ (f \ m)) =$
 $\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) \implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (f \ m) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p$
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
done

Optimize away MatchAny matches

fun *opt-MatchAny-match-expr* :: '*a match-expr* \Rightarrow '*a match-expr* **where**
opt-MatchAny-match-expr *MatchAny* = *MatchAny* |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*Match* a) = (*Match* a) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchNot* (*MatchNot* m)) = (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 m) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchNot* m) = *MatchNot* (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 m) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchAnd* *MatchAny* *MatchAny*) = *MatchAny* |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchAnd* *MatchAny* m) = (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 m) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchAnd* m *MatchAny*) = (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 m) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchAnd* - (*MatchNot* *MatchAny*)) = (*MatchNot*
MatchAny) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchAnd* (*MatchNot* *MatchAny*) -) = (*MatchNot*
MatchAny) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (*MatchAnd* $m1 \ m2$) = *MatchAnd* (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 $m1$) (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* $m2$)

lemma *opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct: matches* γ (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 m) = *matches* $\gamma \ m$

```

apply(case-tac  $\gamma$ , rename-tac  $\beta$   $\alpha$ , clarify)
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, rename-tac a p)
apply(rule-tac f=opt-MatchAny-match-expr in matches-iff-apply-f)
apply(simp)
apply(induction m rule: opt-MatchAny-match-expr.induct)
      apply(simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
done

```

An ' p unknown-match-tac is wf if it behaves equal for *Reject* and *Drop*

definition wf-unknown-match-tac :: ' p unknown-match-tac \Rightarrow bool **where**
 wf-unknown-match-tac $\alpha \equiv (\alpha \text{ Drop} = \alpha \text{ Reject})$

```

lemma wf-unknown-match-tacD-False1: wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies \neg$  matches
  ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m Reject p  $\implies$  matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m Drop p  $\implies$  False
apply(simp add: wf-unknown-match-tac-def)
apply(simp add: matches-def)
apply(case-tac (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m)))
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma wf-unknown-match-tacD-False2: wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies$  matches ( $\beta$ ,
 $\alpha$ ) m Reject p  $\implies \neg$  matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m Drop p  $\implies$  False
apply(simp add: wf-unknown-match-tac-def)
apply(simp add: matches-def)
apply(case-tac (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m)))
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma bool-to-ternary-simp1: bool-to-ternary X = TernaryTrue  $\longleftrightarrow$  X
by (metis bool-to-ternary.elims ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp2: bool-to-ternary Y = TernaryFalse  $\longleftrightarrow \neg$  Y
by (metis bool-to-ternary.elims ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp3: eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary X) = Ternary-
  True  $\longleftrightarrow \neg$  X
by (metis (full-types) bool-to-ternary-simp2 eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp4: eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary X) = Ternary-
  False  $\longleftrightarrow$  X
by (metis bool-to-ternary-simp1 eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp5:  $\neg$  eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary X) = TernaryUnknown
by (metis bool-to-ternary-Unknown eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD)
lemmas bool-to-ternary-simps = bool-to-ternary-simp1 bool-to-ternary-simp2 bool-to-ternary-simp3
  bool-to-ternary-simp4 bool-to-ternary-simp5

```


hide-fact *bool-to-ternary-simp1 bool-to-ternary-simp2 bool-to-ternary-simp3 bool-to-ternary-simp4 bool-to-ternary-simp5*

5.2 Removing Unknown Primitives

definition *unknown-match-all* :: 'a unknown-match-tac \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow bool **where**
unknown-match-all α a = ($\forall p. \alpha$ a p)

definition *unknown-not-match-any* :: 'a unknown-match-tac \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow bool **where**
unknown-not-match-any α a = ($\forall p. \neg \alpha$ a p)

fun *remove-unknowns-generic* :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow 'a match-expr
 \Rightarrow 'a match-expr **where**
remove-unknowns-generic - - MatchAny = MatchAny |
remove-unknowns-generic - - (MatchNot MatchAny) = MatchNot MatchAny |
remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (Match A) = (if
 ($\forall p. \text{ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p (Match A)) = TernaryUnknown}$)
 then
 if unknown-match-all α a then MatchAny else if unknown-not-match-any α a
 then MatchNot MatchAny else Match A
 else (Match A)) |
remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot (Match A)) = (if
 ($\forall p. \text{ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac } \beta \text{ p (Match A)) = TernaryUnknown}$)
 then
 if unknown-match-all α a then MatchAny else if unknown-not-match-any α a
 then MatchNot MatchAny else MatchNot (Match A)
 else MatchNot (Match A)) |
remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = *remove-unknowns-generic*
 (β , α) a m |
remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd
 (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a m1)
 (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a m2) |
 — $\neg (a \wedge b) = \neg b \vee \neg a$ and $\neg \text{Unknown} = \text{Unknown}$
remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
 (if (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny \vee
 (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
 then MatchAny else
 (if (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot
 MatchAny then
 remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m2) else
 if (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
 MatchAny then
 remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m1) else
 MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a
 (MatchNot m1))) (MatchNot (remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (MatchNot m2))))))
)

lemma[code-unfold]: *remove-unknowns-generic* γ a (*MatchNot* (*MatchAnd* $m1$ $m2$))
 $=$
 (*let* $m1' = \text{remove-unknowns-generic } \gamma \ a \ (\text{MatchNot } m1); m2' = \text{remove-unknowns-generic}$
 $\gamma \ a \ (\text{MatchNot } m2)$ *in*
 (*if* $m1' = \text{MatchAny} \vee m2' = \text{MatchAny}$
 then *MatchAny*
 else
 if $m1' = \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$ *then* $m2'$ *else*
 if $m2' = \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$ *then* $m1'$
 else
 MatchNot (*MatchAnd* (*MatchNot* $m1'$) (*MatchNot* $m2'$)))
)
apply(*cases* γ)
apply(*simp*)
done

lemma *remove-unknowns-generic-simp-3-4-unfolded*: *remove-unknowns-generic* (β ,
 α) a (*Match* A) = (*if*
 ($\forall p. \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ (\text{Match } A)) = \text{TernaryUnknown}$)
 then
 if ($\forall p. \alpha \ a \ p$) *then* *MatchAny* *else if* ($\forall p. \neg \alpha \ a \ p$) *then* *MatchNot MatchAny*
else *Match* A
 else (*Match* A))
remove-unknowns-generic (β , α) a (*MatchNot* (*Match* A)) = (*if*
 ($\forall p. \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ (\text{Match } A)) = \text{TernaryUnknown}$)
 then
 if ($\forall p. \alpha \ a \ p$) *then* *MatchAny* *else if* ($\forall p. \neg \alpha \ a \ p$) *then* *MatchNot MatchAny*
else *MatchNot* (*Match* A)
 else *MatchNot* (*Match* A))
by(*auto simp add: unknown-match-all-def unknown-not-match-any-def*)

lemmas *remove-unknowns-generic-simps2* = *remove-unknowns-generic.simps*(1)
remove-unknowns-generic.simps(2)
 remove-unknowns-generic-simp-3-4-unfolded
 remove-unknowns-generic.simps(5) *remove-unknowns-generic.simps*(6)
remove-unknowns-generic.simps(7)

lemma $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) (\text{remove-unknowns-generic}$
 $(\beta, \alpha) \ a \ (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } A))) \ a \ p = \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } A)) \ a$
 p
apply(*simp del: remove-unknowns-generic.simps add: remove-unknowns-generic-simps2*)
apply(*simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
by *presburger*

lemma *remove-unknowns-generic*: $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$

```

    matches  $\gamma$  (remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  a m) a = matches  $\gamma$  m a
  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)
  apply(rename-tac p)
  apply(induction  $\gamma$  a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)[2]
    apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches del: remove-unknowns-generic.simps
add: remove-unknowns-generic-simps2)[1]
    apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple del: remove-unknowns-generic.simps
add: remove-unknowns-generic-simps2)
    apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)
    apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
  apply safe
    apply(simp-all add : ternary-to-bool-Some ternary-to-bool-None)
done

```

```

fun has-unknowns :: ('a, 'p) exact-match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (Match A) = ( $\exists$  p. ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p
(Match A)) = TernaryUnknown) |
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (MatchNot m) = has-unknowns  $\beta$  m |
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  MatchAny = False |
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (has-unknowns  $\beta$  m1  $\vee$  has-unknowns  $\beta$ 
m2)

```

```

definition packet-independent- $\alpha$  :: 'p unknown-match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  packet-independent- $\alpha$   $\alpha$  = ( $\forall$  a p1 p2. a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop  $\longrightarrow$   $\alpha$  a p1  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\alpha$  a p2)

```

```

lemma packet-independent-unknown-match: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop  $\implies$  packet-independent- $\alpha$ 
 $\alpha \implies \neg$  unknown-not-match-any  $\alpha$  a  $\longleftrightarrow$  unknown-match-all  $\alpha$  a
by(auto simp add: packet-independent- $\alpha$ -def unknown-match-all-def unknown-not-match-any-def)

```

If for some type the exact matcher returns unknown, then it returns unknown for all these types

```

definition packet-independent- $\beta$ -unknown :: ('a, 'packet) exact-match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  packet-independent- $\beta$ -unknown  $\beta \equiv \forall A. (\exists p. \beta A p \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}) \longrightarrow$ 
( $\forall p. \beta A p \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )

```

```

lemma remove-unknowns-generic-specification: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop  $\implies$  packet-independent- $\alpha$ 
 $\alpha \implies$  packet-independent- $\beta$ -unknown  $\beta \implies$ 
   $\neg$  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) a m)
  apply(induction ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
    apply(simp-all)

```

```

apply(simp-all add: packet-independent-unknown-match packet-independent-β-unknown-def)
done

end
theory Semantics-Ternary
imports Matching-Ternary ../Misc
begin

```

6 Embedded Ternary-Matching Big Step Semantics

lemma *rules-singleton-rev-E*: $[Rule\ m\ a] = rs_1 @ rs_2 \implies (rs_1 = [Rule\ m\ a] \implies rs_2 = [] \implies P\ m\ a) \implies (rs_1 = [] \implies rs_2 = [Rule\ m\ a] \implies P\ m\ a) \implies P\ m\ a$
by (cases rs_1) *auto*

inductive *approximating-bigstep* :: ('a, 'p) match-tac \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool
 $(-, \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha - \ [60,60,20,98,98] \ 89)$
for γ **and** p **where**
skip: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \mid$
accept: $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Accept\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Accept], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ FinalAllow \mid$
drop: $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Drop\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Drop], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ FinalDeny \mid$
reject: $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Reject\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Reject], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ FinalDeny \mid$
log: $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Log\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \mid$
empty: $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ Empty\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \mid$
nomatch: $\llbracket \neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \mid$
decision: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Decision\ X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Decision\ X \mid$
seq: $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t; \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$

thm *approximating-bigstep.induct*[of $\gamma\ p\ rs\ s\ t\ P$]

lemma *approximating-bigstep-induct*[case-names *Skip Allow Deny Log Nomatch Decision Seq*, *induct pred: approximating-bigstep*] : $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies$
 $(\bigwedge t. P\ []\ t) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m\ a. matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \implies a = Accept \implies P\ [Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ (Decision\ FinalAllow)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m\ a. matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \implies a = Drop \vee a = Reject \implies P\ [Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ (Decision\ FinalDeny)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m\ a. matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \implies a = Log \vee a = Empty \implies P\ [Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided)$

$Undecided) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m a. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m a p \implies P [\text{Rule } m a] Undecided Undecided) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge rs X. P rs (\text{Decision } X) (\text{Decision } X)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge rs rs_1 rs_2 t t'. rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies P rs_1$
 $Undecided t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \implies P rs_2 t t' \implies P rs Undecided t')$
 $\implies P rs s t$
by (induction rule: approximating-bigstep.induct) (simp-all)

lemma skipD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies s = t$
by (induction $[]::'a$ rule list $s t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct) (simp-all)

lemma decisionD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies t = \text{Decision } X$
by (induction rs $\text{Decision } X$ t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct) (simp-all)

lemma acceptD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept}], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Accept}$
 $p \implies t = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$
apply (induction $[\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept}] Undecided t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply (simp-all)
by (metis list-app-singletonE skipD)

lemma dropD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop}], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Drop } p$
 $\implies t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$
apply (induction $[\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop}] Undecided t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E)

lemma rejectD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Reject}], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Reject}$
 $p \implies t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$
apply (induction $[\text{Rule } m \text{ Reject}] Undecided t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E)

lemma logD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies t = Undecided$
apply (induction $[\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}] Undecided t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E)

lemma emptyD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies t = Undecided$
apply (induction $[\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}] Undecided t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E)

lemma nomatchD: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma m a p$
 $\implies t = Undecided$
apply (induction $[\text{Rule } m a] Undecided t$ rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E)

lemmas approximating-bigstepD = skipD acceptD dropD rejectD logD emptyD nomatchD decisionD

lemma approximating-bigstep-to-undecided: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \implies s = Undecided$

```

    by (metis decisionD state.exhaust)

lemma approximating-bigstep-to-decision1:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } Y \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision } X$ 
 $\Rightarrow Y = X$ 
  by (metis decisionD state.inject)
thm decisionD

lemma nomatch-fst:  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \Rightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \Rightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule}$ 
 $m \ a \ \# \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
  apply(cases s)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(drule nomatch)
  apply(drule(1) seq)
  apply(simp)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(drule decisionD)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp-all add: decision)
done

lemma seq':
  assumes  $rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$   $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$ 
  shows  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$ 
using assms by (cases s) (auto intro: seq decision dest: decisionD)

lemma seq-split:
  assumes  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \ rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$ 
  obtains  $t'$  where  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t' \ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
  using assms
proof (induction rs s t arbitrary: rs1 rs2 thesis rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
  case Allow thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)
  next
  case Deny thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)
  next
  case Log thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)
  next
  case Nomatch thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E
intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
  next
  case (Seq rs rsa rsb t t')
  hence  $rs: \text{rsa} @ \text{rsb} = rs_1 @ rs_2$  by simp
  note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]
  from rs show ?case
  proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
    case longer
    with Seq have  $t1: \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \ rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 

```

```

    by simp
  from Seq longer obtain t2
  where t2a:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ } rs_1, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t2$ 
    and rs2-t2:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$ 
  by blast
  with t1 rs2-t2 have  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ } rs_1 \text{ } @ \text{ drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa})$ 
 $rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t2$ 
  by (blast intro: approximating-bigstep.seq)
  with Seq rs2-t2 show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case shorter
with rs have rsa':  $rsa = rs_1 \text{ } @ \text{ take } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2$ 
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
from shorter rs have rsb':  $rsb = \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2$ 
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
from Seq rsa' obtain t1
  where t1a:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t1$ 
    and t1b:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  by blast
from rsb' Seq.hyps have t2:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } rs_1) \text{ } rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha$ 
 $t'$ 
  by blast
with seq' t1b have  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$  by (metis append-take-drop-id)
with Seq t1a show ?thesis
  by fast
qed
qed (auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)

```

lemma seqEfst:

```

  assumes  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  obtains  $t'$  where  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$   $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t' \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  using assms seq-split by (metis append-Cons append-Nil)

```

lemma seqfst: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$

```

  apply (cases s)
  apply (simp)
  using seq apply fastforce
  apply (simp)
  apply (drule decisionD)
  apply (simp)
  apply (drule decisionD)
  apply (simp)
  using decision by fast

```

fun approximating-bigstep-fun :: $('a, 'p) \text{ match-tac } \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rule list } \Rightarrow \text{state } \Rightarrow$

state where
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p \square $s = s$ |
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p rs (*Decision* X) = (*Decision* X) |
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p ((*Rule* m a)# rs) *Undecided* = (if
 \neg *matches* γ m a p
then
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p rs *Undecided*
else
case a of *Accept* \Rightarrow *Decision* *FinalAllow*
| *Drop* \Rightarrow *Decision* *FinalDeny*
| *Reject* \Rightarrow *Decision* *FinalDeny*
| *Log* \Rightarrow *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p rs *Undecided*
| *Empty* \Rightarrow *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p rs *Undecided*
(*unhalndled cases*)
)

thm *approximating-bigstep-fun.induct*[of P γ p rs s]

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun.induct*[case-names *Empty* *Decision* *Nomatch* *Match*]

:
 $(\bigwedge \gamma$ p s . P γ p \square s) \Rightarrow
 $(\bigwedge \gamma$ p r rs X . P γ p (r # rs) (*Decision* X)) \Rightarrow
 $(\bigwedge \gamma$ p m a rs .
 \neg *matches* γ m a p \Rightarrow P γ p rs *Undecided* \Rightarrow P γ p (*Rule* m a # rs)
Undecided) \Rightarrow
 $(\bigwedge \gamma$ p m a rs .
matches γ m a p \Rightarrow ($a = \text{Log} \Rightarrow P$ γ p rs *Undecided*) \Rightarrow ($a = \text{Empty} \Rightarrow$
 P γ p rs *Undecided*) \Rightarrow P γ p (*Rule* m a # rs) *Undecided*) \Rightarrow
 P γ p rs s
apply (rule *approximating-bigstep-fun.induct*[of P γ p rs s])
apply (*simp-all*)
by *metis*

lemma *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*: *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p rs (*Decision* X) = *Decision* X

by(*induction* rs) (*simp-all*)

6.1 wf ruleset

A '*a rule list* here is well-formed (for a packet) if

1. either the rules do not match
2. or the action is not *Call*, not *Return*, not *Unknown*

definition *wf-ruleset* :: ('*a*, '*p*) *match-tac* \Rightarrow '*p* \Rightarrow '*a rule list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

wf-ruleset γ p $rs \equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs$.

$(\neg \text{matches } \gamma (\text{get-match } r) (\text{get-action } r) p) \vee$

$(\neg(\exists \text{chain. } \text{get-action } r = \text{Call chain}) \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Return} \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Unknown})$

lemma *wf-ruleset-append*: $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \wedge \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs2$

by(*auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *wf-rulesetD*: **assumes** $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (r \# rs)$ **shows** $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } [r]$
and $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs$

using *assms by(auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def)*

lemma *wf-ruleset-fst*: $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } [\text{Rule } m \text{ } a] \wedge \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs$

using *assms by(auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def)*

lemma *wf-ruleset-stripfst*: $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (r \# rs) \implies \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs)$

by(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *wf-ruleset-rest*: $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \implies \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } [\text{Rule } m \text{ } a]$

by(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf*[*case-names Empty Decision Nomatch MatchAccept MatchDrop MatchReject MatchLog MatchEmpty, consumes 1*]:

$\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } s. P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } [] \text{ } s) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } r \text{ } rs \text{ } X. P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (r \# rs) \text{ } (\text{Decision } X)) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs.$

$\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } \text{Undecided} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \text{ } \text{Undecided}) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies a = \text{Accept} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \text{ } \text{Undecided}) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies a = \text{Drop} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \text{ } \text{Undecided}) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies a = \text{Reject} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \text{ } \text{Undecided}) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies a = \text{Log} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } \text{Undecided} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \text{ } \text{Undecided}) \implies$

$(\bigwedge \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p \implies a = \text{Empty} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } \text{Undecided} \implies P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs) \text{ } \text{Undecided}) \implies$

$P \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$

proof(*induction* $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$ *rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct*)

case *Empty* **thus** ?*case* **by** *blast*

next

case *Decision* **thus** ?*case* **by** *blast*

next

case *Nomatch* **thus** ?*case* **by**(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

next

case (*Match* $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a$) **thus** ?*case*

apply –

```

apply(frule wf-rulesetD(1), drule wf-rulesetD(2))
apply(simp)
apply(cases a)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
done
qed

```

6.1.1 Append, Prepend, Postpend, Composition

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_1 \rrbracket \implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs_1 @ rs_2) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p$ 
   $rs_2 \text{ } (\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_1 \text{ } s)$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs_1 \text{ } s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
  apply(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-def Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun split:
    action.split)
  done

```

The state transitions from *Undecided* to *Undecided* if ll intermediate states are *Undecided*

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-Undecided-wf:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \text{ } Undecided = Undecided \longleftrightarrow$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ } Undecided = Undecided \wedge \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
   $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs2 \text{ } Undecided = Undecided$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ } Undecided$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
  apply(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-def split: action.split)
  done

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-Undecided-t-wf:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \text{ } Undecided = t \longleftrightarrow$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ } Undecided = Undecided \wedge \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
   $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs2 \text{ } Undecided = t \vee$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ } Undecided = t \wedge t \neq Undecided$ 
  proof(induction  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ } Undecided$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
  case Empty thus ?case by(cases t) simp-all
  next
  case Nomatch thus ?case by(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
  next
  case Match thus ?case by(auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def split: action.split)
  qed

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-wf-postpend:  $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \implies \text{wf-ruleset}$ 
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsB \implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsB \text{ } s$ 
 $\implies$ 

```

```

    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $rsA@rsC$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$ 
( $rsB@rsC$ )  $s$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma$   $p$   $rsA$   $s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf)
    apply(simp-all add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf)
    apply (metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)+
  done

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-singleton-prepend:
  assumes approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rsB$   $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$ 
 $rsC$   $s$ 
  shows approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r\#rsB$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r\#rsC$ )  $s$ 
  proof(cases  $s$ )
  case Decision thus ?thesis by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  next
  case Undecided
  with assms show ?thesis by(cases  $r$ )(simp split: action.split)
qed

```

6.2 Equality with $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ semantics

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-wf:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \implies$  wf-ruleset
 $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$ 
  unfolding wf-ruleset-def
  proof(induction  $rs$  Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
  next
  case Log thus ?case by auto
  next
  case Nomatch thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Seq  $rs$   $rs1$   $rs2$   $t$ )
    from Seq approximating-bigstep-to-undecided have  $t = Undecided$  by fast
    from this Seq show ?case by auto
  qed

```

only valid actions appear in this ruleset

```

definition good-ruleset :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  good-ruleset  $rs \equiv \forall r \in set\ rs. (\neg(\exists chain. get-action\ r = Call\ chain) \wedge get-action$ 
 $r \neq Return \wedge get-action\ r \neq Unknown)$ 

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: good-ruleset  $rs \equiv (\forall r \in set\ rs. (case\ get-action\ r\ of\ Call$ 
 $chain \Rightarrow False \mid Return \Rightarrow False \mid Unknown \Rightarrow False \mid - \Rightarrow True))$ 
  apply(induction  $rs$ )
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  apply(thin-tac ? $x = ?y$ )
  apply(rename-tac  $r$   $rs$ )
  apply(case-tac get-action  $r$ )

```

apply(*simp-all*)
done

lemma *good-ruleset-alt*: *good-ruleset* *rs* = ($\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} \vee$
 $\text{get-action } r = \text{Drop} \vee$
 $\vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Empty}$)
 $\text{get-action } r = \text{Reject} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Log}$
by(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)
apply(*rule iffI*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*case-tac get-action r*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*case-tac get-action r*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*fastforce*) +
done

lemma *good-ruleset-append*: *good-ruleset* (*rs*₁ @ *rs*₂) \longleftrightarrow *good-ruleset* *rs*₁ \wedge
good-ruleset *rs*₂
by(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt, blast*)

lemma *good-ruleset-fst*: *good-ruleset* (*r* # *rs*) \implies *good-ruleset* [*r*]
by(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)
lemma *good-ruleset-tail*: *good-ruleset* (*r* # *rs*) \implies *good-ruleset* *rs*
by(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)

good-ruleset is stricter than *wf-ruleset*. It can be easily checked with running code!

lemma *good-imp-wf-ruleset*: *good-ruleset* *rs* \implies *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs* **by** (*metis*
good-ruleset-def wf-ruleset-def)

definition *simple-ruleset* :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow bool **where**
simple-ruleset *rs* $\equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} (*\vee \text{get-action } r =$
 $\text{Reject}*) \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop}$

lemma *simple-imp-good-ruleset*: *simple-ruleset* *rs* \implies *good-ruleset* *rs*
by(*simp add: simple-ruleset-def good-ruleset-def, fastforce*)

lemma *simple-ruleset-tail*: *simple-ruleset* (*r* # *rs*) \implies *simple-ruleset* *rs* **by** (*simp*
add: simple-ruleset-def)

lemma *simple-ruleset-append*: *simple-ruleset* (*rs*₁ @ *rs*₂) \longleftrightarrow *simple-ruleset* *rs*₁
 \wedge *simple-ruleset* *rs*₂
by(*simp add: simple-ruleset-def, blast*)

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics*: $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs_1 @ rs_2) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p$
 $rs_2 \text{ } t$

```

proof(induction  $rs_1$   $s$   $t$  arbitrary:  $rs_2$  rule: approximating-bigstep.induct)
qed(simp-all add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)

lemma approximating-semantics-imp-fun:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s = t$ 
proof(induction  $rs$   $s$   $t$  rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
qed(auto simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)

lemma approximating-fun-imp-semantics: assumes wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$ 
shows approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s = t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
using assms proof(induction  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf)
case (Empty  $\gamma$   $p$   $s$ )
  thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$  using skip by(simp)
next
case (Decision  $\gamma$   $p$   $r$   $rs$   $X$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision } X$  by simp
  thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$  using decision by fast
next
case (Nomatch  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  apply(rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
  apply(simp add: nomatch)
  apply(simp add: Nomatch.IH)
  done
next
case (MatchAccept  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$  by simp
  thus ?case by (metis MatchAccept.hyps accept decision seq-fst)
next
case (MatchDrop  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$  by simp
  thus ?case by (metis MatchDrop.hyps drop decision seq-fst)
next
case (MatchReject  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  hence  $t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$  by simp
  thus ?case by (metis MatchReject.hyps reject decision seq-fst)
next
case (MatchLog  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  thus ?case
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
  apply(simp add: log)
  apply(simp add: MatchLog.IH)
  done
next
case (MatchEmpty  $\gamma$   $p$   $m$   $a$   $rs$ )
  thus ?case
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)

```

```

    apply(simp add: empty)
  apply(simp add: MatchEmpty.IH)
done
qed

```

Henceforth, we will use the *approximating-bigstep-fun* semantics, because they are easier. We show that they are equal.

theorem *approximating-semantics-iff-fun*: $wf\text{-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \implies$
 $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s = t$
by (metis *approximating-fun-imp-semantics approximating-semantics-imp-fun*)

corollary *approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset*: $good\text{-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s = t$
by (metis *approximating-semantics-iff-fun good-imp-wf-ruleset*)

lemma *approximating-bigstep-deterministic*: $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t; \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t' \rrbracket \implies t = t'$

```

proof(induction arbitrary: t' rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
case Seq thus ?case
  by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) append-Nil2 approximating-bigstep-fun.simps(1)
    approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics)
qed(auto dest: approximating-bigstepD)

```

The actions Log and Empty do not modify the packet processing in any way. They can be removed.

```

fun rm-LogEmpty :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rm-LogEmpty [] = [] |
  rm-LogEmpty ((Rule - Empty)#rs) = rm-LogEmpty rs |
  rm-LogEmpty ((Rule - Log)#rs) = rm-LogEmpty rs |
  rm-LogEmpty (r#rs) = r # rm-LogEmpty rs

```

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics*:

$\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rm\text{-LogEmpty } rs) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$

```

proof(induction  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
case Empty thus ?case by(simp)
next
case Decision thus ?case by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case (Nomatch  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs$ ) thus ?case by(cases a,simp-all)
next
case (Match  $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } rs$ ) thus ?case by(cases a,simp-all)
qed

```

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-seq*: $rm\text{-LogEmpty } (rs1 @ rs2) = rm\text{-LogEmpty } rs1 \text{ } @ \text{ } rm\text{-LogEmpty } rs2$

```

apply(induction rs1)
apply(simp-all)
apply(rename-tac r rs)

```

```

apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac a)
    apply(simp-all)
done

```

lemma $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rm-LogEmpty } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$
apply(*rule iffI*)

```

apply(induction rs arbitrary: s t)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac x2)
apply(simp-all)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(metis decision log nomatch-fst seq-fst state.exhaust)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)
apply(metis decision empty nomatch-fst seq-fst state.exhaust)
apply(erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst)

```

```

apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
apply(drule-tac rs1=rm-LogEmpty rs1 and rs2=rm-LogEmpty rs2 in seq)
apply(simp-all)
using rm-LogEmpty-seq apply metis
done

```

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-simple-but-Reject*:
good-ruleset *rs* $\implies \forall r \in \text{set } (\text{rm-LogEmpty } rs). \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Reject} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop}$

```

apply(induction rs)
    apply(simp-all add: good-ruleset-def simple-ruleset-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(rename-tac r rs r')
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a, simp)
apply(case-tac a)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply fastforce +
done

```

Rewrite *Reject* actions to *Drop* actions

```
fun rw-Reject :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rw-Reject [] = [] |
  rw-Reject ((Rule m Reject)#rs) = (Rule m Drop)#rw-Reject rs |
  rw-Reject (r#rs) = r # rw-Reject rs
```

lemma *rw-Reject-fun-semantics*:

```
  wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \Longrightarrow$ 
  (approximating-bigstep-fun ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) p (rw-Reject rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
  ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) p rs s)
  proof(induction rs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
    apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a, simp)
    apply(case-tac a)
    apply(case-tac [!] s)
    apply(auto dest: wf-unknown-match-tacD-False1 wf-unknown-match-tacD-False2)
  done
qed
```

lemma *rmLogEmpty-rwReject-good-to-simple*: *good-ruleset* *rs* \Longrightarrow *simple-ruleset*

```
(rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty rs))
apply(drule rm-LogEmpty-simple-but-Reject)
apply(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
apply(induction rs)
apply(simp-all)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(case-tac r)
apply(rename-tac m a)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all)
done
```

definition *optimize-matches* :: ('a match-expr \Rightarrow 'a match-expr) \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**

```
optimize-matches f rs = map ( $\lambda r$ . Rule (f (get-match r)) (get-action r)) rs
```

lemma *optimize-matches*: $\forall m$. *matches* γ *m* = *matches* γ (*f* *m*) \Longrightarrow *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*optimize-matches* *f* *rs*) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* *rs* *s*

```
proof(induction  $\gamma$  p rs s rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
  case (Match  $\gamma$  p m a rs) thus ?case by(case-tac a)(simp-all add: optimize-matches-def)
qed(simp-all add: optimize-matches-def)
```

lemma *optimize-matches-simple-ruleset*: *simple-ruleset* *rs* \Longrightarrow *simple-ruleset* (*optimize-matches* *f* *rs*)

```
by(simp add: optimize-matches-def simple-ruleset-def)
```


lemma *optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr*: *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ
 p (*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr* rs) $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$
 γ p rs s
using *optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct* **by** *metis*

definition *optimize-matches-a* :: (*action* \Rightarrow 'a *match-expr* \Rightarrow 'a *match-expr*) \Rightarrow
'a *rule list* \Rightarrow 'a *rule list* **where**
optimize-matches-a f $rs = \text{map } (\lambda r. \text{Rule } (f \text{ (get-action } r) \text{ (get-match } r)) \text{ (get-action } r)) \text{ } rs$

lemma *optimize-matches-a-simple-ruleset*: *simple-ruleset* $rs \implies \text{simple-ruleset } (\text{optimize-matches-a } f \text{ } rs)$
by (*simp add: optimize-matches-a-def simple-ruleset-def*)

lemma *optimize-matches-a*: $\forall a \ m. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a = \text{matches } \gamma \ (f \ a \ m) \ a \implies$
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (*optimize-matches-a* f rs) $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$
 γ p rs s
proof (*induction* γ p rs s *rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct*)
case (*Match* γ p m a rs) **thus** ?*case* **by** (*case-tac* a) (*simp-all add: optimize-matches-a-def*)
qed (*simp-all add: optimize-matches-a-def*)

lemma *optimize-matches-a-simplers*:

assumes *simple-ruleset* rs **and** $\forall a \ m. a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma$
 $(f \ a \ m) \ a = \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a$
shows *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p (*optimize-matches-a* f rs) $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$
 γ p rs s
proof –
from *assms*(1) **have** *wf-ruleset* γ p rs **by** (*simp add: simple-imp-good-ruleset*
good-imp-wf-ruleset)
from (*wf-ruleset* γ p rs) *assms* **show** *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p (*optimize-matches-a*
 f rs) $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s$
proof (*induction* γ p rs s *rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf*)
case *Nomatch* **thus** ?*case*
apply (*simp add: optimize-matches-a-def simple-ruleset-def*)
apply (*safe*)
apply (*simp-all*)
done
next
case *MatchReject* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*simp add: optimize-matches-a-def simple-ruleset-def*)
qed (*simp-all add: optimize-matches-a-def simple-ruleset-tail*)
qed

end
theory *Datatype-Selectors*
imports *Main*
begin

Running Example: *datatype-new iptrule-match = is-Src: Src (src-range:*

ipt-ipv4range)

A discriminator *disc* tells whether a value is of a certain constructor. Example: *is-Src*

A selector *sel* select the inner value. Example: *src-range*

A constructor *C* constructs a value Example: *Src*

The are well-formed if the belong together.

```
fun wf-disc-sel :: (('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b))  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  a. disc a  $\longrightarrow$  C (sel a) = a)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  a. (*disc (C
a)  $\longrightarrow$ *) sel (C a) = a)
```

```
declare wf-disc-sel.simps[simp del]
```

```
end
```

```
theory IpAddresses
```

```
imports ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr
```

```
begin
```

7 IPv4 Addresses

```
datatype ipt-ipv4range = Ip4Addr nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat
  | Ip4AddrNetmask nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat nat — addr/xx
```

```
fun ipv4s-to-set :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipv4addr set where
  ipv4s-to-set (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) = ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
base) m |
  ipv4s-to-set (Ip4Addr ip) = { ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal ip }
```

ipv4s-to-set cannot represent an empty set.

```
lemma ipv4s-to-set-nonempty: ipv4s-to-set ip  $\neq$  {}
apply(cases ip)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
apply(simp add: bitmagic-zeroLast-leq-or1Last)
done
```

maybe this is necessary as code equation?

```
lemma element-ipv4s-to-set[code-unfold]: addr  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set X = (
  case X of (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len)  $\Rightarrow$  ((ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal pre) AND
((mask len)  $<<$  (32 - len)))  $\leq$  addr  $\wedge$  addr  $\leq$  (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal pre) OR
(mask (32 - len))
  | Ip4Addr ip  $\Rightarrow$  (addr = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal ip)) )
apply(cases X)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
```

done

— Misc

```

lemma ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal (0, 0, 0, 0)) 33 =
{0}
apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal.simps ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def)
done

```

```

fun ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  (ipv4addr  $\times$  ipv4addr) where
  ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall (Ip4Addr addr) = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal addr, ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
addr) |
  ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len) = (
    let netmask = (mask len) << (32 - len);
    network-prefix = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal pre AND netmask)
    in (network-prefix, network-prefix OR (NOT netmask))
  )

```

```

lemma ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall: ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall ip = (s,e)  $\Longrightarrow$  {s .. e}
= ipv4s-to-set ip
apply(cases ip)
apply auto[1]
apply(simp add: Let-def)
apply(subst ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
apply(subst(asm) NOT-mask-len32)
by (metis NOT-mask-len32 ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt1
ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def)

```

```

end
theory Negation-Type
imports Main
begin

```

8 Negation Type

Only negated or non-negated literals

```

datatype 'a negation-type = Pos 'a | Neg 'a

```

```

fun getPos :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  getPos [] = [] |

```

$getPos \ ((Pos \ x)\#xs) = x\#(getPos \ xs) \mid$
 $getPos \ (-\#xs) = getPos \ xs$

fun $getNeg :: 'a \ negation\text{-}type \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list$ **where**
 $getNeg \ [] = [] \mid$
 $getNeg \ ((Neg \ x)\#xs) = x\#(getNeg \ xs) \mid$
 $getNeg \ (-\#xs) = getNeg \ xs$

If there is $'a \ negation\text{-}type$, then apply a *map* only to $'a$. I.e. keep *Neg* and *Pos*

fun $NegPos\text{-}map :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \ negation\text{-}type \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ negation\text{-}type \ list$ **where**
 $NegPos\text{-}map \ - \ [] = [] \mid$
 $NegPos\text{-}map \ f \ ((Pos \ a)\#as) = (Pos \ (f \ a))\#NegPos\text{-}map \ f \ as \mid$
 $NegPos\text{-}map \ f \ ((Neg \ a)\#as) = (Neg \ (f \ a))\#NegPos\text{-}map \ f \ as$

Example

lemma $NegPos\text{-}map \ (\lambda x::nat. \ x+1) \ [Pos \ 0, \ Neg \ 1] = [Pos \ 1, \ Neg \ 2]$ **by** *eval*

lemma $getPos\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp: (getPos \ (NegPos\text{-}map \ X \ (map \ Pos \ src))) = map \ X \ src$

by(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getNeg\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp: (getNeg \ (NegPos\text{-}map \ X \ (map \ Neg \ src))) = map \ X \ src$

by(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getNeg\text{-}Pos\text{-}empty: (getNeg \ (NegPos\text{-}map \ X \ (map \ Pos \ src))) = []$

by(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getNeg\text{-}Neg\text{-}empty: (getPos \ (NegPos\text{-}map \ X \ (map \ Neg \ src))) = []$

by(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getPos\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp2: (getPos \ (NegPos\text{-}map \ X \ src)) = map \ X \ (getPos \ src)$

by(*induction src rule: getPos.induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getNeg\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp2: (getNeg \ (NegPos\text{-}map \ X \ src)) = map \ X \ (getNeg \ src)$

by(*induction src rule: getPos.induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getPos\text{-}id: (getPos \ (map \ Pos \ (getPos \ src))) = getPos \ src$

by(*induction src rule: getPos.induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getNeg\text{-}id: (getNeg \ (map \ Neg \ (getNeg \ src))) = getNeg \ src$

by(*induction src rule: getNeg.induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getPos\text{-}empty2: (getPos \ (map \ Neg \ src)) = []$

by(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma $getNeg\text{-}empty2: (getNeg \ (map \ Pos \ src)) = []$

by(*induction src*) (*simp-all*)

lemmas $NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simps = getPos\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp \ getNeg\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp$
 $getNeg\text{-}Pos\text{-}empty \ getNeg\text{-}Neg\text{-}empty \ getPos\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp2$
 $getNeg\text{-}NegPos\text{-}map\text{-}simp2 \ getPos\text{-}id \ getNeg\text{-}id \ getPos\text{-}empty2$
 $getNeg\text{-}empty2$

```

lemma NegPos-map-append: NegPos-map C (as @ bs) = NegPos-map C as @
NegPos-map C bs
  by(induction as rule: getNeg.induct) (simp-all)

lemma getPos-set: Pos a ∈ set x ⟷ a ∈ set (getPos x)
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(auto)
  done
lemma getNeg-set: Neg a ∈ set x ⟷ a ∈ set (getNeg x)
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(auto)
  done
lemma getPosgetNeg-subset: set x ⊆ set x' ⟷ set (getPos x) ⊆ set (getPos x')
 $\wedge$  set (getNeg x) ⊆ set (getNeg x')
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-set)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(simp-all add: getPos-set getNeg-set)
  done
lemma set-Pos-getPos-subset: Pos ' set (getPos x) ⊆ set x
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply blast+
  done
lemma set-Neg-getNeg-subset: Neg ' set (getNeg x) ⊆ set x
  apply(induction x rule: getNeg.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply blast+
  done
lemmas NegPos-set = getPos-set getNeg-set getPosgetNeg-subset set-Pos-getPos-subset
set-Neg-getNeg-subset
hide-fact getPos-set getNeg-set getPosgetNeg-subset set-Pos-getPos-subset set-Neg-getNeg-subset

```

```

fun invert :: 'a negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type where
  invert (Pos x) = Neg x |
  invert (Neg x) = (Pos x)

```

```

end
theory Iface
imports String ../Semantics-Ternary/Negation-Type
begin

```

9 Network Interfaces

datatype *iface* = *Iface string* — no negation supported, but wildcards

definition *IfaceAny* :: *iface* **where**

IfaceAny \equiv *Iface "+"* "If the interface name ends in a "+", then any interface which begins with this name will match. (man iptables)"

Here is how iptables handles this wildcard on my system. A packet for the loopback interface `lo` is matched by the following expressions

- `lo`
- `lo+`
- `l+`
- `+`

It is not matched by the following expressions

- `lo++`
- `lo+++`
- `lo1+`
- `lo1`

By the way: **Warning:** weird characters in interface ' ' ('/' and ' ' are not allowed by the kernel).

9.1 Helpers for the interface name (*string*)

argument 1: interface as in firewall rule - Wildcard support
argument 2: interface a packet came from - No wildcard support

```
fun internal-iface-name-match :: string  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  internal-iface-name-match [] []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  internal-iface-name-match (i#is) []  $\longleftrightarrow$  (i = CHR "+"  $\wedge$  is = []) |
  internal-iface-name-match [] (-#-)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False |
  internal-iface-name-match (i#is) (p-i#p-is)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (if (i = CHR "+"  $\wedge$  is =
[]) then True else (
  (p-i = i)  $\wedge$  internal-iface-name-match is p-is
))
```

```
fun iface-name-is-wildcard :: string  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  iface-name-is-wildcard []  $\longleftrightarrow$  False |
  iface-name-is-wildcard [s]  $\longleftrightarrow$  s = CHR "+" |
  iface-name-is-wildcard (-#ss)  $\longleftrightarrow$  iface-name-is-wildcard ss
lemma iface-name-is-wildcard-alt: iface-name-is-wildcard eth  $\longleftrightarrow$  eth  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  last
eth = CHR "+"
  apply(induction eth rule: iface-name-is-wildcard.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
done
lemma iface-name-is-wildcard-alt': iface-name-is-wildcard eth  $\longleftrightarrow$  eth  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  hd
(rev eth) = CHR "+"
  apply(simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt)
  using hd-rev by fastforce
```

```

lemma iface-name-is-wildcard-fst: iface-name-is-wildcard (i # is)  $\implies$  is  $\neq$  []
 $\implies$  iface-name-is-wildcard is
  by (simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt)

fun internal-iface-name-to-set :: string  $\Rightarrow$  string set where
  internal-iface-name-to-set i = (if  $\neg$  iface-name-is-wildcard i
    then
      {i}
    else
      {(butlast i)@cs | cs. True})
lemma {(butlast i)@cs | cs. True} = ( $\lambda$ s. (butlast i)@s) ‘ (UNIV::string set)
by fastforce
lemma internal-iface-name-to-set: internal-iface-name-match i p-iface  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-iface
 $\in$  internal-iface-name-to-set i
  apply (induction i p-iface rule: internal-iface-name-match.induct)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (safe)
  apply (simp-all add: iface-name-is-wildcard-fst)
  apply (metis (full-types) iface-name-is-wildcard.simps(3) list.exhaust)
  by (metis append-butlast-last-id)

lemma internal-iface-name-match-refl: internal-iface-name-match i i
proof –
{ fix i j
  have i=j  $\implies$  internal-iface-name-match i j
    apply (induction i j rule: internal-iface-name-match.induct)
    by (simp-all)
  } thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

9.2 Matching

```

fun match-iface :: iface  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  match-iface (Iface i) p-iface  $\longleftrightarrow$  internal-iface-name-match i p-iface

```

— Examples

```

lemma match-iface (Iface "lo") "lo"
  match-iface (Iface "lo+") "lo"
  match-iface (Iface "l+") "lo"
  match-iface (Iface "+") "lo"
 $\neg$  match-iface (Iface "lo++") "lo"
 $\neg$  match-iface (Iface "lo+++") "lo"
 $\neg$  match-iface (Iface "lo1+") "lo"
 $\neg$  match-iface (Iface "lo1") "lo"
  match-iface (Iface "+") "eth0"
  match-iface (Iface "+") "eth0"
  match-iface (Iface "eth+") "eth0"
 $\neg$  match-iface (Iface "lo+") "eth0"

```

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } \text{"lo+"}) & \text{"loX"} \\ \neg \text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } \text{""}) & \text{"loX"} \end{array}$$

lemma *match-IfaceAny*: *match-iface IfaceAny i*
 by(*cases i, simp-all add: IfaceAny-def*)
lemma *match-IfaceFalse*: $\neg(\exists \text{ IfaceFalse}. (\forall i. \neg \text{match-iface IfaceFalse } i))$
 apply(*simp*)
 apply(*intro allI, rename-tac IfaceFalse*)
 apply(*case-tac IfaceFalse, rename-tac name*)
 apply(*rule-tac x=name in exI*)
 by(*simp add: internal-iface-name-match-refl*)

— *match-iface* explained by the individual cases

lemma *match-iface-case-nowildcard*: $\neg \text{iface-name-is-wildcard } i \implies \text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } i) \text{ p-i} \longleftrightarrow i = \text{p-i}$
 apply(*simp*)
 apply(*induction i p-i rule: internal-iface-name-match.induct*)
 apply(*auto simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt split: split-if-asm*)
 done
lemma *match-iface-case-wildcard-prefix*:
 $\text{iface-name-is-wildcard } i \implies \text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } i) \text{ p-i} \longleftrightarrow \text{butlast } i = \text{take } (\text{length } i - 1) \text{ p-i}$
 apply(*simp*)
 apply(*induction i p-i rule: internal-iface-name-match.induct*)
 apply(*simp-all*)
 apply(*simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt split: split-if-asm*)
 apply(*intro conjI*)
 apply(*simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt split: split-if-asm*)
 apply(*intro impI*)
 apply(*simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-fst*)
 by(*metis One-nat-def length-0-conv list.sel(1) list.sel(3) take-Cons'*)
lemma *match-iface-case-wildcard-length*: $\text{iface-name-is-wildcard } i \implies \text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } i) \text{ p-i} \implies \text{length } \text{p-i} \geq (\text{length } i - 1)$
 apply(*simp*)
 apply(*induction i p-i rule: internal-iface-name-match.induct*)
 apply(*simp-all*)
 apply(*simp add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt split: split-if-asm*)
 done
corollary *match-iface-case-wildcard*:
 $\text{iface-name-is-wildcard } i \implies \text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } i) \text{ p-i} \longleftrightarrow \text{butlast } i = \text{take } (\text{length } i - 1) \text{ p-i} \wedge \text{length } \text{p-i} \geq (\text{length } i - 1)$
 using *match-iface-case-wildcard-length match-iface-case-wildcard-prefix* by *blast*

lemma *match-iface-set*: $\text{match-iface } (\text{Iface } i) \text{ p-iface} \longleftrightarrow \text{p-iface} \in \text{internal-iface-name-to-set } i$
 using *internal-iface-name-to-set* by *simp*

definition *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* :: *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string option* **where**

```

  internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest i1 i2 = (
    if
      take (min (length i1 - 1) (length i2 - 1)) i1 = take (min (length i1 - 1)
(length i2 - 1)) i2
    then
      Some (if length i1  $\leq$  length i2 then i2 else i1)
    else
      None)

```

lemma *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* "eth+" "eth3+" = Some "eth3+"
by *eval*

lemma *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* "eth+" "e+" = Some "eth+" **by** *eval*

lemma *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* "eth+" "lo" = None **by** *eval*

lemma *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-commute*: *iface-name-is-wildcard* i1 \implies *iface-name-is-wildcard* i2 \implies
internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest i1 i2 = *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* i2 i1

```

  apply (simp add: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-def)
  apply (safe)
  apply (simp-all add: iface-name-is-wildcard-alt)
  apply (metis One-nat-def append-butlast-last-id butlast-conv-take)
  by (metis min.commute)+

```

lemma *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-refl*: *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* i i = Some i
by (simp add: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-def)

lemma *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct*: *iface-name-is-wildcard* i1 \implies *iface-name-is-wildcard* i2 \implies
match-iface (Iface i1) p-i \wedge *match-iface* (Iface i2) p-i \longleftrightarrow
(case *internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest* i1 i2 of None \Rightarrow False | Some
x \Rightarrow *match-iface* (Iface x) p-i)

proof –

```

  assume assm1: iface-name-is-wildcard i1
  and assm2: iface-name-is-wildcard i2
  { assume assm3: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest i1 i2 = None
    have  $\neg$  (internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i  $\wedge$  internal-iface-name-match i2 p-i)
    proof –
      from match-iface-case-wildcard-prefix[OF assm1] have 1:
        internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i = (take (length i1 - 1) i1 = take (length
i1 - 1) p-i) by (simp add: butlast-conv-take)
      from match-iface-case-wildcard-prefix[OF assm2] have 2:
        internal-iface-name-match i2 p-i = (take (length i2 - 1) i2 = take (length
i2 - 1) p-i) by (simp add: butlast-conv-take)
      from assm3 have 3: take (min (length i1 - 1) (length i2 - 1)) i1  $\neq$  take

```

```

(min (length i1 - 1) (length i2 - 1)) i2
  by(simp add: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-def split: split-if-asm)
  from 3 show ?thesis using 1 2 min.commute take-take by metis
qed
} note internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct-None=this

{ fix X
  assume assm3: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest i1 i2 = Some X
  have (internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i ∧ internal-iface-name-match i2 p-i)
  ⟷ internal-iface-name-match X p-i
  proof -
    from assm3 have assm3': take (min (length i1 - 1) (length i2 - 1)) i1 =
    take (min (length i1 - 1) (length i2 - 1)) i2
    unfolding internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-def by(simp split: split-if-asm)

    { fix i1 i2
      assume iw1: iface-name-is-wildcard i1 and iw2: iface-name-is-wildcard i2
      and len: length i1 ≤ length i2 and
        take-i1i2: take (length i1 - 1) i1 = take (length i1 - 1) i2
      from len have len': length i1 - 1 ≤ length i2 - 1 by fastforce
      { fix x::string
        from len' have take (length i1 - 1) x = take (length i1 - 1) (take
        (length i2 - 1) x) by(simp add: min-def)
      } note takei1=this

      { fix m::nat and n::nat and a::string and b c
        have m ≤ n ⟹ take n a = take n b ⟹ take m a = take m c ⟹ take
        m c = take m b by (metis min-absorb1 take-take)
      } note takesmaller=this

      from match-iface-case-wildcard-prefix[OF iw1, simplified] have 1:
        internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i ⟷ take (length i1 - 1) i1 = take
        (length i1 - 1) p-i by(simp add: butlast-conv-take)
      also have ... ⟷ take (length i1 - 1) (take (length i2 - 1) i1) = take
        (length i1 - 1) (take (length i2 - 1) p-i) using takei1 by simp
      finally have internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i = (take (length i1 - 1)
        (take (length i2 - 1) i1) = take (length i1 - 1) (take (length i2 - 1) p-i)) .
      from match-iface-case-wildcard-prefix[OF iw2, simplified] have 2:
        internal-iface-name-match i2 p-i ⟷ take (length i2 - 1) i2 = take
        (length i2 - 1) p-i by(simp add: butlast-conv-take)

      have internal-iface-name-match i2 p-i ⟹ internal-iface-name-match i1
      p-i
      unfolding 1 2
      apply(rule takesmaller[of (length i1 - 1) (length i2 - 1) i2 p-i])
      using len' apply (simp)
      apply simp
      using take-i1i2 apply simp
      done
    }
  }

```

```

    } note longer-iface-imp-shorter=this

show ?thesis
  proof(cases length i1 ≤ length i2)
  case True
    with assm3 have X = i2 unfolding internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-def
by(simp split: split-if-asm)
    from True assm3' have take-i1i2: take (length i1 - 1) i1 = take (length
i1 - 1) i2 by linarith
    from longer-iface-imp-shorter[OF assm1 assm2 True take-i1i2] ⟨X = i2⟩
    show (internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i ∧ internal-iface-name-match i2
p-i) ⟷ internal-iface-name-match X p-i by fastforce
    next
    case False
    with assm3 have X = i1 unfolding internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-def
by(simp split: split-if-asm)
    from False assm3' have take-i1i2: take (length i2 - 1) i2 = take (length
i2 - 1) i1 by (metis min-def min-diff)
    from longer-iface-imp-shorter[OF assm2 assm1 - take-i1i2] False ⟨X = i1⟩
    show (internal-iface-name-match i1 p-i ∧ internal-iface-name-match i2
p-i) ⟷ internal-iface-name-match X p-i by auto
    qed
  qed
} note internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct-Some=this

from internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct-None internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct-Some
show ?thesis
  by(simp split: option.split)
qed

fun iface-conjunct :: iface ⇒ iface ⇒ iface option where
  iface-conjunct (Iface i1) (Iface i2) = (case (iface-name-is-wildcard i1, iface-name-is-wildcard
i2) of
    (True, True) ⇒ map-option Iface (internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest i1
i2) |
    (True, False) ⇒ (if match-iface (Iface i1) i2 then Some (Iface i2) else None)
  |
    (False, True) ⇒ (if match-iface (Iface i2) i1 then Some (Iface i1) else None)
  |
    (False, False) ⇒ (if i1 = i2 then Some (Iface i1) else None))

lemma iface-conjunct: match-iface i1 p-i ∧ match-iface i2 p-i ⟷
  (case iface-conjunct i1 i2 of None ⇒ False | Some x ⇒ match-iface x p-i)
apply(cases i1, cases i2, rename-tac i1name i2name)
apply(simp split: bool.split option.split)

apply(auto simp: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-refl dest: internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct)
apply (metis match-iface.simps match-iface-case-nowildcard)+
done

```

```

hide-fact internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest-correct
hide-const (open) internal-iface-name-wildcard-longest iface-name-is-wildcard internal-iface-name-to-set
hide-const (open) internal-iface-name-match

end
theory Protocol
imports ../Semantics-Ternary/Negation-Type
begin

datatype primitive-protocol = TCP | UDP | ICMP

datatype protocol = ProtoAny | Proto primitive-protocol

fun match-proto :: protocol  $\Rightarrow$  primitive-protocol  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  match-proto ProtoAny -  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  match-proto (Proto (p)) p-p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-p = p

  fun simple-proto-conjunct :: protocol  $\Rightarrow$  protocol  $\Rightarrow$  protocol option where
    simple-proto-conjunct ProtoAny proto = Some proto |
    simple-proto-conjunct proto ProtoAny = Some proto |
    simple-proto-conjunct (Proto p1) (Proto p2) = (if p1 = p2 then Some (Proto p1) else None)

  lemma simple-proto-conjunct-correct: match-proto p1 pkt  $\wedge$  match-proto p2 pkt
 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (case simple-proto-conjunct p1 p2 of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some proto  $\Rightarrow$  match-proto proto pkt)
  apply(cases p1)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac pp1)
  apply(cases p2)
  apply(simp-all)
  done

end
theory WordInterval-Lists
imports WordInterval
begin

```

9.3 WordInterval to List

A list of (*start*, *end*) tuples.

```

fun br2l :: 'a::len wordinterval  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::len word  $\times$  'a::len word) list where
  br2l (RangeUnion r1 r2) = br2l r1 @ br2l r2 |
  br2l (WordInterval s e) = (if e < s then [] else [(s,e)] )

```

```

fun l2br :: ('a::len word × 'a::len word) list ⇒ 'a::len wordinterval where
  l2br [] = Empty-WordInterval |
  l2br [(s,e)] = (WordInterval s e) |
  l2br ((s,e)#rs) = (RangeUnion (WordInterval s e) (l2br rs))

lemma l2br-append: wordinterval-to-set (l2br (l1@l2)) = wordinterval-to-set
(l2br l1) ∪ wordinterval-to-set (l2br l2)
apply(induction l1 arbitrary: l2 rule:l2br.induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac l2)
apply(simp-all)
by blast

lemma l2br-br2l: wordinterval-to-set (l2br (br2l r)) = wordinterval-to-set r
by(induction r) (simp-all add: l2br-append)

lemma l2br: wordinterval-to-set (l2br l) = (⋃ (i,j) ∈ set l. {i .. j})
by(induction l rule: l2br.induct, simp-all)

definition l-br-to-set :: ('a::len word × 'a::len word) list ⇒ ('a::len word) set
where
  l-br-to-set l ≡ ⋃ (i,j) ∈ set l. {i .. j}
lemma l-br-to-set: l-br-to-set l = wordinterval-to-set (l2br l)
unfolding l-br-to-set-def
apply(induction l rule: l2br.induct)
apply(simp-all)
done

definition l2br-intersect :: ('a::len word × 'a::len word) list ⇒ 'a::len wordinter-
val where
  l2br-intersect = foldl (λ acc (s,e). wordinterval-intersection (WordInterval s e)
acc) wordinterval-UNIV

lemma l2br-intersect: wordinterval-to-set (l2br-intersect l) = (⋂ (i,j) ∈ set l. {i
.. j})
proof –
  { fix U — wordinterval-UNIV generalized
    have wordinterval-to-set (foldl (λ acc (s, e). wordinterval-intersection (WordInterval
s e) acc) U l) = (wordinterval-to-set U) ∩ (⋂ (i, j) ∈ set l. {i..j})
    apply(induction l arbitrary: U)
    apply(simp)
    by force
  } thus ?thesis

```

```

    unfolding l2br-intersect-def by simp
  qed

```

```

end
theory Ports
imports String
  ~~/src/HOL/Word/Word
  ../Bitmagic/WordInterval-Lists
begin

```

10 Ports (layer 4)

E.g. source and destination ports for TCP/UDP

list of (start, end) port ranges

type-synonym *ipt-ports* = (16 word × 16 word) list

```

fun ports-to-set :: ipt-ports ⇒ (16 word) set where
  ports-to-set [] = {} |
  ports-to-set ((s,e)#ps) = {s..e} ∪ ports-to-set ps

```

```

lemma ports-to-set: ports-to-set pts = ∪ { {s..e} | s e . (s,e) ∈ set pts }
proof(induction pts)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons p pts) thus ?case by(cases p, simp, blast)
qed

```

We can reuse the wordinterval theory to reason about ports

```

lemma ports-to-set-wordinterval: ports-to-set ps = wordinterval-to-set (l2br ps)
by(induction ps rule: l2br.induct) (auto)

```

```

end
theory Simple-Packet
imports ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr Protocol
begin

```

11 Simple Packet

Packet constants are prefixed with *p*

```

record simple-packet = p-iiface :: string
  p-oiface :: string
  p-src :: ipv4addr
  p-dst :: ipv4addr
  p-proto :: primitive-protocol
  p-sport :: 16 word

```

```

        p-dport :: 16 word

end
theory Common-Primitive-Syntax
imports ../Datatype-Selectors IpAddresses Iface Protocol Ports Simple-Packet
begin

```

12 Primitive Matchers: Interfaces, IP Space, Layer 4 Ports Matcher

Primitive Match Conditions which only support interfaces, IPv4 addresses, layer 4 protocols, and layer 4 ports.

```

datatype-new common-primitive =
  is-Src: Src (src-sel: ipt-ipv4range) |
  is-Dst: Dst (dst-sel: ipt-ipv4range) |
  is-Iiface: Iiface (iiface-sel: iface) |
  is-Oiface: Oiface (oiface-sel: iface) |
  is-Prot: Prot (prot-sel: protocol) |
  is-Src-Ports: Src-Ports (src-ports-sel: ipt-ports) |
  is-Dst-Ports: Dst-Ports (dst-ports-sel: ipt-ports) |
  is-Extra: Extra (extra-sel: string)

```

```

lemma wf-disc-sel-common-primitive[simp]:
  wf-disc-sel (is-Src-Ports, src-ports-sel) Src-Ports
  wf-disc-sel (is-Dst-Ports, dst-ports-sel) Dst-Ports
  wf-disc-sel (is-Src, src-sel) Src
  wf-disc-sel (is-Dst, dst-sel) Dst
  wf-disc-sel (is-Iiface, iiface-sel) Iiface
  wf-disc-sel (is-Oiface, oiface-sel) Oiface
  wf-disc-sel (is-Prot, prot-sel) Prot
  wf-disc-sel (is-Extra, extra-sel) Extra
by(simp-all add: wf-disc-sel.simps)

```

— Example

```

value (p-iiface = "eth0", p-oiface = "eth1", p-src = ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
(192,168,2,45), p-dst= ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal (173,194,112,111),
p-proto=TCP, p-sport=2065, p-dport=80)

```

```

end
theory Unknown-Match-Tacs
imports Matching-Ternary

```

begin

13 Approximate Matching Tactics

in-doubt-tactics

```
fun in-doubt-allow :: 'packet unknown-match-tac where
  in-doubt-allow Accept - = True |
  in-doubt-allow Drop - = False |
  in-doubt-allow Reject - = False
```

```
lemma wf-in-doubt-allow: wf-unknown-match-tac in-doubt-allow
unfolding wf-unknown-match-tac-def by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
```

```
fun in-doubt-deny :: 'packet unknown-match-tac where
  in-doubt-deny Accept - = False |
  in-doubt-deny Drop - = True |
  in-doubt-deny Reject - = True
```

```
lemma wf-in-doubt-deny: wf-unknown-match-tac in-doubt-deny
unfolding wf-unknown-match-tac-def by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
```

```
lemma packet-independent-unknown-match-tacs: packet-independent- $\alpha$  in-doubt-allow
  packet-independent- $\alpha$  in-doubt-deny
by(simp-all add: packet-independent- $\alpha$ -def)
```

end

theory Common-Primitive-Matcher

imports ../Semantics-Ternary/Semantics-Ternary Common-Primitive-Syntax ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr
../Semantics-Ternary/Unknown-Match-Tacs

begin

13.1 Primitive Matchers: IP Port Iface Matcher

```
fun common-matcher :: (common-primitive, simple-packet) exact-match-tac where
  common-matcher (IIface i) p = bool-to-ternary (match-iface i (p-iiface p)) |
  common-matcher (OIface i) p = bool-to-ternary (match-iface i (p-oiface p)) |

  common-matcher (Src ip) p = bool-to-ternary (p-src p  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set ip) |
  common-matcher (Dst ip) p = bool-to-ternary (p-dst p  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set ip) |
```


common-matcher (*Prot proto*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary* (*match-proto proto* (*p-proto p*)) |

common-matcher (*Src-Ports ps*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary* (*p-sport p* ∈ *ports-to-set ps*)
|
common-matcher (*Dst-Ports ps*) *p* = *bool-to-ternary* (*p-dport p* ∈ *ports-to-set ps*)
|

common-matcher (*Extra -*) *p* = *TernaryUnknown*

Warning: beware of the sloppy term ‘empty’ portrange

An ‘empty’ port range means it can never match! Basically, *MatchNot* (*Match* (*Src-Ports* [(0, 65535)])) is False

lemma $\neg \text{matches} (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot} (\text{Match} (\text{Src-Ports} [(0, 65535)])))$
a

(*p-iiface* = "eth0", *p-oiface* = "eth1", *p-src* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (192,168,2,45), *p-dst* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (173,194,112,111),
p-proto=TCP, *p-sport*=2065, *p-dport*=80)

An ‘empty’ port range means it always matches! Basically, *MatchNot* (*Match* (*Src-Ports* [])) is True. This corresponds to firewall behavior, but usually you cannot specify an empty portrange in firewalls, but omission of portrange means no-port-restrictions, i.e. every port matches.

lemma *matches* (*common-matcher*, α) (*MatchNot* (*Match* (*Src-Ports* []))) *a*
(*p-iiface* = "eth0", *p-oiface* = "eth1", *p-src* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (192,168,2,45), *p-dst* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (173,194,112,111),
p-proto=TCP, *p-sport*=2065, *p-dport*=80)

If not a corner case, portrange matching is straight forward.

lemma *matches* (*common-matcher*, α) (*Match* (*Src-Ports* [(1024,4096), (9999, 65535)])) *a*

(*p-iiface* = "eth0", *p-oiface* = "eth1", *p-src* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (192,168,2,45), *p-dst* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (173,194,112,111),
p-proto=TCP, *p-sport*=2065, *p-dport*=80)

$\neg \text{matches} (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{Match} (\text{Src-Ports} [(1024, 4096), (9999, 65535)]))$ *a*

(*p-iiface* = "eth0", *p-oiface* = "eth1", *p-src* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (192,168,2,45), *p-dst* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (173,194,112,111),
p-proto=TCP, *p-sport*=5000, *p-dport*=80)

$\neg \text{matches} (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot} (\text{Match} (\text{Src-Ports} [(1024, 4096), (9999, 65535)])))$ *a*

(*p-iiface* = "eth0", *p-oiface* = "eth1", *p-src* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (192,168,2,45), *p-dst* = *ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal* (173,194,112,111),
p-proto=TCP, *p-sport*=2065, *p-dport*=80)

Lemmas when matching on *Src* or *Dst*

lemma *common-matcher-SrcDst-defined*:

```

  common-matcher (Src m) p ≠ TernaryUnknown
  common-matcher (Dst m) p ≠ TernaryUnknown
  common-matcher (Src-Ports ps) p ≠ TernaryUnknown
  common-matcher (Dst-Ports ps) p ≠ TernaryUnknown
  apply(case-tac [!] m)
  apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
done

```

lemma *common-matcher-SrcDst-defined-simp*:

```

  common-matcher (Src x) p ≠ TernaryFalse ⟷ common-matcher (Src x) p =
  TernaryTrue
  common-matcher (Dst x) p ≠ TernaryFalse ⟷ common-matcher (Dst x) p =
  TernaryTrue
  apply (metis eval-ternary-Not.cases common-matcher-SrcDst-defined(1) ternary-
  value.distinct(1))
  apply (metis eval-ternary-Not.cases common-matcher-SrcDst-defined(2) ternary-
  value.distinct(1))
done

```

done

lemma *match-simplematcher-SrcDst*:

```

  matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Src X)) a p ⟷ p-src p ∈ ipv4s-to-set
  X
  matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Dst X)) a p ⟷ p-dst p ∈ ipv4s-to-set
  X
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)
  apply (metis bool-to-ternary.elims bool-to-ternary-Unknown ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
done

```

lemma *match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not*:

```

  matches (common-matcher, α) (MatchNot (Match (Src X))) a p ⟷ p-src p ∉
  ipv4s-to-set X
  matches (common-matcher, α) (MatchNot (Match (Dst X))) a p ⟷ p-dst p ∉
  ipv4s-to-set X
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)
  apply(case-tac [!] X)
  apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-simps)
done

```

lemma *common-matcher-SrcDst-Inter*:

```

  (∀ m ∈ set X. matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Src m)) a p) ⟷ p-src p
  ∈ (⋂ x ∈ set X. ipv4s-to-set x)
  (∀ m ∈ set X. matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Dst m)) a p) ⟷ p-dst p
  ∈ (⋂ x ∈ set X. ipv4s-to-set x)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple bool-to-ternary-Unknown
  bool-to-ternary-simps split: ternaryvalue.split)
done

```

multiport list is a way to express disjunction in one matchexpression in some firewalls

lemma *multiports-disjunction*:

```

      (∃ rg ∈ set spts. matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Src-Ports [rg])) a p)
    ↔
      matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Src-Ports spts)) a p
      (∃ rg ∈ set dpts. matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Dst-Ports [rg])) a
p) ↔
      matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Dst-Ports dpts)) a p
    apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple
bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches bool-to-ternary-simps split: ternaryvalue.split ternary-
value.split-asm)
    apply(simp-all add: ports-to-set)
    apply(safe)
    apply force+
  done

```

Perform very basic optimization. Remove matches to primitives which are essentially *MatchAny*

```

fun optimize-primitive-univ :: common-primitive match-expr ⇒ common-primitive
match-expr where
  optimize-primitive-univ (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) = MatchAny
|
  optimize-primitive-univ (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) = MatchAny
|
  optimize-primitive-univ (Match (Src-Ports [(s, e)])) = (if s = 0 ∧ e = 0xFFFF
then MatchAny else (Match (Src-Ports [(s, e)]))) |
  optimize-primitive-univ (Match (Dst-Ports [(s, e)])) = (if s = 0 ∧ e = 0xFFFF
then MatchAny else (Match (Dst-Ports [(s, e)]))) |
  optimize-primitive-univ (Match (Prot ProtoAny)) = MatchAny |
  optimize-primitive-univ (Match m) = Match m |

  optimize-primitive-univ (MatchNot m) = (MatchNot (optimize-primitive-univ
m)) |
  optimize-primitive-univ (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (optimize-primitive-univ
m1) (optimize-primitive-univ m2) |
  optimize-primitive-univ MatchAny = MatchAny

```

```

lemma optimize-primitive-univ-correct-matchexpr: matches (common-matcher, α)
m = matches (common-matcher, α) (optimize-primitive-univ m)
  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, rename-tac a p)
  apply(rule matches-iff-apply-f)
  apply(simp)
  apply(induction m rule: optimize-primitive-univ.induct)
    apply(simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV
eval-ternary-idempotence-Not bool-to-ternary-simps)
    apply(subgoal-tac (max-word::16 word) = 65535, simp, simp add: max-word-def)+
  done
corollary optimize-primitive-univ-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun (common-matcher,
α) p (optimize-matches optimize-primitive-univ rs) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun (common-matcher,

```

$\alpha) p rs s$

using *optimize-matches optimize-primitive-univ-correct-matchexpr by metis*

lemma *packet-independent- β -unknown-common-matcher: packet-independent- β -unknown common-matcher*

apply(*simp add: packet-independent- β -unknown-def*)

apply(*clarify*)

apply(*rename-tac A p1 p2*)

apply(*case-tac A*)

by(*simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown*)

remove *Extra* (i.e. *TernaryUnknown*) match expressions

fun *upper-closure-matchexpr :: action \Rightarrow common-primitive match-expr \Rightarrow common-primitive match-expr* **where**

upper-closure-matchexpr - MatchAny = MatchAny |

upper-closure-matchexpr Accept (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |

upper-closure-matchexpr Reject (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot MatchAny |

upper-closure-matchexpr Drop (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot MatchAny |

upper-closure-matchexpr - (Match m) = Match m |

upper-closure-matchexpr Accept (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = MatchAny |

upper-closure-matchexpr Drop (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = MatchNot MatchAny

|
upper-closure-matchexpr Reject (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = MatchNot MatchAny

|
upper-closure-matchexpr a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = upper-closure-matchexpr
a m |

upper-closure-matchexpr a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =

(let m1' = upper-closure-matchexpr a (MatchNot m1); m2' = upper-closure-matchexpr
a (MatchNot m2) in

(if m1' = MatchAny \vee m2' = MatchAny
then MatchAny

else

if m1' = MatchNot MatchAny then m2' else

if m2' = MatchNot MatchAny then m1'

else

MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot m1') (MatchNot m2'))

) |

upper-closure-matchexpr - (MatchNot m) = MatchNot m |

upper-closure-matchexpr a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (upper-closure-matchexpr
a m1) (upper-closure-matchexpr a m2)

lemma *upper-closure-matchexpr-generic:*

a = Accept \vee a = Drop \implies remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, in-doubt-allow)

a m = upper-closure-matchexpr a m

by(*induction a m rule: upper-closure-matchexpr.induct*)

(*simp-all add: unknown-match-all-def unknown-not-match-any-def bool-to-ternary-Unknown*)

```

fun lower-closure-matchexpr :: action  $\Rightarrow$  common-primitive match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  common-primitive
match-expr where
  lower-closure-matchexpr - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  lower-closure-matchexpr Accept (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot MatchAny |
  lower-closure-matchexpr Reject (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  lower-closure-matchexpr Drop (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  lower-closure-matchexpr - (Match m) = Match m |
  lower-closure-matchexpr Accept (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = MatchNot MatchAny
|
  lower-closure-matchexpr Drop (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = MatchAny |
  lower-closure-matchexpr Reject (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = MatchAny |
  lower-closure-matchexpr a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = lower-closure-matchexpr
a m |
  lower-closure-matchexpr a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
  (let m1' = lower-closure-matchexpr a (MatchNot m1); m2' = lower-closure-matchexpr
a (MatchNot m2) in
  (if m1' = MatchAny  $\vee$  m2' = MatchAny
  then MatchAny
  else
    if m1' = MatchNot MatchAny then m2' else
    if m2' = MatchNot MatchAny then m1'
  else
    MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot m1') (MatchNot m2'))
  ) |
  lower-closure-matchexpr - (MatchNot m) = MatchNot m |
  lower-closure-matchexpr a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (lower-closure-matchexpr
a m1) (lower-closure-matchexpr a m2)

lemma lower-closure-matchexpr-generic:
  a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop  $\implies$  remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, in-doubt-deny)
a m = lower-closure-matchexpr a m
by(induction a m rule: lower-closure-matchexpr.induct)
(simp-all add: unknown-match-all-def unknown-not-match-any-def bool-to-ternary-Unknown)

end
theory Example-Semantics
imports ../Call-Return-Unfolding ../Primitive-Matchers/Common-Primitive-Matcher
begin

```

14 Examples Big Step Semantics

we use a primitive matcher which always applies.

```

fun applies-Yes :: ('a, 'p) matcher where
  applies-Yes m p = True
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes MatchAny p by simp
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes (Match e) p by simp

```

```

definition m=Match (Src (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes m p by (simp add: m-def)

lemma ["FORWARD" ↦ [(Rule m Log), (Rule m Accept), (Rule m Drop)]], applies-Yes, p ⊢
  ⟨[Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided⟩ ⇒ (Decision FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
  apply(auto)
apply(rule seq-cons)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(rule seq-cons)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
apply(rule Semantics.decision)
done

lemma ["FORWARD" ↦ [(Rule m Log), (Rule m (Call "foo")), (Rule m Ac-
cept)],
  "foo" ↦ [(Rule m Log), (Rule m Return)]], applies-Yes, p ⊢
  ⟨[Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided⟩ ⇒ (Decision FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
  apply(auto)
apply(rule seq-cons)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(rule seq-cons)
  apply(rule Semantics.call-return[where rs1=[Rule m Log] and rs2=[]])
  apply(simp)+
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
  apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
done

lemma ["FORWARD" ↦ [Rule m (Call "foo"), Rule m Drop], "foo" ↦ []], applies-Yes, p ⊢
  ⟨[Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided⟩ ⇒ (Decision
FinalDeny)
apply(rule call-result)
  apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
apply(rule Semantics.call-result)
  apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.skip)
apply(auto intro: deny)
done

lemma ((λrs. process-call ["FORWARD" ↦ [Rule m (Call "foo"), Rule m Drop],
"foo" ↦ [] rs) ^^ 2)
  [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]
  = [Rule (MatchAnd MatchAny m) Drop] by eval

hide-const m

definition pkt=(p-iface="+", p-oiface="+", p-src=0, p-dst=0, p-proto=TCP,

```

$p\text{-sport}=0, p\text{-dport}=0$)

We tune the primitive matcher to support everything we need in the example. Note that the undefined cases cannot be handled with these exact semantics!

```

fun applies-exampleMatchExact :: (common-primitive, simple-packet) matcher
where
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Src (Ip4Addr addr)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-src p = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
addr) |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Dst (Ip4Addr addr)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-dst p = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
addr) |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ProtoAny) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot (Proto TCP)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-protocol p = TCP |
  applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot (Proto UDP)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-protocol p = UDP

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [ Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0))))
(Match (Dst (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))) Reject,
      Rule (Match (Dst (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))) Log,
      Rule (Match (Prot (Proto TCP))) Accept,
      Rule (Match (Prot (Proto TCP))) Drop]
    ], applies-exampleMatchExact, pkt(p-src := (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal (1,2,3,4)),
p-dst := (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal (0,0,0,0)))  $\vdash$ 
    ([Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD"), Undecided]  $\Rightarrow$  (Decision
FinalAllow)
    apply(rule call-result)
    apply(auto)
    apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.nomatch simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal.simps
ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
    apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.log simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal.simps ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
    apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
    apply(auto simp add: pkt-def intro: Semantics.accept)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.decision)
    done

end
theory Fixed-Action
imports Semantics-Ternary
begin

```

15 Fixed Action

If firewall rules have the same action, we can focus on the matching only.

Applying a rule once or several times makes no difference.

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate*:
 $n > 0 \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (r \# rs) \text{ Undecided} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$
 $\gamma \ p \ ((\text{replicate } n \ r) @ rs) \text{ Undecided}$
apply(*induction* n)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac* r)
apply(*rename-tac* $m \ a$)
apply(*simp split: action.split*)
by *fastforce*

utility lemmas

lemma *fixedaction-Log*: $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ Log}) \text{ ms}) \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* ms , *simp-all*)
done
lemma *fixedaction-Empty*: $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}) \text{ ms}) \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* ms , *simp-all*)
done
lemma *helperX1-Log*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m' \text{ Log } p \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } ((\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ Log}) \circ \text{MatchAnd } m') \text{ } m2' @ rs2) \text{ Undecided} =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs2 \text{ Undecided}$
apply(*induction* $m2'$)
apply(*simp-all split: action.split*)
done
lemma *helperX1-Empty*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m' \text{ Empty } p \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } ((\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}) \circ \text{MatchAnd } m') \text{ } m2' @ rs2) \text{ Undecided} =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs2 \text{ Undecided}$
apply(*induction* $m2'$)
apply(*simp-all split: action.split*)
done
lemma *helperX3*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ a \ p \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } ((\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \circ \text{MatchAnd } m') \text{ } m2' @ rs2) \text{ Undecided} =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \text{ } m2' @ rs2) \text{ Undecided}$
apply(*induction* $m2'$)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac* a)
apply(*simp-all add: matches-simps*)
done

lemmas *fixed-action-simps* = *helperX1-Log helperX1-Empty helperX3*
hide-fact *helperX1-Log helperX1-Empty helperX3*

lemma *fixedaction-swap*:


```

    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ ) ( $m1 @ m2$ ))  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ ) ( $m2 @ m1$ ))  $s$ 
proof(cases  $s$ )
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ ) ( $m1 @$ 
 $m2$ ))  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ ) ( $m2 @ m1$ ))  $s$ 
    by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
    have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $m1 @$  map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule
 $m$   $a$ )  $m2$ ) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $m2$ 
 $@$  map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $m1$ ) Undecided
    proof(induction  $m1$ )
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
    case (Cons  $m$   $m1$ )
    { fix  $m$   $rs$ 
      have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ((map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$  Log)  $m$ )@ $rs$ )
Undecided =
        approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$  Undecided
      by(induction  $m$ ) (simp-all)
    } note Log-helper=this
    { fix  $m$   $rs$ 
      have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ((map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$  Empty)  $m$ )@ $rs$ )
Undecided =
        approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$  Undecided
      by(induction  $m$ ) (simp-all)
    } note Empty-helper=this

show ?case (is ?goal)
proof(cases matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $a$   $p$ )
case True
    thus ?goal
    proof(induction  $m2$ )
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
    case Cons thus ?case
      apply(simp split:action.split action.split-asm)
      using Log-helper Empty-helper by fastforce+
    qed
  next
case False
    thus ?goal
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: Cons.IH)
    apply(induction  $m2$ )
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(simp split:action.split action.split-asm)
    apply fastforce
  done

```

```

      qed
    qed
    thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2$ ))  $s =$ 
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1$ ))  $s$  using Unde-
    cided by simp
  qed

corollary fixedaction-reorder: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s =$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s$ 
proof(cases  $s$ )
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s =$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s$ 
  by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))
  Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ )) Undecided
proof(induction  $m3$ )
  case Nil thus ?case using fixedaction-swap by fastforce
  next
  case (Cons  $m3'1 \ m3$ )
    have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) (( $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ )
    @  $m1 \ @ \ m2$ )) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )
    (( $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ ) @  $m2 \ @ \ m1$ )) Undecided
    apply(simp)
    apply(cases matches  $\gamma \ m3'1 \ a \ p$ )
    apply(simp split: action.split action.split-asm)
    apply (metis append-assoc fixedaction-swap map-append Cons.IH)
    apply(simp)
    by (metis append-assoc fixedaction-swap map-append Cons.IH)
    hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) (( $m1 \ @ \ m2$ ) @
     $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ )) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )
    (( $m2 \ @ \ m1$ ) @  $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ )) Undecided
    apply(subst fixedaction-swap)
    apply(subst(2) fixedaction-swap)
    by simp
  thus ?case
    apply(subst append-assoc[symmetric])
    apply(subst append-assoc[symmetric])
    by simp
  qed
thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))
 $s =$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s$ 
using Undecided by simp
qed

```

If the actions are equal, the *set* (position and replication independent) of

the match expressions can be considered.

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-fixaction-matchseteq: set m1 = set m2 ==>
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) s
proof(cases s)
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) s
  by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
  assume m1m2-seteq: set m1 = set m2
  hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) Undecided =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) Undecided
  proof(induction m1 arbitrary: m2)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons m m1)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof (cases m  $\in$  set m1)
  case True
    from True have set m1 = set (m # m1) by auto
    from Cons.IH[OF  $\langle$ set m1 = set (m # m1) $\rangle$ ] have approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m # m1)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1)) Undecided ..
    thus ?goal by (metis Cons.IH Cons.premis  $\langle$ set m1 = set (m # m1) $\rangle$ )
  next
  case False
    from False have m  $\notin$  set m1 .
    show ?goal
    proof (cases m  $\notin$  set m2)
    case True
      from True  $\langle$ m  $\notin$  set m1 $\rangle$  Cons.premis have set m1 = set m2 by auto
      from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?goal by (metis Cons.IH Cons.premis  $\langle$ set
m1 = set m2 $\rangle$ )
    next
    case False
      hence m  $\in$  set m2 by simp

      have repl-filter-simp: (replicate (length [x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x = m]) m) = [x $\leftarrow$ m2 .
x = m]
      by (metis (lifting, full-types) filter-set member-filter replicate-length-same)

      from Cons.premis  $\langle$ m  $\notin$  set m1 $\rangle$  have set m1 = set (filter ( $\lambda x$ . x $\neq$ m)
m2) by auto
      from Cons.IH[OF this] have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ .
Rule m a) m1) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
[x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x  $\neq$  m]) Undecided .
      from this have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m
a) (m#m1)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)

```

```

(m#[x←m2 . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  by fast
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a)
([x←m2 . x = m]@[x←m2 . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  apply(simp only: list.map)
  thm approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate[where n=length [x←m2
. x = m]]
  apply(subst approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate[where n=length
[x←m2 . x = m]])
  apply (metis (full-types) False filter-empty-conv neq0-conv repl-filter-simp
replicate-0)
  by (metis (lifting, no-types) map-append map-replicate repl-filter-simp)
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) m2)
Undecided
  proof(induction m2)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case(Cons m2'1 m2')
  have approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x
= m] @ Rule m2'1 a # map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m]) Undecided =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) ([x←m2' . x
= m] @ [m2'1] @ [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided by fastforce
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a)
([m2'1] @ [x←m2' . x = m] @ [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  using fixedaction-reorder by fast
  finally have XX: approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m
a) [x←m2' . x = m] @ Rule m2'1 a # map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m])
Undecided =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (Rule m2'1 a # (map (λm. Rule m
a) [x←m2' . x = m] @ map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  by fastforce
  from Cons show ?case
  apply(case-tac m2'1 = m)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  apply fast
  apply(simp del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
  apply(simp only: XX)
  apply(case-tac matches γ m2'1 a p)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  apply(fast)
  apply(simp)
  done
qed
finally show ?goal .
qed
qed
qed

```

thus *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ m1$) s = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ m2$) s **using** *Undecided* $m1m2\text{-seteq}$ **by** *simp*
qed

15.1 *match-list*

Reducing the firewall semantics to short-circuit matching evaluation

fun *match-list* :: ('a, 'packet) *match-tac* \Rightarrow 'a *match-expr list* \Rightarrow *action* \Rightarrow 'packet
 \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
match-list γ [] $a \ p$ = *False* |
match-list γ ($m\#ms$) $a \ p$ = (if *matches* $\gamma \ m \ a \ p$ then *True* else *match-list* $\gamma \ ms$
 $a \ p$)

lemma *match-list-matches*: *match-list* $\gamma \ ms \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\exists m \in \text{set } ms. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p)$
by(*induction ms, simp-all*)

lemma *match-list-True*: *match-list* $\gamma \ ms \ a \ p \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p$
($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms$) *Undecided* = (case a of *Accept* \Rightarrow *Decision FinalAllow*
| *Drop* \Rightarrow *Decision FinalDeny*
| *Reject* \Rightarrow *Decision FinalDeny*
| *Log* \Rightarrow *Undecided*
| *Empty* \Rightarrow *Undecided*
(*unhandled cases*)
)
apply(*induction ms*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp split: split-if-asm action.split*)
apply(*simp add: fixedaction-Log fixedaction-Empty*)
done
lemma *match-list-False*: $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma$
 p ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms$) *Undecided* = *Undecided*
apply(*induction ms*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp split: split-if-asm action.split*)
done

The key idea behind *match-list*: Reducing semantics to match list

lemma *match-list-semantics*: *match-list* $\gamma \ ms1 \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ ms2 \ a \ p$
 \implies
approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \ p$ ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms1$) s = *approximating-bigstep-fun*
 $\gamma \ p$ ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms2$) s
apply(*case-tac s*)
prefer 2
apply(*simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*thin-tac s = ?un*)
apply(*induction ms2*)

```

apply(simp)
apply(induction ms1)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(rename-tac m ms2)
apply(simp del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
apply(simp split: action.split add: match-list-True fixedaction-Log fixedaction-Empty)
apply(simp)
done

```

We can exploit de-morgan to get a disjunction in the match expression!

```

fun match-list-to-match-expr :: 'a match-expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  match-list-to-match-expr [] = MatchNot MatchAny |
  match-list-to-match-expr (m#ms) = MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot m)
    (MatchNot (match-list-to-match-expr ms)))

```

match-list-to-match-expr constructs a unwieldy 'a match-expr from a list. The semantics of the resulting match expression is the disjunction of the elements of the list. This is handy because the normal match expressions do not directly support disjunction. Use this function with care because the resulting match expression is very ugly!

```

lemma match-list-to-match-expr-disjunction: match-list  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches
 $\gamma$  (match-list-to-match-expr ms) a p
  apply(induction ms rule: match-list-to-match-expr.induct)
  apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
  apply(simp)
  apply (metis matches-DeMorgan matches-not-idem)+
done

```

```

lemma match-list-singleton: match-list  $\gamma$  [m] a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p by(simp)

```

```

lemma empty-concat: (concat (map ( $\lambda$ x. []) ms)) = []
apply(induction ms)
by(simp-all)

```

```

lemma match-list-append: match-list  $\gamma$  (m1@m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  m1
a p  $\longrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  m2 a p)
  apply(induction m1)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma match-list-helper1:  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m2 a p  $\implies$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map ( $\lambda$ x.
MatchAnd x m2) m1') a p  $\implies$  False
  apply(induction m1')
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

```

```

lemma match-list-helper2:  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } m) \ m2') \ a \ p$ 
  apply(induction  $m2'$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper3:  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies \text{match-list } \gamma \ m2' \ a \ p \implies$ 
 $\text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } m) \ m2') \ a \ p$ 
  apply(induction  $m2'$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by (simp add: matches-simps)

lemma match-list-helper4:  $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ m2' \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } aa) \ m2') \ a \ p$ 
  apply(induction  $m2'$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper5:  $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ m2' \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } x) \ m2') \ m1')) \ a \ p$ 
  apply(induction  $m2'$ )
  apply(simp add:empty-concat)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  apply(induction  $m1'$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: match-list-append)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper6:  $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ m1' \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } x) \ m2') \ m1')) \ a \ p$ 
  apply(induction  $m2'$ )
  apply(simp add:empty-concat)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  apply(induction  $m1'$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: match-list-append split: split-if-asm)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemmas match-list-helper = match-list-helper1 match-list-helper2 match-list-helper3
match-list-helper4 match-list-helper5 match-list-helper6
hide-fact match-list-helper1 match-list-helper2 match-list-helper3 match-list-helper4
match-list-helper5 match-list-helper6

lemma match-list-map-And1:  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m1 \ a \ p = \text{match-list } \gamma \ m1' \ a \ p \implies$ 
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{MatchAnd } x \ m2) \ m1') \ a \ p$ 
  apply(induction  $m1'$ )
  apply(auto dest: matches-dest)[1]
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply safe

```

```

apply(simp-all add: matches-simps)
apply(auto dest: match-list-helper(1))[1]
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma matches-list-And-concat: matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p = match-list  $\gamma$  m1' a p  $\implies$ 
match-list  $\gamma$  m2 a p = match-list  $\gamma$  m2' a p  $\implies$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  [MatchAnd x y. x
<- m1', y <- m2'] a p
apply(induction m1')
apply(auto dest: matches-dest)[1]
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: match-list-append)
apply(subgoal-tac  $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map (MatchAnd aa) m2') a p)
apply(simp)
apply safe
apply(simp-all add: matches-simps match-list-append match-list-helper)
done

lemma fixedaction-wf-ruleset: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) ms)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$ 
match-list  $\gamma$  ms a p  $\vee$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  chain. a = Call chain)  $\wedge$  a  $\neq$  Return  $\wedge$  a  $\neq$  Unknown
proof -
have helper:  $\bigwedge a b c. a \longleftrightarrow c \implies (a \longrightarrow b) = (c \longrightarrow b)$  by fast
show ?thesis
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(rule helper)
apply(induction ms)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done
qed

lemma wf-ruleset-singleton: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [Rule m a]  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\vee$ 
 $\neg$  ( $\exists$  chain. a = Call chain)  $\wedge$  a  $\neq$  Return  $\wedge$  a  $\neq$  Unknown
by(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)

```

16 Normalized (DNF) matches

simplify a match expression. The output is a list of match expressions, the semantics is \vee of the list elements.

```

fun normalize-match :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr list where
  normalize-match (MatchAny) = [MatchAny] |
  normalize-match (Match m) = [Match m] |
  normalize-match (MatchAnd m1 m2) = [MatchAnd x y. x <- normalize-match
m1, y <- normalize-match m2] |
  normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) = normalize-match (MatchNot
m1) @ normalize-match (MatchNot m2) |

```



```

normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = normalize-match m |
normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchAny)) = [] |
normalize-match (MatchNot (Match m)) = [MatchNot (Match m)]

lemma match-list-normalize-match: match-list  $\gamma$  [m] a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  (normalize-match
m) a p
proof(induction m rule:normalize-match.induct)
case 1 thus ?case by(simp add: match-list-singleton)
next
case 2 thus ?case by(simp add: match-list-singleton)
next
case (3 m1 m2) thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p)
  apply(rule matches-list-And-concat)
  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac (normalize-match m1))
  apply simp
  apply (auto)[1]
  apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches match-list-helper)
  done
next
case 4 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  apply(simp add: match-list-append)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-DeMorgan)
  done
next
case 5 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  apply (metis matches-not-idem)
  done
next
case 6 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3))
next
case 7 thus ?case by(simp add: match-list-singleton)
qed

thm match-list-normalize-match[simplified match-list-singleton]

theorem normalize-match-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule
m a) (normalize-match m)) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p [Rule m a] s
apply(rule match-list-semantics[of - - - [m], simplified])
using match-list-normalize-match by fastforce

```

```

lemma normalize-match-empty: normalize-match m = []  $\implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$ 
  proof(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
    case 3 thus ?case by (simp) (metis ex-in-conv matches-simp2 matches-simp22 set-empty)
  next
    case 4 thus ?case using match-list-normalize-match by (metis match-list.simps)
  next
    case 5 thus ?case using matches-not-idem by fastforce
  next
    case 6 thus ?case by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3) matches-def matches-tuple)
  qed(simp-all)

```

```

lemma matches-to-match-list-normalize: matches  $\gamma$  m a p = match-list  $\gamma$  (normalize-match m) a p
  using match-list-normalize-match[simplified match-list-singleton] .

```

```

lemma wf-ruleset-normalize-match: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [(Rule m a)]  $\implies \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ } a) \text{ } (\text{normalize-match } m))$ 
proof(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case by simp
next
  case 3 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset )
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
next
  case 4 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-append)
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset)
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(safe)
    apply(simp-all add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    apply(simp-all add: match-list-append)
    done
next
  case 5 thus ?case
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
next
  case 6 thus ?case by(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
next
  case 7 thus ?case by(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-append)

```

qed

```

lemma normalize-match-wf-ruleset: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (normalize-match
m))  $\implies$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [Rule m a]
proof(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
  next
  case 2 thus ?case by simp
  next
  case 3 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset )
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
  next
  case 4 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-append)
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset)
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(safe)
    apply(simp-all add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    apply(simp-all add: match-list-append)
    done
  next
  case 5 thus ?case
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
  next
  case 6 thus ?case unfolding wf-ruleset-singleton using bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3)
by metis
  next
  case 7 thus ?case by(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-append)
qed

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-normalize-match: good-ruleset [(Rule m a)]  $\implies$  good-ruleset
(map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (normalize-match m))
by(simp add: good-ruleset-def)

```

17 Normalizing rules instead of only match expressions

```

fun normalize-rules :: ('a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr list)  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a
rule list where
  normalize-rules - [] = [] |
  normalize-rules f ((Rule m a)#rs) = (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (f m))@(normalize-rules
f rs)

```

lemma *normalize-rules-singleton*: *normalize-rules f [Rule m a] = map (λm. Rule m a) (f m)* **by** (*simp*)

lemma *normalize-rules-fst*: *(normalize-rules f (r # rs)) = (normalize-rules f [r]) @ (normalize-rules f rs)*
by (*cases r*) (*simp*)

lemma *good-ruleset-normalize-rules*: *good-ruleset rs ⇒ good-ruleset (normalize-rules f rs)*
proof (*induction rs*)
case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*simp*)
next
case (*Cons r rs*)
from *Cons* **have** *IH*: *good-ruleset (normalize-rules f rs)* **using** *good-ruleset-tail*
by *blast*
from *Cons.prems* **have** *good-ruleset [r]* **using** *good-ruleset-fst* **by** *fast*
hence *good-ruleset (normalize-rules f [r])* **by** (*cases r*) (*simp add: good-ruleset-alt*)
with *IH good-ruleset-append* **have** *good-ruleset (normalize-rules f [r] @ normalize-rules f rs)* **by** *blast*
thus ?*case* **using** *normalize-rules-fst* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *simple-ruleset-normalize-rules*: *simple-ruleset rs ⇒ simple-ruleset (normalize-rules f rs)*
proof (*induction rs*)
case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*simp*)
next
case (*Cons r rs*)
from *Cons* **have** *IH*: *simple-ruleset (normalize-rules f rs)* **using** *simple-ruleset-tail*
by *blast*
from *Cons.prems* **have** *simple-ruleset [r]* **using** *simple-ruleset-append* **by** *fastforce*
hence *simple-ruleset (normalize-rules f [r])* **by** (*cases r*) (*simp add: simple-ruleset-def*)
with *IH simple-ruleset-append* **have** *simple-ruleset (normalize-rules f [r] @ normalize-rules f rs)* **by** *blast*
thus ?*case* **using** *normalize-rules-fst* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *normalize-rules-match-list-semantics*:
assumes $\forall m a. \text{match-list } \gamma (f m) a p = \text{matches } \gamma m a p$ **and** *simple-ruleset rs*
shows *approximating-bigstep-fun* $\gamma p (normalize-rules f rs) s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma p rs s$
proof –
{ **fix** *m a s*
from *assms(1)* **have** *match-list* $\gamma (f m) a p \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma [m] a p$ **by**

```

simp
  with match-list-antics[of  $\gamma$   $f$   $m$   $a$   $p$   $[m]$ ] have
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $f \ m$ ))  $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $\gamma$   $p$   $[\text{Rule } m \ a] \ s$  by simp
  } note  $a=this$  {
    fix  $r \ s$ 
    from  $ar[of \ \text{get-action } r \ \text{get-match } r]$  have
      (approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (normalize-rules  $f \ [r]$ )  $s$ ) = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$   $[r] \ s$ 
    by (cases  $r$ ) (simp)
  } note  $a=this$ 

note  $a=this$ 

from  $assms(2)$  show ?thesis
proof(induction  $rs$  arbitrary:  $s$ )
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp)
next
  case (Cons  $r \ rs$ )
  from  $Cons.prem$ s have simple-ruleset  $[r]$  by (simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  with simple-imp-good-ruleset good-imp-wf-ruleset have  $wf-r$ : wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$ 
 $[r]$  by fast

    from  $\langle \text{simple-ruleset } [r] \rangle$  simple-imp-good-ruleset good-imp-wf-ruleset have
 $wf-r$ :
      wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$   $[r]$  by fast
    from simple-ruleset-normalize-rules[OF  $\langle \text{simple-ruleset } [r] \rangle$ ] have simple-ruleset
(normalize-rules  $f \ [r]$ )
      by (simp)
    with simple-imp-good-ruleset good-imp-wf-ruleset have  $wf-nr$ : wf-ruleset  $\gamma$ 
 $p$  (normalize-rules  $f \ [r]$ ) by fast

    from  $Cons$  have  $IH$ :  $\bigwedge s. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{normalize-rules } f$ 
 $rs) \ s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s$ 
      using simple-ruleset-tail by force

    show ?case
      apply (subst normalize-rules-fst)
      apply (simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf[OF  $wf-nr$ ])
      apply (subst approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf[OF  $wf-r$ , simplified])
      apply (simp add:  $a$ )
      apply (simp add:  $IH$ )
      done
qed
qed

```

lemma *normalize-rules-match-list-antics-2*:
assumes $\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{match-list } \gamma \ (f \ (\text{get-match } r)) \ (\text{get-action } r) \ p = \text{matches}$

```

γ (get-match r) (get-action r) p and simple-ruleset rs
shows approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (normalize-rules f rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
γ p rs s
proof –
{ fix r s
  assume r ∈ set rs
  with assms(1) have match-list γ (f (get-match r)) (get-action r) p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
match-list γ [(get-match r)] (get-action r) p by simp
  with match-list-antics[of γ f (get-match r) (get-action r) p [(get-match
r)]] have
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m (get-action r)) (f (get-match
r))) s =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p [Rule (get-match r) (get-action r)] s by simp
  hence (approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (normalize-rules f [r]) s) = approximating-bigstep-fun
γ p [r] s
    by(cases r) (simp)
}

with assms show ?thesis
proof(induction rs arbitrary: s)
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp)
next
  case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons.premis have simple-ruleset [r] by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  with simple-imp-good-ruleset good-imp-wf-ruleset have wf-r: wf-ruleset γ p
[r] by fast

    from ⟨simple-ruleset [r]⟩ simple-imp-good-ruleset good-imp-wf-ruleset have
wf-r:
      wf-ruleset γ p [r] by fast
    from simple-ruleset-normalize-rules[OF ⟨simple-ruleset [r]⟩] have simple-ruleset
(normalize-rules f [r])
      by(simp)
    with simple-imp-good-ruleset good-imp-wf-ruleset have wf-nr: wf-ruleset γ
p (normalize-rules f [r]) by fast

    from Cons have IH:  $\bigwedge s. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{normalize-rules } f \text{ } rs) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$ 
      using simple-ruleset-tail by force

    from Cons have a:  $\bigwedge s. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{normalize-rules } f \text{ } [r]) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } [r] \text{ } s$  by simp

show ?case
  apply(subst normalize-rules-fst)
  apply(simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf[OF wf-nr])
  apply(subst approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf[OF wf-r, simplified])
  apply(simp add: a)
  apply(simp add: IH)

```

done
qed
qed

applying a function (with a prerequisite Q) to all rules

```

lemma normalize-rules-property:
assumes  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } rs. P m$ 
and  $\forall m. P m \longrightarrow (\forall m' \in \text{set } (f m). Q m')$ 
shows  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f rs). Q m$ 
proof
  fix  $m$  assume  $a: m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f rs)$ 
  from  $a$  assms show  $Q m$ 
  proof(induction  $rs$ )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons  $r rs$ )
  {
    assume  $m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f rs)$ 
    from Cons.IH this have  $Q m$  using Cons.prems(2) Cons.prems(3) by
fastforce
  } note 1=this
  {
    assume  $m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f [r])$ 
    hence  $a: m \in \text{set } (f (\text{get-match } r))$ 
    apply(cases  $r$ )
    by(auto)
    with Cons.prems(2) Cons.prems(3) have  $\forall m' \in \text{set } (f (\text{get-match } r)). Q$ 
m' by auto
    with  $a$  have  $Q m$  by blast
  } note 2=this
  from Cons.prems(1) have  $m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f [r]) \vee m$ 
 $\in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f rs)$ 
  apply(subst(asm) normalize-rules-fst) by auto
  with 1 2 show ?case
  apply(elim disjE)
  by(simp-all)
qed
qed

```

If a function f preserves some property of the match expressions, then this property is preserved when applying *normalize-rules*

```

lemma normalize-rules-preserves: assumes  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } rs. P m$ 
and  $\forall m. P m \longrightarrow (\forall m' \in \text{set } (f m). P m')$ 
shows  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{normalize-rules } f rs). P m$ 
using normalize-rules-property[OF assms(1) assms(2)] .

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-preserves':  $\forall m \in \text{set } rs. P (\text{get-match } m) \implies \forall m. P m$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\forall m' \in \text{set } (f m). P m') \implies \forall m \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-rules } f rs). P (\text{get-match } m)$ 

```

```

m)
  using normalize-rules-preserves[simplified] by blast

```

```

fun normalize-rules-dnf :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  normalize-rules-dnf [] = [] |
  normalize-rules-dnf ((Rule m a)#rs) = (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (normalize-match
m))@(normalize-rules-dnf rs)

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-dnf-def2: normalize-rules-dnf = normalize-rules normalize-match
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
apply(intro allI)
apply(induct-tac x)
apply(simp-all)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(case-tac r, simp)
done

```

```

lemma wf-ruleset-normalize-rules-dnf: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs  $\Longrightarrow$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf
rs)
proof(induction rs)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons have IH: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf rs) by(auto dest:
wf-rulesetD)
  from Cons.prem have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] by(auto dest: wf-rulesetD)
  hence wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf [r]) using wf-ruleset-normalize-match
by(cases r) simp
  with IH wf-ruleset-append have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf [r] @
normalize-rules-dnf rs) by fast
  thus ?case using normalize-rules-dnf-def2 normalize-rules-fst by metis
qed

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-normalize-rules-dnf: good-ruleset rs  $\Longrightarrow$  good-ruleset (normalize-rules-dnf
rs)
using normalize-rules-dnf-def2 good-ruleset-normalize-rules by metis

```

```

lemma simple-ruleset-normalize-rules-dnf: simple-ruleset rs  $\Longrightarrow$  simple-ruleset (normalize-rules-dnf
rs)
using normalize-rules-dnf-def2 simple-ruleset-normalize-rules by metis

```

```

lemma simple-ruleset rs  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs s
unfolding normalize-rules-dnf-def2
apply(rule normalize-rules-match-list-semantics)

```



```

apply (metis matches-to-match-list-normalize)
by simp

lemma normalize-rules-dnf-correct: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs  $\implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs s
proof(induction rs)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases s)
    case Decision thus ?goal
    by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  next
  case Undecided
    from Cons wf-rulesetD(2) have IH: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf
rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs s by fast
    from Cons.prem1 have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] and wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf
[r])
    by(auto dest: wf-rulesetD simp: wf-ruleset-normalize-rules-dnf)
    with IH Undecided have
      approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf rs) (approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf [r]) Undecided) = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # rs)
      Undecided
    apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
    apply(simp)
    apply(case-tac a)
    apply(simp-all add: normalize-match-correct Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun
wf-ruleset-singleton)
    done
    hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf [r] @ normalize-rules-dnf
rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) s
    using Undecided  $\langle$ wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] $\rangle$   $\langle$ wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules-dnf [r]) $\rangle$ 

    by(simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf)
  thus ?goal using normalize-rules-fst normalize-rules-dnf-def2 by metis
qed
qed

```

```

fun normalized-nnf-match :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  normalized-nnf-match MatchAny = True |
  normalized-nnf-match (Match -) = True |
  normalized-nnf-match (MatchNot (Match -)) = True |
  normalized-nnf-match (MatchAnd m1 m2) = ((normalized-nnf-match m1)  $\wedge$ 
(normalized-nnf-match m2)) |
  normalized-nnf-match - = False

```

Essentially, *normalized-nnf-match* checks for a negation normal form: Only AND is at toplevel, negation only occurs in front of literals. Since *'a match-expr* does not support OR, the result is in conjunction normal form. Applying *normalize-match*, the result is a list. Essentially, this is the disjunctive normal form.

lemma *normalized-nnf-match-normalize-match*: $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-match } m).$
normalized-nnf-match m'

proof(*induction* m *arbitrary*: *rule*: *normalize-match.induct*)

case $_4$ **thus** *?case* **by** *fastforce*

qed (*simp-all*)

Example

lemma *normalize-match* (*MatchNot* (*MatchAnd* (*Match ip-src*) (*Match tcp*))) =
 [*MatchNot* (*Match ip-src*), *MatchNot* (*Match tcp*)] **by** *simp*

lemma *optimize-matches-normalized-nnf-match*: $\llbracket \forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{normalized-nnf-match}$
 (*get-match* r); $\forall m. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m \longrightarrow \text{normalized-nnf-match } (f m) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall r \in \text{set } (\text{optimize-matches } f rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match } (\text{get-match } r)$

proof(*induction* rs)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **unfolding** *optimize-matches-def* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* r rs)

from *Cons.IH* *Cons.prems* **have** *IH*: $\forall r \in \text{set } (\text{optimize-matches } f rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match}$
 (*get-match* r) **by** *simp*

from *Cons.prems* **have** $\forall r \in \text{set } (\text{optimize-matches } f [r]). \text{normalized-nnf-match}$
 (*get-match* r)

by(*simp add: optimize-matches-def*)

with *IH* **show** *?case* **by**(*simp add: optimize-matches-def*)

qed

lemma *normalize-rules-dnf-normalized-nnf-match*: $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-rules-dnf}$
 $rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match } (\text{get-match } x)$

apply(*induction* rs)

apply(*simp*)

apply(*rename-tac* r rs)

apply(*case-tac* r)

apply(*simp*)

using *normalized-nnf-match-normalize-match* **by** *fastforce*

end

theory *Negation-Type-Matching*

imports *Negation-Type Matching-Ternary ../Datatype-Selectors Fixed-Action*

begin

18 Negation Type Matching

Transform a '*a* negation-type list to a '*a* match-expr via conjunction.

```
fun alist-and :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  alist-and [] = MatchAny |
  alist-and ((Pos e)#es) = MatchAnd (Match e) (alist-and es) |
  alist-and ((Neg e)#es) = MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match e)) (alist-and es)
```

```
fun negation-type-to-match-expr :: 'a negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  negation-type-to-match-expr (Pos e) = (Match e) |
  negation-type-to-match-expr (Neg e) = (MatchNot (Match e))
```

```
lemma alist-and-negation-type-to-match-expr: alist-and (n#es) = MatchAnd (negation-type-to-match-expr n) (alist-and es)
```

```
by(cases n, simp-all)
```

```
fun negation-type-to-match-expr-f :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  'b match-expr
where
```

```
  negation-type-to-match-expr-f f (Pos a) = Match (f a) |
  negation-type-to-match-expr-f f (Neg a) = MatchNot (Match (f a))
```

```
lemma alist-and-append: matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (l1 @ l2)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$ 
(MatchAnd (alist-and l1) (alist-and l2)) a p
```

```
apply(induction l1)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(rename-tac l l1)
apply(case-tac l)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done
```

```
fun to-negation-type-nnf :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type list where
```

```
  to-negation-type-nnf MatchAny = [] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (Match a) = [Pos a] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (MatchNot (Match a)) = [Neg a] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (MatchAnd a b) = (to-negation-type-nnf a) @ (to-negation-type-nnf b)
```

```
lemma normalized-nnf-match m  $\implies$  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (to-negation-type-nnf m)) a p = matches  $\gamma$  m a p
```

```
apply(induction m rule: to-negation-type-nnf.induct)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches alist-and-append)
done
```

Isolating the matching semantics

```

fun nt-match-list :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  'packet  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type
list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  nt-match-list - - - [] = True |
  nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p ((Pos x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (Match x) a p  $\wedge$  nt-match-list
 $\gamma$  a p xs |
  nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p ((Neg x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (Match x)) a p  $\wedge$ 
nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p xs

lemma nt-match-list-matches: nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p l  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and l) a
p
apply(induction l rule: alist-and.induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac [|]  $\gamma$ )
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done

lemma nt-match-list-simp: nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p ms  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ms). \text{matches } \gamma (\text{Match } m) a p$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ms).$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (Match m)) a p)
apply(induction  $\gamma$  a p ms rule: nt-match-list.induct)
apply(simp-all)
by fastforce

lemma matches-alist-and: matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and l) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } l).$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (Match m) a p)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } l). \text{matches } \gamma$  (MatchNot (Match
  m)) a p)
by (metis (poly-guards-query) nt-match-list-matches nt-match-list-simp)

end
theory Negation-Type-DNF
imports Fixed-Action Negation-Type-Matching ../Datatype-Selectors
begin

```

19 Negation Type DNF – Draft

```

type-synonym 'a dnf = (('a negation-type) list) list

fun cnf-to-bool :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  cnf-to-bool - []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  cnf-to-bool f (Pos a#as)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (f a)  $\wedge$  cnf-to-bool f as |
  cnf-to-bool f (Neg a#as)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  f a)  $\wedge$  cnf-to-bool f as

fun dnf-to-bool :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a dnf  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  dnf-to-bool - []  $\longleftrightarrow$  False |
  dnf-to-bool f (as#ass)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (cnf-to-bool f as)  $\vee$  (dnf-to-bool f ass)

lemma cnf-to-bool-append: cnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  (a1 @ a2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  cnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  a1  $\wedge$  cnf-to-bool

```

```

 $\gamma$  a2
  by(induction  $\gamma$  a1 rule: cnf-to-bool.induct) (simp-all)
lemma dnf-to-bool-append: dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  (a1 @ a2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  a1  $\vee$  dnf-to-bool
 $\gamma$  a2
  by(induction a1) (simp-all)

```

```

definition dnf-and :: 'a dnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a dnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a dnf where
  dnf-and cnf1 cnf2 = [andlist1 @ andlist2. andlist1 <- cnf1, andlist2 <- cnf2]

```

```

value dnf-and ([[a,b], [c,d]]) ([[v,w], [x,y]])

```

```

lemma dnf-and-correct: dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  (dnf-and d1 d2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  d1  $\wedge$ 
dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  d2
  apply(simp add: dnf-and-def)
  apply(induction d1)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(induction d2)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(simp add: cnf-to-bool-append dnf-to-bool-append)
  apply(case-tac cnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  a)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(case-tac [!] cnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  aa)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (smt2 concat.simps(1) dnf-to-bool.simps(1) list.simps(8))
  apply (smt2 concat.simps(1) dnf-to-bool.simps(1) list.simps(8))
  by (smt2 concat.simps(1) dnf-to-bool.simps(1) list.simps(8))

```

inverting a DNF

Example

```

lemma ( $\neg$  ((a1  $\wedge$  a2)  $\vee$  b  $\vee$  c)) = (( $\neg$ a1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b  $\wedge$   $\neg$  c)  $\vee$  ( $\neg$ a2  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b  $\wedge$   $\neg$  c))
by blast
lemma ( $\neg$  ((a1  $\wedge$  a2)  $\vee$  (b1  $\wedge$  b2)  $\vee$  c)) = (( $\neg$ a1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  c)  $\vee$  ( $\neg$ a2  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b1
 $\wedge$   $\neg$  c)  $\vee$  ( $\neg$ a1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b2  $\wedge$   $\neg$  c)  $\vee$  ( $\neg$ a2  $\wedge$   $\neg$  b2  $\wedge$   $\neg$  c)) by blast

```

```

fun listprepend :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list where
  listprepend [] ns = [] |
  listprepend (a#as) ns = (map ( $\lambda$ xs. a#xs) ns) @ (listprepend as ns)

```

```

lemma listprepend [a,b] [as, bs] = [a#as, a#bs, b#as, b#bs] by simp

```

```

lemma map-a-and: dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  (map (op # a) ds)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  [[a]]  $\wedge$ 
dnf-to-bool  $\gamma$  ds
  apply(induction ds)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(case-tac a)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply blast+
done

```

this is how *listprepend* works:

lemma $\neg \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (listprepend [] ds) by (simp)}$

lemma $\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (listprepend [a] ds) } \longleftrightarrow \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [[a]] } \wedge \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ ds}$

by (simp add: map-a-and)

lemma $\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (listprepend [a, b] ds) } \longleftrightarrow (\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [[a]] } \wedge \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ ds}) \vee (\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [[b]] } \wedge \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ ds})$

by (simp add: map-a-and dnf-to-bool-append)

We use \exists to model the big \vee operation

lemma *listprepend-correct*: $\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (listprepend as ds) } \longleftrightarrow (\exists a \in \text{set as. } \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [[a]] } \wedge \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ ds})$

apply (induction as)

apply (simp)

apply (simp)

apply (rename-tac a as)

apply (simp add: map-a-and cnf-to-bool-append dnf-to-bool-append)

by blast

lemma *listprepend-correct'*: $\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (listprepend as ds) } \longleftrightarrow (\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (map } (\lambda a. [a]) \text{ as) } \wedge \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ ds})$

apply (induction as)

apply (simp)

apply (simp)

apply (rename-tac a as)

apply (simp add: map-a-and cnf-to-bool-append dnf-to-bool-append)

by blast

lemma *cnf-invert-singelton*: $\text{cnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [invert a] } \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{cnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [a] by (cases a, simp-all)}$

lemma *cnf-singleton-false*: $(\exists a' \in \text{set as. } \neg \text{cnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ [a']}) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{cnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ as}$

by (induction γ as rule: cnf-to-bool.induct) (simp-all)

fun *dnf-not* :: 'a dnf \Rightarrow 'a dnf **where**

dnf-not [] = [[]] |

dnf-not (ns#nss) = listprepend (map invert ns) (dnf-not nss)

lemma *dnf-not-correct*: $\text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ (dnf-not d) } \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{dnf-to-bool } \gamma \text{ d}$

apply (induction d)

apply (simp-all)

apply (simp add: listprepend-correct)

apply (simp add: cnf-invert-singelton cnf-singleton-false)

done

end

theory *Packet-Set-Impl*

imports *Fixed-Action Negation-Type-Matching ../Datatype-Selectors*

begin

20 Util: listprod

definition *listprod* :: *nat list* \Rightarrow *nat* **where** *listprod as* \equiv *foldr* (*op* *) *as* 1

lemma *listprod-append*[*simp*]: *listprod* (*as* @ *bs*) = *listprod as* * *listprod bs*
apply(*induction as arbitrary: bs*)

apply(*simp-all add: listprod-def*)

done

lemma *listprod-simps* [*simp*]:

listprod [] = 1

listprod (*x* # *xs*) = *x* * *listprod xs*

by (*simp-all add: listprod-def*)

lemma *distinct as* \implies *listprod as* = \prod (*set as*)

by(*induction as*) *simp-all*

21 Executable Packet Set Representation

Recall: *alist-and* transforms '*a negation-type list* \Rightarrow '*a match-expr* and uses conjunction as connective.

Symbolic (executable) representation. inner is \wedge , outer is \vee

datatype-new '*a packet-set* = *PacketSet* (*packet-set-repr*: ((*'a negation-type* \times *action negation-type*) *list*) *list*)

Essentially, the '*a list list* structure represents a DNF. See *Negation_Type_DNF.thy* for a pure Boolean version (without matching).

definition *to-packet-set* :: *action* \Rightarrow '*a match-expr* \Rightarrow '*a packet-set* **where**
to-packet-set a m = *PacketSet* (*map* (*map* ($\lambda m'. (m', Pos a)$) *o to-negation-type-nnf*)
(*normalize-match m*))

fun *get-action* :: *action negation-type* \Rightarrow *action* **where**

get-action (*Pos a*) = *a* |

get-action (*Neg a*) = *a*

fun *get-action-sign* :: *action negation-type* \Rightarrow (*bool* \Rightarrow *bool*) **where**

get-action-sign (*Pos -*) = *id* |

get-action-sign (*Neg -*) = ($\lambda m. \neg m$)

We collect all entries of the outer list. For the inner list, we request that a packet matches all the entries. A negated action means that the expression must not match. Recall: *matches* γ (*MatchNot m*) *a p* \neq (\neg *matches* γ *m a p*), due to *TernaryUnknown*

definition *packet-set-to-set* :: ('*a*, '*packet*) *match-tac* \Rightarrow '*a packet-set* \Rightarrow '*packet set* **where**

$packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ ps \equiv \bigcup\ ms \in set\ (packet\text{-}set\text{-}repr\ ps). \{p. \forall\ (m, a) \in set\ ms. get\text{-}action\text{-}sign\ a\ (matches\ \gamma\ (negation\text{-}type\text{-}to\text{-}match\text{-}expr\ m)\ (get\text{-}action\ a)\ p)\}$

lemma *packet-set-to-set-alt*: $packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ ps = (\bigcup\ ms \in set\ (packet\text{-}set\text{-}repr\ ps)). \{p. \forall\ m\ a. (m, a) \in set\ ms \longrightarrow get\text{-}action\text{-}sign\ a\ (matches\ \gamma\ (negation\text{-}type\text{-}to\text{-}match\text{-}expr\ m)\ (get\text{-}action\ a)\ p)\}$
unfolding *packet-set-to-set-def*
by *fast*

We really have a disjunctive normal form

lemma *packet-set-to-set-alt2*: $packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ ps = (\bigcup\ ms \in set\ (packet\text{-}set\text{-}repr\ ps)). (\bigcap\ (m, a) \in set\ ms. \{p. get\text{-}action\text{-}sign\ a\ (matches\ \gamma\ (negation\text{-}type\text{-}to\text{-}match\text{-}expr\ m)\ (get\text{-}action\ a)\ p)\})$
unfolding *packet-set-to-set-alt*
by *blast*

lemma *to-packet-set-correct*: $p \in packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ (to\text{-}packet\text{-}set\ a\ m) \longleftrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p$
apply (*simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-to-set-def*)
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*clarify*)
apply (*induction m rule: normalize-match.induct*)
apply (*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)
apply *force*
apply (*metis matches-DeMorgan*)
apply (*induction m rule: normalize-match.induct*)
apply (*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)
apply (*metis Un-iff*)
apply (*metis Un-iff matches-DeMorgan*)
done

lemma *to-packet-set-set*: $packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ (to\text{-}packet\text{-}set\ a\ m) = \{p. matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p\}$
using *to-packet-set-correct* **by** *fast*

definition *packet-set-UNIV* :: 'a *packet-set* **where**
 $packet\text{-}set\text{-}UNIV \equiv PacketSet\ []$
lemma *packet-set-UNIV*: $packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ packet\text{-}set\text{-}UNIV = UNIV$
by (*simp add: packet-set-UNIV-def packet-set-to-set-def*)

definition *packet-set-Empty* :: 'a *packet-set* **where**
 $packet\text{-}set\text{-}Empty \equiv PacketSet\ []$
lemma *packet-set-Empty*: $packet\text{-}set\text{-}to\text{-}set\ \gamma\ packet\text{-}set\text{-}Empty = \{\}$
by (*simp add: packet-set-Empty-def packet-set-to-set-def*)

If the matching agrees for two actions, then the packet sets are also equal


```

lemma  $\forall p. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a1 \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a2 \ p \implies \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma$ 
 $(\text{to-packet-set } a1 \ m) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{to-packet-set } a2 \ m)$ 
apply (subst(asm) to-packet-set-correct[symmetric]) +
apply safe
apply simp-all
done

```

21.0.1 Basic Set Operations

```

 $\cap$ 

fun packet-set-intersect :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-intersect (PacketSet olist1) (PacketSet olist2) = PacketSet [andlist1
@ andlist2. andlist1 <- olist1, andlist2 <- olist2]

lemma packet-set-intersect (PacketSet [[a,b], [c,d]]) (PacketSet [[v,w], [x,y]])
= PacketSet [[a, b, v, w], [a, b, x, y], [c, d, v, w], [c, d, x, y]] by simp

declare packet-set-intersect.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-intersect: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-intersect
P1 P2) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P1  $\cap$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P2
unfolding packet-set-to-set-def
apply (cases P1)
apply (cases P2)
apply (simp)
apply (simp add: packet-set-intersect.simps)
apply blast
done

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-intersect
(to-packet-set a m1) (to-packet-set a m2)) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (to-packet-set a
(MatchAnd m1 m2))
apply (simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-intersect.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)

apply safe
apply simp-all
apply blast+
done

```

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-correct':  $p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{packet-set-intersect}$ 
 $(\text{to-packet-set } a \ m1) \ (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m2)) \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a$ 
 $p$ 
apply (simp add: to-packet-set-correct[symmetric])
using packet-set-intersect-correct by fast

```

The length of the result is the product of the input lengths

```

lemma packet-set-intersect-length: length (packet-set-repr (packet-set-intersect

```

```

(PacketSet ass) (PacketSet bss))) = length ass * length bss
  by(induction ass) (simp-all add: packet-set-intersect.simps)

∪

fun packet-set-union :: 'a packet-set ⇒ 'a packet-set ⇒ 'a packet-set where
  packet-set-union (PacketSet olist1) (PacketSet olist2) = PacketSet (olist1 @
olist2)
declare packet-set-union.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-union-correct: packet-set-to-set γ (packet-set-union P1 P2)
= packet-set-to-set γ P1 ∪ packet-set-to-set γ P2
unfolding packet-set-to-set-def
apply(cases P1)
apply(cases P2)
apply(simp add: packet-set-union.simps)
done

lemma packet-set-append:
  packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (p1 @ p2)) = packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet
p1) ∪ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet p2)
by(simp add: packet-set-to-set-def)

lemma packet-set-cons: packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (a # p3)) = packet-set-to-set
γ (PacketSet [a]) ∪ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet p3)
by(simp add: packet-set-to-set-def)

—

fun listprepend :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list list ⇒ 'a list list where
  listprepend [] ns = [] |
  listprepend (a#as) ns = (map (λxs. a#xs) ns) @ (listprepend as ns)

The returned result of listprepend can get long.

lemma listprepend-length: length (listprepend as bss) = length as * length bss
  by(induction as) (simp-all)

lemma packet-set-map-a-and: packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (map (op # a)
ds)) = packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet [[a]]) ∩ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet ds)
apply(induction ds)
apply(simp-all add: packet-set-to-set-def)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all)
apply blast+
done

lemma listprepend-correct: packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (listprepend as ds)) =
packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (map (λa. [a]) as)) ∩ packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet
ds)
apply(induction as arbitrary: )
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac a as)

```

```

apply(simp add: packet-set-map-a-and packet-set-append)

apply(subst(2) packet-set-cons)
by blast

lemma packet-set-to-set-map-singleton: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (map
( $\lambda a. [a]$ ) as)) = ( $\bigcup a \in \text{set as. packet-set-to-set } \gamma$  (PacketSet [[a]]))
by(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)

fun invertt :: ('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a negation-type  $\times$ 
action negation-type) where
  invertt (n, a) = (n, invert a)

lemma singleton-invertt: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[invertt n]]) = -
packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[n]])
apply(simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-intersect.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(case-tac n, rename-tac m a)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all)
apply safe
done

lemma packet-set-to-set-map-singleton-invertt:
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (map (( $\lambda a. [a]$ )  $\circ$  invertt) d)) = - ( $\bigcap a \in \text{set}$ 
d. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[a]]))
apply(induction d)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(simp add: )
apply(subst(1) packet-set-cons)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-map-singleton singleton-invertt)
done

fun packet-set-not-internal :: ('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list list
 $\Rightarrow$  ('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list list where
  packet-set-not-internal [] = [] |
  packet-set-not-internal (ns#nss) = listprepend (map invertt ns) (packet-set-not-internal
nss)

lemma packet-set-not-internal-length: length (packet-set-not-internal ass) =
listprod ([length n. n <- ass])
by(induction ass) (simp-all add: listprepend-length)

lemma packet-set-not-internal-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (packet-set-not-internal
d)) = - packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet d)
apply(induction d)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)

```

```

apply(rename-tac d ds)
apply(simp add: )
apply(simp add: listprepend-correct)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-map-singleton-invertt)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
by blast

```

```

fun packet-set-not :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-not (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (packet-set-not-internal ps)
declare packet-set-not.simps[simp del]

```

The length of the result of *packet-set-not* is the multiplication over the length of all the inner sets. Warning: gets huge! See *length* (*packet-set-not-internal ?ass*) = *listprod* (*map length ?ass*)

```

lemma packet-set-not-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-not P) =  $\neg$  packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  P
apply(cases P)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: packet-set-not.simps)
apply(simp add: packet-set-not-internal-correct)
done

```

21.0.2 Derived Operations

```

definition packet-set-constrain :: action  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a
packet-set where
  packet-set-constrain a m ns = packet-set-intersect ns (to-packet-set a m)

```

```

theorem packet-set-constrain-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-constrain a
m P) = {p  $\in$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P. matches  $\gamma$  m a p}
unfolding packet-set-constrain-def
unfolding packet-set-intersect-intersect
unfolding to-packet-set-set
by blast

```

Warning: result gets huge

```

definition packet-set-constrain-not :: action  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set
 $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-constrain-not a m ns = packet-set-intersect ns (packet-set-not (to-packet-set
a m))

```

```

theorem packet-set-constrain-not-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-constrain-not
a m P) = {p  $\in$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p}
unfolding packet-set-constrain-not-def
unfolding packet-set-intersect-intersect
unfolding packet-set-not-correct
unfolding to-packet-set-set
by blast

```

21.0.3 Optimizing

```

fun packet-set-opt1 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt1 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (map remdups (remdups ps))
declare packet-set-opt1.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-opt1-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt1 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt packet-set-opt1.simps)

fun packet-set-opt2-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list
 $\Rightarrow$  (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list where
  packet-set-opt2-internal [] = [] |

  packet-set-opt2-internal ([#ps]) = [[]] |

  packet-set-opt2-internal (as#ps) = as#(if length as  $\leq$  5 then packet-set-opt2-internal
((filter ( $\lambda$ ass.  $\neg$  set as  $\subseteq$  set ass) ps)) else packet-set-opt2-internal ps)

lemma packet-set-opt2-internal-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (packet-set-opt2-internal
ps)) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet ps)
  apply(induction ps rule:packet-set-opt2-internal.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: packet-set-UNIV)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(safe)[1]
  apply(simp-all)
  apply blast+

done

export-code packet-set-opt2-internal in SML

fun packet-set-opt2 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt2 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (packet-set-opt2-internal ps)
declare packet-set-opt2.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-opt2-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt2 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-opt2.simps packet-set-opt2-internal-correct)

```

If we sort by length, we will hopefully get better results when applying *packet-set-opt2*.

```

fun packet-set-opt3 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where

```

```

    packet-set-opt3 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (sort-key ( $\lambda p$ . length p) ps)
  declare packet-set-opt3.simps[simp del]
  lemma packet-set-opt3-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt3 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
    by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-opt3.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)

  fun packet-set-opt4-internal-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type)
list)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    packet-set-opt4-internal-internal cs = ( $\forall$  (m, a)  $\in$  set cs. (m, invert a)  $\notin$  set
cs)
  fun packet-set-opt4 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
    packet-set-opt4 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (filter packet-set-opt4-internal-internal
ps)
  declare packet-set-opt4.simps[simp del]
  lemma packet-set-opt4-internal-internal-helper: assumes
     $\forall m a. (m, a) \in \text{set } xb \longrightarrow \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr}$ 
m) (get-action a) xa)
    shows  $\forall (m, a) \in \text{set } xb. (m, \text{invert } a) \notin \text{set } xb$ 
    proof(clarify)
      fix a b
      assume a1: (a, b)  $\in$  set xb and a2: (a, invert b)  $\in$  set xb
      from assms a1 have 1: get-action-sign b (matches  $\gamma$  (negation-type-to-match-expr
a) (get-action b) xa) by simp
      from assms a2 have 2: get-action-sign (invert b) (matches  $\gamma$  (negation-type-to-match-expr
a) (get-action (invert b)) xa) by simp
      from 1 2 show False
      by(cases b) (simp-all)
    qed
  lemma packet-set-opt4-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt4 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
    apply(cases ps, clarify)
    apply(simp add: packet-set-opt4.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)
    apply(rule)
    apply blast
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule-tac x=xb in exI)
    apply(simp)
    using packet-set-opt4-internal-internal-helper by fast

  definition packet-set-opt :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
    packet-set-opt ps = packet-set-opt1 (packet-set-opt2 (packet-set-opt3 (packet-set-opt4
ps)))

  lemma packet-set-opt-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps

```

using *packet-set-opt-def* *packet-set-opt2-correct* *packet-set-opt3-correct* *packet-set-opt4-correct*
packet-set-opt1-correct **by** *metis*

21.1 Conjunction Normal Form Packet Set

datatype-new 'a *packet-set-cnf* = *PacketSetCNF* (*packet-set-repr-cnf*: (('a *negation-type*
 \times *action negation-type*) list) list)

lemma $\neg ((a \wedge b) \vee (c \wedge d)) \longleftrightarrow (\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge (\neg c \vee \neg d)$ **by** *blast*

lemma $\neg ((a \vee b) \wedge (c \vee d)) \longleftrightarrow (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg c \wedge \neg d)$ **by** *blast*

definition *packet-set-cnf-to-set* :: ('a, 'packet) *match-tac* \Rightarrow 'a *packet-set-cnf* \Rightarrow
 'packet *set* **where**

packet-set-cnf-to-set γ *ps* $\equiv (\bigcap ms \in \text{set } (\text{packet-set-repr-cnf } ps).$
 $(\bigcup (m, a) \in \text{set } ms. \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a (\text{matches } \gamma (\text{negation-type-to-match-expr } m) (\text{get-action } a) p)\}))$)

Inverting a 'a *packet-set* and returning 'a *packet-set-cnf* is very efficient!

fun *packet-set-not-to-cnf* :: 'a *packet-set* \Rightarrow 'a *packet-set-cnf* **where**
packet-set-not-to-cnf (*PacketSet* *ps*) = *PacketSetCNF* (map ($\lambda a. \text{map invertt } a$) *ps*)
declare *packet-set-not-to-cnf.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *helper*: (case *invertt* *x* of (*m*, *a*) \Rightarrow {*p*. *get-action-sign* *a* (*matches* γ
 (*negation-type-to-match-expr* *m*) (*Packet-Set-Impl.get-action* *a*) *p*)}) =
 (\neg (case *x* of (*m*, *a*) \Rightarrow {*p*. *get-action-sign* *a* (*matches* γ (*negation-type-to-match-expr*
m) (*Packet-Set-Impl.get-action* *a*) *p*)}))
apply(*case-tac* *x*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac* *b*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply *safe*
done

lemma *packet-set-not-to-cnf-correct*: *packet-set-cnf-to-set* γ (*packet-set-not-to-cnf*
P) = \neg *packet-set-to-set* γ *P*
apply(*cases* *P*)
apply(*simp add: packet-set-not-to-cnf.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-to-set-alt2*)
apply(*subst helper*)
by *simp*

fun *packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf* :: 'a *packet-set-cnf* \Rightarrow 'a *packet-set* **where**
packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf (*PacketSetCNF* *ps*) = *PacketSet* (map ($\lambda a. \text{map invertt } a$) *ps*)
declare *packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf.simps*[*simp del*]
lemma *packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf-correct*: *packet-set-to-set* γ (*packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf*
P) = \neg *packet-set-cnf-to-set* γ *P*
apply(*cases* *P*)
apply(*simp add: packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-to-set-alt2*)

```

apply(subst helper)
by simp

```

Also, intersection is highly efficient in CNF

```

fun packet-set-cnf-intersect :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-intersect (PacketSetCNF ps1) (PacketSetCNF ps2) = Packet-
  SetCNF (ps1 @ ps2)
declare packet-set-cnf-intersect.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-cnf-intersect-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-intersect
P1 P2) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P1  $\cap$  packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P2
apply(case-tac P1)
apply(case-tac P2)
apply(simp add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-cnf-intersect.simps)
apply(safe)
apply(simp-all)
done

```

Optimizing

```

fun packet-set-cnf-opt1 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt1 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (map remdups (remdups
ps))
declare packet-set-cnf-opt1.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt1-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt1
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-cnf-opt1.simps)

```

```

fun packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list)
list  $\Rightarrow$  (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list where
  packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal [] = [] |
  packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal ([_#ps]) = [[_]] |

```

```

  packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal (as#ps) = (as#(filter ( $\lambda$ ass.  $\neg$  set as  $\subseteq$  set ass)
ps))

```

```

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSetCNF
(packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal ps)) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSetCNF ps)
apply(induction ps rule:packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal.induct)
apply(simp-all add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def)
by blast
fun packet-set-cnf-opt2 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt2 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal
ps)
declare packet-set-cnf-opt2.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt2-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt2

```



```

ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-opt2.simps packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal-correct)

fun packet-set-cnf-opt3 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt3 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (sort-key ( $\lambda p$ . length
p) ps)
declare packet-set-cnf-opt3.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-cnf-opt3-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt3
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-opt3.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def)

definition packet-set-cnf-opt :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt ps = packet-set-cnf-opt1 (packet-set-cnf-opt2 (packet-set-cnf-opt3
(ps)))

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt ps)
= packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  using packet-set-cnf-opt-def packet-set-cnf-opt2-correct packet-set-cnf-opt3-correct
packet-set-cnf-opt1-correct by metis

hide-const (open) get-action get-action-sign packet-set-repr packet-set-repr-cnf

end
theory Packet-Set
imports Packet-Set-Impl
begin

```

22 Packet Set

An explicit representation of sets of packets allowed/denied by a firewall. Very work in progress, such pre-alpha, wow. Probably everything here wants a simple ruleset.

22.1 The set of all accepted packets

Collect all packets which are allowed by the firewall.

```

fun collect-allow :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set where
  collect-allow - [] P = {} |
  collect-allow  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = {p  $\in$  P. matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}  $\cup$ 
  (collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}) |
  collect-allow  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches
 $\gamma$  m Drop p})

```

```

lemma collect-allow-subset: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $P \subseteq P$ 
apply(induction rs arbitrary: P)
  apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac r rs P)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)
apply(fast)
apply blast
done

lemma collect-allow-sound: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies p \in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $P \implies$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
    from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
    from Cons.prem s have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
    from Cons.prem s r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
    show ?case (is ?goal)
    proof(cases a)
      case Accept
        from Accept Cons.IH [where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Accept } p\}$ ] simple-rs
have IH:
           $p \in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Accept } p\} \implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow by simp
          from Accept Cons.prem s have  $(p \in P \wedge \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Accept } p) \vee p \in$ 
collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m \text{ Accept } p\}$ 
          by(simp add: r)
          with Accept show ?goal
          apply –
          apply(erule disjE)
          apply(simp add: r)
          apply(simp add: r)
          using IH by blast
        next
        case Drop
          from Drop Cons.prem s have  $p \in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma$ 
m Drop p}
          by(simp add: r)
          with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
= Decision FinalAllow by simp
          with Cons show ?goal
          apply(simp add: r Drop del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
          apply(simp)
          using collect-allow-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast
      next
    case Drop
      from Drop Cons.prem s have  $p \in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma$ 
m Drop p}
      by(simp add: r)
      with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
= Decision FinalAllow by simp
      with Cons show ?goal
      apply(simp add: r Drop del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
      apply(simp)
      using collect-allow-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast
  next
  case Drop
    from Drop Cons.prem s have  $p \in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs  $\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma$ 
m Drop p}
    by(simp add: r)
    with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
= Decision FinalAllow by simp
    with Cons show ?goal
    apply(simp add: r Drop del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
    apply(simp)
    using collect-allow-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast

```

```

    qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
  qed

lemma collect-allow-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow  $\implies$  p  $\in$  P  $\implies$  p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs P
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases a)
    case Accept
      from Accept Cons.IH simple-rs have IH:  $\forall P$ . approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs P by
simp
      with Accept Cons.premis show ?goal
      apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r)
      done
    next
    case Drop
      with Cons show ?goal
      apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r simple-rs)
      done
  qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

```

theorem collect-allow-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  {p. p  $\in$  collect-allow
 $\gamma$  rs UNIV} = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAl-
low}
apply(safe)
using collect-allow-sound[where P=UNIV] apply fast
using collect-allow-complete[where P=UNIV] by fast

```

the complement of the allowed packets

```

fun collect-allow-compl :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set
where
  collect-allow-compl - [] P = UNIV |
  collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = (P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$ matches  $\gamma$  m Accept
p})  $\cap$  (collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$  {p. matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p})) |

```

$\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop})\#rs) P = (\text{collect-allow-compl } \gamma rs (P \cup \{p. \text{ matches } \gamma m \text{ Drop } p\}))$

lemma *collect-allow-compl-correct: simple-ruleset rs \implies (\neg collect-allow-compl $\gamma rs (\neg P)$) = collect-allow $\gamma rs P$*
proof(*induction $\gamma rs P$ arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow.induct*)
case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case (2 $\gamma r rs$)
have *set-simp1: $\neg \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Accept } p\} = \neg P \cup \{p. \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Accept } p\}$ by blast*
from 2 have IH: $\bigwedge P. \neg \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma rs (\neg P) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma rs P$ using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
from IH[where $P=\{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Accept } p\}$] set-simp1 have
 $\neg \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma rs (\neg P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma r \text{ Accept})) =$
 $\text{collect-allow } \gamma rs \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Accept } p\}$ **by simp**
thus ?case by auto
next
case (3 $\gamma r rs$)
have *set-simp1: $\neg \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Drop } p\} = \neg P \cup \{p. \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Drop } p\}$ by blast*
from 3 have IH: $\bigwedge P. \neg \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma rs (\neg P) = \text{collect-allow } \gamma rs P$ using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
from IH[where $P=\{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Drop } p\}$] set-simp1 have
 $\neg \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma rs (\neg P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma r \text{ Drop})) = \text{collect-allow}$
 $\gamma rs \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma r \text{ Drop } p\}$ **by simp**
thus ?case by auto
qed(*simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def*)

22.2 The set of all dropped packets

Collect all packets which are denied by the firewall.

fun *collect-deny :: ('a, 'p) match-tac \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'p set \Rightarrow 'p set* **where**
 $\text{collect-deny } - [] P = \{\}$ |
 $\text{collect-deny } \gamma ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop})\#rs) P = \{p \in P. \text{ matches } \gamma m \text{ Drop } p\} \cup$
 $(\text{collect-deny } \gamma rs \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma m \text{ Drop } p\})$ |
 $\text{collect-deny } \gamma ((\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept})\#rs) P = (\text{collect-deny } \gamma rs \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma m \text{ Accept } p\})$

lemma *collect-deny-subset: simple-ruleset rs \implies collect-deny $\gamma rs P \subseteq P$*
apply(*induction rs arbitrary: P*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*rename-tac r rs P*)
apply(*case-tac r, rename-tac m a*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def*)
apply(*fast*)
apply *blast*
done

```

lemma collect-deny-sound: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies p \in \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs } P \implies$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ 
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  from Cons.prem have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.prem r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases a)
    case Drop
      from Drop Cons.IH[where P={p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}] simple-rs
have IH:
      p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$  by simp
      from Drop Cons.prem have (p  $\in$  P  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)  $\vee$  p  $\in$ 
collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}
      by(simp add: r)
      with Drop show ?goal
      apply -
      apply(erule disjE)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r)
      using IH by blast
    next
    case Accept
      from Accept Cons.prem have p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$ 
m Accept p}
      by(simp add: r)
      with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided}$ 
= Decision FinalDeny by simp
      with Cons show ?goal
      apply(simp add: r Accept del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
      apply(simp)
      using collect-deny-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast
    qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
  qed

```

```

lemma collect-deny-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny  $\implies p \in P \implies p \in \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs } P$ 
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)

```

```

from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
show ?case (is ?goal)
proof(cases a)
  case Accept
    from Accept Cons.IH simple-rs have IH:  $\forall P$ . approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs P by
simp
    with Accept Cons.premis show ?goal
    apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)
    apply(simp add: r)
    apply(simp add: r)
    done
  next
  case Drop
    with Cons show ?goal
    apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)
    apply(simp add: r)
    apply(simp add: r simple-rs)
    done
qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

```

theorem collect-deny-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  {p. p  $\in$  collect-deny
 $\gamma$  rs UNIV} = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision Fi-
nalDeny}
apply(safe)
using collect-deny-sound[where P=UNIV] apply fast
using collect-deny-complete[where P=UNIV] by fast

```

the complement of the denied packets

```

fun collect-deny-compl :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set
where
  collect-deny-compl - [] P = UNIV |
  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$ matches  $\gamma$  m Drop
p})  $\cap$  (collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$  {p. matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p})) |
  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = (collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$ 
{p. matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}))

```

```

lemma collect-deny-compl-correct: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  ( $\neg$  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$ 
rs ( $\neg$  P)) = collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs P
proof(induction  $\gamma$  rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-deny.induct)
case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case (3  $\gamma$  r rs)
  have set-simp1:  $\neg$  {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  r Accept p} =  $\neg$  P  $\cup$  {p. matches

```

```

 $\gamma$  r Accept p} by blast
  from 3 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. - \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs}$ 
P using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
  from IH[where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Accept } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
     $-\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Accept})) = \text{collect-deny}$ 
 $\gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Accept } p\}$  by simp
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (2  $\gamma$  r rs)
  have set-simp1:  $-\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop } p\} = - P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma$ 
r Drop p} by blast
  from 2 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. - \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs}$ 
P using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
  from IH[where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
     $-\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop})) = \text{collect-deny}$ 
 $\gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop } p\}$  by simp
  thus ?case by auto
qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)

```

22.3 Rulesets with default rules

definition has-default :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 has-default rs \equiv length rs > 0 \wedge ((last rs = Rule MatchAny Accept) \vee (last rs = Rule MatchAny Drop))

lemma has-default-UNIV: good-ruleset rs \Longrightarrow has-default rs \Longrightarrow
 $\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \cup \{p.$
approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}$
apply(induction rs)
apply(simp add: has-default-def)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(simp add: has-default-def good-ruleset-tail split: split-if-asm)
apply(elim disjE)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(auto simp: good-ruleset-def)
done

lemma allow-set-by-collect-deny-compl: **assumes** simple-ruleset rs **and** has-default rs

shows collect-deny-compl $\gamma \text{ rs } \{\} = \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$

proof –

from assms **have** univ: $\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \cup \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}$

```

    using simple-imp-good-ruleset has-default-UNIV by fast
    from assms(1) collect-deny-compl-correct[where P=UNIV] have collect-deny-compl
     $\gamma$  rs  $\{\}$  = - collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs UNIV by fastforce
    moreover with collect-deny-sound-complete assms(1) have ... = - {p.
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny} by fast
    ultimately show ?thesis using univ by fastforce
  qed
  lemma deny-set-by-collect-allow-compl: assumes simple-ruleset rs and has-default
  rs
  shows collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs  $\{\}$  = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Un-
  decided = Decision FinalDeny}
  proof -
    from assms have univ: {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided =
    Decision FinalAllow}  $\cup$  {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision
    FinalDeny} = UNIV
    using simple-imp-good-ruleset has-default-UNIV by fast
    from assms(1) collect-allow-compl-correct[where P=UNIV] have collect-allow-compl
     $\gamma$  rs  $\{\}$  = - collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs UNIV by fastforce
    moreover with collect-allow-sound-complete assms(1) have ... = - {p.
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow} by fast
    ultimately show ?thesis using univ by fastforce
  qed

```

with $\text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma (\text{packet-set-constrain } ?a ?m ?P) = \{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma ?P. \text{ matches } ?\gamma ?m ?a p\}$ and $\text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma (\text{packet-set-constrain-not } ?a ?m ?P) = \{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } ?\gamma ?P. \neg \text{ matches } ?\gamma ?m ?a p\}$, it should be possible to build an executable version of the algorithm above.

22.4 The set of all accepted packets – Executable Implementation

```

fun collect-allow-impl-v1 :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  collect-allow-impl-v1 [] P = packet-set-Empty |
  collect-allow-impl-v1 ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = packet-set-union (packet-set-constrain
  Accept m P) (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs (packet-set-constrain-not Accept m P)) |
  collect-allow-impl-v1 ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs (packet-set-constrain-not
  Drop m P))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-impl-v1: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v1
rs P) = collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P)
apply(induction  $\gamma$  rs (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P) arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow.induct)
apply(simp-all add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-constrain-correct packet-set-constrain-not-correct
packet-set-Empty simple-ruleset-def)
done

```

```

fun collect-allow-impl-v2 :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  collect-allow-impl-v2 [] P = packet-set-Empty |

```



```

collect-allow-impl-v2 ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = packet-set-opt ( packet-set-union
  (packet-set-opt (packet-set-constrain Accept m P)) (packet-set-opt (collect-allow-impl-v2
rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-constrain-not Accept m (packet-set-opt P)))))) |
  collect-allow-impl-v2 ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow-impl-v2 rs (packet-set-opt
(packet-set-constrain-not Drop m (packet-set-opt P))))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-impl-v2: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v2
rs P) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs P)
apply(induction rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow-impl-v1.induct)
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
packet-set-constrain-not-correct collect-allow-impl-v1)
done

```

executable!

export-code collect-allow-impl-v2 **in** SML

```

theorem collect-allow-impl-v1-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$ 
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs packet-set-UNIV) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}
apply(simp add: collect-allow-impl-v1 packet-set-UNIV)
using collect-allow-sound-complete by fast

```

```

corollary collect-allow-impl-v2-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$ 
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v2 rs packet-set-UNIV) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}
using collect-allow-impl-v1-sound-complete collect-allow-impl-v2 by fast

```

instead of the expensive invert and intersect operations, we try to build the algorithm primarily by union

```

lemma (UNIV - A)  $\cap$  (UNIV - B) = UNIV - (A  $\cup$  B) by blast
lemma A  $\cap$  (- P) = UNIV - (-A  $\cup$  P) by blast
lemma UNIV - ((- P)  $\cap$  A) = P  $\cup$  - A by blast
lemma ((- P)  $\cap$  A) = UNIV - (P  $\cup$  - A) by blast

```

```

lemma UNIV - ((P  $\cup$  - A)  $\cap$  X) = UNIV - ((P  $\cap$  X)  $\cup$  (- A  $\cap$  X)) by blast
lemma UNIV - ((P  $\cap$  X)  $\cup$  (- A  $\cap$  X)) = (- P  $\cup$  -X)  $\cap$  (A  $\cup$  -X) by blast
lemma (- P  $\cup$  -X)  $\cap$  (A  $\cup$  -X) = (- P  $\cap$  A)  $\cup$  -X by blast

```

```

lemma (((- P)  $\cap$  A)  $\cup$  X) = UNIV - ((P  $\cup$  - A)  $\cap$  - X) by blast

```

lemma set-helper1:

```

  (- P  $\cap$  - {p. matches  $\gamma$  m a p}) = {p. p  $\notin$  P  $\wedge$   $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p}
  - {p  $\in$  - P. matches  $\gamma$  m a p} = (P  $\cup$  - {p. matches  $\gamma$  m a p})
  - {p. matches  $\gamma$  m a p} = {p.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p}
by blast+

```

```

fun collect-allow-compl-impl :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  collect-allow-compl-impl [] P = packet-set-UNIV |
  collect-allow-compl-impl ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = packet-set-intersect
    (packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m))) (collect-allow-compl-impl
  rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Accept m)))) |
  collect-allow-compl-impl ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow-compl-impl rs
  (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Drop m))))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-compl-impl: simple-ruleset rs  $\Rightarrow$ 
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P) =  $\neg$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs ( $\neg$ 
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P)
apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-correct[symmetric] )
apply(induction rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow-impl-v1.induct)
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
  packet-set-intersect-intersect packet-set-not-correct
  to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-UNIV )
done

```

take UNIV setminus the intersect over the result and get the set of allowed packets

```

fun collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set
  list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set list where
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec [] P PAs = PAs |
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P PAs =
    collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set
  Accept m))) ((packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)))#
  PAs) |
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P PAs = collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec
  rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Drop m))) PAs

```

```

lemma collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-helper: simple-ruleset rs  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P))  $\cap$  ( $\bigcap$  set (map (packet-set-to-set
   $\gamma$ ) PAs)) =
  ( $\bigcap$  set (map (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$ ) (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs P PAs)))
proof(induction rs P arbitrary: PAs P rule: collect-allow-compl-impl.induct)
  case (2 m rs)
    from 2 have IH: ( $\bigwedge$  P PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P)
   $\cap$  ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) =
    ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs P PAs). packet-set-to-set
   $\gamma$  x))
    by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
    from IH[where P=(packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Accept
  m))) and PAs=(packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)) #
  PAs)] have
      (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p})  $\cap$ 
      packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union
  P (to-packet-set Accept m))))  $\cap$ 

```

```

      ( $\bigcap_{x \in \text{set } PAs} \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ x$ ) =
      ( $\bigcap_{x \in \text{set}}$ 
        (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set
          Accept m))) (packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)) # PAs)).
          packet-set-to-set  $\gamma \ x$ )
      apply(simp add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-not-correct to-packet-set-set)
    by blast
      thus ?case
      by(simp add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct packet-set-intersect-intersect
        packet-set-not-correct
          to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-constrain-not-correct)
  qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
    packet-set-intersect-intersect packet-set-not-correct
      to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-constrain-not-correct packet-set-UNIV
    packet-set-Empty-def)

```

lemma *collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-correct: simple-ruleset rs \impl*
(packet-set-to-set γ (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P)) = ($\bigcap_{x \in \text{set}}$ (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec
rs P []). packet-set-to-set $\gamma \ x$)
using *collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-helper[where PAs=[], simplified]*
by *metis*

definition *allow-set-not-inter :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a packet-set list where*
allow-set-not-inter rs \equiv collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs packet-set-Empty []

Intersecting over the result of *allow-set-not-inter* and inverting is the list of
all allowed packets

lemma *allow-set-not-inter: simple-ruleset rs \impl*
– ($\bigcap_{x \in \text{set}}$ (allow-set-not-inter rs). packet-set-to-set $\gamma \ x$) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma \ p \ rs \text{ Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$ }
unfolding *allow-set-not-inter-def*
apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-correct[symmetric])
apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-impl)
apply(simp add: packet-set-Empty)
using *collect-allow-sound-complete by fast*

this gives the set of denied packets

lemma *simple-ruleset rs \impl has-default rs \impl*
($\bigcap_{x \in \text{set}}$ (allow-set-not-inter rs). packet-set-to-set $\gamma \ x$) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma \ p \ rs \text{ Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ }
apply(frule simple-imp-good-ruleset)
apply(drule(1) has-default-UNIV[where $\gamma = \gamma$])
apply(drule allow-set-not-inter[where $\gamma = \gamma$])

by *force*

```

lemma UNIV - ((P ∪ - A) ∩ X) = - ((-( - P ∩ A)) ∩ X) by blast

end
theory Matching-Embeddings
imports Semantics-Ternary/Matching-Ternary Matching Semantics-Ternary/Unknown-Match-Tacs
begin

```

23 Boolean Matching vs. Ternary Matching

```

term Semantics.matches
term Matching-Ternary.matches

```

The two matching semantics are related. However, due to the ternary logic, we cannot directly translate one to the other. The problem are *MatchNot* expressions which evaluate to *TernaryUnknown* because *MatchNot TernaryUnknown* and *TernaryUnknown* are semantically equal!

```

lemma ∃ m β α a. Matching-Ternary.matches (β, α) m a p ≠
  Semantics.matches (λ atm p. case β atm p of TernaryTrue ⇒ True | TernaryFalse
⇒ False | TernaryUnknown ⇒ α a p) m p
apply (rule-tac x=MatchNot (Match X) in exI) — any X
apply (simp split: ternaryvalue.split ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple
bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
by fast

```

the *the* in the next definition is always defined

```

lemma ∀ m ∈ {m. approx m p ≠ TernaryUnknown}. ternary-to-bool (approx m
p) ≠ None
by (simp add: ternary-to-bool-None)

```

The Boolean and the ternary matcher agree (where the ternary matcher is defined)

```

definition matcher-agree-on-exact-matches :: ('a, 'p) matcher ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'p ⇒
ternaryvalue) ⇒ bool where
  matcher-agree-on-exact-matches exact approx ≡ ∀ p m. approx m p ≠ TernaryUn-
known ⟶ exact m p = the (ternary-to-bool (approx m p))

```

We say the Boolean and ternary matchers agree iff they return the same result or the ternary matcher returns *TernaryUnknown*.

```

lemma matcher-agree-on-exact-matches exact approx ⟷ (∀ p m. exact m p =
the (ternary-to-bool (approx m p)) ∨ approx m p = TernaryUnknown)
unfolding matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def by blast

```

```

lemma eval-ternary-Not-TrueD: eval-ternary-Not m = TernaryTrue ⟹ m =
TernaryFalse

```

by (*metis eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)

lemma *matches-comply-exact: ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \neq TernaryUnknown \implies*
matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ $\beta \implies$
Semantics.matches γ m p = Matching-Ternary.matches (β , α) m a p
proof(*unfold matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple, induction m*)
case Match thus ?case
by(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*)
next
case (MatchNot m) thus ?case
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*)
apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m)*)
by(*simp-all*)
next
case (MatchAnd m1 m2)
thus ?case
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split*)
apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m1)*)
apply(*case-tac [!] ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m2)*)
by(*simp-all*)
next
case MatchAny thus ?case by simp
qed

lemma *in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept: a = Accept \implies matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ $\beta \implies$*
Semantics.matches γ m p \implies Matching-Ternary.matches (β , in-doubt-allow)
m a p
apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \neq TernaryUnknown*)
using matches-comply-exact apply fast
apply(*simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
done

lemma *not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ $\beta \implies a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Reject} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$*
 \neg *Semantics.matches γ m p \implies*
 $(a = \text{Accept} \wedge \text{Matching-Ternary.matches}(\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}) m a p) \vee \neg \text{Matching-Ternary.matches}(\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}) m a p$
apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \neq TernaryUnknown*)
apply(*drule(1) matches-comply-exact[where $\alpha = \text{in-doubt-allow}$ and $a = a$]*)
apply(*rule disjI2*)
apply fast
apply(*simp*)
apply(*clarify*)

```

apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply(cases a)
  apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

lemma in-doubt-deny-denies-DropReject:  $a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies \text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches}$ 
 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  Semantics.matches  $\gamma m p \implies \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny})$ 
 $m a p$ 
apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta p m$ )  $\neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
  using matches-comply-exact apply fast
  apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
done

```

```

lemma not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx-match:  $\text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches}$ 
 $\gamma \beta \implies a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Reject} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$ 
   $\neg \text{Semantics.matches } \gamma m p \implies$ 
   $((a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject}) \wedge \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}) m a$ 
 $p) \vee \neg \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}) m a p$ 
apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta p m$ )  $\neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
  apply(drule(1) matches-comply-exact[where  $\alpha = \text{in-doubt-deny}$  and  $a = a$ ])
  apply(rule disjI2)
  apply fast
apply(simp)
apply(clarify)
apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply(cases a)
  apply(simp-all)
done

```

The ternary primitive matcher can return exactly the result of the Boolean primitive matcher

definition $\beta_{\text{magic}} :: ('a, 'p) \text{ matcher} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow \text{ternaryvalue})$ **where**
 $\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma \equiv (\lambda a p. \text{if } \gamma a p \text{ then TernaryTrue else TernaryFalse})$

```

lemma matcher-agree-on-exact-matches  $\gamma (\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma)$ 
by(simp add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -def)

```

```

lemma  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -not-Unknown: ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac ( $\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma$ )  $p$ 
 $m$ )  $\neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ 
proof(induction  $m$ )
case MatchNot thus ?case using eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD  $\beta_{\text{magic}}$ -def
  by (simp) blast
case (MatchAnd  $m1 m2$ ) thus ?case
  apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac ( $\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma$ )  $p m1$ ))

```

```

    apply(case-tac [!] ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac ( $\beta_{magic}$   $\gamma$ )  $p$   $m2$ ))
      by(simp-all add:  $\beta_{magic}$ -def)
  qed (simp-all add:  $\beta_{magic}$ -def)

lemma  $\beta_{magic}$ -matching: Matching-Ternary.matches (( $\beta_{magic}$   $\gamma$ ),  $\alpha$ )  $m$   $a$   $p \longleftrightarrow$ 
Semantics.matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $p$ 
proof(induction  $m$ )
case Match thus ?case
  by(simp add:  $\beta_{magic}$ -def matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
case MatchNot thus ?case
  by(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple  $\beta_{magic}$ -not-Unknown split: ternary-
value.split-asm)
qed (simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split ternary-
value.split-asm)

end
theory Semantics-Embeddings
imports Matching-Embeddings Semantics Semantics-Ternary/Semantics-Ternary
begin

```

24 Semantics Embedding

24.1 Tactic *in-doubt-allow*

```

lemma iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma$   $\beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \implies$ 
   $(\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \vee (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash$ 
   $\langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow$ 
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction  $rs$  Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.skip)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(case-tac  $a = Log$ )
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(case-tac  $a = Empty$ )
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(drule-tac  $a=a$  in not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
    apply fast
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.accept approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(frul iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
  apply(simp)

```

```

  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
  apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  done

```

lemma *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

```

 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
     $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow \implies (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow$ 
  apply (rotate-tac 2)
  apply (induction rs Undecided Decision FinalAllow rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.accept in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept)
  apply (case-tac t)
  apply (simp-all)
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: good-ruleset-append)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision approximating-bigstep.seq Semantics.decisionD state.inject)
  apply (thin-tac False  $\implies ?x \implies ?y$ )
  apply (simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
  apply (drule (2) iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx)
  apply (erule disjE)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.seq)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
  apply (simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
  done

```

corollary *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ*

```

 $\beta \implies good-ruleset\ rs \implies$ 
   $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow\}$ 
  using FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-allow by (metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono)

```

lemma *approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

```

 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
     $(\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$ 
  apply (rotate-tac 2)
  apply (induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (metis iptables-bigstep.skip)
  apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)

```


apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
apply (*metis in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch matches-casesE ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(5)*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.drop iptables-bigstep.nomatch*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.nomatch iptables-bigstep.reject*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.nomatch*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-append,clarify*)
by (*metis approximating-bigstep-to-undecided iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)

lemma *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

$\gamma \beta \implies$
 $good-ruleset\ rs \implies$
 $(\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalDeny \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$
apply(*rotate-tac 2*)
apply(*induction rs Undecided Decision FinalDeny rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply (*metis action.distinct(1) action.distinct(5) deny not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match*)

apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify*)
apply(*case-tac t*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*drule(2) approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx* [**where** $\Gamma = \Gamma$])
apply(*erule disjE*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.seq*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)
by (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-semantics-imp-fun iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)

corollary *FinalDenys-subseteq-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ*

$\beta \implies good-ruleset\ rs \implies$
 $\{p. (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalDeny\} \subseteq \{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny\}$
using *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow* **by** (*metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono*)

If our approximating firewall (the executable version) concludes that we deny a packet, the exact semantic agrees that this packet is definitely denied!

corollary *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches $\gamma \beta \implies good-ruleset\ rs \implies$*
 $approximating-bigstep-fun\ (\beta, in-doubt-allow)\ p\ rs\ Undecided = (Decision\ FinalDeny) \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$
apply(*frule(1) FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow* [**where** $p=p$ **and** $\Gamma=\Gamma$])

```

apply(rule approximating-fun-imp-semantics)
apply (metis good-imp-wf-ruleset)
apply(simp-all)
done

```

24.2 Tactic *in-doubt-deny*

```

lemma iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset rs  $\implies$ 
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \implies$ 
   $(\beta, in-doubt-deny), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \vee (\beta, in-doubt-deny), p \vdash$ 
   $\langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision FinalDeny$ 
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.skip)
apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(case-tac a = Log)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(case-tac a = Empty)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(drule-tac a=a in not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx-match)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
  apply fast
apply (metis approximating-bigstep.drop approximating-bigstep.nomatch approximating-bigstep.reject)
apply(frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
done

```

```

lemma FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset rs  $\implies$ 
   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision FinalDeny \implies (\beta, in-doubt-deny), p \vdash \langle rs,$ 
   $Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision FinalDeny$ 
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalDeny rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
apply (metis approximating-bigstep.drop approximating-bigstep.reject in-doubt-deny-denies-DropReject)
apply(case-tac t)
apply(simp-all)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)

```

```

    apply(thin-tac False  $\implies$  ?x)
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision approximating-bigstep.seq Semantics.decisionD state.inject)
    apply(thin-tac False  $\implies$  ?x  $\implies$  ?y)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)

    apply(drule(2) iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx)
    apply(erule disjE)
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.seq)
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
done

```

lemma *approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*
 $\gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}$
 apply(rotate-tac 2)
 apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
 apply(simp-all)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.skip)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
 apply (metis in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch matches-casesE ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(5))
 apply(case-tac a)
 apply(simp-all)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
 by (metis approximating-bigstep-to-undecided iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

lemma *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*
 $\gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}$
 apply(rotate-tac 2)
 apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalAllow rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
 apply(simp-all)
 apply (metis action.distinct(1) action.distinct(5) iptables-bigstep.accept not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx)

apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify*)
apply(*case-tac t*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*drule(2) approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx* [where $\Gamma = \Gamma$])
apply(*erule disjE*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.seq*)
apply (*metis iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)
by (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-semantics-imp-fun iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)

corollary *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ*
 $\beta \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \subseteq \{p.$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$
using *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-deny* **by** (*metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono*)

24.3 Approximating Closures

theorem *FinalAllowClosure:*

assumes *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ β and good-ruleset rs*
shows $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \subseteq$
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$
and $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash$
 $\langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow}\}$
apply (*metis FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-deny assms*)
by (*metis FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-allow assms*)

theorem *FinalDenyClosure:*

assumes *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ β and good-ruleset rs*
shows $\{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} \subseteq$
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}\}$
and $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash$
 $\langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}\}$
apply (*metis FinalDenys-subseteq-in-doubt-allow assms*)
by (*metis FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-deny assms mem-Collect-eq subsetI*)

24.4 Exact Embedding

thm *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def* [of γ β]

lemma *LukassLemma:*

matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ $\beta \implies$
 $(\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{get-match } r)) \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}) \implies$
good-ruleset $rs \implies$
 $(\beta, \alpha), p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
apply(*simp add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*)
apply(*rotate-tac 3*)

```

apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.accept matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply (metis deny matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.reject matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.nomatch matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
by (metis good-ruleset-append iptables-bigstep.seq)

```

For rulesets without *Calls*, the approximating ternary semantics can perfectly simulate the Boolean semantics.

```

theorem  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-iff-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes  $\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \forall c. \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Call } c$ 
  shows  $((\beta_{magic} \gamma), \alpha), p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
apply(rule iffI)
  apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
    apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp:  $\beta_{magic}$ -matching)[7]
apply(insert assms)
apply(induction rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros simp:  $\beta_{magic}$ -matching)
done

```

```

corollary  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-fun-iff-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes good-ruleset rs
  shows approximating-bigstep-fun  $(\beta_{magic} \gamma, \alpha) p rs s = t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
apply(subst approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[symmetric])
  using assms apply simp
apply(subst  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-iff-iptables-bigstep[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma$ ])
  using assms apply (simp add: good-ruleset-def)
by simp

```

```

end
theory Iptables-Semantics
imports Semantics-Embeddings Semantics-Ternary/Fixed-Action
begin

```

25 Normalizing Rulesets in the Boolean Big Step Semantics

```

corollary normalize-rules-dnf-correct-BooleanSemantics:
  assumes good-ruleset rs
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{normalize-rules-dnf } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof –
  from assms have assm': good-ruleset (normalize-rules-dnf rs) by (metis good-ruleset-normalize-rules-dnf)

  from normalize-rules-dnf-correct assms good-imp-wf-ruleset have
     $\forall \beta \alpha. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } (\beta, \alpha) p (\text{normalize-rules-dnf } rs) s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 

```

```

( $\beta, \alpha$ ) p rs s by fast
  hence
     $\forall \alpha.$  approximating-bigstep-fun ( $\beta_{magic} \gamma, \alpha$ ) p (normalize-rules-dnf rs) s =
    approximating-bigstep-fun ( $\beta_{magic} \gamma, \alpha$ ) p rs s by fast
    with  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-fun-iff-iptables-bigstep assms assm' show ?thesis
    by metis
  qed

end
theory Optimizing
imports Semantics-Ternary Packet-Set-Impl
begin

```

26 Optimizing

26.1 Removing Shadowed Rules

Assumes: *simple-ruleset*

```

fun rmshadow :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rmshadow - [] - = [] |
  rmshadow  $\gamma$  ((Rule m a)#rs) P = (if ( $\forall p \in P. \neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p)
    then
      rmshadow  $\gamma$  rs P
    else
      (Rule m a) # (rmshadow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p}))

```

26.1.1 Soundness

```

lemma rmshadow-sound:
  simple-ruleset rs  $\Longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\Longrightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (rmshadow  $\gamma$ 
rs P) = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  let ?fw=approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  — firewall semantics
  let ?rm=rmshadow  $\gamma$ 
  let ?match=matches  $\gamma$  (get-match r) (get-action r)
  let ?set={p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  ?match p}
  from Cons.IH Cons.prem have IH: ?fw p (?rm rs P) = ?fw p rs by (simp
add: simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.IH[of ?set] Cons.prem have IH': p  $\in$  ?set  $\Longrightarrow$  ?fw p (?rm rs ?set)
= ?fw p rs by (simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons show ?case
  proof(cases  $\forall p \in P. \neg$  ?match p) — the if-condition of rmshadow
  case True
    from True have 1: ?rm (r#rs) P = ?rm rs P
    apply(cases r)
    apply(rename-tac m a)

```

```

    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp)
    done
  from True Cons.prems have  $?fw\ p\ (r \# rs) = ?fw\ p\ rs$ 
    apply(cases r)
    apply(rename-tac m a)
    apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(rename-tac s)
    apply(case-tac s)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
    done
  from this IH have  $?fw\ p\ (?rm\ rs\ P) = ?fw\ p\ (r \# rs)$  by simp
  thus  $?fw\ p\ (?rm\ (r \# rs)\ P) = ?fw\ p\ (r \# rs)$  using 1 by simp
next
case False — else
  have  $?fw\ p\ (r \# (?rm\ rs\ ?set)) = ?fw\ p\ (r \# rs)$ 
  proof(cases  $p \in ?set$ )
    case True
      from True IH' show  $?fw\ p\ (r \# (?rm\ rs\ ?set)) = ?fw\ p\ (r \# rs)$ 
        apply(cases r)
        apply(rename-tac m a)
        apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
        apply(clarify)
        apply(rename-tac s)
        apply(case-tac s)
        apply(simp)
        apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
        done
      next
      case False
        from False Cons.prems have  $?match\ p$  by simp
        from Cons.prems have  $get\ action\ r = Accept \vee get\ action\ r = Drop$ 
by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
      from this ( $?match\ p$ ) show  $?fw\ p\ (r \# (?rm\ rs\ ?set)) = ?fw\ p\ (r \# rs)$ 
        apply(cases r)
        apply(rename-tac m a)
        apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
        apply(clarify)
        apply(rename-tac s)
        apply(case-tac s)
        apply(simp split: action.split)
        apply fast
        apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
        done
      qed
  from False this show ?thesis
    apply(cases r)

```

```

    apply(rename-tac m a)
    apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(rename-tac s)
    apply(case-tac s)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  done
qed
qed

fun rmMatchFalse :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rmMatchFalse [] = [] |
  rmMatchFalse ((Rule (MatchNot MatchAny) -)#rs) = rmMatchFalse rs |
  rmMatchFalse (r#rs) = r # rmMatchFalse rs

lemma rmMatchFalse-helper:  $m \neq \text{MatchNot MatchAny} \implies (\text{rmMatchFalse } (\text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs)) = \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# (\text{rmMatchFalse } rs)$ 
  apply(case-tac m)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac match-expr)
  apply(case-tac match-expr)
  apply(simp-all)
done

lemma rmMatchFalse-correct:  $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{rmMatchFalse } rs)$ 
 $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma \ p \ rs \ s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply (metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  apply(case-tac m = MatchNot MatchAny)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: rmMatchFalse-helper)
  apply(subgoal-tac  $m \neq \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$ )
  apply(drule-tac a=a and rs=rs in rmMatchFalse-helper)
  apply(simp split:action.split)
  apply(thin-tac  $a = ?x \implies ?y$ )
  apply(thin-tac  $a = ?x \implies ?y$ )
  by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3))

end
theory Primitive-Normalization

```



```

imports ../Semantics-Ternary/Negation-Type-Matching
begin

```

27 Primitive Normalization

Test if a *disc* is in the match expression. For example, it call tell whether there are some matches for *Src ip*.

```

fun has-disc :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ bool where
  has-disc - MatchAny = False |
  has-disc disc (Match a) = disc a |
  has-disc disc (MatchNot m) = has-disc disc m |
  has-disc disc (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (has-disc disc m1 ∨ has-disc disc m2)

fun normalized-n-primitive :: (('a ⇒ bool) × ('a ⇒ 'b)) ⇒ ('b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a
match-expr ⇒ bool where
  normalized-n-primitive - - MatchAny = True |
  normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n (Match (P)) = (if disc P then n (sel P) else
True) |
  normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n (MatchNot (Match (P))) = (if disc P then
False else True) |
  normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (normalized-n-primitive
(disc, sel) n m1 ∧ normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n m2) |
  normalized-n-primitive - - (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = False |

  normalized-n-primitive - - (MatchNot (MatchNot -)) = False |
  normalized-n-primitive - - (MatchNot MatchAny) = True

```

The following function takes a tuple of functions $((a \Rightarrow bool) \times (a \Rightarrow b))$ and a *'a match-expr*. The passed function tuple must be the discriminator and selector of the datatype package. *primitive-extractor* filters the *'a match-expr* and returns a tuple. The first element of the returned tuple is the filtered primitive matches, the second element is the remaining match expression.

It requires a *normalized-nnf-match*.

```

fun primitive-extractor :: (('a ⇒ bool) × ('a ⇒ 'b)) ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ ('b
negation-type list × 'a match-expr) where
  primitive-extractor - MatchAny = ([], MatchAny) |
  primitive-extractor (disc, sel) (Match a) = (if disc a then ([Pos (sel a)], MatchAny)
else ([], Match a)) |
  primitive-extractor (disc, sel) (MatchNot (Match a)) = (if disc a then ([Neg (sel
a)], MatchAny) else ([], MatchNot (Match a))) |
  primitive-extractor C (MatchAnd ms1 ms2) = (
    let (a1', ms1') = primitive-extractor C ms1;
        (a2', ms2') = primitive-extractor C ms2
    in (a1'@a2', MatchAnd ms1' ms2')) |

```

primitive-extractor - - = *undefined*

The first part returned by *primitive-extractor*, here *as*: A list of primitive match expressions. For example, let $m = \text{MatchAnd } (\text{Src } ip1) (\text{Dst } ip2)$ then, using the src (*disc*, *sel*), the result is [*ip1*]. Note that *Src* is stripped from the result.

The second part, here *ms* is the match expression which was not extracted. Together, the first and second part match iff *m* matches.

theorem *primitive-extractor-correct*: **assumes**

normalized-nnf-match *m* **and** *wf-disc-sel* (*disc*, *sel*) *C* **and** *primitive-extractor* (*disc*, *sel*) *m* = (*as*, *ms*)

shows $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (alist-and (NegPos-map } C \text{ as)) } a \text{ } p \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \text{ ms } a \text{ } p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m } a \text{ } p$

and *normalized-nnf-match* *ms*

and $\neg \text{has-disc } disc \text{ ms}$

and $\forall disc2. \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ m} \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ ms}$

and $\forall disc2 \text{ sel2. } \text{normalized-n-primitive } (disc2, sel2) \text{ P m} \longrightarrow \text{normalized-n-primitive } (disc2, sel2) \text{ P ms}$

proof -

— better simplification rule

from *assms* **have** *assm3'*: (*as*, *ms*) = *primitive-extractor* (*disc*, *sel*) *m* **by** *simp*

with *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **show** $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (alist-and (NegPos-map } C \text{ as)) } a \text{ } p \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \text{ ms } a \text{ } p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m } a \text{ } p$

apply(*induction* (*disc*, *sel*) *m* *arbitrary*: *as* *ms* *rule*: *primitive-extractor.induct*)

apply(*simp-all* *add*: *bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches* *wf-disc-sel.simps*

split: *split-if-asm*)

apply(*simp* *split*: *split-if-asm* *split-split-asm* *add*: *NegPos-map-append*)

apply(*auto* *simp* *add*: *alist-and-append* *bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)

done

from *assms*(1) *assm3'* **show** *normalized-nnf-match* *ms*

apply(*induction* (*disc*, *sel*) *m* *arbitrary*: *as* *ms* *rule*: *primitive-extractor.induct*)

apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp* *split*: *split-if-asm*)

apply(*simp* *split*: *split-if-asm*)

apply(*clarify*)

apply(*simp* *split*: *split-split-asm*)

apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp*)

done

from *assms*(1) *assm3'* **show** $\neg \text{has-disc } disc \text{ ms}$

apply(*induction* (*disc*, *sel*) *m* *arbitrary*: *as* *ms* *rule*: *primitive-extractor.induct*)

by(*simp-all* *split*: *split-if-asm* *split-split-asm*)

from *assms*(1) *assm3'* **show** $\forall disc2. \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ m} \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } disc2$

ms

```

apply(induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp split: split-split-asm)
  apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

from assms(1) assm3' show  $\forall$  disc2 sel2. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2)
P m  $\longrightarrow$  normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P ms
apply(induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp split: split-split-asm)
  apply(simp-all)
done
qed

```

lemma *primitive-extractor-matchesE*: wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) $C \implies$ normalized-nnf-match
 $m \implies$ primitive-extractor (disc, sel) $m = (as, ms)$
 \implies
 $(normalized-nnf-match\ ms \implies \neg has-disc\ disc\ ms \implies (\forall disc2. \neg has-disc\ disc2$
 $m \longrightarrow \neg has-disc\ disc2\ ms) \implies matches-other \longleftrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ ms\ a\ p)$
 \implies
 $matches\ \gamma\ (alist-and\ (NegPos-map\ C\ as))\ a\ p \wedge matches-other \longleftrightarrow matches\ \gamma$
 $m\ a\ p$
using *primitive-extractor-correct* **by** *metis*

lemma *primitive-extractor-matches-lastE*: wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) $C \implies$ normalized-nnf-match
 $m \implies$ primitive-extractor (disc, sel) $m = (as, ms)$
 \implies
 $(normalized-nnf-match\ ms \implies \neg has-disc\ disc\ ms \implies (\forall disc2. \neg has-disc\ disc2$
 $m \longrightarrow \neg has-disc\ disc2\ ms) \implies matches\ \gamma\ ms\ a\ p)$
 \implies
 $matches\ \gamma\ (alist-and\ (NegPos-map\ C\ as))\ a\ p \longleftrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p$
using *primitive-extractor-correct* **by** *metis*

The lemmas $\llbracket wf-disc-sel\ (?disc, ?sel)\ ?C; normalized-nnf-match\ ?m; primitive-extractor$
 $(?disc, ?sel)\ ?m = (?as, ?ms); \llbracket normalized-nnf-match\ ?ms; \neg has-disc$
 $?disc\ ?ms; \forall disc2. \neg has-disc\ disc2\ ?m \longrightarrow \neg has-disc\ disc2\ ?ms \rrbracket \implies$
 $?matches-other = matches\ ?\gamma\ ?ms\ ?a\ ?p \rrbracket \implies (matches\ ?\gamma\ (alist-and\ (NegPos-map$

$?C ?as)) ?a ?p \wedge ?matches-other) = matches ?\gamma ?m ?a ?p$ and $\llbracket wf-disc-sel (?disc, ?sel) ?C; normalized-nnf-match ?m; primitive-extractor (?disc, ?sel) ?m = (?as, ?ms); \llbracket normalized-nnf-match ?ms; \neg has-disc ?disc ?ms; \forall disc2. \neg has-disc disc2 ?m \longrightarrow \neg has-disc disc2 ?ms \rrbracket \implies matches ?\gamma ?ms ?a ?p \rrbracket \implies matches ?\gamma (alist-and (NegPos-map ?C ?as)) ?a ?p = matches ?\gamma ?m ?a ?p$ can be used as erule to solve goals about consecutive application of *primitive-extractor*. They should be used as *primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-for-first-extracted-thing]*.

27.1 Normalizing and Optimizing Primitives

Normalize primitives by a function f with type $'b \text{ negation-type list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list}$. $'b$ is a primitive type, e.g. `ipt-ipv4range`. f takes a conjunction list of negated primitives and must compress them such that:

1. no negation occurs in the output
2. the output is a disjunction of the primitives, i.e. multiple primitives in one rule are compressed to at most one primitive (leading to multiple rules)

Example with IP addresses:

```
f [10.8.0.0/16, 10.0.0.0/8] = [10.0.0.0/8]  f compresses to one range
f [10.0.0.0, 192.168.0.01] = []           range is empty, rule can be dropped
f [Neg 41] = [{0..40}, {42..ipv4max}]     one rule is translated into multiple rules
f [Neg 41, {20..50}, {30..50}] = [{30..40}, {42..50}]  input: conjunction list
```

definition *normalize-primitive-extract* :: $((a \Rightarrow bool) \times (a \Rightarrow 'b)) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('b \text{ negation-type list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ match-expr} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ match-expr list}$ **where**

normalize-primitive-extract (*disc-sel*) $C f m = (\text{case primitive-extractor } (disc-sel) m$

$of (spts, rst) \Rightarrow \text{map } (\lambda spt. (MatchAnd (Match (C spt))) rst) (f spts))$

If f has the properties described above, then *normalize-primitive-extract* is a valid transformation of a match expression

lemma *normalize-primitive-extract*: **assumes** *normalized-nnf-match m* **and** *wf-disc-sel disc-sel C* **and**

$\forall ml. (match-list \gamma (\text{map } (Match \circ C) (f ml)) a p \longleftrightarrow matches \gamma (alist-and (NegPos-map C ml)) a p)$

shows $match-list \gamma (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } disc-sel C f m) a p \longleftrightarrow matches \gamma m a p$

proof –
obtain *as ms* **where** *pe: primitive-extractor disc-sel m = (as, ms)* **by** *fastforce*

from *pe primitive-extractor-correct(1)[OF assms(1), where $\gamma=\gamma$ and $a=a$ and $p=p$] assms(2)* **have**
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } C \ as)) \ a \ p \wedge$
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p$ **by** *(cases disc-sel, blast)*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{Match} \circ C) \ (f \ as)) \ a \ p \wedge \text{matches } \gamma$
 $ms \ a \ p$ **using** *assms(3)* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\lambda spt. \text{MatchAnd } (\text{Match } (C \ spt)) \ ms)$
 $(f \ as)) \ a \ p$
by *(simp add: match-list-matches bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{normalize-primitive-extract disc-sel } C \ f \ m) \ a$
 p
by *(simp add: normalize-primitive-extract-def pe)*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

thm *match-list-semantics[of $\gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{Match} \circ C) \ (f \ ml)) \ a \ p \ [(\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } C \ ml))]]$*

corollary *normalize-primitive-extract-semantics: assumes normalized-nnf-match*
m and wf-disc-sel disc-sel C and
 $\forall ml. (\text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{Match} \circ C) \ (f \ ml)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{alist-and}$
 $(\text{NegPos-map } C \ ml)) \ a \ p)$
shows *approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ (\text{normalize-primitive-extract}$*
 $\text{disc-sel } C \ f \ m)) \ s =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ s$

proof –
from *normalize-primitive-extract[OF assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)]* **have**
 $\text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{normalize-primitive-extract disc-sel } C \ f \ m) \ a \ p = \text{matches } \gamma \ m$
 $a \ p .$
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ [m] \ a \ p$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *match-list-semantics[of $\gamma \ (\text{normalize-primitive-extract}$*
 $\text{disc-sel } C \ f \ m) \ a \ p \ [m]]$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized:*
assumes *normalized-nnf-match m*
and *wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C*
shows $\forall mn \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) \ C \ f \ m). \text{normalized-nnf-match}$
 mn

proof
fix *mn*
assume *assm2: $mn \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) \ C \ f \ m)$*
obtain *as ms* **where** *as-ms: primitive-extractor (disc, sel) m = (as, ms)* **by**

fastforce
from *as-ms primitive-extractor-correct*[*OF assms(1) assms(2)*] **have** *normalized-nnf-match ms* **by** *simp*
from *assm2 as-ms* **have** *normalize-primitive-extract-unfolded: mn ∈ ((λspt. MatchAnd (Match (C spt)) ms) ‘ set (f as))*
unfolding *normalize-primitive-extract-def* **by** *force*
with *(normalized-nnf-match ms)* **show** *normalized-nnf-match mn* **by** *fastforce*
qed

If something is normalized for *disc2* and *disc2* ≠ *disc1* and we do something on *disc1*, then *disc2* remains normalized

lemma *normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive:*
assumes *normalized-nnf-match m*
and *normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P m*
and *wf-disc-sel (disc1, sel1) C*
and $\forall a. \neg \text{disc2 } (C a) \text{ — disc1 and disc2 match for different stuff. e.g. Src-Ports and Dst-Ports}$
shows $\forall mn \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } (disc1, sel1) C f m). \text{normalized-n-primitive } (disc2, sel2) P mn$
proof
fix *mn*
assume *assm2: mn ∈ set (normalize-primitive-extract (disc1, sel1) C f m)*
obtain *as ms* **where** *as-ms: primitive-extractor (disc1, sel1) m = (as, ms)*
by *fastforce*
from *as-ms primitive-extractor-correct*[*OF assms(1) assms(3)*] **have**
 $\neg \text{has-disc disc1 ms}$
and *normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P ms*
apply —
apply(*fast*)
using *assms(2)* **by**(*fast*)
from *assm2 as-ms* **have** *normalize-primitive-extract-unfolded: mn ∈ ((λspt. MatchAnd (Match (C spt)) ms) ‘ set (f as))*
unfolding *normalize-primitive-extract-def* **by** *force*

from *normalize-primitive-extract-unfolded* **obtain** *Casms* **where** *Casms: mn = (MatchAnd (Match (C Casms)) ms)* **by** *blast*

from *(normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P ms) assms(4)* **have** *normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P (MatchAnd (Match (C Casms)) ms)*
by(*simp*)

with *Casms* **show** *normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P mn* **by** *blast*
qed

thm *wf-disc-sel.simps*
lemma *wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C ⇒ ∀ x. disc (C x)* **quickcheck oops**
lemma *wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C ⇒ disc (C x) ⇒ sel (C x) = x*

```

by(simp add: wf-disc-sel.simps)

lemma normalize-primitive-extract-normalizes-n-primitive:
fixes disc::('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool) and sel::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b) and f::('b negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)
assumes normalized-nnf-match m
  and wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C
  and np:  $\forall as. (\forall a' \in \text{set } (f as). P a')$ 
shows  $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } (disc, sel) C f m). \text{normalized-n-primitive } (disc, sel) P m'$ 
proof
fix m' assume a:  $m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } (disc, sel) C f m)$ 

have nnf:  $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract } (disc, sel) C f m). \text{normalized-nnf-match } m'$ 
  using normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized assms by blast
with a have normalized-m': normalized-nnf-match m' by simp

from a obtain as ms where as-ms: primitive-extractor (disc, sel) m = (as, ms)
  unfolding normalize-primitive-extract-def by fastforce
with a have prems:  $m' \in \text{set } (\text{map } (\lambda spt. \text{MatchAnd } (\text{Match } (C spt))) ms) (f as)$ 
  unfolding normalize-primitive-extract-def by simp

from primitive-extractor-correct(2)[OF assms(1) assms(2) as-ms] have normalized-nnf-match ms .

show normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) P m'
proof(cases f as = [])
case True thus normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) P m' using prems by simp
next
case False
  with prems obtain spt where  $m' = \text{MatchAnd } (\text{Match } (C spt)) ms$  and  $spt \in \text{set } (f as)$  by auto

  from primitive-extractor-correct(3)[OF assms(1) assms(2) as-ms] have  $\neg \text{has-disc disc ms}$  .
  with  $\langle \text{normalized-nnf-match ms} \rangle$  have normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) P ms
  by(induction (disc, sel) P ms rule: normalized-n-primitive.induct) simp-all

  from  $\langle \text{wf-disc-sel } (disc, sel) C \rangle$  have  $(\text{sel } (C spt)) = spt$  by(simp add: wf-disc-sel.simps)
  with np  $\langle spt \in \text{set } (f as) \rangle$  have P (sel (C spt)) by simp

show normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) P m'

```

```

    apply(simp add: ⟨m' = MatchAnd (Match (C spt)) ms⟩)
    apply(rule conjI)
    apply(simp-all add: ⟨normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) P ms⟩)
    apply(simp add: ⟨P (sel (C spt))⟩)
  done
qed
qed

lemma normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m  $\implies$  normalized-nnf-match m
  apply(induction disc-sel f m rule: normalized-n-primitive.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  oops

lemma remove-unknowns-generic-not-has-disc:  $\neg$  has-disc C m  $\implies$   $\neg$  has-disc C
(remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  a m)
  by(induction  $\gamma$  a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct) (simp-all)

lemma remove-unknowns-generic-normalized-n-primitive: normalized-n-primitive
disc-sel f m  $\implies$ 
  normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f (remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  a m)
  apply(induction  $\gamma$  a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  by(case-tac disc-sel, simp)

end
theory Ports-Normalize
imports Common-Primitive-Matcher
        Primitive-Normalization
begin

```

27.2 Normalizing ports

```

fun ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize :: ipt-ports negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ports where
  ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize (Pos ps) = ps |
  ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize (Neg ps) = br2l (wordinterval-invert (l2br ps))

lemma ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize (Neg [(0,65535)]) = [] by eval

declare ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps[simp del]

lemma ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize-correct:
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (negation-type-to-match-expr-f (Src-Ports)
ps) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (Match (Src-Ports (ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize
ps))) a p
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (negation-type-to-match-expr-f (Dst-Ports)

```



```

ps) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (Match (Dst-Ports (ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize
ps)))) a p
  apply(case-tac [!] ps)
  apply(simp-all add: ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple
    bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches bool-to-ternary-simps l2br-br2l ports-to-set-wordinterval
split: ternaryvalue.split)
  done

```

ipt-ports list \Rightarrow ipt-ports

definition *ipt-ports-andlist-compress* :: ('a::len word \times 'a::len word) list list \Rightarrow ('a::len word \times 'a::len word) list **where**
ipt-ports-andlist-compress pss = br2l (fold (λ ps accu. (wordinterval-intersection (l2br ps) accu)) pss wordinterval-UNIV)

lemma *ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct*: ports-to-set (ipt-ports-andlist-compress pss) = \bigcap set (map ports-to-set pss)

proof –
{ **fix** accu
have ports-to-set (br2l (fold (λ ps accu. (wordinterval-intersection (l2br ps) accu)) pss accu)) = (\bigcap set (map ports-to-set pss)) \cap (ports-to-set (br2l accu))
apply(induction pss arbitrary: accu)
apply(simp-all add: ports-to-set-wordinterval l2br-br2l)
by fast
}
from this[of wordinterval-UNIV] **show** ?thesis
unfolding ipt-ports-andlist-compress-def **by**(simp add: ports-to-set-wordinterval l2br-br2l)
qed

definition *ipt-ports-compress* :: ipt-ports negation-type list \Rightarrow ipt-ports **where**
ipt-ports-compress pss = ipt-ports-andlist-compress (map ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize pss)

lemma *ipt-ports-compress-src-correct*:
matches (common-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src-Ports ms)) a p \longleftrightarrow
matches (common-matcher, α) (Match (Src-Ports (ipt-ports-compress ms))) a p
proof(induction ms)
case Nil **thus** ?case **by**(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct)
next
case (Cons m ms)
thus ?case (is ?goal)
proof(cases m)
case Pos **thus** ?goal **using** Cons.IH
by(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct)

```

bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
  ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps)
next
case (Neg a)
  thus ?goal using Cons.IH
  apply(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct
bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary)
  apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple bool-to-ternary-simps
l2br-br2l
ports-to-set-wordinterval ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps
split: ternaryvalue.split)
done
qed
qed
lemma ipt-ports-compress-dst-correct:
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst-Ports ms)) a  $p \longleftrightarrow$ 
matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (Match (Dst-Ports (ipt-ports-compress ms))) a p
proof(induction ms)
  case Nil thus ?case by(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct)
  next
  case (Cons m ms)
  thus ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases m)
    case Pos thus ?goal using Cons.IH
    by(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct
bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps)
  next
  case (Neg a)
  thus ?goal using Cons.IH
  apply(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct
bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary)
  apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple bool-to-ternary-simps
l2br-br2l ports-to-set-wordinterval
ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps split: ternaryvalue.split)
done
qed
qed

```

```

lemma ipt-ports-compress-matches-set: matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (Match
(Src-Ports (ipt-ports-compress ips))) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  p-sport p  $\in \bigcap$  set (map (ports-to-set  $\circ$  ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize)
ips)
apply(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def)
apply(induction ips)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: ipt-ports-compress-def bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct)

```

```

apply(rename-tac m ms)
apply(case-tac m)
apply(simp add: ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary ipt-ports-negation-type-normalize.simps)
apply(simp add: ipt-ports-andlist-compress-correct bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary)
done

```

```

lemma singletonize-SrcDst-Ports: match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map ( $\lambda spt.$ 
(MatchAnd (Match (Src-Ports [spt]))) ms) (spts)) a  $p \longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd (Match (Src-Ports spts)) ms) a
p
  match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map ( $\lambda spt.$  (MatchAnd (Match (Dst-Ports
[spt]))) ms) (dpts)) a  $p \longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst-Ports dpts)) ms)
a p
apply(simp-all add: match-list-matches bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1)
multiports-disjunction)
done

```

```

value case primitive-extractor (is-Src-Ports, src-ports-sel) m
  of (spts, rst)  $\Rightarrow$  map ( $\lambda spt.$  (MatchAnd (Match (Src-Ports [spt]))) rst)
(ipt-ports-compress spts)

```

Normalizing match expressions such that at most one port will exist in it.
Returns a list of match expressions (splits one firewall rule into several rules).

definition normalize-ports-step :: ((common-primitive \Rightarrow bool) \times (common-primitive \Rightarrow ipt-ports)) \Rightarrow

(ipt-ports \Rightarrow common-primitive) \Rightarrow
common-primitive match-expr \Rightarrow common-primitive

match-expr list **where**

normalize-ports-step (disc-sel) C = normalize-primitive-extract disc-sel C ($\lambda me.$
map ($\lambda pt.$ [pt]) (ipt-ports-compress me))

definition normalize-src-ports :: common-primitive match-expr \Rightarrow common-primitive
match-expr list **where**

normalize-src-ports = normalize-ports-step (is-Src-Ports, src-ports-sel) Src-Ports

definition normalize-dst-ports :: common-primitive match-expr \Rightarrow common-primitive
match-expr list **where**

normalize-dst-ports = normalize-ports-step (is-Dst-Ports, dst-ports-sel) Dst-Ports

lemma normalize-ports-step-Src: **assumes** normalized-nnf-match m **shows**
match-list (common-matcher, α) (normalize-src-ports m) a p \longleftrightarrow matches
(common-matcher, α) m a p

```

proof –
  { fix ml
    have match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map (Match  $\circ$  Src-Ports) (map ( $\lambda pt.$ 
[pt]) (ipt-ports-compress ml))) a p =
```

$$\text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map Src-Ports ml})) a p$$

```

    by (simp add: match-list-matches ipt-ports-compress-src-correct multiports-disjunction)
  } with normalize-primitive-extract[OF assms wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(1),
where  $\gamma = (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha)$ ]
  show ?thesis
  unfolding normalize-src-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def by simp
qed

```

lemma *normalize-ports-step-Dst*: **assumes** *normalized-nnf-match m* **shows**
 $\text{match-list } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{normalize-dst-ports } m) a p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) m a p$

```

proof –
  { fix ml
    have match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map (Match  $\circ$  Dst-Ports) (map
( $\lambda pt.$  [pt]) (ipt-ports-compress ml))) a p =
```

$$\text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map Dst-Ports ml})) a p$$

```

    by (simp add: match-list-matches ipt-ports-compress-dst-correct multiports-disjunction)
  } with normalize-primitive-extract[OF assms wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(2),
where  $\gamma = (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha)$ ]
  show ?thesis
  unfolding normalize-dst-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def by simp
qed

```

```

value normalized-nnf-match (MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)])))
(Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)])))
value normalize-src-ports (MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match (Src-Ports [(5,9)])))
(Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)])))

```

```

value normalize-src-ports (MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match (Prot (Proto TCP))))
(Match (Prot (ProtoAny))))

```

```

fun normalized-src-ports :: common-primitive match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  normalized-src-ports MatchAny = True |
  normalized-src-ports (Match (Src-Ports [])) = True |
  normalized-src-ports (Match (Src-Ports [-])) = True |
  normalized-src-ports (Match (Src-Ports -)) = False |
  normalized-src-ports (Match -) = True |
  normalized-src-ports (MatchNot (Match (Src-Ports -))) = False |
  normalized-src-ports (MatchNot (Match -)) = True |
  normalized-src-ports (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (normalized-src-ports m1  $\wedge$  normalized-src-ports
m2) |
  normalized-src-ports (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = False |
  normalized-src-ports (MatchNot (MatchNot -)) = False |

```

normalized-src-ports (*MatchNot MatchAny*) = *True*

fun *normalized-dst-ports* :: *common-primitive match-expr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
normalized-dst-ports MatchAny = *True* |
normalized-dst-ports (*Match* (*Dst-Ports* [])) = *True* |
normalized-dst-ports (*Match* (*Dst-Ports* [-])) = *True* |
normalized-dst-ports (*Match* (*Dst-Ports* -)) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ports (*Match* -) = *True* |
normalized-dst-ports (*MatchNot* (*Match* (*Dst-Ports* -))) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ports (*MatchNot* (*Match* -)) = *True* |
normalized-dst-ports (*MatchAnd* *m1 m2*) = (*normalized-dst-ports m1* \wedge *normalized-dst-ports m2*) |
normalized-dst-ports (*MatchNot* (*MatchAnd* - -)) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ports (*MatchNot* (*MatchNot* -)) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ports (*MatchNot MatchAny*) = *True*

lemma *normalized-src-ports-def2*: *normalized-src-ports ms* = *normalized-n-primitive* (*is-Src-Ports*, *src-ports-sel*) (λ pts. *length pts* ≤ 1) *ms*
by(*induction ms rule: normalized-src-ports.induct, simp-all*)
lemma *normalized-dst-ports-def2*: *normalized-dst-ports ms* = *normalized-n-primitive* (*is-Dst-Ports*, *dst-ports-sel*) (λ pts. *length pts* ≤ 1) *ms*
by(*induction ms rule: normalized-dst-ports.induct, simp-all*)

lemma *normalized-nnf-match-MatchNot-D*: *normalized-nnf-match* (*MatchNot m*)
 \Rightarrow *normalized-nnf-match m*
apply(*induction m*)
apply(*simp-all*)
done

lemma \forall *spt* \in *set* (*ipt-ports-compress spts*). *normalized-src-ports* (*Match* (*Src-Ports* [*spt*])) **by**(*simp*)

lemma *normalize-src-ports-normalized-n-primitive*: *normalized-nnf-match m* \Rightarrow

\forall *m'* \in *set* (*normalize-src-ports m*). *normalized-src-ports m'*
unfolding *normalize-src-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def*
unfolding *normalized-src-ports-def2*
apply(*rule normalize-primitive-extract-normalizes-n-primitive* [*OF - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive* (1)])
by(*simp-all*)

lemma *normalized-nnf-match m* \Rightarrow

```

     $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-src-ports } m). \text{normalized-src-ports } m' \wedge \text{normalized-nnf-match } m'$ 
  apply(intro ballI, rename-tac mn)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(simp add: normalize-src-ports-normalized-n-primitive)
  unfolding normalize-src-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def
  unfolding normalized-dst-ports-def2
  by(auto dest: normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized[OF - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(1)])

lemma normalize-dst-ports-normalized-n-primitive: normalized-nnf-match m  $\implies$ 
   $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-dst-ports } m). \text{normalized-dst-ports } m'$ 
  unfolding normalize-dst-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def
  unfolding normalized-dst-ports-def2
  apply(rule normalize-primitive-extract-normalizes-n-primitive[OF - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(2)])
  by(simp-all)

lemma normalized-nnf-match m  $\implies$  normalized-dst-ports m  $\implies$ 
   $\forall mn \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-src-ports } m). \text{normalized-dst-ports } mn$ 
  unfolding normalized-dst-ports-def2 normalize-src-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def
  apply(frule(1) normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive[OF
  -- wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(1), where f=( $\lambda me. \text{map } (\lambda pt. [pt])$ ) (ipt-ports-compress me)])])
  apply(simp-all)
done

end
theory IpAddresses-Normalize
imports Common-Primitive-Matcher
        ../Bitmagic/Numberwang-Ln
        ../Bitmagic/CIDRSplit
        Primitive-Normalization
begin

```

27.3 Normalizing IP Addresses

```

fun normalized-src-ips :: common-primitive match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  normalized-src-ips MatchAny = True |
  normalized-src-ips (Match -) = True |
  normalized-src-ips (MatchNot (Match (Src -))) = False |
  normalized-src-ips (MatchNot (Match -)) = True |
  normalized-src-ips (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (normalized-src-ips m1  $\wedge$  normalized-src-ips
  m2) |
  normalized-src-ips (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = False |
  normalized-src-ips (MatchNot (MatchNot -)) = False |
  normalized-src-ips (MatchNot (MatchAny)) = True

```

lemma *normalized-src-ips-def2*: *normalized-src-ips ms = normalized-n-primitive*

(*is-Src*, *src-sel*) ($\lambda ip. True$) *ms*
by(*induction ms rule: normalized-src-ips.induct, simp-all*)

fun *normalized-dst-ips* :: *common-primitive match-expr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
normalized-dst-ips MatchAny = *True* |
normalized-dst-ips (Match -) = *True* |
normalized-dst-ips (MatchNot (Match (Dst -))) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ips (MatchNot (Match -)) = *True* |
normalized-dst-ips (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (*normalized-dst-ips m1* \wedge *normalized-dst-ips m2*) |
normalized-dst-ips (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ips (MatchNot (MatchNot -)) = *False* |
normalized-dst-ips (MatchNot MatchAny) = *True*

lemma *normalized-dst-ips-def2*: *normalized-dst-ips ms* = *normalized-n-primitive*
(*is-Dst*, *dst-sel*) ($\lambda ip. True$) *ms*
by(*induction ms rule: normalized-dst-ips.induct, simp-all*)

fun *l2br-negation-type-intersect* :: ('*a*::*len word* \times '*a*::*len word*) *negation-type list*
 \Rightarrow '*a*::*len wordinterval* **where**
l2br-negation-type-intersect [] = *wordinterval-UNIV* |
l2br-negation-type-intersect ((Pos (s,e))#ls) = *wordinterval-intersection (WordInterval s e) (l2br-negation-type-intersect ls)* |
l2br-negation-type-intersect ((Neg (s,e))#ls) = *wordinterval-intersection (wordinterval-invert (WordInterval s e)) (l2br-negation-type-intersect ls)*

lemma *l2br-negation-type-intersect-alt*: *wordinterval-to-set (l2br-negation-type-intersect l)* =
wordinterval-to-set (wordinterval-setminus (l2br-intersect (getPos l)) (l2br (getNeg l)))
apply(*simp add: l2br-intersect l2br*)
apply(*induction l rule :l2br-negation-type-intersect.induct*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*fast*)
done

lemma *l2br-negation-type-intersect*: *wordinterval-to-set (l2br-negation-type-intersect l)* =
 $(\bigcap (i,j) \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } l). \{i .. j\}) - (\bigcup (i,j) \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } l). \{i .. j\})$
by(*simp add: l2br-negation-type-intersect-alt l2br-intersect l2br*)

definition *ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect* :: *ipt-ipv4range negation-type list* \Rightarrow *32 wordinterval* **where**
ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect l = *l2br-negation-type-intersect (NegPos-map ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall l)*

lemma *ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect*: *wordinterval-to-set (ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect l) =*

$$(\bigcap ip \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } l). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip) - (\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } l). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip)$$

apply (*simp add: ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect-def l2br-negation-type-intersect NegPos-map-simps*)
using *ipt-ipv4range-to-intervall* **by** *blast*

definition *br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list* :: *32 wordinterval \Rightarrow ipt-ipv4range list*
where
br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list r = map (λ (base, len). Ip4AddrNetmask (dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr base) len) (ipv4range-split r)

lemma *br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list*: $(\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list } r). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip) = \text{wordinterval-to-set } r$
proof –

have $\bigwedge a. \text{ipv4s-to-set } (\text{case } a \text{ of } (base, x) \Rightarrow \text{Ip4AddrNetmask } (\text{dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr } base) x) = (\text{case } a \text{ of } (x, xa) \Rightarrow \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } x xa)$
by (*clarsimp simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal-dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr*)
hence $(\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list } r). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip) = \bigcup ((\lambda(x, y). \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } x y) \text{ ` set } (\text{ipv4range-split } r))$
unfolding *br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list-def* **by** (*simp*)
thus *?thesis*
using *ipv4range-split-bitmask* **by** *presburger*
qed

definition *ipt-ipv4range-compress* :: *ipt-ipv4range negation-type list \Rightarrow ipt-ipv4range list* **where**
ipt-ipv4range-compress = br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list \circ ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect

value *normalize-primitive-extract disc-sel C ipt-ipv4range-compress m*
value *normalize-primitive-extract (is-Src, src-sel) Src ipt-ipv4range-compress*
(MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)]))) (Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)])))

value *normalize-primitive-extract (is-Src, src-sel) Src ipt-ipv4range-compress*
(MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 2)))) (Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)])))

value *normalize-primitive-extract (is-Src, src-sel) Src ipt-ipv4range-compress*
(MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 2))) (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 8))) (Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)]))))

value *normalize-primitive-extract (is-Src, src-sel) Src ipt-ipv4range-compress*
(MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 2))) (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192,0,0,0) 8))) (Match (Src-Ports [(1,2)]))))

lemma *ipt-ipv4range-compress*: $(\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{ipt-ipv4range-compress } l). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip) =$
 $(\bigcap ip \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } l). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip) - (\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } l). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip)$
by (*metis br-2-cidr-ipt-ipv4range-list comp-apply ipt-ipv4range-compress-def ipt-ipv4range-negation-type-to-br-intersect*)

definition *normalize-src-ips* :: *common-primitive match-expr* \Rightarrow *common-primitive match-expr list* **where**
normalize-src-ips = *normalize-primitive-extract* (*common-primitive.is-Src*, *src-sel*)
common-primitive.Src ipt-ipv4range-compress

lemma *ipt-ipv4range-compress-src-matching*: *match-list* (*common-matcher*, α)
 $(\text{map } (\text{Match} \circ \text{Src}) (\text{ipt-ipv4range-compress } ml)) a p \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map Src } ml)) a p$
proof –
have $\text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map common-primitive.Src } ml)) a p \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ml). \text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{Match } (\text{Src } m)))$
 $a p) \wedge$
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ml). \text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } (\text{Src } m)))) a p)$
by (*induction ml rule: alist-and.induct*) (*auto simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow p\text{-src } p \in (\bigcap ip \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ml). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip) -$
 $(\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ml). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip)$
by (*simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow p\text{-src } p \in (\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{ipt-ipv4range-compress } ml). \text{ipv4s-to-set } ip)$ **using** *ipt-ipv4range-compress* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\exists ip \in \text{set } (\text{ipt-ipv4range-compress } ml). \text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{Match } (\text{Src } ip))) a p)$
by (*simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst*)
finally show *?thesis* **using** *match-list-matches* **by** *fastforce*
qed
lemma *normalize-src-ips: normalized-nnf-match* $m \Rightarrow$
 $\text{match-list } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{normalize-src-ips } m) a p = \text{matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) m a p$
unfolding *normalize-src-ips-def*
using *normalize-primitive-extract* [*OF - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(3)*], **where**
 $f = \text{ipt-ipv4range-compress}$ **and** $\gamma = (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha)$
ipt-ipv4range-compress-src-matching **by** *simp*

lemma *normalize-src-ips-normalized-n-primitive*: *normalized-nnf-match* $m \Rightarrow$
 $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-src-ips } m). \text{normalized-src-ips } m'$
unfolding *normalize-src-ips-def*
unfolding *normalized-src-ips-def2*

apply(rule normalize-primitive-extract-normalizes-n-primitive[*OF* - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(3)])
by(simp-all)

definition normalize-dst-ips :: common-primitive match-expr \Rightarrow common-primitive
match-expr list **where**
normalize-dst-ips = normalize-primitive-extract (common-primitive.is-Dst, dst-sel)
common-primitive.Dst ipt-ipv4range-compress

lemma ipt-ipv4range-compress-dst-matching: match-list (common-matcher, α)
(map (Match \circ Dst) (ipt-ipv4range-compress ml)) a p \longleftrightarrow
matches (common-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst ml)) a p
proof –
have matches (common-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map common-primitive.Dst
ml)) a p \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ml). \text{ matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{Match } (\text{Dst } m)))$
a p) \wedge
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ml). \text{ matches } (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha) (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match}$
(Dst m)))) a p)
by(induction ml rule: alist-and.induct) (auto simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches
ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary)
also have ... \longleftrightarrow p-dst p \in $(\bigcap ip \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ml). \text{ ipv4s-to-set } ip) -$
 $(\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ml). \text{ ipv4s-to-set } ip)$
by(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)
also have ... \longleftrightarrow p-dst p \in $(\bigcup ip \in \text{set } (\text{ipt-ipv4range-compress } ml).$
ipv4s-to-set ip) **using** ipt-ipv4range-compress **by** presburger
also have ... \longleftrightarrow $(\exists ip \in \text{set } (\text{ipt-ipv4range-compress } ml). \text{ matches } (\text{common-matcher},$
 $\alpha) (\text{Match } (\text{Dst } ip)))$ a p)
by(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
finally show ?thesis **using** match-list-matches **by** fastforce
qed
lemma normalize-dst-ips: normalized-nnf-match m \Longrightarrow
match-list (common-matcher, α) (normalize-dst-ips m) a p = matches (common-matcher,
 α) m a p
unfolding normalize-dst-ips-def
using normalize-primitive-extract[*OF* - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(4)], **where**
f=ipt-ipv4range-compress **and** γ =(common-matcher, α)
ipt-ipv4range-compress-dst-matching **by** simp

Normalizing the dst ips preserves the normalized src ips

lemma normalized-nnf-match m \Longrightarrow normalized-src-ips m \Longrightarrow $\forall mn \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-dst-ips}$
m). normalized-src-ips mn
unfolding normalize-dst-ips-def
unfolding normalized-src-ips-def2
apply(rule normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive)
by(simp-all)

lemma *normalize-dst-ips-normalized-n-primitive: normalized-nnf-match m \Rightarrow*
 $\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-dst-ips } m). \text{normalized-dst-ips } m'$
unfolding *normalize-dst-ips-def*
unfolding *normalized-dst-ips-def2*
apply(*rule normalize-primitive-extract-normalizes-n-primitive*[*OF - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive*(4)])
by(*simp-all*)

27.4 Inverting single network ranges

unused

fun *ipt-ipv4range-invert* :: *ipt-ipv4range \Rightarrow (ipv4addr \times nat) list where*
ipt-ipv4range-invert (Ip4Addr addr) = ipv4range-split (wordinterval-invert (ipv4range-single
(ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal addr))) |
ipt-ipv4range-invert (Ip4AddrNetmask base len) = ipv4range-split (wordinterval-invert
(prefix-to-range (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal base AND NOT mask (32 - len),
len)))

lemma *cornys-hacky-call-to-prefix-to-range-to-start-with-a-valid-prefix: valid-prefix*
(base AND NOT mask (32 - len), len)
apply(*simp add: valid-prefix-def pfxm-mask-def pfxm-length-def pfxm-prefix-def*)
by (*metis mask-and-not-mask-helper*)

lemma *ipt-ipv4range-invert-case-Ip4Addr: ipt-ipv4range-invert (Ip4Addr addr)*
 $= \text{ipt-ipv4range-invert } (\text{Ip4AddrNetmask } \text{addr } 32)$
apply(*simp add: prefix-to-range-ipv4range-range pfxm-prefix-def ipv4range-single-def*)
apply(*subgoal-tac pfxm-mask (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal addr, 32) = (0::ipv4addr)*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-range-def*)
apply(*simp add: pfxm-mask-def pfxm-length-def*)
done

lemma *ipt-ipv4range-invert-case-Ip4AddrNetmask:*
 $(\bigcup ((\lambda (base, len). \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } base \text{ len}) \text{ ' (set (ipt-ipv4range-invert$
 $(\text{Ip4AddrNetmask } base \text{ len}))) \text{ '})) =$
 $\quad - (\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } (\text{ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal } base) \text{ len})$
proof –
{ fix r
have $\forall pfx \in \text{set } (\text{ipv4range-split } (\text{wordinterval-invert } r)). \text{valid-prefix } pfx$
using *all-valid-Ball* **by** *blast*
with *prefix-bitrang-list-union* **have**
 $\bigcup ((\lambda (base, len). \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } base \text{ len}) \text{ ' set (ipv4range-split$
 $(\text{wordinterval-invert } r))) =$
 $\quad \text{wordinterval-to-set } (\text{list-to-wordinterval } (\text{map } \text{prefix-to-range } (\text{ipv4range-split}$
 $(\text{wordinterval-invert } r)))) \text{ by } \text{simp}$

```

    also have ... = wordinterval-to-set (wordinterval-invert r)
    unfolding wordinterval-eq-set-eq[symmetric] using ipv4range-split-union[of
(wordinterval-invert r)] ipv4range-eq-def by simp
    also have ... = - wordinterval-to-set r by auto
    finally have  $\bigcup ((\lambda (base, len). \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } base \text{ len}) \text{ ` set }
(\text{ipv4range-split } (\text{wordinterval-invert } r))) = - \text{wordinterval-to-set } r$  .
  } from this[of (prefix-to-range (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal base AND NOT mask
(32 - len), len))]
  show ?thesis
  apply(simp only: ipt-ipv4range-invert.simps)
  apply(simp add: prefix-to-range-set-eq)
  apply(simp add: cornys-hacky-call-to-prefix-to-range-to-start-with-a-valid-prefix
pfm-length-def pfm-prefix-def wordinterval-to-set-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask)
  apply(thin-tac ?X)
  by (metis ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt1 ipv4range-set-from-netmask-base-mask-consume
maskshift-eq-not-mask)
qed

```

```

lemma ipt-ipv4range-invert:  $(\bigcup ((\lambda (base, len). \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } base \text{ len}) \text{ ` (set }
(\text{ipt-ipv4range-invert } ips))))) = - \text{ipv4s-to-set } ips$ 
  apply(cases ips)
  apply(simp-all only:)
  prefer 2
  using ipt-ipv4range-invert-case-IPv4AddrNetmask apply simp
  apply(subst ipt-ipv4range-invert-case-IPv4Addr)
  apply(subst ipt-ipv4range-invert-case-IPv4AddrNetmask)
  apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-32)
  done

```

```

lemma matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchNot (Match (Src ip))) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
p-src p  $\in$  (- (ipv4s-to-set ip))
  using match-simplmatcher-SrcDst-not by simp
lemma match-list-match-SrcDst:
  match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map (Match  $\circ$  Src) (ips::ipt-ipv4range list))
a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-src p  $\in$  ( $\bigcup$  (ipv4s-to-set ` (set ips)))
  match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map (Match  $\circ$  Dst) (ips::ipt-ipv4range list))
a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-dst p  $\in$  ( $\bigcup$  (ipv4s-to-set ` (set ips)))
  by(simp-all add: match-list-matches match-simplmatcher-SrcDst)

```

```

lemma match-list-ipt-ipv4range-invert:
  match-list (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (map (Match  $\circ$  Src  $\circ$  ( $\lambda(ip, n). \text{IPv4AddrNetmask }
(\text{dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr } ip) \text{ n})) (\text{ipt-ipv4range-invert } ip)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchNot (Match (Src ip))) a p (is ?m1
= ?m2)
  proof -
  {fix ips
  have ipv4s-to-set ` set (map ( $\lambda(ip, n). \text{IPv4AddrNetmask } (\text{dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr }
ip) \text{ n}) ips) =$$ 
```

```

      (λ(ip, n). ipv4range-set-from-bitmask ip n) ‘ set ips
    apply(induction ips)
    apply(simp)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal-dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr)
    done
  } note myheper=this[of (ipt-ipv4range-invert ip)]

  from match-list-match-SrcDst[of - map (λ(ip, n). Ip4AddrNetmask (dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr
ip) n) (ipt-ipv4range-invert ip)] have
    ?m1 = (p-src p ∈ ∪(ipv4s-to-set ‘ set (map (λ(ip, n). Ip4AddrNetmask
(dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr ip) n) (ipt-ipv4range-invert ip)))) by simp
    also have ... = (p-src p ∈ ∪((λ(base, len). ipv4range-set-from-bitmask base
len) ‘ set (ipt-ipv4range-invert ip))) using myheper by presburger
    also have ... = (p-src p ∈ - ipv4s-to-set ip) using ipt-ipv4range-invert[of
ip] by simp
    also have ... = ?m2 using match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma matches (common-matcher, α) (match-list-to-match-expr
  (map (Match ∘ Src ∘ (λ(ip, n). Ip4AddrNetmask (dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr
ip) n)) (ipt-ipv4range-invert ip))) a p ⟷
  matches (common-matcher, α) (MatchNot (Match (Src ip))) a p
  apply(subst match-list-ipt-ipv4range-invert[symmetric])
  apply(simp add: match-list-to-match-expr-disjunction)
  done

end
theory Transform
imports Common-Primitive-Matcher
  ../Semantics-Ternary/Semantics-Ternary
  ../Semantics-Ternary/Negation-Type-Matching
  ../Primitive-Matchers/Ports-Normalize
  ../Primitive-Matchers/IpAddresses-Normalize
begin

```

definition *transform-optimize-dnf-strict* :: *common-primitive rule list* \Rightarrow *common-primitive rule list* **where**

transform-optimize-dnf-strict = *optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr* \circ
normalize-rules-dnf \circ (*optimize-matches* (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* \circ
optimize-primitive-univ))

lemma *normalized-n-primitive-opt-MatchAny-match-expr*: *normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m* \Rightarrow *normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f (opt-MatchAny-match-expr m)*

proof –
{ **fix** *disc*::('a \Rightarrow bool) **and** *sel*::('a \Rightarrow 'b) **and** *n m1 m2*
have *normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n (opt-MatchAny-match-expr m1)* \Rightarrow
normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n (opt-MatchAny-match-expr m2) \Rightarrow
normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n m1 \wedge *normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel)*
n m2 \Rightarrow
normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) n (opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd
m1 m2))
by(*induction (MatchAnd m1 m2) rule: opt-MatchAny-match-expr.induct*) (*auto*)
}note *x=this*
assume *normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m*
thus *?thesis*
apply(*induction disc-sel f m rule: normalized-n-primitive.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
using *x* **by** *simp*
qed

theorem *transform-optimize-dnf-strict*: **assumes** *simplers: simple-ruleset rs* **and**
wf α : *wf-unknown-match-tac* α

shows (*common-matcher*, α), *p* \vdash \langle *transform-optimize-dnf-strict rs, s* $\rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$
 \longleftrightarrow (*common-matcher*, α), *p* \vdash \langle *rs, s* $\rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$
and *simple-ruleset (transform-optimize-dnf-strict rs)*
and $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set } rs. \neg \text{has-disc } C m \Rightarrow \forall m \in \text{get-match ' set}$
(transform-optimize-dnf-strict rs). \neg has-disc } C m
and $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set (transform-optimize-dnf-strict rs). normalized-nnf-match}$
m
and $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set } rs. \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m} \Rightarrow$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set (transform-optimize-dnf-strict rs). normalized-n-primitive}$
disc-sel f m

proof –
let *? γ* =(*common-matcher*, α)
let *?fw*= $\lambda rs. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } ?\gamma p rs s$

have *simplers1: simple-ruleset (optimize-matches (opt-MatchAny-match-expr*
 \circ *optimize-primitive-univ) rs)*
using *simplers optimize-matches-simple-ruleset* **by** (*metis*)

```

show simplers-transform: simple-ruleset (transform-optimize-dnf-strict rs)
  unfolding transform-optimize-dnf-strict-def
  using simplers optimize-matches-simple-ruleset simple-ruleset-normalize-rules-dnf
by (metis comp-apply)

have 1:  $? \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff ?fw\ rs = t$ 
  using approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[OF simple-imp-good-ruleset[OF
simplers]] by fast

have  $?fw\ rs = ?fw\ (optimize-matches\ (opt-MatchAny-match-expr \circ optimize-primitive-univ)\$ 
rs)
  apply(rule optimize-matches[symmetric])
  using optimize-primitive-univ-correct-matchexpr opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct
by (metis comp-apply)
  also have  $\dots = ?fw\ (normalize-rules-dnf\ (optimize-matches\ (opt-MatchAny-match-expr$ 
 $\circ optimize-primitive-univ)\ rs))$ 
    apply(rule normalize-rules-dnf-correct[symmetric])
    using simplers1 by (metis good-imp-wf-ruleset simple-imp-good-ruleset)
  also have  $\dots = ?fw\ (optimize-matches\ opt-MatchAny-match-expr\ (normalize-rules-dnf$ 
 $(optimize-matches\ (opt-MatchAny-match-expr \circ optimize-primitive-univ)\ rs)))$ 
    apply(rule optimize-matches[symmetric])
    using opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct by (metis)
  finally have  $rs: ?fw\ rs = ?fw\ (transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ rs)$ 
    unfolding transform-optimize-dnf-strict-def by auto

have 2:  $?fw\ (transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ rs) = t \iff ? \gamma, p \vdash \langle transform-optimize-dnf-strict$ 
 $rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
  using approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[OF simple-imp-good-ruleset[OF
simplers-transform], symmetric] by fast
  from 1 2 rs show  $? \gamma, p \vdash \langle transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff ? \gamma, p \vdash$ 
 $\langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$  by simp

have tf1:  $\bigwedge r\ rs. transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ (r \# rs) =$ 
 $(optimize-matches\ opt-MatchAny-match-expr\ (normalize-rules-dnf\ (optimize-matches$ 
 $(opt-MatchAny-match-expr \circ optimize-primitive-univ)\ [r])))@$ 
 $transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ rs$ 
  unfolding transform-optimize-dnf-strict-def by (simp add: optimize-matches-def)

— if the individual optimization functions preserve a property, then the whole
thing does
{ fix P m
  assume p1:  $\forall m. P\ m \longrightarrow P\ (optimize-primitive-univ\ m)$ 
  assume p2:  $\forall m. P\ m \longrightarrow P\ (opt-MatchAny-match-expr\ m)$ 
  assume p3:  $\forall m. P\ m \longrightarrow (\forall m' \in set\ (normalize-match\ m). P\ m')$ 
  { fix rs
    have  $\forall m \in get-match\ 'set\ rs. P\ m \implies \forall m \in get-match\ 'set\ (optimize-matches$ 
 $(opt-MatchAny-match-expr \circ optimize-primitive-univ)\ rs). P\ m$ 

```

```

    apply(induction rs)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
    apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
    using p1 p2 p3 by simp
  } note opt1=this
  have  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } rs. P m \implies \forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{transform-optimize-dnf-strict } rs). P m$ 
  apply(drule opt1)
  apply(induction rs)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def transform-optimize-dnf-strict-def)
  apply(simp add: tf1 optimize-matches-def)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all)
  using p1 p2 p3 by(simp)
} note matchpred-rule=this

{ fix m
  have  $\neg \text{has-disc } C m \implies \neg \text{has-disc } C (\text{optimize-primitive-univ } m)$ 
  by(induction m rule: optimize-primitive-univ.induct) simp-all
} moreover { fix m
  have  $\neg \text{has-disc } C m \implies \neg \text{has-disc } C (\text{opt-MatchAny-match-expr } m)$ 
  by(induction m rule: opt-MatchAny-match-expr.induct) simp-all
} moreover { fix m
  have  $\neg \text{has-disc } C m \longrightarrow (\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-match } m). \neg \text{has-disc } C m')$ 
  by(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct) (safe,auto) — need safe, otherwise simplifier loops
} ultimately show  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } rs. \neg \text{has-disc } C m \implies \forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (\text{transform-optimize-dnf-strict } rs). \neg \text{has-disc } C m$ 
  using matchpred-rule[of  $\lambda m. \neg \text{has-disc } C m$ ] by fast

{ fix P a
  have  $(\text{optimize-primitive-univ } (\text{Match } a)) = (\text{Match } a) \vee (\text{optimize-primitive-univ } (\text{Match } a)) = \text{MatchAny}$ 
  by(induction (Match a) rule: optimize-primitive-univ.induct) (auto)
  hence  $((\text{optimize-primitive-univ } (\text{Match } a)) = \text{Match } a \implies P a) \implies (\text{optimize-primitive-univ } (\text{Match } a) = \text{MatchAny} \implies P a) \implies P a$  by blast
} note optimize-primitive-univ-match-cases=this

{ fix m
  have  $\text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f m \implies \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f (\text{optimize-primitive-univ } m)$ 
  apply(induction disc-sel f m rule: normalized-n-primitive.induct)
  apply(simp-all split: split-if-asm)
  apply(rule optimize-primitive-univ-match-cases, simp-all)+
  done
} moreover { fix m
  have  $\text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f m \longrightarrow (\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-match } m). \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f m')$ 
  apply(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)

```



```

    apply(simp-all)[2]

    apply(case-tac disc-sel) — no idea why the simplifier loops and this stuff
and stuff and shit
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp)

    apply(safe)
    apply(simp-all)
done
} ultimately show  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel}$ 
 $f\ m \implies$ 
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ rs). \text{normalized-n-primitive}$ 
 $\text{disc-sel } f\ m$ 
    using matchpred-rule[ $\text{of } \lambda m. \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f\ m$ ] normalized-n-primitive-opt-MatchAny-m
by fast

{ fix rs::common-primitive rule list
  { fix m::common-primitive match-expr
    have normalized-nnf-match  $m \implies \text{normalized-nnf-match } (opt-MatchAny-match-expr\ m)$ 
      by(induction m rule: opt-MatchAny-match-expr.induct) (simp-all)
    } note x=this
    from normalize-rules-dnf-normalized-nnf-match[of rs]
    have  $\forall x \in \text{set } (normalize-rules-dnf\ rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match } (get-match\ x)$ 
      .
    hence  $\forall x \in \text{set } (optimize-matches\ opt-MatchAny-match-expr\ (normalize-rules-dnf\ rs)). \text{normalized-nnf-match } (get-match\ x)$ 
      apply(induction rs rule: normalize-rules-dnf.induct)
      apply(simp-all add: optimize-matches-def x)
      using x by fastforce
    }
  thus  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (transform-optimize-dnf-strict\ rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$ 
    unfolding transform-optimize-dnf-strict-def by simp

qed

```

lemma *has-unknowns-common-matcher: has-unknowns common-matcher $m \longleftrightarrow$ has-disc is-Extra m*

proof —

```

{ fix A p
  have common-matcher A p = TernaryUnknown  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Extra A

```

```

    by(induction A p rule: common-matcher.induct) (simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
  } thus ?thesis
  by(induction common-matcher m rule: has-unknowns.induct) (simp-all)
qed

```

definition *transform-remove-unknowns-generic* :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**

```

  transform-remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$  = optimize-matches-a (remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$ )

```

theorem *transform-remove-unknowns-generic*:

assumes *simplers*: simple-ruleset *rs* **and** *wf* α : wf-unknown-match-tac α **and** *packet-independent- α* : packet-independent- α

shows (common-matcher, α).p \vdash \langle transform-remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, α) *rs*, *s* $\rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \longleftrightarrow$ (common-matcher, α).p \vdash \langle *rs*, *s* $\rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$

and simple-ruleset (transform-remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, α) *rs*)

and $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \neg \text{has-disc } C m \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, } \alpha) \text{ } rs). \neg \text{has-disc } C m$

and $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, } \alpha) \text{ } rs). \neg \text{has-unknowns common-matcher } m$

and $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f m \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-remove-unknowns-generic (common-matcher, } \alpha) \text{ } rs). \text{normalized-n-primitive disc-sel } f m$

proof –

let $? \gamma = (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha)$

let $?fw = \lambda rs. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } ? \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$

show *simplers1*: simple-ruleset (transform-remove-unknowns-generic $? \gamma$ *rs*)

unfolding transform-remove-unknowns-generic-def

using *simplers* optimize-matches-a-simple-ruleset **by** blast

show $? \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{transform-remove-unknowns-generic } ? \gamma \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \longleftrightarrow ? \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$

unfolding approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[OF simple-imp-good-ruleset[OF *simplers1*]]

unfolding approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[OF simple-imp-good-ruleset[OF *simplers*]]

unfolding transform-remove-unknowns-generic-def

using optimize-matches-a-simplers[OF *simplers*] remove-unknowns-generic

by metis

{ **fix** *a m*

have $\neg \text{has-disc } C m \implies \neg \text{has-disc } C (\text{remove-unknowns-generic } ? \gamma \text{ } a \text{ } m)$

by(induction $? \gamma \text{ } a \text{ } m$ rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct) simp-all

} **thus** $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \neg \text{has-disc } C m \implies$

$\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-remove-unknowns-generic } ? \gamma \text{ } rs). \neg$

```

has-disc C m
  unfolding transform-remove-unknowns-generic-def
  by(induction rs) (simp-all add: optimize-matches-a-def)

  { fix a m
    have normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m  $\implies$ 
      normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f (remove-unknowns-generic ? $\gamma$  a m)
    by(induction ? $\gamma$  a m rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct) (simp-all, cases
disc-sel, simp)
  } thus  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } rs. \text{ normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m } \implies$ 
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (transform-remove-unknowns-generic ?\gamma rs).$ 
normalized-n-primitive disc-sel f m
  unfolding transform-remove-unknowns-generic-def
  by(induction rs) (simp-all add: optimize-matches-a-def)

  from simplers show  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } (transform-remove-unknowns-generic$ 
(common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) rs).  $\neg \text{has-unknowns common-matcher } m$ 
  unfolding transform-remove-unknowns-generic-def
  apply(induction rs)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-a-def)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-a-def simple-ruleset-tail)
  apply(rule remove-unknowns-generic-specification[OF - packet-independent- $\alpha$ 
packet-independent- $\beta$ -unknown-common-matcher])
  apply(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  done
qed

```

definition *transform-normalize-primitives* :: *common-primitive rule list* \Rightarrow *common-primitive rule list* **where**

```

transform-normalize-primitives =
  normalize-rules normalize-dst-ips  $\circ$ 
  normalize-rules normalize-src-ips  $\circ$ 
  normalize-rules normalize-dst-ports  $\circ$ 
  normalize-rules normalize-src-ports

```

lemma *normalize-rules-match-list-semantics-3*:
assumes $\forall m a. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m \longrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma (f m) a p = \text{matches}$
 $\gamma m a p$
and *simple-ruleset* rs
and *normalized*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match } ' \text{ set } rs. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$
shows *approximating-bigstep-fun* $\gamma p (\text{normalize-rules } f rs) s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$

```

γ p rs s
  apply(rule normalize-rules-match-list-semantics-2)
  using normalized assms(1) apply blast
  using assms(2) by simp

lemma normalize-rules-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized: ∀ m ∈ get-match
  ' set rs. normalized-nnf-match m ⇒ wf-disc-sel disc-sel C ⇒
    ∀ m ∈ get-match ' set (normalize-rules (normalize-primitive-extract disc-sel C
f) rs). normalized-nnf-match m
  apply(rule normalize-rules-preserves[where P=normalized-nnf-match and f=(normalize-primitive-extract
disc-sel C f)])
  apply(simp)
  apply(cases disc-sel)
  using normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized by fast

thm normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive
lemma normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive:
  assumes ∀ m ∈ get-match ' set rs. normalized-nnf-match m ∧ normalized-n-primitive
(disc2, sel2) P m
    and wf-disc-sel (disc1, sel1) C
    and ∀ a. ¬ disc2 (C a)
  shows ∀ m ∈ get-match ' set (normalize-rules (normalize-primitive-extract
(disc1, sel1) C f) rs). normalized-nnf-match m ∧ normalized-n-primitive (disc2,
sel2) P m
  thm normalize-rules-preserves[where P=λm. normalized-nnf-match m ∧ normalized-n-primitive
(disc2, sel2) P m
    and f=normalize-primitive-extract (disc1, sel1) C f]
  apply(rule normalize-rules-preserves[where P=λm. normalized-nnf-match m
∧ normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) P m
    and f=normalize-primitive-extract (disc1, sel1) C f])
  using assms(1) apply(simp)
  apply(safe)
  using normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized[OF - assms(2)]
apply fast
  using normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive[OF
- - assms(2) assms(3)] by blast

lemma normalize-rules-normalized-n-primitive:
  assumes ∀ m ∈ get-match ' set rs. normalized-nnf-match m
    and ∀ m. normalized-nnf-match m →
      (∀ m' ∈ set (normalize-primitive-extract (disc, sel) C f m). normalized-n-primitive
(disc, sel) P m')
  shows ∀ m ∈ get-match ' set (normalize-rules (normalize-primitive-extract
(disc, sel) C f) rs).
    normalized-n-primitive (disc, sel) P m

```

```

apply(rule normalize-rules-property[where  $P = \text{normalized-nnf-match}$  and  $f = \text{normalize-primitive-extract}$ 
(disc, sel)  $C f$ ])
  using assms(1) apply simp
  using assms(2) by simp

theorem transform-normalize-primitives:
  assumes simplers: simple-ruleset rs
    and wf  $\alpha$ : wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha$ 
    and normalized:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$ 
  shows (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ),  $p \vdash \langle \text{transform-normalize-primitives } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow$  (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ),  $p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
    and simple-ruleset (transform-normalize-primitives rs)

    and  $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Src-Ports } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Dst-Ports } a) \implies$ 
       $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Src } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Dst } a) \implies$ 
       $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m \implies \forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set}$ 
      (transform-normalize-primitives rs).  $\neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m$ 
    and  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-normalize-primitives } rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match}$ 
    m
    and  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-normalize-primitives } rs).$ 
      normalized-src-ports m  $\wedge$  normalized-dst-ports m  $\wedge$  normalized-src-ips m
 $\wedge$  normalized-dst-ips m
    and  $\forall a. \neg \text{disc2 } (\text{Src-Ports } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc2 } (\text{Dst-Ports } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc2}$ 
    (Src a)  $\implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc2 } (\text{Dst } a) \implies$ 
       $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{normalized-n-primitive } (\text{disc2}, \text{sel2}) f m \implies$ 
       $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-normalize-primitives } rs). \text{normalized-n-primitive}$ 
      (disc2, sel2) f m
    proof -
      let  $\gamma = (\text{common-matcher}, \alpha)$ 
      let  $\gamma_{fw} = \lambda rs. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma p rs s$ 

    show simplers-t: simple-ruleset (transform-normalize-primitives rs)
      unfolding transform-normalize-primitives-def
      by (simp add: simple-ruleset-normalize-rules simplers)

    let  $?rs1 = \text{normalize-rules normalize-src-ports } rs$ 
    let  $?rs2 = \text{normalize-rules normalize-dst-ports } ?rs1$ 
    let  $?rs3 = \text{normalize-rules normalize-src-ips } ?rs2$ 
    let  $?rs4 = \text{normalize-rules normalize-dst-ips } ?rs3$ 

    from normalize-rules-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized[OF normalized
wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(1)]
      normalize-src-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def
    have normalized-rs1:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs1. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$  by
presburger
    from normalize-rules-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized[OF this wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(2)]
      normalize-dst-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def
    have normalized-rs2:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs2. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$  by

```

```

presburger
  from normalize-rules-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized[OF this wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(3)]
    normalize-src-ips-def
  have normalized-rs3:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs3. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$  by
presburger
  from normalize-rules-primitive-extract-preserves-nnf-normalized[OF this wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(4)]
    normalize-dst-ips-def
  have normalized-rs4:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs4. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$  by
presburger
  thus  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (\text{transform-normalize-primitives } rs). \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$ 
  unfolding transform-normalize-primitives-def by simp

  show  $? \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{transform-normalize-primitives } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \longleftrightarrow ? \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ 
  unfolding approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[OF simple-imp-good-ruleset[OF
simplers-t]]
  unfolding approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[OF simple-imp-good-ruleset[OF
simplers]]
  unfolding transform-normalize-primitives-def
  apply(simp)
  apply(subst normalize-rules-match-list-semantics-3)
    using normalize-dst-ips apply simp
    using simplers simple-ruleset-normalize-rules apply blast
  using normalized-rs3 apply simp
  apply(subst normalize-rules-match-list-semantics-3)
    using normalize-src-ips apply simp
    using simplers simple-ruleset-normalize-rules apply blast
  using normalized-rs2 apply simp
  apply(subst normalize-rules-match-list-semantics-3)
    using normalize-ports-step-Dst apply simp
    using simplers simple-ruleset-normalize-rules apply blast
  using normalized-rs1 apply simp
  apply(subst normalize-rules-match-list-semantics-3)
    using normalize-ports-step-Src apply simp
    using simplers simple-ruleset-normalize-rules apply blast
  using normalized apply simp
  by simp

  from normalize-src-ports-normalized-n-primitive
  have normalized-src-ports:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs1. \text{normalized-src-ports } m$ 
    using normalize-rules-property[OF normalized, where f=normalize-src-ports
and Q=normalized-src-ports] by fast

  from normalize-dst-ports-normalized-n-primitive
    normalize-rules-property[OF normalized-rs1, where f=normalize-dst-ports
and Q=normalized-dst-ports]
  have normalized-dst-ports:  $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs2. \text{normalized-dst-ports } m$ 

```

by *fast*
from *normalize-src-ips-normalized-n-primitive*
normalize-rules-property[*OF* *normalized-rs2*, **where** *f=normalize-src-ips*
and *Q=normalized-src-ips*]
have *normalized-src-ips*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs3. \text{ normalized-src-ips } m$ **by**
fast
from *normalize-dst-ips-normalized-n-primitive*
normalize-rules-property[*OF* *normalized-rs3*, **where** *f=normalize-dst-ips*
and *Q=normalized-dst-ips*]
have *normalized-dst-ips*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs4. \text{ normalized-dst-ips } m$ **by**
fast

from *normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive*[*of - is-Src-Ports*
src-ports-sel ($\lambda pts. \text{length } pts \leq 1$),
folded *normalized-src-ports-def2* *normalize-ports-step-def*]
have *preserve-normalized-src-ports*: $\bigwedge rs \text{ disc sel } C f.$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{ normalized-nnf-match } m \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{ normalized-src-ports } m \implies$
 $wf\text{-disc-sel } (disc, sel) C \implies$
 $\forall a. \neg is\text{-Src-Ports } (C a) \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (normalize\text{-rules } (normalize\text{-primitive-extract } (disc, sel)$
 $C f) rs). \text{ normalized-src-ports } m$
by *metis*
from *preserve-normalized-src-ports*[*OF* *normalized-rs1* *normalized-src-ports* *wf-disc-sel-common-primitive*(2)
where *f*=($\lambda me. \text{map } (\lambda pt. [pt]) \text{ (ipt-ports-compress } me)$),
folded *normalize-ports-step-def* *normalize-dst-ports-def*]
have *normalized-src-ports-rs2*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs2. \text{ normalized-src-ports}$
m **by** *force*
from *preserve-normalized-src-ports*[*OF* *normalized-rs2* *normalized-src-ports-rs2*
wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(3),
where *f*=*ipt-ipv4range-compress*, *folded* *normalize-src-ips-def*]
have *normalized-src-ports-rs3*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs3. \text{ normalized-src-ports}$
m **by** *force*
from *preserve-normalized-src-ports*[*OF* *normalized-rs3* *normalized-src-ports-rs3*
wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(4),
where *f*=*ipt-ipv4range-compress*, *folded* *normalize-dst-ips-def*]
have *normalized-src-ports-rs4*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } ?rs4. \text{ normalized-src-ports}$
m **by** *force*

from *normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive*[*of - is-Dst-Ports*
dst-ports-sel ($\lambda pts. \text{length } pts \leq 1$),
folded *normalized-dst-ports-def2* *normalize-ports-step-def*]
have *preserve-normalized-dst-ports*: $\bigwedge rs \text{ disc sel } C f.$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{ normalized-nnf-match } m \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } rs. \text{ normalized-dst-ports } m \implies$
 $wf\text{-disc-sel } (disc, sel) C \implies$
 $\forall a. \neg is\text{-Dst-Ports } (C a) \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match } \text{'set } (normalize\text{-rules } (normalize\text{-primitive-extract } (disc, sel)$

$C\ f\ rs).$ *normalized-dst-ports* m
by *metis*
from *preserve-normalized-dst-ports*[*OF* *normalized-rs2* *normalized-dst-ports* *wf-disc-sel-common-primitive*(3)
where $f = \text{ipt-ipv4range-compress, folded normalize-src-ips-def}$
have *normalized-dst-ports-rs3*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set ?rs3. normalized-dst-ports}$
 m **by** *force*
from *preserve-normalized-dst-ports*[*OF* *normalized-rs3* *normalized-dst-ports-rs3*
wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(4),
where $f = \text{ipt-ipv4range-compress, folded normalize-dst-ips-def}$
have *normalized-dst-ports-rs4*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set ?rs4. normalized-dst-ports}$
 m **by** *force*

from *normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive*[*of* *?rs3 is-Src*
src-sel $\lambda\cdot$. *True*,
 OF - *wf-disc-sel-common-primitive*(4),
where $f = \text{ipt-ipv4range-compress, folded normalize-dst-ips-def normalized-src-ips-def2}$
 $normalized-rs3$ *normalized-src-ips*
have *normalized-src-rs4*: $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set ?rs4. normalized-src-ips } m$ **by**
force
from *normalized-src-ports-rs4* *normalized-dst-ports-rs4* *normalized-src-rs4* *normalized-dst-ips*
show $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set (transform-normalize-primitives rs).}$
 $normalized-src-ports\ m \wedge normalized-dst-ports\ m \wedge normalized-src-ips\ m$
 $\wedge normalized-dst-ips\ m$
unfolding *transform-normalize-primitives-def* **by** *force*

show $\forall a. \neg disc2\ (Src\text{-}Ports\ a) \implies \forall a. \neg disc2\ (Dst\text{-}Ports\ a) \implies \forall a. \neg$
 $disc2\ (Src\ a) \implies \forall a. \neg disc2\ (Dst\ a) \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set rs. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) } f\ m \implies$
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set (transform-normalize-primitives rs). normalized-n-primitive}$
 $(disc2, sel2) f\ m$
proof –
assume $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set rs. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) } f\ m$
with *normalized* **have** a' : $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set rs. normalized-nnf-match } m \wedge$
 $normalized-n-primitive\ (disc2, sel2) f\ m$ **by** *blast*

assume $a\text{-Src-Ports}$: $\forall a. \neg disc2\ (Src\text{-}Ports\ a)$
assume $a\text{-Dst-Ports}$: $\forall a. \neg disc2\ (Dst\text{-}Ports\ a)$
assume $a\text{-Src}$: $\forall a. \neg disc2\ (Src\ a)$
assume $a\text{-Dst}$: $\forall a. \neg disc2\ (Dst\ a)$

from *normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive*[*OF* a' *wf-disc-sel-common-primitive*(1),
 $of\ (\lambda me. \text{map } (\lambda pt. [pt])\ (\text{ipt-ports-compress } me))$,
 $\text{folded normalize-src-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def}]$ $a\text{-Src-Ports}$
have $\forall m \in \text{get-match 'set ?rs1. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) } f\ m$ **by**
simp
with *normalized-rs1* *normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive*[*OF*
 $wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(2)$ $a\text{-Dst-Ports}$,
 $of\ ?rs1\ sel2\ f\ (\lambda me. \text{map } (\lambda pt. [pt])\ (\text{ipt-ports-compress } me))$,

folded normalize-dst-ports-def normalize-ports-step-def]
have $\forall m \in \text{get-match} \text{ ' set ?rs2. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) f m}$ **by**
 blast
with normalized-rs2 normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive[OF
 - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(3) a-Src,
 of ?rs2 sel2 f ipt-ipv4range-compress,
 folded normalize-src-ips-def]
have $\forall m \in \text{get-match} \text{ ' set ?rs3. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) f m}$ **by**
 blast
with normalized-rs3 normalize-rules-preserves-unrelated-normalized-n-primitive[OF
 - wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(4) a-Dst,
 of ?rs3 sel2 f ipt-ipv4range-compress,
 folded normalize-dst-ips-def]
have $\forall m \in \text{get-match} \text{ ' set ?rs4. normalized-n-primitive (disc2, sel2) f m}$ **by**
 blast
thus ?thesis
unfolding transform-normalize-primitives-def **by** simp
qed

{ fix m and m' and disc::(common-primitive \Rightarrow bool) and sel::(common-primitive
 \Rightarrow 'x) and C': ('x \Rightarrow common-primitive)
and f':('x negation-type list \Rightarrow 'x list)
assume am: $\neg \text{has-disc disc1 m}$
and nm: normalized-nnf-match m
and am': $m' \in \text{set (normalize-primitive-extract (disc, sel) C' f' m)}$
and wfdiscsel: wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C'

and disc-different: $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 (C' a)}$

from disc-different **have** af: $\forall \text{spts. } (\forall a \in \text{Match ' C' ' set (f' spts). } \neg$
 $\text{has-disc disc1 a})$
by(simp)

obtain as ms **where** asms: primitive-extractor (disc, sel) m = (as, ms) **by**
 fastforce

from am' asms **have** m' $\in (\lambda \text{spt. MatchAnd (Match (C' spt)) ms) \text{ ' set}$
 $(f' \text{ as})$
unfolding normalize-primitive-extract-def **by**(simp)
hence goalrule: $\forall \text{spt} \in \text{set (f' as). } \neg \text{has-disc disc1 (Match (C' spt))} \Rightarrow$
 $\neg \text{has-disc disc1 ms} \Rightarrow \neg \text{has-disc disc1 m'}$ **by** fastforce

from am primitive-extractor-correct(4)[OF nm wfdiscsel asms] **have** 1: \neg
 has-disc disc1 ms **by** simp
from af **have** 2: $\forall \text{spt} \in \text{set (f' as). } \neg \text{has-disc disc1 (Match (C' spt))}$ **by**
 simp

```

    from goalrule[OF 2 1] have  $\neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m'$ .
    moreover from nm have normalized-nnf-match  $m'$  by (metis am' normalize-primitive-extract-preserves-
wfdiscsel)
    ultimately have  $\neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m' \wedge \text{normalized-nnf-match } m'$  by simp
  }
  hence  $x: \bigwedge \text{disc sel } C' f'. \text{ wf-disc-sel } (\text{disc}, \text{sel}) C' \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (C' a) \implies$ 
 $\forall m. \text{normalized-nnf-match } m \wedge \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m \longrightarrow (\forall m' \in \text{set } (\text{normalize-primitive-extract}$ 
 $(\text{disc}, \text{sel}) C' f' m). \text{normalized-nnf-match } m' \wedge \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m')$ 
  by blast

```

```

  have  $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Src-Ports } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Dst-Ports } a) \implies$ 
 $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Src } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Dst } a) \implies$ 
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set rs. } \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m \wedge \text{normalized-nnf-match } m$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set } (\text{transform-normalize-primitives rs}). \text{normalized-nnf-match}$ 
 $m \wedge \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m$ 
  unfolding transform-normalize-primitives-def
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule normalize-rules-preserves')+
  apply(simp)
  using x[OF wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(1),
    of ( $\lambda me. \text{map } (\lambda pt. [pt]) (\text{ipt-ports-compress } me)$ ),folded normalize-src-ports-def
normalize-ports-step-def] apply blast
  using x[OF wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(2),
    of ( $\lambda me. \text{map } (\lambda pt. [pt]) (\text{ipt-ports-compress } me)$ ),folded normalize-dst-ports-def
normalize-ports-step-def] apply blast
  using x[OF wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(3), of ipt-ipv4range-compress,folded
normalize-src-ips-def] apply blast
  using x[OF wf-disc-sel-common-primitive(4), of ipt-ipv4range-compress,folded
normalize-dst-ips-def] apply blast
  done

```

```

  thus  $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Src-Ports } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Dst-Ports } a) \implies$ 
 $\forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Src } a) \implies \forall a. \neg \text{disc1 } (\text{Dst } a) \implies$ 
 $\forall m \in \text{get-match ' set rs. } \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m \implies \forall m \in \text{get-match ' set}$ 
 $(\text{transform-normalize-primitives rs}). \neg \text{has-disc disc1 } m$ 
  using normalized by blast
qed

```

```

end
theory SimpleFw-Semantics
imports Main ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr ../Bitmagic/WordInterval-Lists ../Semantics-Ternary/Negation-Type
../Firewall-Common-Decision-State

```

```

../Primitive-Matchers/Iface
../Primitive-Matchers/Protocol
../Primitive-Matchers/Simple-Packet
../Bitmagic/Numberwang-Ln
begin

```

28 Simple Firewall Syntax (IPv4 only)

datatype *simple-action* = *Accept* | *Drop*

Simple match expressions do not allow negated expressions. However, Most match expressions can still be transformed into simple match expressions.

A negated IP address range can be represented as a set of non-negated IP ranges. For example $!8 = \{0..7\} \cup \{8 .. ipv4max\}$. Using CIDR notation (i.e. the *a.b.c.d/n* notation), we can represent negated IP ranges as a set of non-negated IP ranges with only fair blowup. Another handy result is that the conjunction of two IP ranges in CIDR notation is either the smaller of the two ranges or the empty set. An empty IP range cannot be represented. If one wants to represent the empty range, then the complete rule needs to be removed.

The same holds for layer 4 ports. In addition, there exists an empty port range, e.g. $(1,0)$. The conjunction of two port ranges is again just one port range.

But negation of interfaces is not supported. Since interfaces support a wild-card character, transforming a negated interface would either result in an infeasible blowup or requires knowledge about the existing interfaces (e.g. there only is eth0, eth1, wlan3, and vbox42) An empirical test shows that negated interfaces do not occur in our data sets. Negated interfaces can also be considered bad style: What is !eth0? Everything that is not eth0, experience shows that interfaces may come up randomly, in particular in combination with virtual machines, so !eth0 might not be the desired match. At the moment, if an negated interface occurs which prevents translation to a simple match, we recommend to abstract the negated interface to unknown and remove it (upper or lower closure rule set) before translating to a simple match. The same discussion holds for negated protocols.

Noteworthy, simple match expressions are both expressive and support conjunction: $simple-match1 \wedge simple-match2 = simple-match3$

```

record simple-match =
  iiface :: iface — in-interface
  oiface :: iface — out-interface
  src :: (ipv4addr × nat) — source IP address
  dst :: (ipv4addr × nat) — destination
  proto :: protocol
  sports :: (16 word × 16 word) — source-port first:last

```

dports :: (16 word × 16 word) — destination-port first:last

datatype *simple-rule* = SimpleRule *simple-match* *simple-action*

28.1 Simple Firewall Semantics

fun *simple-match-ip* :: (ipv4addr × nat) ⇒ ipv4addr ⇒ bool **where**
simple-match-ip (base, len) *p-ip* ⇔ *p-ip* ∈ *ipv4range-set-from-bitmask* base len

— by the way, the words do not wrap around

lemma {(253::8 word) .. 8} = {} **by** *simp*

fun *simple-match-port* :: (16 word × 16 word) ⇒ 16 word ⇒ bool **where**
simple-match-port (s,e) *p-p* ⇔ *p-p* ∈ {s..e}

fun *simple-matches* :: *simple-match* ⇒ *simple-packet* ⇒ bool **where**
simple-matches *m* *p* ⇔
 (match-iface (iiface *m*) (p-iiface *p*)) ∧
 (match-iface (oiface *m*) (p-oiface *p*)) ∧
 (simple-match-ip (src *m*) (p-src *p*)) ∧
 (simple-match-ip (dst *m*) (p-dst *p*)) ∧
 (match-proto (proto *m*) (p-proto *p*)) ∧
 (simple-match-port (sports *m*) (p-sport *p*)) ∧
 (simple-match-port (dports *m*) (p-dport *p*))

The semantics of a simple firewall: just iterate over the rules sequentially

fun *simple-fw* :: *simple-rule* list ⇒ *simple-packet* ⇒ state **where**
simple-fw [] = Undecided |
simple-fw ((SimpleRule *m* Accept)#rs) *p* = (if *simple-matches* *m* *p* then Decision
 FinalAllow else *simple-fw* rs *p*) |
simple-fw ((SimpleRule *m* Drop)#rs) *p* = (if *simple-matches* *m* *p* then Decision
 FinalDeny else *simple-fw* rs *p*)

definition *simple-match-any* :: *simple-match* **where**
simple-match-any ≡ (iiface=IfaceAny, oiface=IfaceAny, src=(0,0), dst=(0,0),
 proto=ProtoAny, sports=(0,65535), dports=(0,65535))
lemma *simple-match-any*: *simple-matches* *simple-match-any* *p*
proof —
 have (65535::16 word) = max-word **by** (simp add: max-word-def)
 thus ?thesis **by** (simp add: simple-match-any-def ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-0
 match-IfaceAny)
qed

we specify only one empty port range

definition *simple-match-none* :: *simple-match* **where**
simple-match-none ≡ (iiface=IfaceAny, oiface=IfaceAny, src=(1,0), dst=(0,0),
 proto=ProtoAny, sports=(0,65535), dports=(0,65535))
lemma *simple-match-none*: *simple-matches* *simple-match-any* *p*

```

proof –
  have (65535::16 word) = max-word by(simp add: max-word-def)
  thus ?thesis by(simp add: simple-match-any-def ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-0
match-IfaceAny)
qed

```

28.2 Simple Ports

```

fun simpl-ports-conjunct :: (16 word × 16 word) ⇒ (16 word × 16 word) ⇒ (16
word × 16 word) where
  simpl-ports-conjunct (p1s, p1e) (p2s, p2e) = (max p1s p2s, min p1e p2e)

```

```

lemma {(p1s:: 16 word) .. p1e} ∩ {p2s .. p2e} = {max p1s p2s .. min p1e p2e}
by(simp)

```

```

lemma simpl-ports-conjunct-correct: simple-match-port p1 pkt ∧ simple-match-port
p2 pkt ⟷ simple-match-port (simpl-ports-conjunct p1 p2) pkt
apply(cases p1, cases p2, simp)
by blast

```

28.3 Simple IPs

```

fun simple-ips-conjunct :: (ipv4addr × nat) ⇒ (ipv4addr × nat) ⇒ (ipv4addr ×
nat) option where
  simple-ips-conjunct (base1, m1) (base2, m2) = (if ipv4range-set-from-bitmask
base1 m1 ∩ ipv4range-set-from-bitmask base2 m2 = {}
  then
    None
  else if
    ipv4range-set-from-bitmask base1 m1 ⊆ ipv4range-set-from-bitmask base2 m2
  then
    Some (base1, m1)
  else
    Some (base2, m2)
  )

```

```

lemma simple-ips-conjunct-correct: (case simple-ips-conjunct (b1, m1) (b2, m2)
of Some (bx, mx) ⇒ ipv4range-set-from-bitmask bx mx | None ⇒ {}) =
  (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1) ∩ (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
using ipv4range-bitmask-intersect by fast
declare simple-ips-conjunct.simps[simp del]

```

```

fun ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall :: (ipv4addr × nat) ⇒ 32 wordinterval where
  ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall (pre, len) = (
    let netmask = (mask len) << (32 - len);
    network-prefix = (pre AND netmask)
  in ipv4range-range network-prefix (network-prefix OR (NOT netmask))
  )
declare ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma ipv4range-to-set-ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall: ipv4range-to-set (ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall
(b, m)) = ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b m
  unfolding ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall.simps
  apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
  by (metis helper3 ipv4range-range-set-eq maskshift-eq-not-mask word-bw-comms(2)
word-not-not)

```

```

lemma [code-unfold]:
  simple-ips-conjunct ips1 ips2 = (if ipv4range-empty (ipv4range-intersection (ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall
ips1) (ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall ips2))
    then
      None
    else if
      ipv4range-subset (ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall ips1) (ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall
ips2)
    then
      Some ips1
    else
      Some ips2
  )
apply(simp)
apply(cases ips1, cases ips2, rename-tac b1 m1 b2 m2, simp)
apply(safe)
apply(simp-all add: ipv4range-to-set-ipv4-cidr-tuple-to-intervall simple-ips-conjunct.simps
split:split-if-asm)
apply fast+
done
value simple-ips-conjunct (0,0) (8,1)

```

```

lemma simple-match-ip-conjunct: simple-match-ip ip1 p-ip  $\wedge$  simple-match-ip
ip2 p-ip  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (case simple-ips-conjunct ip1 ip2 of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some ipx  $\Rightarrow$  simple-match-ip
ipx p-ip)
proof –
{
  fix b1 m1 b2 m2
  have simple-match-ip (b1, m1) p-ip  $\wedge$  simple-match-ip (b2, m2) p-ip  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    p-ip  $\in$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2
m2
  by simp
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-ip  $\in$  (case simple-ips-conjunct (b1, m1) (b2, m2) of None
 $\Rightarrow$  {} | Some (bx, mx)  $\Rightarrow$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask bx mx)
  using simple-ips-conjunct-correct by blast
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  (case simple-ips-conjunct (b1, m1) (b2, m2) of None  $\Rightarrow$ 
False | Some ipx  $\Rightarrow$  simple-match-ip ipx p-ip)
  by(simp split: option.split)
  finally have simple-match-ip (b1, m1) p-ip  $\wedge$  simple-match-ip (b2, m2) p-ip

```

```

 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (case simple-ips-conjunct (b1, m1) (b2, m2) of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some ipx
 $\Rightarrow$  simple-match-ip ipx p-ip) .
    } thus ?thesis by(cases ip1, cases ip2, simp)
qed

end
theory SimpleFw-Compliance
imports SimpleFw-Semantics ../Primitive-Matchers/Transform
begin

fun ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range :: (ipv4addr  $\times$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range where
  ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range (ip, n) = Ip4AddrNetmask (dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr
ip) n

fun ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  (ipv4addr  $\times$  nat) where
  ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask (Ip4Addr ip-ddecim) = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
ip-ddecim, 32) |
  ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len) = (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal
pre, len)

```

28.4 Simple Match to MatchExpr

```

fun simple-match-to-ipportiface-match :: simple-match  $\Rightarrow$  common-primitive match-expr
where
  simple-match-to-ipportiface-match (!iiface=iif, oiface=oif, src=sip, dst=dip, proto=p,
sports=sps, dports=dps) =
    MatchAnd (Match (Iiface iif)) (MatchAnd (Match (Oiface oif))
(MatchAnd (Match (Src (ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range sip)))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range dip)))
(MatchAnd (Match (Prot p))
(MatchAnd (Match (Src-Ports [sps]))
(Match (Dst-Ports [dps]))
))))))
)))))

lemma matches  $\gamma$  (simple-match-to-ipportiface-match (!iiface=iif, oiface=oif, src=sip,
dst=dip, proto=p, sports=sps, dports=dps)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and ([Pos (Iiface iif), Pos (Oiface oif)] @ [Pos (Src
(ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range sip))]
@ [Pos (Dst (ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range dip))] @ [Pos (Prot p)]
@ [Pos (Src-Ports [sps])] @ [Pos (Dst-Ports [dps])])) a p
apply(cases sip, cases dip)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done

```

lemma ports-to-set-singleton-simple-match-port: $p \in \text{ports-to-set } [a] \longleftrightarrow \text{simple-match-port}$

a p
by(*cases a, simp*)

theorem *simple-match-to-ipportiface-match-correct*: *matches (common-matcher, α) (simple-match-to-ipportiface-match sm) a p* \longleftrightarrow *simple-matches sm p*

proof –
obtain *iif oif sip dip pro sps dps where sm: sm = (|iiface = iif, oiface = oif, src = sip, dst = dip, proto = pro, sports = sps, dports = dps|) by (cases sm)*
{ fix ip
have *p-src p ∈ ipv4s-to-set (ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range ip) \longleftrightarrow simple-match-ip ip (p-src p)*
and *p-dst p ∈ ipv4s-to-set (ipv4-word-netmask-to-ipt-ipv4range ip) \longleftrightarrow simple-match-ip ip (p-dst p)*
apply(*case-tac [!] ip*)
by(*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal-dotdecimal-of-ipv4addr*)
} **note** *simple-match-ips=this*
{ fix ps
have *p-sport p ∈ ports-to-set [ps] \longleftrightarrow simple-match-port ps (p-sport p)*
and *p-dport p ∈ ports-to-set [ps] \longleftrightarrow simple-match-port ps (p-dport p)*
apply(*case-tac [!] ps*)
by(*simp-all*)
} **note** *simple-match-ports=this*
show *?thesis unfolding sm*
by(*simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary simple-match-ips simple-match-ports*)
qed

28.5 MatchExpr to Simple Match

28.5.1 Merging Simple Matches

simple-match \wedge *simple-match*

fun *simple-match-and* :: *simple-match* \Rightarrow *simple-match* \Rightarrow *simple-match option*
where

simple-match-and (|iiface=iif1, oiface=oif1, src=sip1, dst=dip1, proto=p1, sports=sps1, dports=dps1|)
(|iiface=iif2, oiface=oif2, src=sip2, dst=dip2, proto=p2, sports=sps2, dports=dps2|) =
(case simple-ips-conjunct sip1 sip2 of None \Rightarrow None | Some sip \Rightarrow
(case simple-ips-conjunct dip1 dip2 of None \Rightarrow None | Some dip \Rightarrow
(case iface-conjunct iif1 iif2 of None \Rightarrow None | Some iif \Rightarrow
(case iface-conjunct oif1 oif2 of None \Rightarrow None | Some oif \Rightarrow
(case simple-proto-conjunct p1 p2 of None \Rightarrow None | Some p \Rightarrow
Some (|iiface=iif, oiface=oif, src=sip, dst=dip, proto=p,
sports=simpl-ports-conjunct sps1 sps2, dports=simpl-ports-conjunct dps1
dps2 |))))))

lemma *simple-match-and-correct*: *simple-matches m1 p* \wedge *simple-matches m2 p*


```

 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (case simple-match-and m1 m2 of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some m  $\Rightarrow$  simple-matches
  m p)
  proof -
    obtain iif1 oif1 sip1 dip1 p1 sps1 dps1 where m1:
      m1 = ( $\lambda$ iif1=iiif1, oiface=oif1, src=sip1, dst=dip1, proto=p1, sports=sps1,
      dports=dps1)  $\lambda$  by (cases m1, blast)
    obtain iif2 oif2 sip2 dip2 p2 sps2 dps2 where m2:
      m2 = ( $\lambda$ iif2=iiif2, oiface=oif2, src=sip2, dst=dip2, proto=p2, sports=sps2,
      dports=dps2)  $\lambda$  by (cases m2, blast)

    have sip-None: simple-ips-conjunct sip1 sip2 = None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  simple-match-ip
    sip1 (p-src p)  $\vee$   $\neg$  simple-match-ip sip2 (p-src p)
      using simple-match-ip-conjunct[of sip1 p-src p sip2] by simp
    have dip-None: simple-ips-conjunct dip1 dip2 = None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  simple-match-ip
    dip1 (p-dst p)  $\vee$   $\neg$  simple-match-ip dip2 (p-dst p)
      using simple-match-ip-conjunct[of dip1 p-dst p dip2] by simp
    have sip-Some:  $\bigwedge$  ip. simple-ips-conjunct sip1 sip2 = Some ip  $\Rightarrow$ 
    simple-match-ip ip (p-src p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-match-ip sip1 (p-src p)  $\wedge$  simple-match-ip
    sip2 (p-src p)
      using simple-match-ip-conjunct[of sip1 p-src p sip2] by simp
    have dip-Some:  $\bigwedge$  ip. simple-ips-conjunct dip1 dip2 = Some ip  $\Rightarrow$ 
    simple-match-ip ip (p-dst p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-match-ip dip1 (p-dst p)  $\wedge$  simple-match-ip
    dip2 (p-dst p)
      using simple-match-ip-conjunct[of dip1 p-dst p dip2] by simp

    have iiface-None: iface-conjunct iif1 iif2 = None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  match-iface iif1 (p-iiface
    p)  $\vee$   $\neg$  match-iface iif2 (p-iiface p)
      using iface-conjunct[of iif1 (p-iiface p) iif2] by simp
    have oiface-None: iface-conjunct oif1 oif2 = None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  match-iface oif1
    (p-oiface p)  $\vee$   $\neg$  match-iface oif2 (p-oiface p)
      using iface-conjunct[of oif1 (p-oiface p) oif2] by simp
    have iiface-Some:  $\bigwedge$  iface. iface-conjunct iif1 iif2 = Some iface  $\Rightarrow$ 
    match-iface iface (p-iiface p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-iface iif1 (p-iiface p)  $\wedge$  match-iface
    iif2 (p-iiface p)
      using iface-conjunct[of iif1 (p-iiface p) iif2] by simp
    have oiface-Some:  $\bigwedge$  iface. iface-conjunct oif1 oif2 = Some iface  $\Rightarrow$ 
    match-iface iface (p-oiface p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-iface oif1 (p-oiface p)  $\wedge$  match-iface
    oif2 (p-oiface p)
      using iface-conjunct[of oif1 (p-oiface p) oif2] by simp

    have proto-None: simple-proto-conjunct p1 p2 = None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  match-proto p1
    (p-proto p)  $\vee$   $\neg$  match-proto p2 (p-proto p)
      using simple-proto-conjunct-correct[of p1 (p-proto p) p2] by simp
    have proto-Some:  $\bigwedge$  proto. simple-proto-conjunct p1 p2 = Some proto  $\Rightarrow$ 
    match-proto proto (p-proto p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-proto p1 (p-proto p)  $\wedge$  match-proto
    p2 (p-proto p)
      using simple-proto-conjunct-correct[of p1 (p-proto p) p2] by simp

```

```

show ?thesis
apply(simp add: m1 m2)
apply(simp split: option.split)
apply(auto)
apply(auto dest: sip-None dip-None sip-Some dip-Some)
apply(auto dest: iiface-None oiface-None iiface-Some oiface-Some)
apply(auto dest: proto-None proto-Some)
using simpl-ports-conjunct-correct apply(blast)+
done
qed

```

```

fun common-primitive-match-to-simple-match :: common-primitive match-expr  $\Rightarrow$ 
simple-match option where
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match MatchAny = Some (simple-match-any()
|
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot MatchAny) = None |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Iiface iif)) = Some (simple-match-any()
iiface := iif ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Oiface oif)) = Some (simple-match-any()
oiface := oif ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Src ip)) = Some (simple-match-any()
src := (ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask ip) ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Dst ip)) = Some (simple-match-any()
dst := (ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask ip) ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Prot p)) = Some (simple-match-any()
proto := p ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Src-Ports [])) = None |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Src-Ports [(s,e)])) = Some
(simple-match-any() sports := (s,e) ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Dst-Ports [])) = None |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Dst-Ports [(s,e)])) = Some
(simple-match-any() dports := (s,e) ) |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Prot ProtoAny)))
= None |
  — TODO:
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (case (common-primitive-match-to-simple-m
m1, common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m2) of
    (None, -)  $\Rightarrow$  None
  | (-, None)  $\Rightarrow$  None
  | (Some m1', Some m2')  $\Rightarrow$  simple-match-and m1' m2') |
  — undefined cases, normalize before!
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Prot -))) = unde-
fined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Iiface iif))) = un-
defined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Oiface oif))) =
undefined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Src -))) = unde-

```

```

defined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Dst -))) = undefined |
defined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = undefined |
defined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (MatchNot -)) = undefined |
  |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Src-Ports (-#-))) = undefined |
  |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Dst-Ports (-#-))) = undefined |
  |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Src-Ports -))) =
undefined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Dst-Ports -))) =
undefined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (Match (Extra -)) = undefined |
  common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) = un-
defined

```

28.5.2 Normalizing Interfaces

As for now, negated interfaces are simply not allowed

```

fun normalized-ifaces :: common-primitive match-expr ⇒ bool where
  normalized-ifaces MatchAny = True |
  normalized-ifaces (Match -) = True |
  normalized-ifaces (MatchNot (Match (IIface -))) = False |
  normalized-ifaces (MatchNot (Match (OIface -))) = False |
  normalized-ifaces (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (normalized-ifaces m1 ∧ normalized-ifaces
m2) |
  normalized-ifaces (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = False |
  normalized-ifaces (MatchNot -) = True

```

28.5.3 Normalizing Protocols

As for now, negated protocols are simply not allowed

```

fun normalized-protocols :: common-primitive match-expr ⇒ bool where
  normalized-protocols MatchAny = True |
  normalized-protocols (Match -) = True |
  normalized-protocols (MatchNot (Match (Prot -))) = False |
  normalized-protocols (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (normalized-protocols m1 ∧ normalized-protocols
m2) |
  normalized-protocols (MatchNot (MatchAnd - -)) = False |
  normalized-protocols (MatchNot -) = True

```

lemma *match-iface-simple-match-any-simps*:
match-iface (*iiface* *simple-match-any*) (*p-iiface* *p*)

```

    match-iface (oiface simple-match-any) (p-oiface p)
    simple-match-ip (src simple-match-any) (p-src p)
    simple-match-ip (dst simple-match-any) (p-dst p)
    match-proto (proto simple-match-any) (p-proto p)
    simple-match-port (sports simple-match-any) (p-sport p)
    simple-match-port (dports simple-match-any) (p-dport p)
  apply (simp-all add: simple-match-any-def match-IfaceAny ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-0)
  apply (subgoal-tac [! ] (65535::16 word) = max-word)
    apply (simp-all)
  apply (simp-all add: max-word-def)
done

```

theorem *common-primitive-match-to-simple-match:*

```

  assumes normalized-src-ports m
    and normalized-dst-ports m
    and normalized-src-ips m
    and normalized-dst-ips m
    and normalized-ifaces m
    and normalized-protocols m
    and  $\neg$  has-disc is-Extra m
  shows (Some sm = common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m  $\longrightarrow$ 
    matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) m a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-matches sm p)  $\wedge$ 
    (common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m = None  $\longrightarrow$ 
       $\neg$  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) m a p)
proof -
  { fix ip
    have p-src p  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set ip  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-match-ip (ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask
ip) (p-src p)
    and p-dst p  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set ip  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-match-ip (ipt-ipv4range-to-ipv4-word-netmask
ip) (p-dst p)
    by (case-tac [! ] ip) (simp-all add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-32)
  } note matches-SrcDst-simple-match2=this
  show ?thesis
using assms proof (induction m arbitrary: sm rule: common-primitive-match-to-simple-match.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case
    by (simp-all add: match-iface-simple-match-any-simps bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(2))
  next
  case (13 m1 m2)
    let ?caseSome=Some sm = common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchAnd
m1 m2)
    let ?caseNone=common-primitive-match-to-simple-match (MatchAnd m1 m2)
= None
    let ?goal=(?caseSome  $\longrightarrow$  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2)
a p = simple-matches sm p)  $\wedge$ 
      (?caseNone  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2)
a p)

    { assume caseNone: ?caseNone
      { fix sm1 sm2

```

```

    assume sm1: common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m1 = Some sm1
    and sm2: common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m2 = Some sm2
    and sma: simple-match-and sm1 sm2 = None
    from sma simple-match-and-correct have 1:  $\neg$  (simple-matches sm1 p  $\wedge$ 
simple-matches sm2 p) by simp
    from sm1 sm2 13 have 2: (matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) m1 a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
simple-matches sm1 p)  $\wedge$ 
(matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) m2 a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-matches
sm2 p) by force
    hence 2: matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
simple-matches sm1 p  $\wedge$  simple-matches sm2 p
    by(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
    from 1 2 have  $\neg$  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p
by blast
  }
  with caseNone have common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m1 = None
 $\vee$ 
    common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m2 = None  $\vee$ 
 $\neg$  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p
  by(simp split: option.split-asm)
  hence  $\neg$  matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p
  apply(elim disjE)
  apply(simp-all)
  using 13 apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1))
  done
}note caseNone=this

{ assume caseSome: ?caseSome
  hence  $\exists$  sm1. common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m1 = Some sm1
and
   $\exists$  sm2. common-primitive-match-to-simple-match m2 = Some sm2
  by(simp-all split: option.split-asm)
  from this obtain sm1 sm2 where sm1: Some sm1 = common-primitive-match-to-simple-match
m1
    and sm2: Some sm2 = common-primitive-match-to-simple-match
m2 by fastforce+
    with 13 have matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) m1 a p = simple-matches sm1
p  $\wedge$ 
(matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) m2 a p = simple-matches sm2 p
by simp
  hence 1: matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
simple-matches sm1 p  $\wedge$  simple-matches sm2 p
  by(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
  from caseSome sm1 sm2 have simple-match-and sm1 sm2 = Some sm
by(simp split: option.split-asm)
  with simple-match-and-correct have 2: simple-matches sm p  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-matches
sm1 p  $\wedge$  simple-matches sm2 p by simp
  from 1 2 have matches (common-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (MatchAnd m1 m2) a p =
simple-matches sm p by simp

```

```

} note caseSome=this

from caseNone caseSome show ?goal by blast
qed(simp-all add: match-iface-simple-match-any-simps,
    simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary
    matches-SrcDst-simple-match2)
qed

fun action-to-simple-action :: action  $\Rightarrow$  simple-action where
  action-to-simple-action action.Accept = simple-action.Accept |
  action-to-simple-action action.Drop   = simple-action.Drop |
  action-to-simple-action - = undefined

definition check-simple-fw-preconditions :: common-primitive rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  check-simple-fw-preconditions rs  $\equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs. (\text{case } r \text{ of } (\text{Rule } m \ a) \Rightarrow \text{normalized-src-ports } m \wedge \text{normalized-dst-ports } m \wedge \text{normalized-src-ips } m \wedge \text{normalized-dst-ips } m \wedge \text{normalized-ifaces } m \wedge \text{normalized-protocols } m \wedge \neg \text{has-disc is-Extra } m \wedge (a = \text{action.Accept} \vee a = \text{action.Drop}))$ 

definition to-simple-firewall :: common-primitive rule list  $\Rightarrow$  simple-rule list where
  to-simple-firewall rs  $\equiv \text{List.map-filter } (\lambda r. \text{case } r \text{ of Rule } m \ a \Rightarrow (\text{case } (\text{common-primitive-match-to-simple-match } m) \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{None} | \text{Some } sm \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{SimpleRule } sm \ (\text{action-to-simple-action } a)))) rs$ 

value check-simple-fw-preconditions
  [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127, 0, 0, 0) 8)))
    (MatchAnd (Match (Dst-Ports [(0, 65535)]))
      (Match (Src-Ports [(0, 65535)]))))
    Drop]
value to-simple-firewall
  [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127, 0, 0, 0) 8)))
    (MatchAnd (Match (Dst-Ports [(0, 65535)]))
      (Match (Src-Ports [(0, 65535)]))))
    Drop]
value check-simple-fw-preconditions [Rule (MatchAnd MatchAny MatchAny) Drop]
value to-simple-firewall [Rule (MatchAnd MatchAny MatchAny) Drop]
value to-simple-firewall
  [Rule (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127, 0, 0, 0) 8))) Drop]

end

```