

Iptables-Semantics

Cornelius Diekmann, Lars Hupel

January 5, 2015

Contents

1 Firewall Basic Syntax	3
2 Big Step Semantics	4
2.1 Boolean Matcher Algebra	15
3 Call Return Unfolding	20
3.1 Completeness	22
3.2 Background Ruleset Updating	29
3.3 <i>process-ret</i> correctness	38
3.4 Soundness	45
4 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher	47
4.1 Example Packet	48
5 Examples Big Step Semantics	49
6 Ternary Logic	51
6.1 Negation Normal Form	54
7 Packet Matching in Ternary Logic	55
7.1 Ternary Matcher Algebra	57
7.2 Removing Unknown Primitives	60
8 Embedded Ternary-Matching Big Step Semantics	62
8.1 wf ruleset	67
8.1.1 Append, Prepend, Postpend, Composition	68
8.2 Equality with $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$ semantics	70
9 Approximate Matching Tactics	76
10 Boolean Matching vs. Ternary Matching	77

11 Semantics Embedding	80
11.1 Tactic <i>in-doubt-allow</i>	80
11.2 Tactic <i>in-doubt-deny</i>	83
11.3 Approximating Closures	85
11.4 Exact Embedding	85
12 Fixed Action	86
12.1 <i>match-list</i>	92
13 Normalized (DNF) matches	95
14 Normalizing Rulesets in the Boolean Big Step Semantics	100
15 Negation Type	101
16 Negation Type Matching	103
17 Util: listprod	107
18 Executable Packet Set Representation	107
18.0.1 Basic Set Operations	109
18.0.2 Derived Operations	112
18.0.3 Optimizing	113
18.1 Conjunction Normal Form Packet Set	115
19 Optimizing	118
19.1 Removing Shadowed Rules	118
19.1.1 Soundness	118
20 iptables LN formatting	126
20.1 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher	132
20.2 Formatting	139
21 Packet Set	146
21.1 The set of all accepted packets	146
21.2 The set of all dropped packets	149
21.3 Rulesets with default rules	152
21.4 The set of all accepted packets – Executable Implementation	153
22 Example: Chair for Network Architectures and Services (TUM)	157
23 Example: SQRL Shorewall	165
24 Example: Synology Diskstation	168

25 Example: ringofsaturn.com 172

25.1 Example Ruleset 1 173

```
theory Firewall-Common-Decision-State
imports Main
begin
```

```
datatype final-decision = FinalAllow | FinalDeny
```

The state during packet processing. If undecided, there are some remaining rules to process. If decided, there is an action which applies to the packet

```
datatype state = Undecided | Decision final-decision
```

```
end
theory Firewall-Common
imports Main Firewall-Common-Decision-State
begin
```

1 Firewall Basic Syntax

Our firewall model supports the following actions.

```
datatype action = Accept | Drop | Log | Reject | Call string | Return | Empty |
Unknown
```

The type parameter *'a* denotes the primitive match condition For example, matching on source IP address or on protocol. We list the primitives to an algebra. Note that we do not have an Or expression.

```
datatype 'a match-expr = Match 'a | MatchNot 'a match-expr | MatchAnd 'a
match-expr 'a match-expr | MatchAny
```

```
datatype-new 'a rule = Rule (get-match: 'a match-expr) (get-action: action)
datatype-compact rule
```

```
end
theory Misc
imports Main
begin
```

```
lemma list-app-singletonE:
  assumes  $rs_1 @ rs_2 = [x]$ 
  obtains  $(first) rs_1 = [x] rs_2 = []$ 
          $| (second) rs_1 = [] rs_2 = [x]$ 
using assms
by (cases  $rs_1$ ) auto
```

```
lemma list-app-eq-cases:
  assumes  $xs_1 @ xs_2 = ys_1 @ ys_2$ 
```

```

obtains (longer)  $xs_1 = \text{take } (\text{length } xs_1) \text{ } ys_1$   $xs_2 = \text{drop } (\text{length } xs_1) \text{ } ys_1 @ ys_2$ 
          | (shorter)  $ys_1 = \text{take } (\text{length } ys_1) \text{ } xs_1$   $ys_2 = \text{drop } (\text{length } ys_1) \text{ } xs_1 @ xs_2$ 
using assms
apply (cases  $\text{length } xs_1 \leq \text{length } ys_1$ )
apply (metis append-eq-append-conv-if)+
done

end
theory Semantics
imports Main Firewall-Common Misc  $\sim\sim / \text{src} / \text{HOL} / \text{Library} / \text{LaTeXsugar}$ 
begin

```

2 Big Step Semantics

The assumption we apply in general is that the firewall does not alter any packets.

```

type-synonym 'a ruleset = string  $\rightarrow$  'a rule list

```

```

type-synonym ('a, 'p) matcher = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

fun matches :: ('a, 'p) matcher  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd e1 e2) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  e1 p  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  e2 p |
  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot me) p  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  me p |
  matches  $\gamma$  (Match e) p  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\gamma$  e p |
  matches - MatchAny -  $\longleftrightarrow$  True

```

```

inductive iptables-bigstep :: 'a ruleset  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'p) matcher  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$ 
state  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

  ( $\neg$ ,  $\neg$ ,  $\vdash$   $\langle \neg, - \rangle \Rightarrow$  - [60,60,60,20,98,98] 89)

```

```

  for  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma$  and  $p$  where

```

```

  skip:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t$  |
  accept: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision}$ 
    FinalAllow |

```

```

  drop: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision}$ 
    FinalDeny |

```

```

  reject: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision}$ 
    FinalDeny |

```

```

  log: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  |

```

```

  empty: matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  |

```

```

  nomatch:  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m p  $\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ a}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  |

```

```

  decision:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$  |

```

```

  seq:  $\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$  |

```

```

  call-return:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } p; \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } (rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{ Return}] @ rs_2); \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m' \text{ } p; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \mid \\
call\text{-}result: \llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ p; \Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \\
\Rightarrow \\
\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t
\end{array}$$

The semantic rules again in pretty format:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [],\ t \rangle \Rightarrow t} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Accept],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Drop],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Reject],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{\neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ p}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Decision\ X \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t \rangle \Rightarrow t'} \\
\frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ rs_2,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \quad \Gamma\ chain = Some\ (rs_1\ @\ [Rule\ m'\ Return]\ @\ rs_2) \quad matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided} \\
\frac{matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \quad \Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs \quad \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t}{\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t}
\end{array}$$

lemma deny:

$matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \implies a = Drop \vee a = Reject \implies iptables\text{-}bigstep\ \Gamma\ \gamma\ p\ [Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ (Decision\ FinalDeny)$

by (auto intro: drop reject)

lemma seq-cons:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **and** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r] @ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ **by** (rule seq)

thus *?thesis* by *simp*
 qed

lemma *iptables-bigstep-induct*

[*case-names Skip Allow Deny Log Nomatch Decision Seq Call-return Call-result*,
induct pred: iptables-bigstep]:

$\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t;$
 $\bigwedge t. P \llbracket t t;$
 $\bigwedge m a. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Accept} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } (\text{Decision FinalAllow});$
 $\bigwedge m a. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided } (\text{Decision FinalDeny});$
 $\bigwedge m a. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Log} \vee a = \text{Empty} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided Undecided};$
 $\bigwedge m a. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided Undecided};$
 $\bigwedge rs X. P rs (\text{Decision } X) (\text{Decision } X);$
 $\bigwedge rs rs_1 rs_2 t t'. rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow P rs_1$
 $\text{Undecided } t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Rightarrow P rs_2 t t' \Rightarrow P rs \text{ Undecided } t';$
 $\bigwedge m a \text{ chain } rs_1 m' rs_2. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Call chain} \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some}$
 $(rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{Return}] @ rs_2) \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m' p \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Undecided} \Rightarrow P rs_1 \text{ Undecided Undecided} \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided Undecided};$
 $\bigwedge m a \text{ chain } rs t. \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow a = \text{Call chain} \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs$
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow P rs \text{ Undecided } t \Rightarrow P [\text{Rule } m a] \text{ Undecided}$
 $t \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $P rs s t$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *skipD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [] \Rightarrow s = t$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *decisionD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow s = \text{Decision } X \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision } X$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

context

notes *skipD*[*dest*] *list-app-singletonE*[*elim*]

begin

lemma *acceptD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{Accept}] \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m p$
 $\Rightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision FinalAllow}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *dropD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{Drop}] \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m p \Rightarrow$
 $s = \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *rejectD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{Reject}] \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma m p$
 $\Rightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow t = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *logD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Log}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *emptyD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \text{ Empty}] \Longrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *nomatchD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow r = [\text{Rule } m \ a] \Longrightarrow s = \text{Undecided} \Longrightarrow \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow t = \text{Undecided}$

by (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*) *auto*

lemma *callD*:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ r = [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})] \ s = \text{Undecided} \ \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \ \Gamma \ \text{chain} = \text{Some } rs$

obtains $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

$\mid rs_1 \ rs_2 \ m' \ \text{where } rs = rs_1 \ @ \ \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return } \# \ rs_2 \ \text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ p$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \ t = \text{Undecided}$

using *assms*

proof (*induction r s t arbitrary: rs rule: iptables-bigstep.induct*)

case (*seq rs₁*)

thus *?case by (cases rs₁) auto*

qed *auto*

end

lemmas *iptables-bigstepD = skipD acceptD dropD rejectD logD emptyD nomatchD decisionD callD*

lemma *seq'*:

assumes $rs = rs_1 \ @ \ rs_2 \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

using *assms by (cases s) (auto intro: seq decision dest: decisionD)*

lemma *seq'-cons*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t'$

by (*metis decision decisionD state.exhaust seq-cons*)

lemma *seq-split*:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$

obtains $t' \ \text{where } \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$

using *assms*

proof (*induction rs s t arbitrary: rs₁ rs₂ thesis rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)

case *Allow* **thus** *?case by (cases rs₁) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)*

next

case *Deny* **thus** *?case by (cases rs₁) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)*

next

case *Log* **thus** *?case by (cases rs₁) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)*

next

```

    case Nomatch thus ?case by (cases  $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
case (Seq  $rs\ rsa\ rsb\ t\ t'$ )
hence  $rs: rsa @ rsb = rs_1 @ rs_2$  by simp
note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]
from  $rs$  show ?case
proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
  case longer
  with Seq have  $t1: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle take\ (length\ rsa)\ rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by simp
  from Seq longer obtain  $t2$ 
  where  $t2a: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle drop\ (length\ rsa)\ rs_1, t \rangle \Rightarrow t2$ 
  and  $rs2-t2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
  by blast
  with  $t1\ rs2-t2$  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle take\ (length\ rsa)\ rs_1 @ drop\ (length\ rsa)$ 
 $rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t2$ 
  by (blast intro: iptables-bigstep.seq)
  with Seq  $rs2-t2$  show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case shorter
with  $rs$  have  $rsa': rsa = rs_1 @ take\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2$ 
by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
from shorter  $rs$  have  $rsb': rsb = drop\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2$ 
by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
from Seq  $rsa'$  obtain  $t1$ 
where  $t1a: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t1$ 
and  $t1b: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle take\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
by blast
from  $rsb'\ Seq.hyps$  have  $t2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle drop\ (length\ rsa - length\ rs_1)\ rs_2, t \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow t'$ 
by blast
with  $seq'\ t1b$  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
by fastforce
with Seq  $t1a$  show ?thesis
by fast
qed
next
case Call-return
hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
by (case-tac [!] $rs_1$ ) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.skip iptables-bigstep.call-return)
thus ?case by fact
next
case (Call-result - - -  $t$ )
show ?case
proof (cases  $rs_1$ )
  case Nil
  with Call-result have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,$ 

```



```

Undecided⟩ ⇒ t
  by (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  thus ?thesis by fact
next
case Cons
with Call-result have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  thus ?thesis by fact
qed
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

```

lemma seqE:
  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  obtains  $ti$  where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow ti \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms by (force elim: seq-split)

```

```

lemma seqE-cons:
  assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  obtains  $ti$  where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti \ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil seqE)

```

```

lemma nomatch':
  assumes  $\bigwedge r. r \in set \ rs \Longrightarrow \neg matches \ \gamma \ (get-match \ r) \ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow s$ 
  proof (cases s)
  case Undecided
  have  $\forall r \in set \ rs. \neg matches \ \gamma \ (get-match \ r) \ p \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  proof (induction rs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (fast intro: skip)
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
  hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  by (cases r) (auto intro: nomatch)
  with Cons show ?case
  by (fastforce intro: seq-cons)
  qed
  with assms Undecided show ?thesis by simp
qed (blast intro: decision)

```

```

lemma no-free-return-hlp:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle a, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow matches \ \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow s = Undecided \Longrightarrow a = [Rule \ m \ Return] \Longrightarrow False$ 
  proof (induction rule: iptables-bigstep.induct)
  case (seq rs1)
  thus ?case
  by (cases rs1) (auto dest: skipD)
qed simp-all

```

lemma *no-free-return*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Return], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow False$
by (*metis no-free-return-hlp*)

lemma *seq-progress*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow rs = rs_1 @ rs_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof(*induction arbitrary: rs₁ rs₂ t' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case *Allow*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*cases rs₁*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)
next
 case *Deny*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*cases rs₁*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)
next
 case *Log*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*cases rs₁*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)
next
 case *Nomatch*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*cases rs₁*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)
next
 case *Decision*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*cases rs₁*) (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD*)
next
 case(*Seq rs rsa rsb t t' rs₁ rs₂ t''*)
 hence *rs: rsa @ rsb = rs₁ @ rs₂* **by** *simp*
 note *List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]*

from *rs* **show** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t'' \rangle \Rightarrow t'$
proof(*cases rule: list-app-eq-cases*)
 case *longer*
 have *rs₁ = take (length rsa) rs₁ @ drop (length rsa) rs₁*
 by *auto*
 with *Seq longer* **show** ?*thesis*
 by (*metis append-Nil2 skipD seq-split*)

next
 case *shorter*
 with *Seq(7) Seq.hyps(3) Seq.IH(1) rs* **show** ?*thesis*
 by (*metis seq' append-eq-conv-conj*)
qed

next
 case(*Call-return m a chain rsa m' rsb*)
 have *xx: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t' \Longrightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$*

```

=>
  Γ chain = Some (rsa @ Rule m' Return # rsb) =>
  matches γ m' p =>
  Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨rsa, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided =>
  t' = Undecided
  apply(erule callD)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(erule seqE)
  apply(erule seqE-cons)
  by (metis Call-return.IH no-free-return self-append-conv skipD)

show ?case
proof (cases rs₁)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?thesis
    using Call-return
    apply(case-tac [Rule m a] = rs₂)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp)
    using xx by blast
next
  case Nil
  moreover hence t' = Undecided
    by (metis Call-return.hyps(1) Call-return.prem(2) append.simps(1)
  decision no-free-return seq state.exhaust)
  moreover have ∧m. Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨[Rule m a], Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided
  by (metis (no-types) Call-return(2) Call-return.hyps(3) Call-return.hyps(4)
  Call-return.hyps(5) call-return nomatch)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Call-return.prem(1) by auto
qed
next
  case(Call-result m a chain rs t)
  thus ?case
  proof (cases rs₁)
    case Cons
    thus ?thesis
      using Call-result
      apply(auto simp add: iptables-bigstep.skip iptables-bigstep.call-result dest:
  skipD)
      apply(drule callD, simp-all)
      apply blast
      by (metis Cons-eq-appendI append-self-conv2 no-free-return seq-split)
    qed (fastforce intro: iptables-bigstep.intros dest: skipD)
  qed (auto dest: iptables-bigstepD)

lemma no-free-return-seq:
  assumes Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨r1 @ Rule m Return # r2, Undecided⟩ ⇒ t matches γ m p
  Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨r1, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided

```

shows *False*
proof –
 from *assms* **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \text{ Return } \# \ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by (*blast intro: seq-progress*)
 hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] \ @ \ r2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 by *simp*
 with *assms* **show** *False*
 by (*blast intro: no-free-return elim: seq-split*)
qed

there are only two cases when there can be a Return on top-level:

1. the firewall is in a Decision state
2. the return does not match

In both cases, it is not applied!

lemma *no-free-return-fst*:
 assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 obtains (*decision*) *X* **where** $s = \text{Decision } X$
 | (*nomatch*) *m a* **where** $r = \text{Rule } m \ a \ a \neq \text{Return} \vee \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$
 using *assms*
proof (*induction r#rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case *Seq* **thus** ?*case*
 by (*metis no-free-return-seq seq skip rule.exhaust*)
qed *auto*

lemma *iptables-bigstep-deterministic*: $\llbracket \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket$
 $\implies t = t'$
proof (*induction arbitrary: t' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case *Seq*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*metis seq-split*)
next
 case *Call-result*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*metis no-free-return-seq callD*)
next
 case *Call-return*
 thus ?*case*
 by (*metis append-Cons callD no-free-return-seq*)
qed (*auto dest: iptables-bigstepD*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies s = \text{Undecided}$
by (*metis decisionD state.exhaust*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-to-decision*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } Y \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X \implies Y = X$
by (*metis decisionD state.inject*)

lemma *Rule-UndecidedE*:
assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
obtains $(nomatch) \neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
 $\quad | (log)\ a = Log \vee a = Empty$
 $\quad | (call)\ c\ \textbf{where}\ a = Call\ c\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
using *assms*
proof (*induction* $[Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ Undecided\ rule:\ iptables\ bigstep\ induct$)
 $\quad \textbf{case}\ Seq$
 $\quad \textbf{thus}\ ?case$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ (metis\ append\ eq\ Cons\ conv\ append\ is\ Nil\ conv\ iptables\ bigstep\ to\ undecided)$
qed *simp-all*

lemma *Rule-DecisionE*:
assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$
obtains $(call)\ chain\ \textbf{where}\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p\ a = Call\ chain$
 $\quad | (accept\ reject)\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ p\ X = FinalAllow \Longrightarrow a = Accept\ X =$
 $FinalDeny \Longrightarrow a = Drop \vee a = Reject$
using *assms*
proof (*induction* $[Rule\ m\ a]\ Undecided\ Decision\ X\ rule:\ iptables\ bigstep\ induct$)
 $\quad \textbf{case}\ (Seq\ rs_1)$
 $\quad \textbf{thus}\ ?case$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ (cases\ rs_1)\ (auto\ dest:\ skipD)$
qed *simp-all*

lemma *log-remove*:
assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ [Rule\ m\ Log]\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof –
 $\quad \textbf{from}\ assms\ \textbf{obtain}\ t'\ \textbf{where}\ t': \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t'\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log]\ @\ rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ (blast\ elim:\ seqE)$
 $\quad \textbf{hence}\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m\ Log\ \# rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ simp$
 $\quad \textbf{then}\ \textbf{obtain}\ t''\ \textbf{where}\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Log],\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t''\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t'' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ (blast\ elim:\ seqE\ cons)$
 $\quad \textbf{with}\ t'\ \textbf{show}\ ?thesis$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ (metis\ state.exhaust\ iptables\ bigstep\ deterministic\ decision\ log\ nomatch\ seq)$
qed

lemma *empty-empty*:
assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ [Rule\ m\ Empty]\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1\ @\ rs_2,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof –
 $\quad \textbf{from}\ assms\ \textbf{obtain}\ t'\ \textbf{where}\ t': \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t'\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty]\ @\ rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\quad \textbf{by}\ (blast\ elim:\ seqE)$
 $\quad \textbf{hence}\ \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m\ Empty\ \# rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow t$

```

    by simp
  then obtain t'' where  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty], t' \rangle \Rightarrow t'' \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t' \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
t
    by (blast elim: seqE-cons)
  with t' show ?thesis
    by (metis state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic decision empty nomatch
seq)
qed

```

The notation we prefer in the paper. The semantics are defined for fixed Γ and γ

```

locale iptables-bigstep-fixedbackground =
  fixes  $\Gamma :: 'a\ ruleset$ 
  and  $\gamma :: ('a, 'p)\ matcher$ 
  begin

  inductive iptables-bigstep' :: ' $p \Rightarrow 'a\ rule\ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ '
    ( $\vdash' \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow -$  [60,20,98,98] 89)
  for  $p$  where
    skip:  $p \vdash' \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow t$  |
    accept:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Accept], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow$  |
    drop:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Drop], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$  |
    reject:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Reject], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$  |
    log:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Log], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    empty:  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ Empty], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    nomatch:  $\neg matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    decision:  $p \vdash' \langle rs, Decision\ X \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$  |
    seq:  $\llbracket p \vdash' \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t; p \vdash' \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow t' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t'$  |
    call-return:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ p; \Gamma\ chain = Some\ (rs_1 @ [Rule\ m'\ Return] @ rs_2); matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p; p \vdash' \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \rrbracket \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  |
    call-result:  $\llbracket matches\ \gamma\ m\ p; p \vdash' \langle the\ (\Gamma\ chain), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow p \vdash' \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 

```

definition $wf\text{-}\Gamma :: 'a\ rule\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs \equiv \forall rsg \in ran\ \Gamma \cup \{rs\}. (\forall r \in set\ rsg. \forall chain. get\text{-}action\ r = Call\ chain \longrightarrow \Gamma\ chain \neq None)$

lemma $wf\text{-}\Gamma\text{-}append$: $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ (rs1 @ rs2) \longleftrightarrow wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs1 \wedge wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs2$
by (simp add: wf- Γ -def, blast)
lemma $wf\text{-}\Gamma\text{-}tail$: $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ (r \# rs) \Longrightarrow wf\text{-}\Gamma\ rs$ **by** (simp add: wf- Γ -def)
lemma $wf\text{-}\Gamma\text{-}Call$: $wf\text{-}\Gamma\ [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)] \Longrightarrow wf\text{-}\Gamma\ (the\ (\Gamma\ chain)) \wedge (\exists rs. \Gamma\ chain = Some\ rs)$
apply (simp add: wf- Γ -def)
by (metis option.collapse ranI)

```

lemma wf- $\Gamma$   $rs \implies p \vdash' \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(rotate-tac 1)
  apply(induction  $rs\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep'.induct)
apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: wf- $\Gamma$ -append dest!: wf- $\Gamma$ -Call)[11]
  apply(rotate-tac 1)
  apply(induction  $rs\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep.induct)
apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep'.intros simp: wf- $\Gamma$ -append dest!: wf- $\Gamma$ -Call)[11]
  done

end

end
theory Matching
imports Semantics
begin

```

2.1 Boolean Matcher Algebra

Lemmas about matching in the *iptables-bigstep* semantics.

```

lemma matches-rule-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes matches  $\gamma\ m\ p \iff matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\iff$  ?r)
proof -
  {
    fix  $m\ m'$ 
    assume  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  matches  $\gamma\ m\ p \iff matches\ \gamma\ m'\ p$ 
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    by (induction  $[Rule\ m\ a]\ s\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
      (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
  }
  with assms show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma matches-rule-and-simp-help:
  assumes matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\iff$  ?r)
proof
  assume ?l thus ?r
  by (induction  $[Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a]\ Undecided\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)
next
  assume ?r thus ?l
  by (induction  $[Rule\ m'\ a]\ Undecided\ t$  rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest:

```

skipD)
qed

lemma *matches-MatchNot-simp*:

assumes *matches* γ *m* *p*
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchNot\ m)\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

proof

assume $?l$ **thus** $?r$
by (*induction* $[Rule (MatchNot\ m)\ a]\ Undecided\ t$ *rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)
(auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)

next

assume $?r$
hence $t = Undecided$
by (*metis skipD*)
with *assms* **show** $?l$
by (*fastforce intro: nomatch*)
qed

lemma *matches-MatchNotAnd-simp*:

assumes *matches* γ *m* *p*
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd (MatchNot\ m)\ m')\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

proof

assume $?l$ **thus** $?r$
by (*induction* $[Rule (MatchAnd (MatchNot\ m)\ m')\ a]\ Undecided\ t$ *rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)
(auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp add: assms Cons-eq-append-conv dest: skipD)

next

assume $?r$
hence $t = Undecided$
by (*metis skipD*)
with *assms* **show** $?l$
by (*fastforce intro: nomatch*)
qed

lemma *matches-rule-and-simp*:

assumes *matches* γ *m* *p*
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a'], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a'], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (*cases s*)

case *Undecided*
with *assms* **show** $?thesis$
by (*simp add: matches-rule-and-simp-help*)

next

case *Decision*
thus $?thesis$ **by** (*metis decision decisionD*)
qed

lemma *iptables-bigstep-MatchAnd-comm*:
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m2 m1) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof –
 { **fix** *m1 m2*
 have $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule (MatchAnd m2 m1) a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 proof (*induction* $[Rule (MatchAnd m1 m2) a] s t$ *rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case *Seq* **thus** *?case*
 by (*metis Nil-is-append-conv append-Nil butlast-append butlast-snoc seq*)
 qed (*auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros*)
 }
thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

definition *add-match* :: 'a match-expr \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**
add-match m rs = *map* ($\lambda r. \text{case } r \text{ of } Rule\ m'\ a' \Rightarrow Rule\ (MatchAnd\ m\ m')\ a'$)
rs

lemma *add-match-split*: *add-match m (rs1@rs2) = add-match m rs1 @ add-match m rs2*
unfolding *add-match-def*
by (*fact map-append*)

lemma *add-match-split-fst*: *add-match m (Rule m' a' # rs) = Rule (MatchAnd m m') a' # add-match m rs*
unfolding *add-match-def*
by *simp*

lemma *matches-add-match-simp*:
assumes *m: matches γ m p*
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match\ m\ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ (**is** *?l* \iff *?r*)
proof
assume *?l with m show ?r*
proof (*induction rs*)
 case *Nil*
 thus *?case*
 unfolding *add-match-def* **by** *simp*
next
 case (*Cons r rs*)
 thus *?case*
 apply (*cases r*)
 apply (*simp only: add-match-split-fst*)
 apply (*erule seqE-cons*)
 apply (*simp only: matches-rule-and-simp*)
 apply (*metis decision state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic seq-cons*)

```

      done
    qed
  next
    assume ?r with m show ?l
    proof (induction rs)
      case Nil
      thus ?case
        unfolding add-match-def by simp
    next
      case (Cons r rs)
      thus ?case
        apply (cases r)
        apply (simp only: add-match-split-fst)
        apply (erule seqE-cons)
        apply (subst(asm) matches-rule-and-simp[symmetric])
        apply (simp)
        apply (metis decision state.exhaust iptables-bigstep-deterministic seq-cons)
      done
    qed
  qed

lemma matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp:
  assumes m: matches  $\gamma$  m p
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is
    ?l s  $\iff$  ?r s)
  proof (cases s)
    case Undecided
    have ?l Undecided  $\iff$  ?r Undecided
    proof
      assume ?l Undecided with m show ?r Undecided
      proof (induction rs)
        case Nil
        thus ?case
          unfolding add-match-def by simp
      next
        case (Cons r rs)
        thus ?case
          by (cases r) (metis matches-MatchNotAnd-simp skipD seqE-cons
            add-match-split-fst)
    qed
  next
    assume ?r Undecided with m show ?l Undecided
    proof (induction rs)
      case Nil
      thus ?case
        unfolding add-match-def by simp
    next
      case (Cons r rs)
      thus ?case

```

```

      by (cases r) (metis matches-MatchNotAnd-simp skipD seq'-cons
add-match-split-fst)
    qed
  qed
  with Undecided show ?thesis by fast
next
  case (Decision d)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis decision decisionD)
  qed

```

lemma *not-matches-add-match-simp*:

```

  assumes  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ rs, \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $t$ 
  proof (induction rs)
    case Nil
    thus ?case
      unfolding add-match-def by simp
  next
    case (Cons r rs)
    thus ?case
      by (cases r) (metis assms add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) nomatch
seq'-cons nomatchD seqE-cons)
  qed

```

lemma *iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp*:

```

 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } (\text{MatchNot } m)) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match}$ 
 $m \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction rs)
    case Nil
    thus ?case
      unfolding add-match-def by simp
  next
    case (Cons r rs)
    thus ?case
      by (cases r)
      (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp)
  qed

```

lemma *not-matches-add-matchNot-simp*:

```

 $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash$ 
 $\langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp)

```

lemma *iptables-bigstep-add-match-and*:

```

 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match}$ 
 $(\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 

```

```

proof(induction rs arbitrary: s t)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    unfolding add-match-def by simp
  next
    case(Cons r rs)
    show ?case
    proof (cases r, simp only: add-match-split-fst)
      fix m a
      show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ m)) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ m) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
      proof
        assume ?l with Cons.IH show ?r
        apply  $-$ 
        apply(erule seqE-cons)
        apply(case-tac s)
        apply(case-tac ti)
        apply (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-and-simp matches-rule-and-simp-help
nomatch seq'-cons)
        apply (metis add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp seq-cons)
        apply (metis decision decisionD)
        done
      next
        assume ?r with Cons.IH show ?l
        apply  $-$ 
        apply(erule seqE-cons)
        apply(case-tac s)
        apply(case-tac ti)
        apply (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-and-simp matches-rule-and-simp-help
nomatch seq'-cons)
        apply (metis add-match-split-fst matches.simps(1) matches-add-match-simp
not-matches-add-match-simp seq-cons)
        apply (metis decision decisionD)
        done
      qed
    qed
  qed

end
theory Call-Return-Unfolding
imports Matching
begin

```

3 *Call Return Unfolding*

Remove *Returns*

```

fun process-ret :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  process-ret [] = [] |
  process-ret (Rule m Return # rs) = add-match (MatchNot m) (process-ret rs) |
  process-ret (r#rs) = r # process-ret rs

```

Remove Calls

```

fun process-call :: 'a ruleset  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  process-call  $\Gamma$  [] = [] |
  process-call  $\Gamma$  (Rule m (Call chain) # rs) = add-match m (process-ret (the ( $\Gamma$  chain))) @ process-call  $\Gamma$  rs |
  process-call  $\Gamma$  (r#rs) = r # process-call  $\Gamma$  rs

```

lemma process-ret-split-fst-Return:

```

  a = Return  $\implies$  process-ret (Rule m a # rs) = add-match (MatchNot m)
(process-ret rs)
by auto

```

lemma process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn:

```

  a  $\neq$  Return  $\implies$  process-ret((Rule m a) # rs) = (Rule m a) # (process-ret rs)
by (cases a) auto

```

lemma add-match-simp: add-match m = map (λr . Rule (MatchAnd m (get-match r)) (get-action r))

by (auto simp: add-match-def cong: map-cong split: rule.split)

definition add-missing-ret-unfoldings :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**

```

  add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs1 rs2  $\equiv$ 
  foldr ( $\lambda r f$  acc. add-match (MatchNot (get-match rf))  $\circ$  acc) [ $r \leftarrow rs1$ . get-action
r = Return] id rs2

```

fun MatchAnd-foldr :: 'a match-expr list \Rightarrow 'a match-expr **where**

```

  MatchAnd-foldr [] = undefined |
  MatchAnd-foldr [e] = e |
  MatchAnd-foldr (e # es) = MatchAnd e (MatchAnd-foldr es)

```

fun add-match-MatchAnd-foldr :: 'a match-expr list \Rightarrow ('a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list) **where**

```

  add-match-MatchAnd-foldr [] = id |
  add-match-MatchAnd-foldr es = add-match (MatchAnd-foldr es)

```

lemma add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr:

```

 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (add-match-MatchAnd-foldr } ms \text{ rs2)}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash$ 
 $\langle \text{add-match (MatchAnd-foldr (m\#ms)) rs2}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 

```

proof (induction ms)

case Nil

show ?case **by** (simp add: add-match-def)

next

case Cons

thus ?case **by** (simp add: iptables-bigstep-add-match-and)
qed

lemma add-match-MatchAnd-foldr-empty-rs2: add-match-MatchAnd-foldr ms [] =
 []
by (induction ms) (simp-all add: add-match-def)

lemma add-missing-ret-unfoldings-alt: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (\text{add-match-MatchAnd-foldr } (\text{map } (\lambda r. \text{MatchNot } (\text{get-match } r))) [r \leftarrow rs1. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}])) \ rs2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
proof(induction rs1)
case Nil
thus ?case
unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def **by** simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
from Cons **obtain** m a **where** r = Rule m a **by**(cases r) (simp)
with Cons **show** ?case
unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def
apply(cases matches γ m p)
apply (simp-all add: matches-add-match-simp matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp
 add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr[symmetric])
done
qed

lemma add-match-add-missing-ret-unfoldings-rot:
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \ (\text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \ rs2), s \rangle \Rightarrow t =$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } (\text{Rule } (\text{MatchNot } m) \ \text{Return}\#rs1) \ rs2, s \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow t$
by(simp add: add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp)

3.1 Completeness

lemma process-ret-split-obvious: process-ret (rs₁ @ rs₂) =
 (process-ret rs₁) @ (add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs₁ (process-ret rs₂))
unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def
proof (induction rs₁ arbitrary: rs₂)
case (Cons r rs)
from Cons **obtain** m a **where** r = Rule m a **by** (cases r) simp
with Cons.IH **show** ?case
apply(cases a)
apply(simp-all add: add-match-split)
done
qed simp

lemma add-match-distrib:
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2$
 $(\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

```

proof –
{
  fix m1 m2
  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2 \ (\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction rs arbitrary: s)
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp add: add-match-def)
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  with Cons.prem obtain ti where 1:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ m)) \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti$  and 2:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m1 \ (\text{add-match } m2 \ rs), ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  apply (simp add: add-match-split-fst)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  by simp
  from 1 r have base:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m)) \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow ti$ 
  by (metis matches.simps(1) matches-rule-iptables-bigstep)
  from 2 Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m2 \ (\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$  by simp
  from base IH seq'-cons have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m2 \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m)) \ a \ \# \ \text{add-match } m2 \ (\text{add-match } m1 \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  by fast
  thus ?case using r by (simp add: add-match-split-fst[symmetric])
  qed
}
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma add-missing-ret-unfoldings-emptyrs2: add-missing-ret-unfoldings rs1 [] =
[]
unfolding add-missing-ret-unfoldings-def
by (induction rs1) (simp-all add: add-match-def)

```

```

lemma process-call-split: process-call  $\Gamma \ (rs1 \ @ \ rs2) = \text{process-call } \Gamma \ rs1 \ @ \ \text{process-call } \Gamma \ rs2$ 
proof (induction rs1)
case (Cons r rs1)
thus ?case
  apply (cases r, rename-tac m a)
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply (simp-all)
done
qed simp

```

```

lemma add-match-split-fst': add-match m (a # rs) = add-match m [a] @ add-match m rs
by (simp add: add-match-split[symmetric])

```

lemma *process-call-split-fst*: $\text{process-call } \Gamma (a \# rs) = \text{process-call } \Gamma [a] @ \text{process-call } \Gamma rs$

by (*simp add: process-call-split[symmetric]*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (*induction rs*)

case (*Cons r rs*)

show ?*case*

proof (*cases r*)

case (*Rule m' a'*)

show $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (r \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (*cases a'*)

case *Accept*

with *Cons Rule* **show** ?*thesis*

by *simp* (*metis acceptD decision decisionD nomatchD seqE-cons seq-cons*)

next

case *Drop*

with *Cons Rule* **show** ?*thesis*

by *simp* (*metis decision decisionD dropD nomatchD seqE-cons seq-cons*)

next

case *Log*

with *Cons Rule* **show** ?*thesis*

by *simp* (*metis logD nomatchD seqE-cons seq-cons*)

next

case *Reject*

with *Cons Rule* **show** ?*thesis*

by *simp* (*metis decision decisionD nomatchD rejectD seqE-cons seq-cons*)

next

case (*Call chain*)

from *Cons.prem*s **obtain** *ti* **where** $1: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow ti$ **and**

$2: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **using** *seqE-cons* **by** *metis*

thus ?*thesis*

proof(*cases ti*)

case *Undecided*

with *Cons.IH 2* **have** $IH: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$ **by**

simp

from *Undecided 1 Call Rule* **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ **by** *simp*

with *IH* **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain}) \# \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow t$ **using** *seq'-cons* **by** *fast*

thus ?*thesis* **using** *Rule Call* **by** *force*

next

case (*Decision X*)

with *1 Rule Call* **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$

Decision X **by** *simp*

moreover from *2 Decision* **have** $t = \text{Decision } X$ **using** *decisionD* **by**

fast


```

moreover from decision have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Decision X by fast
  ultimately show ?thesis using seq-cons by (metis Call Rule
process-ret.simps(7))
  qed
next
  case Return
  with Cons Rule show ?thesis
  by simp (metis matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp no-free-return-seq
nomatchD seq seqE-cons skip)
  next
  case Empty
  show ?thesis
  apply (insert Empty Cons Rule)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  apply (rename-tac ti)
  apply (case-tac ti)
  apply (metis process-ret.simps(8) seq'-cons)
  apply (metis Rule-DecisionE emptyD state.distinct(1))
  done
next
  case Unknown
  show ?thesis
  apply (insert Unknown Cons Rule)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  apply (case-tac ti)
  apply (metis process-ret.simps(9) seq'-cons)
  apply (metis decision iptables-bigstep-deterministic process-ret.simps(9)
seq-cons)
  done
  qed
qed
qed simp

```

lemma *add-match-rot-add-missing-ret-unfoldings*:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \text{ } rs2), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} =$

```

 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-missing-ret-unfoldings } rs1 \text{ (add-match } m \text{ } rs2), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
apply (simp add: add-missing-ret-unfoldings-alt add-match-add-missing-ret-unfoldings-rot
add-match-add-match-MatchAnd-foldr[symmetric] iptables-bigstep-add-match-notnot-simp)
apply (cases map ( $\lambda r. \text{MatchNot (get-match } r)$ ) [ $r \leftarrow rs1$  . ( $\text{get-action } r$ ) = Return])
apply (simp-all add: add-match-distrib)
done

```

Completeness

theorem *unfolding-complete*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$

proof (*induction rule: iptables-bigstep-induct*)

```

    case (Nomatch m a)
    thus ?case
    by (cases a) (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp add: not-matches-add-match-simp
skip)
  next
    case Seq
    thus ?case
    by (simp add: process-call-split seq')
  next
    case (Call-return m a chain rs1 m' rs2)
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
    by simp
    hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
    by (rule iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided)
    with Call-return have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs_1 @ add-missing-ret-unfoldings\ rs_1$ 
(add-match (MatchNot m') (process-ret rs2)), Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
    by (metis matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp skip add-match-ret-add-missing-ret-unfoldings
seq')
    with Call-return show ?case
    by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp process-ret-split-obvious)
  next
    case Call-result
    thus ?case
    by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp iptables-bigstep-process-ret-undecided)
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

lemma *process-ret-cases*:

```

process-ret rs = rs  $\vee$  ( $\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m.\ rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge (process-ret$ 
rs) = rs1 @ (process-ret ([Rule m Return] @ rs2)))
proof (induction rs)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  apply (cases r, rename-tac m' a')
  apply (case-tac a')
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (erule disjE, simp, rule disjI2, elim exE, simp add: process-ret-split-obvious,
metis append-Cons process-ret-split-obvious process-ret.simps(2)) +
  apply (rule disjI2)
  apply (rule-tac x=[] in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=rs in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=m' in exI)
  apply (simp)
  apply (erule disjE, simp, rule disjI2, elim exE, simp add: process-ret-split-obvious,
metis append-Cons process-ret-split-obvious process-ret.simps(2)) +
  done
qed simp

```

lemma *process-ret-splitcases*:

obtains (*id*) *process-ret* $rs = rs$
 | (*split*) $rs_1\ rs_2\ m$ **where** $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2$ **and** *process-ret*
 $rs = rs_1 @ (process-ret\ ([Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2))$
by (*metis process-ret-cases*)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-cases3*:

assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
obtains (*noreturn*) $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
 | (*return*) $rs_1\ rs_2\ m$ **where** $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2$ $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,$
 $Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ *matches* $\gamma\ m\ p$
proof –
have $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \Rightarrow$
 $(\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided) \vee$
 $(\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$
proof (*induction rs*)
case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons r rs*)
from *Cons* **obtain** $m\ a$ **where** $r: r = Rule\ m\ a$ **by** (*cases r*) *simp*
from $r\ Cons$ **show** ?*case*
proof(*cases a* $\neq Return$)
case *True*
with $r\ Cons.prems$ **have** $prems-r: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $Undecided$ **and** $prems-rs: \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret\ rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
apply(*simp-all add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn*)
apply(*erule seqE-cons, frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided, simp*)
done
from $prems-rs\ Cons.IH$ **have** $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1$
 $rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
 $\wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$ **by** *simp*
thus $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \vee (\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. r \# rs =$
 $rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches$
 $\gamma\ m\ p)$ (*is ?goal*)
proof(*elim disjE*)
assume $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$
hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ **using** $prems-r$ **by**
(*metis r seq'-cons*)
thus ?*goal* **by** *simp*
next
assume $(\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,$
 $Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p)$
from this obtain $rs_1\ rs_2\ m'$ **where** $rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m'\ Return] @ rs_2$
and $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ **and** *matches* $\gamma\ m'\ p$ **by** *blast*
hence $\exists rs_1\ rs_2\ m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [Rule\ m\ Return] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash$
 $\langle rs_1, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided \wedge matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
apply(*rule-tac x=Rule m a # rs_1 in exI*)

```

    apply(rule-tac x=rs2 in exI)
    apply(rule-tac x=m' in exI)
    apply(simp add: r)
    using prems-r seq'-cons by fast
    thus ?goal by simp
  qed
next
case False
  hence a = Return by simp
  with Cons.prems r have prems:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ (process-ret } rs), \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by simp
  show  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p)$  (is ?goal)
  proof(cases matches  $\gamma \ m \ p$ )
    case True
      hence  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
      apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
      apply(rule-tac x=rs in exI)
      apply(rule-tac x=m in exI)
      apply(simp add: skip r  $\langle a = \text{Return} \rangle$ )
      done
      thus ?goal by simp
    next
    case False
      with nomatch seq-cons False r have r-nomatch:  $\bigwedge rs. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by fast
      note r-nomatch'=r-nomatch[simplified r  $\langle a = \text{Return} \rangle$ ] — r unfolded
      from False not-matches-add-matchNot-simp prems have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  by fast
      with Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee (\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p)$  .
      thus ?goal
      proof(elim disjE)
        assume  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
        hence  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  using r-nomatch
      by simp
      thus ?goal by simp
    next
    assume  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
    from this obtain  $rs_1 rs_2 m'$  where  $rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m' \text{ Return}] @ rs_2$  and  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  and matches  $\gamma \ m' \ p$  by blast
    hence  $\exists rs_1 rs_2 m. r \# rs = rs_1 @ [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}] @ rs_2 \wedge \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$ 
    apply(rule-tac x=[Rule m Return] # rs1 in exI)

```

```

      apply(rule-tac x=rs2 in exI)
      apply(rule-tac x=m' in exI)
      by(simp add: ⟨a = Return⟩ False r r-nomatch')
    thus ?goal by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed
with assms noreturn return show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *add-match-match-not-cases*:

$\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } (\text{MatchNot } m) \text{ } rs, \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \Rightarrow \text{matches } \gamma$
 $m \text{ } p \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
 by (metis matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-simp)

lemma *iptables-bigstep-process-ret-DecisionD*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision } X$

proof (induction rs arbitrary: s)

case (Cons r rs)

thus ?case

apply(cases r, rename-tac m a)

apply(clarify)

apply(case-tac a \neq Return)

apply(simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn)

apply(erule seqE-cons)

apply(simp add: seq'-cons)

apply(simp)

apply(case-tac matches γ m p)

apply(simp add: matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp skip)

apply (metis decision skipD)

apply(simp add: not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)

by (metis decision state.exhaust nomatch seq'-cons)

qed simp

lemma *free-return-not-match*: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ Return}], \text{ Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow \neg$
 $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } p$

using no-free-return by fast

3.2 Background Ruleset Updating

lemma *update-Gamma-nomatch*:

assumes $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } p$

shows $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \text{ } a \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash$

```

 $\langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
proof
  assume ?l thus ?r
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m a chain' rs1 m' rs2)
    thus ?case
      proof (cases chain' = chain)
        case True
        with Call-return show ?thesis
          apply simp
          apply (cases rs1)
          using assms apply fastforce
          apply (rule-tac rs1=list and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in call-return)
          apply (simp)
          apply (simp)
          apply (simp)
          apply (simp)
          apply (erule seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma \ a)$ ])
          apply (frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma \ a)$ ])
          apply (simp)
          done
        qed (auto intro: call-return)
      next
      case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs' t')
      have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' (\text{Call chain}')], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
      proof (cases chain' = chain)
        case True
        with Call-result have Rule m a # rs = rs' ( $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs)$ ) chain' =
Some rs
          by simp+
          with assms Call-result show ?thesis
            by (metis call-result nomatchD seqE-cons)
      next
      case False
      with Call-result show ?thesis
        by (metis call-result fun-upd-apply)
      qed
    with Call-result show ?case
      by fast
    qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
  next
  assume ?r thus ?l
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1)
    thus ?case
      proof (cases chain' = chain)

```

```

      case True
      with Call-return show ?thesis
      using assms
      by (auto intro: seq-cons nomatch intro!: call-return[where rs1 = Rule
m a # rs1])
    qed (auto intro: call-return)
  next
  case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs')
  thus ?case
  proof (cases chain' = chain)
    case True
    with Call-result show ?thesis
    using assms by (auto intro: seq-cons nomatch intro!: call-result)
    qed (auto intro: call-result)
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
qed

lemma update-Gamma-log-empty:
  assumes a = Log ∨ a = Empty
  shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
proof
  assume ?l thus ?r
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1 m'' rs2)

    note [simp] = fun-upd-apply[abs-def]

    from Call-return have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ (Call\ chain')], Unde-$ 
    cided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  (is ?Call-return-case)
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case True with Call-return show ?Call-return-case
      — rs1 cannot be empty
      proof (cases rs1)
        case Nil with Call-return(3)  $\langle chain' = chain \rangle$  assms have False by
simp
      thus ?Call-return-case by simp
    next
    case (Cons r1 rs1s)
    from Cons Call-return have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle r_1 \# rs_1s, Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow Undecided$  by blast
    with seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto rs)$ ] obtain ti where
 $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [r_1], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow ti$  and  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash$ 
 $\langle rs_1s, ti \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  by metis
    with iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(chain \mapsto rs)$ ] have  $\Gamma(chain$ 
 $\mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1s, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$  by fast
    with Cons Call-return  $\langle chain' = chain \rangle$  show ?Call-return-case
    apply (rule-tac rs1=rs1s and m'=m'' and rs2=rs2 in call-return)
    apply (simp-all)
  end
end

```

```

      done
    qed
  next
  case False with Call-return show ?Call-return-case
    by (auto intro: call-return)
  qed
  thus ?case using Call-return by blast
next
case (Call-result m' a' chain' rs' t')
thus ?case
  proof (cases chain' = chain)
    case True
    with Call-result have rs' = [] @ [Rule m a] @ rs
      by simp
    with Call-result assms have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [] @ \text{rs}, \text{Undecided} \rangle$ 
       $\Rightarrow t'$ 
      using log-remove empty-empty by fast
    hence  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t'$ 
      by simp
    with Call-result True show ?thesis
      by (metis call-result fun-upd-same)
    qed (fastforce intro: call-result)
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
next
  have cases-a:  $\bigwedge P. (a = \text{Log} \Rightarrow P a) \Rightarrow (a = \text{Empty} \Rightarrow P a) \Rightarrow P a$ 
using assms by blast
  assume ?r thus ?l
  proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m' a' chain' rs1 m'' rs2)
    from Call-return have xx:  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \ a \ \#$ 
 $\text{rs}_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
    apply -
    apply (rule cases-a)
    apply (auto intro: nomatch seq-cons intro!: log empty simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    done
    with Call-return show ?case
    proof (cases chain' = chain)
      case False
      with Call-return have x:  $(\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs})) \text{chain}' = \text{Some}$ 
 $(\text{rs}_1 @ \text{Rule } m'' \ \text{Return} \ \# \ \text{rs}_2)$ 
      by (simp)
      with Call-return have  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ (\text{Call}$ 
 $\text{chain}'), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
      apply -
      apply (rule call-return [where rs1=rs1 and m'=m'' and rs2=rs2])
      apply (simp-all add: x xx del: fun-upd-apply)
      done
      thus  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ \text{rs}), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' \ a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{Undecided}$  using Call-return by simp
    
```



```

      next
      case True
      with Call-return have x: ( $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs)$ )  $chain' = Some$ 
( $Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs_1 @ Rule\ m''\ Return\ \# rs_2$ )
      by (simp)
      with Call-return have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m' (Call$ 
 $chain')], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      apply -
      apply (rule call-return[where  $rs_1 = Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs_1$  and  $m' = m''$  and
 $rs_2 = rs_2$ ])
      apply (simp-all add:  $x\ xx\ del: fun-upd-apply$ )
      done
      thus  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m'\ a'], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $Undecided$  using Call-return by simp
    qed
  next
  case (Call-result  $ma\ a\ chaina\ rs\ t$ )
  thus ?case
  apply (cases  $chaina = chain$ )
  apply (rule cases-a)
  apply (auto intro: nomatch seq-cons intro!: log empty call-result)[2]
  by (auto intro!: call-result)[1]
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
qed

```

lemma *map-update-chain-if*: ($\lambda b. if\ b = chain\ then\ Some\ rs\ else\ \Gamma\ b$) = $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs)$
 by auto

lemma *no-recursive-calls-helper*:
 assumes $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 and $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$
 and $\Gamma\ chain = Some\ [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]$
 shows *False*
 using *assms*
proof (induction $[Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]\ Undecided\ t$ rule: *iptables-bigstep-induct*)
 case Seq
 thus ?case
 by (metis *Cons-eq-append-conv append-is-Nil-conv skipD*)
 next
 case (Call-return $chain'\ rs_1\ m'\ rs_2$)
 hence $rs_1 @ Rule\ m'\ Return\ \# rs_2 = [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain')]$
 by simp
 thus ?case
 by (cases rs_1) auto
 next
 case Call-result
 thus ?case
 by simp

```

qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma no-recursive-calls:
   $\Gamma(chain \mapsto [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)]), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\Rightarrow matches\ \gamma\ m\ p \Rightarrow False$ 
  by (fastforce intro: no-recursive-calls-helper)

lemma no-recursive-calls2:
  assumes  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto (Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)) \# rs''), \gamma, p \vdash \langle (Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)) \# rs', Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  and  $matches\ \gamma\ m\ p$ 
  shows  $False$ 
  using assms
  proof (induction (Rule m (Call chain)) # rs' Undecided Undecided arbitrary:
rs' rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Seq rs1 rs2 t)
    thus ?case
    by (cases rs1) (auto elim: seqE-cons simp add: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: Cons-eq-append-conv)

lemma update-Gamma-nochange1:
  assumes  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  and  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m\ a \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  using assms(2) proof (induction rs' s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case (Call-return m a chaina rs1 m' rs2)
    thus ?case
    proof (cases chaina = chain)
      case True
      with Call-return show ?thesis
      apply simp
      apply (cases rs1)
      apply (simp)
      using assms apply (metis no-free-return-hlp)
      apply (rule-tac rs1=list and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in call-return)
      apply (simp)
      apply (simp)
      apply (simp)
      apply (simp)
      apply (erule seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma\ a)$ ])
      apply (frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda a. \text{if } a = \text{chain then Some } rs \text{ else } \Gamma\ a)$ ])
      apply (simp)
      done
    qed (auto intro: call-return)
  next
  case (Call-result m a chaina rsa t)

```

```

thus ?case
proof (cases chaina = chain)
  case True
  with Call-result show ?thesis
    apply(simp)
    apply(cases rsa)
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule-tac rs=rs in call-result)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(erule-tac seqE-cons[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain then Some rs else}$ 
 $\Gamma \ b))$ )
    apply(case-tac t)
    apply(simp)
    apply(frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided[where  $\Gamma=(\lambda b. \text{if } b = \text{chain then}$ 
Some rs else  $\Gamma \ b))$ )
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp)
    apply(subgoal-tac ti = Undecided)
    apply(simp)
    using assms(1)[simplified map-update-chain-if[symmetric]] iptables-bigstep-deterministic
apply fast
  done
qed (fastforce intro: call-result)
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

lemma update-gamme-remove-Undecidedpart:
  assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  and  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs1 @ rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
  using assms(2) proof (induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case Seq
    thus ?case
    by (auto simp: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided intro: seq)
  next
  case (Call-return m a chaina rs1 m' rs2)
  thus ?case
    apply(cases chaina = chain)
    apply(simp)
    apply(cases length rs1 ≤ length rs1)
    apply(simp add: List.append-eq-append-conv-if)
    apply(rule-tac rs1=drop (length rs1) rs1 and m'=m' and rs2=rs2 in
call-return)
    apply(simp-all)[3]
    apply(subgoal-tac rs1 = (take (length rs1) rs1) @ drop (length rs1) rs1)
    prefer 2 apply (metis append-take-drop-id)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(subgoal-tac  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{drop (length rs}_1) \text{ rs}_1 @ \text{Rule m' Return \#}$ 
rs2),  $\gamma, p \vdash$ 
 $\langle (\text{take (length rs}_1) \text{ rs}_1) @ \text{drop (length rs}_1) \text{ rs}_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ )

```

```

    prefer 2 apply(auto)[1]
    apply(erule-tac rs1=take (length rs1) rs1 and rs2=drop (length rs1) rs1 in
seqE)
    apply(simp)
    apply(frule-tac rs=drop (length rs1) rs1 in iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
    apply(simp)

    using assms apply (auto intro: call-result call-return)
    done
next
case (Call-result - - chain' rsa)
thus ?case
    apply(cases chain' = chain)
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule call-result)
    apply(simp-all)[2]
    apply (metis iptables-bigstep-to-undecided seqE)
    apply (auto intro: call-result)

done
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

lemma *update-Gamma-nocall:*

```

assumes  $\neg (\exists \text{chain. } a = \text{Call chain})$ 
shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof -
{
  fix  $\Gamma \ \Gamma'$ 
  have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  proof (induction [Rule m a] s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
    case Seq
    thus ?case by (metis (lifting, no-types) list-app-singletonE[where x =
Rule m a] skipD)
  next
    case Call-return thus ?case using assms by metis
  next
    case Call-result thus ?case using assms by metis
  qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)
}
thus ?thesis
by blast
qed

```

lemma *update-Gamma-call:*

```

assumes  $\Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs$  and  $\Gamma' \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs'$ 
assumes  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$  and  $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})],$ 
 $s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 

```

```

proof –
{
  fix  $\Gamma \Gamma' rs rs'$ 
  assume assms:
     $\Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs \ \Gamma' \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs'$ 
     $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \ \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs', \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
    have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})], s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies \Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})], s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
    proof (induction  $[\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})] \ s \ t \ \text{rule: iptables-bigstep-induct}$ )
      case Seq
        thus ?case by (metis (lifting, no-types) list-app-singletonE [where  $x = \text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{chain})$ ] skipD)
      next
        case Call-result
        thus ?case
          using assms by (metis call-result iptables-bigstep-deterministic)
        qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros assms)
    }
  note  $*$  = this
  show ?thesis
    using  $*[\text{OF } \text{assms}(1-4)] \ *[\text{OF } \text{assms}(2,1,4,3)]$  by blast
qed

```

lemma *update-Gamma-remove-call-undecided*:

```

assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call } \text{foo}) \ \# \ rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
and matches  $\gamma \ m \ p$ 
shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs'), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
using assms
proof (induction  $rs \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{arbitrary: rule: iptables-bigstep-induct}$ )
  case Seq
    thus ?case
      by (force simp: iptables-bigstep-to-undecided intro: seq')
  next
    case (Call-return  $m \ a \ \text{chaina} \ rs_1 \ m' \ rs_2$ )
    thus ?case
      apply(cases  $\text{chaina} = \text{chain}$ )
      apply(cases  $rs_1$ )
      apply(force intro: call-return)
      apply(simp)
      apply(erule-tac  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{list } @ \ \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return } \# \ rs_2)$  in seqE-cons)
      apply(frule-tac  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{list } @ \ \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return } \# \ rs_2)$  in iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
      apply(auto intro: call-return)
      done
  next
    case (Call-result  $m \ a \ \text{chaina} \ rsa$ )
    thus ?case
      apply(cases  $\text{chaina} = \text{chain}$ )
      apply(simp)
      apply (metis call-result fun-upd-same iptables-bigstep-to-undecided seqE-cons)

```

```

    apply (auto intro: call-result)
  done
qed (auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros)

```

3.3 process-ret correctness

```

lemma process-ret-add-match-dist1:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $t \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } (\text{process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  apply (induction rs arbitrary: s t)
  apply (simp add: add-match-def)
  apply (rename-tac r rs s t)
  apply (case-tac r)
  apply (rename-tac m' a')
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac a')
  apply (simp-all add: add-match-split-fst)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  defer
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)
  apply (case-tac matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd m m')) p)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2)
    matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-match-simp)
  by (metis add-match-distrib matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp)

lemma process-ret-add-match-dist2:  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } (\text{process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
  apply (induction rs arbitrary: s t)
  apply (simp add: add-match-def)
  apply (rename-tac r rs s t)
  apply (case-tac r)
  apply (rename-tac m' a')
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac a')
  apply (simp-all add: add-match-split-fst)
  apply (erule seqE-cons)
  using seq' apply (fastforce)

```

```

apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
defer
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(erule seqE-cons)
using seq' apply(fastforce)
apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd m m')) p)
apply(simp)
apply (metis decision decisionD state.exhaust matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2)
matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-match-simp)
by (metis add-match-distrib matches.simps(1) matches.simps(2) matches-add-match-MatchNot-simp)

```

lemma process-ret-add-match-dist: $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
 $\longleftrightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ } (\text{process-ret } rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t$
by (metis process-ret-add-match-dist1 process-ret-add-match-dist2)

lemma process-ret-Undecided-sound:

```

assumes  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \text{ } rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
shows  $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ } (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
proof (cases matches  $\gamma$  m p)
  case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis nomatch)
  next
    case True
    note matches = this
    show ?thesis
      using assms proof (induction rs)
        case Nil
          from call-result[OF matches, where  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto [])$ ]
          have  $(\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto [])) \text{chain} = \text{Some } [] \implies \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto []), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [], \text{Unde-}$ 
cided  $\rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto []), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ } (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$ 
          by simp
          thus ?case
            by (fastforce intro: skip)
        next
          case (Cons r rs)
          obtain m' a' where  $r: r = \text{Rule } m' \text{ } a'$  by (cases r) blast

```

with *Cons.prem*s **have** *prems*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret} \text{ (add-match } m \text{ (Rule } m' a' \# rs)), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by *fast*
hence *prems-simplified*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (Rule } m' a' \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
using *matches* **by** (*metis matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist*)

have $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ (Call chain)}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
proof (*cases* $a' = \text{Return}$)
case *True*
note $a' = \text{this}$
have $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' \text{Return} \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \text{ (Call chain)}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
proof (*cases* *matches* $\gamma m' p$)
case *True*
with *matches* **show** *?thesis*
by (*fastforce intro: call-return skip*)
next
case *False*
note *matches' = this*
hence $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret (Rule } m' a' \# rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by (*metis prems-simplified update-Gamma-nomatch*)
with a' **have** $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match (MatchNot } m') \text{ (process-ret } rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by *simp*
with *matches* *matches'* **have** $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{add-match } m \text{ (process-ret } rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by (*simp add: matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp*)
with *matches'* *Cons.IH* **show** *?thesis*
by (*fastforce simp: update-Gamma-nomatch process-ret-add-match-dist*)
qed
with a' **show** *?thesis*
by *simp*
next
case *False*
note $a' = \text{this}$
with *prems-simplified* **have** $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m' a' \# \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by (*simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NegReturn*)
hence *step*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m' a'], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
and *IH-pre*: $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto \text{Rule } m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by (*metis seqE-cons iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*) +

from *step* **have** $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow$


```

Undecided
  proof (cases rule: Rule-UndecidedE)
    case log thus ?thesis
  using IH-pre by (metis empty iptables-bigstep.log update-Gamma-nochange1
update-Gamma-nomatch)
  next
    case call thus ?thesis
    using IH-pre by (metis update-Gamma-remove-call-undecided)
  next
    case nomatch thus ?thesis
    using IH-pre by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
  qed

  hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (add-match\ m\ rs), Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  by (metis matches matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
  with Cons.IH have IH:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)],$ 
Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
  by fast

  from step show ?thesis
  proof (cases rule: Rule-UndecidedE)
    case log thus ?thesis using IH
    by (simp add: update-Gamma-log-empty)
  next
    case nomatch
    thus ?thesis
    using IH by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
  next
    case (call c)
    let ? $\Gamma'$  =  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a'\ \# rs)$ 
    from IH-pre show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: iptables-bigstep-process-ret-cases3)
      case noreturn
      with call have ? $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m'\ (Call\ c)\ \# rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
Undecided
      by (metis step seq-cons)
      from call have ? $\Gamma'$  chain = Some (Rule m' (Call c) # rs)
      by simp
      from matches show ?thesis
      by (rule call-result) fact+
    next
      case (return rs1 rs2 new-m')
      with call have ? $\Gamma'$  chain = Some ((Rule m' (Call c) # rs1) @
[Rule new-m' Return] @ rs2)
      by simp
      from call return step have ? $\Gamma', \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m'\ (Call\ c)\ \# rs_1,$ 
Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow Undecided$ 
      using IH-pre by (auto intro: seq-cons)

```

```

      from matches show ?thesis
    by (rule call-return) fact+
  qed
qed
qed
thus ?case
  by (metis r)
qed
qed

lemma process-ret-Decision-sound:
  assumes  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (add-match\ m\ rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$ 
  shows  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$ 
  proof (cases matches  $\gamma\ m\ p$ )
    case False
      thus ?thesis by (metis assms state.distinct(1) not-matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist1 skipD)
    next
      case True
        note matches = this
        show ?thesis
          using assms proof (induction rs)
            case Nil
              hence False by (metis add-match-split append-self-conv state.distinct(1) process-ret.simps(1) skipD)
              thus ?case by simp
            next
              case (Cons r rs)
                obtain  $m'\ a'$  where  $r: r = Rule\ m'\ a'$  by (cases r) blast
                with Cons.prem have prem:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (add-match\ m\ (Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs)), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$ 
                by fast
                hence prem-simplified:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$ 
                using matches by (metis matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
                have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$ 
                proof (cases  $a' = Return$ )
                  case True
                    note  $a' = this$ 
                    have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule\ m'\ Return \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ (Call\ chain)], Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ X$ 
                    proof (cases matches  $\gamma\ m'\ p$ )
                      case True
                        with matches prem-simplified  $a'$  show ?thesis
                        by (auto simp: not-matches-add-match-simp dest: skipD)

```

```

      next
      case False
      note matches' = this
      with prems-simplified have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret (Rule$ 
 $m' a' \# rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision X$ 
      by (metis update-Gamma-nomatch)
      with a' matches matches' have  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle add-match m$ 
 $(process-ret rs), Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision X$ 
      by (simp add: matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)
      with matches matches' Cons.IH show ?thesis
      by (fastforce simp: update-Gamma-nomatch process-ret-add-match-dist
      matches-add-match-simp not-matches-add-matchNot-simp)
      qed
      with a' show ?thesis
      by simp
    next
    case False
    with prems-simplified obtain ti
    where step:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule m' a'], Undecided \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow ti$ 
    and IH-pre:  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-ret rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
 $Decision X$ 
    by (auto simp: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn elim: seqE-cons)

    hence  $\Gamma(chain \mapsto Rule m' a' \# rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, ti \rangle \Rightarrow Decision X$ 
    by (metis iptables-bigstep-process-ret-DecisionD)

    thus ?thesis
    using matches step by (force intro: call-result seq'-cons)
  qed
  thus ?case
  by (metis r)
qed
qed

```

lemma *process-ret-result-empty*: $\square = process-ret rs \implies \forall r \in set rs. get-action r = Return$

```

proof (induction rs)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac r)
  apply (rename-tac m a)
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply (simp-all add: add-match-def)
  done
qed simp

```

lemma *all-return-subchain*:

assumes $a1: \Gamma \text{ chain} = \text{Some } rs$
and $a2: \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ p$
and $a3: \forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Return}$
shows $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
proof (cases $\exists r \in \text{set } rs. \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p$)
case *True*
hence $(\exists rs1 \ r \ rs2. rs = rs1 \ @ \ r \ \# \ rs2 \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p \wedge (\forall r' \in \text{set } rs1. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r') \ p))$
by (subst split-list-first-prop-iff[symmetric])
then obtain $rs1 \ r \ rs2$
where $*$: $rs = rs1 \ @ \ r \ \# \ rs2 \text{ matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r) \ p \ \forall r' \in \text{set } rs1. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{get-match } r') \ p$
by auto

with $a3$ **obtain** m' **where** $r = \text{Rule } m' \ \text{Return}$
by (cases r) simp
with $*$ **assms** **show** ?thesis
by (fastforce intro: call-return nomatch')
next
case *False*
hence $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided}$
by (blast intro: nomatch')
with $a1 \ a2$ **show** ?thesis
by (metis call-result)
qed

lemma process-ret-sound':
assumes $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-ret } (\text{add-match } m \ rs), \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$
shows $\Gamma(\text{chain} \mapsto rs), \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ (\text{Call chain})], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow t$
using **assms** **by** (metis state.exhaust process-ret-Undecided-sound process-ret-Decision-sound)

lemma get-action-case-simp: $\text{get-action } (\text{case } r \text{ of Rule } m' \ x \Rightarrow \text{Rule } (\text{MatchAnd } m \ m') \ x) = \text{get-action } r$
by (metis rule.case-eq-if rule.sel(2))

We call a ruleset wf iff all Calls are into actually existing chains.

definition wf-chain :: 'a ruleset \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs \equiv (\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \forall \text{chain}. \text{get-action } r = \text{Call chain} \longrightarrow \Gamma \text{ chain} \neq \text{None})$

lemma wf-chain-append: $\text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ (rs1 @ rs2) \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs1 \wedge \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs2$

by (simp add: wf-chain-def, blast)

lemma wf-chain-process-ret: $\text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ rs \Longrightarrow \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \ (\text{process-ret } rs)$

apply (induction rs)

apply (simp add: wf-chain-def add-match-def)

apply (case-tac a)

apply (case-tac $x2 \neq \text{Return}$)

apply (simp add: process-ret-split-fst-NeqReturn)

```

using wf-chain-append apply (metis Cons-eq-appendI append-Nil)
apply(simp add: process-ret-split-fst-Return)
apply(simp add: wf-chain-def add-match-def get-action-case-simp)
done
lemma wf-chain-add-match: wf-chain  $\Gamma$  rs  $\implies$  wf-chain  $\Gamma$  (add-match m rs)
by(induction rs) (simp-all add: wf-chain-def add-match-def get-action-case-simp)

```

3.4 Soundness

```

theorem unfolding-sound: wf-chain  $\Gamma$  rs  $\implies$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof (induction rs arbitrary: s t)
  case (Cons r rs)
  thus ?case
  apply –
  apply(subst(asm) process-call-split-fst)
  apply(erule seqE)

  unfolding wf-chain-def
  apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
  apply(case-tac a)
  apply(simp-all add: seq'-cons)

  apply(case-tac s)
  defer
  apply (metis decision decisionD)
  apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m p)
  defer
  apply(simp add: not-matches-add-match-simp)
  apply(drule skipD, simp)
  apply (metis nomatch seq-cons)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp add: matches-add-match-simp)
  apply(rule-tac t=ti in seq-cons)
  apply(simp-all)

  using process-ret-sound'
  by (metis fun-upd-triv matches-add-match-simp process-ret-add-match-dist)
qed simp

```

```

corollary unfolding-sound-complete: wf-chain  $\Gamma$  rs  $\implies$   $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{process-call } \Gamma \text{ rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
 $\iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
by (metis unfolding-complete unfolding-sound)

```

```

corollary unfolding-n-sound-complete:  $\forall \text{ rsg} \in \text{ran } \Gamma \cup \{rs\}. \text{wf-chain } \Gamma \text{ rsg} \implies$ 
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle ((\text{process-call } \Gamma) \hat{^n} rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof(induction n arbitrary: rs)
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next

```

```

    case (Suc n)
    from Suc have  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (process-call \Gamma \ \hat{\wedge} \ n) \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
t by blast
    from Suc.premis have  $\forall a \in ran \ \Gamma \cup \{process-call \ \Gamma \ rs\}. \ wf-chain \ \Gamma \ a$ 
    proof(induction rs)
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case(Cons r rs)
      from Cons.premis have  $\forall a \in ran \ \Gamma. \ wf-chain \ \Gamma \ a$  by blast
      from Cons.premis have  $wf-chain \ \Gamma \ [r]$ 
      apply(simp)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      done
      from Cons.premis have  $wf-chain \ \Gamma \ rs$ 
      apply(simp)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      done
      from this Cons.premis Cons.IH have  $wf-chain \ \Gamma \ (process-call \ \Gamma \ rs)$  by
blast
      from this  $\langle wf-chain \ \Gamma \ [r] \rangle$  have  $wf-chain \ \Gamma \ (r \# (process-call \ \Gamma \ rs))$ 
by(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      from this Cons.premis have  $wf-chain \ \Gamma \ (process-call \ \Gamma \ (r \# rs))$ 
      apply(cases r)
      apply(rename-tac m a, clarify)
      apply(case-tac a)
      apply(simp-all)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-append)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp add:  $\langle wf-chain \ \Gamma \ (process-call \ \Gamma \ rs) \rangle$ )
      apply(rule wf-chain-add-match)
      apply(rule wf-chain-process-ret)
      apply(simp add: wf-chain-def)
      apply(clarify)
      by (metis ranI option.sel)
      from this  $\langle \forall a \in ran \ \Gamma. \ wf-chain \ \Gamma \ a \rangle$  show ?case by simp
    qed
    from this Suc.IH[of  $((process-call \ \Gamma) \ rs)$ ] have
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle (process-call \ \Gamma \ \hat{\wedge} \ n) \ (process-call \ \Gamma \ rs), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle process-call$ 
 $\Gamma \ rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
      by simp
    from this show ?case
      by (simp, metis Suc.premis Un-commute funpow-swap1 insertI1 insert-is-Un
unfolding-sound-complete)
    qed

```

loops in the linux kernel:

http://lxr.linux.no/linux+v3.2/net/ipv4/netfilter/ip_tables.c#L464

/* Figures out from what hook each rule can be called: returns 0 if

```

    there are loops. Puts hook bitmask in comefrom. */
    static int mark_source_chains(const struct xt_table_info *newinfo,
                                  unsigned int valid_hooks, void *entry0)

discussion: http://marc.info/?l=netfilter-devel&m=105190848425334&w=2

end
theory Datatype-Selectors
imports Main
begin

Running Example: datatype-new iptrule-match = is-Src: Src (src-range:
ipt-ipv4range)

A discriminator disc tells whether a value is of a certain constructor. Ex-
ample: is-Src

A selector sel select the inner value. Example: src-range

A constructor C constructs a value Example: Src

The are well-formed if the belong together.

fun wf-disc-sel :: (('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b))  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C = ( $\forall a. disc\ a \longrightarrow C\ (sel\ a) = a$ )
declare wf-disc-sel.simps[simp del]

end
theory IPspace-Syntax
imports Main String ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr ../Datatype-Selectors
begin

```

4 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher

Primitive Match Conditions which only support IPv4 addresses and layer 4 protocols. Used to partition the IPv4 address space.

```

datatype ipt-ipv4range = Ip4Addr nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat
    | Ip4AddrNetmask nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  nat nat — addr/xx

```

```

datatype ipt-protocol = ProtAll | ProtTCP | ProtUDP

```

```

datatype-new iptrule-match =
    is-Src: Src (src-range: ipt-ipv4range)
    | is-Dst: Dst (dst-range: ipt-ipv4range)
    | is-Prot: Prot (prot-sel: ipt-protocol)

    | is-Extra: Extra (extra-sel: string)

```

```

lemma wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match[simp]:
  wf-disc-sel (is-Src, src-range) Src
  wf-disc-sel (is-Dst, dst-range) Dst
  wf-disc-sel (is-Prot, prot-sel) Prot
  wf-disc-sel (is-Extra, extra-sel) Extra
by(simp-all add: wf-disc-sel.simps)

```

4.1 Example Packet

```

datatype protPacket = ProtTCP | ProtUDP
record packet = src-ip :: ipv4addr
                dst-ip :: ipv4addr
                prot :: protPacket

```

```

hide-const (open) ProtTCP ProtUDP

```

```

fun ipv4s-to-set :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipv4addr set where
  ipv4s-to-set (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) = ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
    base) m |
  ipv4s-to-set (Ip4Addr ip) = { ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip }

```

ipv4s-to-set cannot represent an empty set.

```

lemma ipv4s-to-set-nonempty: ipv4s-to-set ip  $\neq$  {}
apply(cases ip)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
apply(simp add: bitmagic-zeroLast-leq-or1Last)
done

```

maybe this is necessary as code equation?

```

lemma element-ipv4s-to-set: addr  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set X = (
  case X of (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len)  $\Rightarrow$  ((ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal pre) AND
    ((mask len) << (32 - len)))  $\leq$  addr  $\wedge$  addr  $\leq$  (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal pre)
    OR (mask (32 - len))
  | Ip4Addr ip  $\Rightarrow$  (addr = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)) )
apply(cases X)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt)
done

```



```

lemma ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (0, 0, 0, 0)) 33 =
{0}
apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def)
done

end
theory Example-Semantics
imports ../Call-Return-Unfolding ../Primitive-Matchers/IPSpace-Syntax
begin

```

5 Examples Big Step Semantics

we use a primitive matcher which always applies.

```

fun applies-Yes :: ('a, 'p) matcher where
  applies-Yes m p = True
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes MatchAny p by simp
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes (Match e) p by simp

definition m=Match (Src (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))
definition p=(src-ip=0, dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
lemma[simp]: Semantics.matches applies-Yes m p by (simp add: m-def)

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [(Rule m Log), (Rule m Accept), (Rule m Drop)]], applies-Yes, p  $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$ [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
apply(rule Semantics.decision)
done

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [(Rule m Log), (Rule m (Call "foo")), (Rule m Ac-
cept)],
  "foo"  $\mapsto$  [(Rule m Log), (Rule m Return)]], applies-Yes, p  $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$ [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(rule seq-cons)
apply(rule Semantics.call-return[where rs1=[Rule m Log] and rs2=[]])
apply(simp)+

```

```

apply(auto intro: Semantics.log)
apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
done

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [Rule m (Call "foo"), Rule m Drop], "foo"  $\mapsto$  [], applies-Yes, p  $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$  [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision
FinalDeny)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
apply(rule Semantics.call-result)
apply(auto)
apply(rule Semantics.skip)
apply(auto intro: deny)
done

lemma (( $\lambda$ rs. process-call ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [Rule m (Call "foo"), Rule m Drop],
  "foo"  $\mapsto$  [] rs)  $\wedge$  2)
  [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]
  = [Rule (MatchAnd MatchAny m) Drop] by eval

hide-const m p

We tune the primitive matcher to support everything we need in the ex-
ample. Note that the undefined cases cannot be handled with these exact
semantics!

fun applies-exampleMatchExact :: (iprule-match, packet) matcher where
applies-exampleMatchExact (Src (Ip4Addr addr)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  src-ip p = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
addr) |
applies-exampleMatchExact (Dst (Ip4Addr addr)) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  dst-ip p = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
addr) |
applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ProtAll) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  prot p = prot-
Packet.ProtTCP |
applies-exampleMatchExact (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  prot p = prot-
Packet.ProtUDP

lemma ["FORWARD"  $\mapsto$  [ Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0))))
  (Match (Dst (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))) Reject,
  Rule (Match (Dst (Ip4Addr (0,0,0,0)))) Log,
  Rule (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) Accept,
  Rule (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) Drop]
  ], applies-exampleMatchExact, (src-ip = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (1,2,3,4)),
  dst-ip = (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (0,0,0,0)), prot = protPacket.ProtTCP)  $\vdash$ 
   $\langle$  [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")], Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow$  (Decision
FinalAllow)
apply(rule call-result)
apply(auto)

```

```

    apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.nomatch simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps
    ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
    apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.log simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
    apply(rule Semantics.seq-cons)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.accept)
    apply(auto intro: Semantics.decision)
  done

end
theory Ternary
imports Main
begin

```

6 Ternary Logic

Kleene logic

```

datatype ternaryvalue = TernaryTrue | TernaryFalse | TernaryUnknown
datatype ternaryformula = TernaryAnd ternaryformula ternaryformula | TernaryOr
ternaryformula ternaryformula |
TernaryNot ternaryformula | TernaryValue ternaryvalue

fun ternary-to-bool :: ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  bool option where
  ternary-to-bool TernaryTrue = Some True |
  ternary-to-bool TernaryFalse = Some False |
  ternary-to-bool TernaryUnknown = None
fun bool-to-ternary :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue where
  bool-to-ternary True = TernaryTrue |
  bool-to-ternary False = TernaryFalse

```

```

lemma the  $\circ$  ternary-to-bool  $\circ$  bool-to-ternary = id
  by(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, case-tac x, simp-all)
lemma ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary: ternary-to-bool (bool-to-ternary X) = Some
X
by(cases X, simp-all)
lemma ternary-to-bool-None: ternary-to-bool t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  t = TernaryUnknown
  by(cases t, simp-all)
lemma ternary-to-bool-SomeE: ternary-to-bool t = Some X  $\Longrightarrow$ 
(t = TernaryTrue  $\Longrightarrow$  X = True  $\Longrightarrow$  P)  $\Longrightarrow$  (t = TernaryFalse  $\Longrightarrow$  X = False
 $\Longrightarrow$  P)  $\Longrightarrow$  P
  by (metis option.distinct(1) option.inject ternary-to-bool.elims)
lemma ternary-to-bool-Some: ternary-to-bool t = Some X  $\longleftrightarrow$  (t = TernaryTrue
 $\wedge$  X = True)  $\vee$  (t = TernaryFalse  $\wedge$  X = False)
  by(cases t, simp-all)
lemma bool-to-ternary-Unknown: bool-to-ternary t = TernaryUnknown  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

```

by(cases t, simp-all)

fun eval-ternary-And :: ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue **where**
 eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryUnknown |
 eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

lemma eval-ternary-And-comm: eval-ternary-And t1 t2 = eval-ternary-And t2 t1
by (cases t1 t2 rule: ternaryvalue.exhaust[case-product ternaryvalue.exhaust]) auto

fun eval-ternary-Or :: ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue **where**
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryUnknown |
 eval-ternary-Or TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

fun eval-ternary-Not :: ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue **where**
 eval-ternary-Not TernaryTrue = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-Not TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Not TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

Just to hint that we did not make a typo, we add the truth table for the implication and show that it is compliant with $a \longrightarrow b = (\neg a \vee b)$

fun eval-ternary-Imp :: ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue \Rightarrow ternaryvalue **where**
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryFalse = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryTrue TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryFalse TernaryUnknown = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryTrue = TernaryTrue |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryFalse = TernaryUnknown |
 eval-ternary-Imp TernaryUnknown TernaryUnknown = TernaryUnknown

lemma eval-ternary-Imp a b = eval-ternary-Or (eval-ternary-Not a) b
apply(case-tac a)
apply(case-tac [!] b)

apply(*simp-all*)
done

lemma *eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD*: *eval-ternary-Not t = TernaryUnknown \implies*
t = TernaryUnknown
by (*cases t*) *auto*

lemma *eval-ternary-DeMorgan*: *eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-And a b) = eval-ternary-Or*
(eval-ternary-Not a) (eval-ternary-Not b)
eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-Or a b) = eval-ternary-And
(eval-ternary-Not a) (eval-ternary-Not b)
by (*cases a b rule: ternaryvalue.exhaust[case-product ternaryvalue.exhaust],auto*)**+**

lemma *eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*: *eval-ternary-Not (eval-ternary-Not a) = a*
by (*cases a*) *simp-all*

fun *ternary-ternary-eval* :: *ternaryformula \Rightarrow ternaryvalue* **where**
ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t1 t2) = eval-ternary-And (ternary-ternary-eval
t1) (ternary-ternary-eval t2) |
ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryOr t1 t2) = eval-ternary-Or (ternary-ternary-eval
t1) (ternary-ternary-eval t2) |
ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot t) = eval-ternary-Not (ternary-ternary-eval t)
|
ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryValue t) = t

lemma *ternary-ternary-eval-DeMorgan*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot (TernaryAnd*
a b)) =
ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryOr (TernaryNot a) (TernaryNot b))
by (*simp add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan*)

lemma *ternary-ternary-eval-idempotence-Not*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot*
(TernaryNot a)) = ternary-ternary-eval a
by (*simp add: eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)

lemma *ternary-ternary-eval-TernaryAnd-comm*: *ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd*
t1 t2) = ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryAnd t2 t1)
by (*simp add: eval-ternary-And-comm*)

lemma *eval-ternary-Not (ternary-ternary-eval t) = (ternary-ternary-eval (TernaryNot*
t)) **by** *simp*

lemma *eval-ternary-simps-simple*:
eval-ternary-And TernaryTrue x = x
eval-ternary-And x TernaryTrue = x
eval-ternary-And TernaryFalse x = TernaryFalse
eval-ternary-And x TernaryFalse = TernaryFalse
by(*case-tac [!]* *x*)(*simp-all*)

lemma *eval-ternary-simps-2*: *eval-ternary-And* (*bool-to-ternary* *P*) *T* = *Ternary-True* \longleftrightarrow *P* \wedge *T* = *TernaryTrue*
eval-ternary-And *T* (*bool-to-ternary* *P*) = *TernaryTrue* \longleftrightarrow *P* \wedge *T* = *TernaryTrue*
apply(*case-tac* [!] *P*)
apply(*simp-all* *add*: *eval-ternary-simps-simple*)
done

lemma *eval-ternary-simps-3*: *eval-ternary-And* (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x*) *T* = *Ternary-True* \longleftrightarrow (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x* = *TernaryTrue*) \wedge (*T* = *TernaryTrue*)
eval-ternary-And *T* (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x*) = *TernaryTrue* \longleftrightarrow (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x* = *TernaryTrue*) \wedge (*T* = *TernaryTrue*)
apply(*case-tac* [!] *T*)
apply(*simp-all* *add*: *eval-ternary-simps-simple*)
apply(*case-tac* [!] (*ternary-ternary-eval* *x*))
apply(*simp-all*)
done

lemmas *eval-ternary-simps* = *eval-ternary-simps-simple* *eval-ternary-simps-2* *eval-ternary-simps-3*

definition *ternary-eval* :: *ternaryformula* \Rightarrow *bool option* **where**
ternary-eval *t* = *ternary-to-bool* (*ternary-ternary-eval* *t*)

6.1 Negation Normal Form

A formula is in Negation Normal Form (NNF) if negations only occur at the atoms (not before and/or)

inductive *NegationNormalForm* :: *ternaryformula* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
NegationNormalForm (*TernaryValue* *v*) |
NegationNormalForm (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryValue* *v*)) |
NegationNormalForm $\varphi \Longrightarrow$ *NegationNormalForm* $\psi \Longrightarrow$ *NegationNormalForm* (*TernaryAnd* φ ψ) |
NegationNormalForm $\varphi \Longrightarrow$ *NegationNormalForm* $\psi \Longrightarrow$ *NegationNormalForm* (*TernaryOr* φ ψ)

Convert a *ternaryformula* to a *ternaryformula* in NNF.

fun *NNF-ternary* :: *ternaryformula* \Rightarrow *ternaryformula* **where**
NNF-ternary (*TernaryValue* *v*) = *TernaryValue* *v* |
NNF-ternary (*TernaryAnd* *t1* *t2*) = *TernaryAnd* (*NNF-ternary* *t1*) (*NNF-ternary* *t2*) |
NNF-ternary (*TernaryOr* *t1* *t2*) = *TernaryOr* (*NNF-ternary* *t1*) (*NNF-ternary* *t2*) |
NNF-ternary (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryNot* *t*)) = *NNF-ternary* *t* |
NNF-ternary (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryValue* *v*)) = *TernaryValue* (*eval-ternary-Not* *v*) |
NNF-ternary (*TernaryNot* (*TernaryAnd* *t1* *t2*)) = *TernaryOr* (*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* *t1*)) (*NNF-ternary* (*TernaryNot* *t2*)) |

$NNF\text{-ternary} (TernaryNot (TernaryOr t1 t2)) = TernaryAnd (NNF\text{-ternary} (TernaryNot t1)) (NNF\text{-ternary} (TernaryNot t2))$

lemma *NNF-ternary-correct: ternary-ternary-eval (NNF-ternary t) = ternary-ternary-eval t*
apply(*induction t rule: NNF-ternary.induct*)
apply(*simp-all add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)
done

lemma *NNF-ternary-NegationNormalForm: NegationNormalForm (NNF-ternary t)*
apply(*induction t rule: NNF-ternary.induct*)
apply(*auto simp add: eval-ternary-DeMorgan eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)
intro: NegationNormalForm.intros
done

end
theory *Matching-Ternary*
imports *Ternary Firewall-Common*
begin

7 Packet Matching in Ternary Logic

The matcher for a primitive match expression *'a*

type-synonym (*'a, 'packet*) *exact-match-tac* = *'a* \Rightarrow *'packet* \Rightarrow *ternaryvalue*

If the matching is *TernaryUnknown*, it can be decided by the action whether this rule matches. E.g. in doubt, we allow packets

type-synonym *'packet unknown-match-tac* = *action* \Rightarrow *'packet* \Rightarrow *bool*

type-synonym (*'a, 'packet*) *match-tac* = ((*'a, 'packet*) *exact-match-tac* \times *'packet unknown-match-tac*)

For a given packet, map a firewall *'a match-expr* to a *ternaryformula* Evaluating the formula gives whether the packet/rule matches (or unknown).

fun *map-match-tac* :: (*'a, 'packet*) *exact-match-tac* \Rightarrow *'packet* \Rightarrow *'a match-expr* \Rightarrow *ternaryformula* **where**
map-match-tac β *p* (*MatchAnd m1 m2*) = *TernaryAnd* (*map-match-tac* β *p m1*)
(*map-match-tac* β *p m2*) |
map-match-tac β *p* (*MatchNot m*) = *TernaryNot* (*map-match-tac* β *p m*) |
map-match-tac β *p* (*Match m*) = *TernaryValue* (β *m p*) |
map-match-tac - *MatchAny* = *TernaryValue TernaryTrue*

the *ternaryformulas* we construct never have Or expressions.

```

fun ternary-has-or :: ternaryformula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ternary-has-or (TernaryOr - -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
  ternary-has-or (TernaryAnd t1 t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ternary-has-or t1  $\vee$  ternary-has-or t2 |
  ternary-has-or (TernaryNot t)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ternary-has-or t |
  ternary-has-or (TernaryValue -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
lemma map-match-tac--does-not-use-TernaryOr:  $\neg$  (ternary-has-or (map-match-tac
 $\beta$  p m))
  by (induction m, simp-all)

```

```

fun ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac :: 'packet unknown-match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$ 
'packet  $\Rightarrow$  ternaryvalue  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac - - - TernaryTrue = True |
  ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac - - - TernaryFalse = False |
  ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha$  a p TernaryUnknown =  $\alpha$  a p

```

Matching a packet and a rule:

1. Translate '*a match-expr*' to ternary formula
2. Evaluate this formula
3. If *TernaryTrue*/*TernaryFalse*, return this value
4. If *TernaryUnknown*, apply the '*a unknown-match-tac*' to get a Boolean result

```

definition matches :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  'packet
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\equiv$  ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac (snd  $\gamma$ ) a p (ternary-ternary-eval
(map-match-tac (fst  $\gamma$ ) p m))

```

Alternative matches definitions, some more or less convenient

```

lemma matches-tuple: matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m a p = ternary-to-bool-unknown-match-tac
 $\alpha$  a p (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m))
unfolding matches-def by simp

```

```

lemma matches-case: matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  (case ternary-eval (map-match-tac
(fst  $\gamma$ ) p m) of None  $\Rightarrow$  (snd  $\gamma$ ) a p | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  b)
unfolding matches-def ternary-eval-def
by (cases (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac (fst  $\gamma$ ) p m))) auto

```

```

lemma matches-case-tuple: matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  (case ternary-eval (map-match-tac
 $\beta$  p m) of None  $\Rightarrow$   $\alpha$  a p | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  b)
by (auto simp: matches-case split: option.splits)

```

```

lemma matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple: matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  (case ternary-ternary-eval
(map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m) of
  TernaryUnknown  $\Rightarrow$   $\alpha$  a p |

```


$$\begin{aligned} & \text{TernaryTrue} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \\ & \text{TernaryFalse} \Rightarrow \text{False} \end{aligned}$$
by(*simp split: option.split ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case ternary-to-bool-None ternary-eval-def*)

lemma *matches-casesE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a \ p \Longrightarrow \\ & (\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) = \text{TernaryUnknown} \Longrightarrow \alpha \ a \ p \\ & \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow \\ & (\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ m) = \text{TernaryTrue} \Longrightarrow P) \\ & \Longrightarrow P \end{aligned}$$
apply(*induction m*)
apply(*auto split: option.split-asm simp: matches-case-tuple ternary-eval-def ternary-to-bool-bool-to-ternary elim: ternary-to-bool.elims*)
done

Example: $\neg \text{Unknown}$ is as good as Unknown

lemma $\llbracket \text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta \ p \ \text{expr}) = \text{TernaryUnknown} \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ \text{expr} \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (\text{MatchNot expr}) \ a \ p$
by(*simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

lemma *bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m1 \ a \ p \wedge \text{matches } \gamma \ m2 \ a \ p \\ & \text{matches } \gamma \ \text{MatchAny} \ a \ p \\ & \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot MatchAny}) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{False} \\ & \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (\text{Match expr}) \ a \ p = (\text{case ternary-to-bool } (\beta \ \text{expr} \ p) \ \text{of Some } r \\ & \Rightarrow r \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (\alpha \ a \ p)) \\ & \text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ (\text{Match expr}) \ a \ p = (\text{case } (\beta \ \text{expr} \ p) \ \text{of TernaryTrue} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \\ & \text{TernaryFalse} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{TernaryUnknown} \Rightarrow (\alpha \ a \ p)) \\ & \text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot } (\text{MatchNot } m)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \end{aligned}$$
apply(*case-tac [!] γ*)
by (*simp-all split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

lemma *matches-DeMorgan*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot } (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot } m1) \ a \ p) \vee (\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchNot } m2) \ a \ p)$
by (*cases γ*) (*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple eval-ternary-DeMorgan*)

7.1 Ternary Matcher Algebra

lemma *matches-and-comm*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m \ m') \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma$
 $(\text{MatchAnd } m' \ m) \ a \ p$
apply(*cases γ , rename-tac $\beta \ \alpha$, clarify*)
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

by (*metis eval-ternary-And-comm ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(3) ternaryvalue.distinct(5)*)

lemma *matches-not-idem*: *matches* γ (*MatchNot* (*MatchNot* *m*)) *a p* \longleftrightarrow *matches* γ *m a p*
by (*metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(6)*)

lemma (*TernaryNot* (*map-match-tac* β *p* (*m*))) = (*map-match-tac* β *p* (*MatchNot* *m*))
by (*metis map-match-tac.simps(2)*)

lemma *matches-simp1*: *matches* γ *m a p* \implies *matches* γ (*MatchAnd* *m m'*) *a p*
 \longleftrightarrow *matches* γ *m' a p*
apply(*cases* γ , *rename-tac* β α , *clarify*)
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
done

lemma *matches-simp11*: *matches* γ *m a p* \implies *matches* γ (*MatchAnd* *m' m*) *a p*
 \longleftrightarrow *matches* γ *m' a p*
by(*simp-all add: matches-and-comm matches-simp1*)

lemma *matches-simp2*: *matches* γ (*MatchAnd* *m m'*) *a p* $\implies \neg$ *matches* γ *m a p*
 \implies *False*
by (*metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1)*)
lemma *matches-simp22*: *matches* γ (*MatchAnd* *m m'*) *a p* $\implies \neg$ *matches* γ *m' a p*
 \implies *False*
by (*metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1)*)

lemma *matches-simp3*: *matches* γ (*MatchNot* *m*) *a p* \implies *matches* γ *m a p* \implies
(*snd* γ) *a p*
apply(*cases* γ , *rename-tac* β α , *clarify*)
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)
done
lemma *matches* γ (*MatchNot* *m*) *a p* \implies *matches* γ *m a p* \implies (*ternary-eval*
(*map-match-tac* (*fst* γ) *p m*)) = *None*
apply(*cases* γ , *rename-tac* β α , *clarify*)
apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple ternary-eval-def*)
done

lemmas *matches-simps* = *matches-simp1 matches-simp11*

lemmas *matches-dest* = *matches-simp2 matches-simp22*

lemma *matches-iff-apply-f-generic*: ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p (f (β, α) a m))) = ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \implies matches (β, α) (f (β, α) a m) a $p \iff$ matches (β, α) m a p
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
done

lemma *matches-iff-apply-f*: ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p (f m)) = ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \implies matches (β, α) (f m) a $p \iff$ matches (β, α) m a p
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
done

Optimize away MatchAny matches

fun *opt-MatchAny-match-expr* :: 'a match-expr \Rightarrow 'a match-expr **where**
opt-MatchAny-match-expr MatchAny = MatchAny |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (Match a) = (Match a) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* m) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchNot m) = MatchNot (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* m) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd MatchAny MatchAny) = MatchAny |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd MatchAny m) = m |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd m MatchAny) = m |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd m (MatchNot MatchAny)) = (MatchNot MatchAny) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny) m) = (MatchNot MatchAny) |
opt-MatchAny-match-expr (MatchAnd $m1$ $m2$) = MatchAnd (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* $m1$) (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* $m2$)

need to apply multiple times until it stabelizes

lemma *opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct*: matches γ (*opt-MatchAny-match-expr* m) = matches γ m
apply(case-tac γ , rename-tac β α , clarify)
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, rename-tac a p)
apply(rule-tac $f = \text{opt-MatchAny-match-expr}$ **in** matches-iff-apply-f)
apply(simp)
apply(induction m rule: *opt-MatchAny-match-expr.induct*)
apply(simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
done

An ' p unknown-match-tac is wf if it behaves equal for *Reject* and *Drop*

definition *wf-unknown-match-tac* :: 'p unknown-match-tac \Rightarrow bool **where**
wf-unknown-match-tac $\alpha \equiv (\alpha$ *Drop* = α *Reject*)

lemma *wf-unknown-match-tacD-False1*: *wf-unknown-match-tac* $\alpha \implies \neg$ matches (β, α) m *Reject* $p \implies$ matches (β, α) m *Drop* $p \implies$ False
apply(simp add: *wf-unknown-match-tac-def*)

```

apply(simp add: matches-def)
apply(case-tac (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m)))
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma wf-unknown-match-tacD-False2: wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies$  matches ( $\beta$ ,
 $\alpha$ ) m Reject p  $\implies \neg$  matches ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) m Drop p  $\implies$  False
apply(simp add: wf-unknown-match-tac-def)
apply(simp add: matches-def)
apply(case-tac (ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m)))
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma bool-to-ternary-simp1: bool-to-ternary X = TernaryTrue  $\longleftrightarrow$  X
by (metis bool-to-ternary.elims ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp2: bool-to-ternary Y = TernaryFalse  $\longleftrightarrow \neg$  Y
by (metis bool-to-ternary.elims ternaryvalue.distinct(1))
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp3: eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary X) = Ternary-
True  $\longleftrightarrow \neg$  X
by (metis (full-types) bool-to-ternary-simp2 eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp4: eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary X) = Ternary-
False  $\longleftrightarrow$  X
by (metis bool-to-ternary-simp1 eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not)
lemma bool-to-ternary-simp5:  $\neg$  eval-ternary-Not (bool-to-ternary X) = TernaryUnknown
by (metis bool-to-ternary-Unknown eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD)
lemmas bool-to-ternary-simps = bool-to-ternary-simp1 bool-to-ternary-simp2 bool-to-ternary-simp3
bool-to-ternary-simp4 bool-to-ternary-simp5
hide-fact bool-to-ternary-simp1 bool-to-ternary-simp2 bool-to-ternary-simp3 bool-to-ternary-simp4
bool-to-ternary-simp5

```

7.2 Removing Unknown Primitives

```

fun remove-unknowns-generic :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr
 $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  remove-unknowns-generic - - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  remove-unknowns-generic - - (MatchNot MatchAny) = MatchNot MatchAny |
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) a (Match A) = (if
    ( $\forall$  p. ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p (Match A)) = TernaryUnknown)
    then
      if ( $\forall$  p.  $\alpha$  a p) then MatchAny else if ( $\forall$  p.  $\neg$   $\alpha$  a p) then MatchNot MatchAny
    else Match A
    |
  remove-unknowns-generic ( $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ) a (MatchNot (Match A)) = (if

```

```

    (∀ p. ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p (Match A)) = TernaryUnknown)
  then
    if (∀ p. α a p) then MatchAny else if (∀ p. ¬ α a p) then MatchNot MatchAny
  else MatchNot (Match A)
  |
  remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = remove-unknowns-generic
(β, α) a m |
  remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd
  (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a m1)
  (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a m2) |

  — ¬ (a ∧ b) = ¬ b ∨ ¬ a and ¬ Unknown = Unknown
  remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
  (if (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny ∨
  (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
  then MatchAny else
  (if (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot
MatchAny then
  remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m2) else
  if (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
MatchAny then
  remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m1) else
  MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a
(MatchNot m1))) (MatchNot (remove-unknowns-generic (β, α) a (MatchNot m2))))))
)

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: remove-unknowns-generic γ a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2))
=
  (let m1' = remove-unknowns-generic γ a (MatchNot m1); m2' = remove-unknowns-generic
γ a (MatchNot m2) in
  (if m1' = MatchAny ∨ m2' = MatchAny
  then MatchAny
  else
    if m1' = MatchNot MatchAny then m2' else
    if m2' = MatchNot MatchAny then m1'
  else
    MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot m1') (MatchNot m2'))
  )
apply(cases γ)
apply(simp)
done

```

```

lemma a = Accept ∨ a = Drop ⇒ matches (β, α) (remove-unknowns-generic
(β, α) a (MatchNot (Match A))) a p = matches (β, α) (MatchNot (Match A)) a
p
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
by presburger

```

```

lemma  $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies \gamma = (\beta, \alpha) \implies$ 
   $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) (\text{remove-unknowns-generic } \gamma \ a \ m) \ a =$ 
   $\text{matches } (\beta, \alpha) \ m \ a$ 
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)
apply(rename-tac p)
apply(induction  $\gamma \ a \ m$  rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)[2]
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)[1]
  apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
  apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply safe
  apply(simp-all add : ternary-to-bool-Some ternary-to-bool-None)
done

end
theory Semantics-Ternary
imports Matching-Ternary Misc
begin

```

8 Embedded Ternary-Matching Big Step Semantics

```

lemma rules-singleton-rev-E:  $[\text{Rule } m \ a] = rs_1 @ rs_2 \implies (rs_1 = [\text{Rule } m \ a] \implies$ 
 $rs_2 = [] \implies P \ m \ a) \implies (rs_1 = [] \implies rs_2 = [\text{Rule } m \ a] \implies P \ m \ a) \implies P \ m \ a$ 
by (cases rs1) auto

```

```

inductive approximating-bigstep :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  state
 $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  ( $\neg, \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha -$  [60,60,20,98,98] 89)
  for  $\gamma$  and  $p$  where
  skip:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$  |
  accept:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Accept } p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$  |
  drop:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop } p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$  |
  reject:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Reject } p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$  |
  log:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Log } p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Undecided}$  |
  empty:  $\llbracket \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Empty } p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Undecided}$  |
  nomatch:  $\llbracket \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ a], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Undecided}$  |
  decision:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha \text{Decision } X$  |
  seq:  $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t; \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \rrbracket \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1 @ rs_2, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$ 

```

thm *approximating-bigstep.induct*[of γ p rs s t P]

lemma *approximating-bigstep-induct*[case-names *Skip Allow Deny Log Nomatch Decision Seq*, *induct pred: approximating-bigstep*] : $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies$
 $(\bigwedge t. P \ \square \ t \ t) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies a = \text{Accept} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow})) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ (\text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny})) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies a = \text{Log} \vee a = \text{Empty} \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided}) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m \ a. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \implies P \ [\text{Rule } m \ a] \ \text{Undecided} \ \text{Undecided}) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge rs \ X. P \ rs \ (\text{Decision } X) \ (\text{Decision } X)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge rs \ rs_1 \ rs_2 \ t \ t'. rs = rs_1 \ @ \ rs_2 \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies P \ rs_1 \ \text{Undecided} \ t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \implies P \ rs_2 \ t \ t' \implies P \ rs \ \text{Undecided} \ t') \implies$
 $\implies P \ rs \ s \ t$
by (*induction rule: approximating-bigstep.induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma *skipD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies s = t$
by (*induction* $[\]::'a$ *rule list s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma *decisionD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies t = \text{Decision } X$
by (*induction* $rs \ \text{Decision } X \ t$ *rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*) (*simp-all*)

lemma *acceptD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Accept} \ p \implies t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$
apply (*induction* $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$ *rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
apply (*simp-all*)
by (*metis list-app-singletonE skipD*)

lemma *dropD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop} \ p \implies t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$
apply (*induction* $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$ *rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
by(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

lemma *rejectD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Reject} \ p \implies t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$
apply (*induction* $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Reject}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$ *rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
by(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

lemma *logD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies t = \text{Undecided}$
apply (*induction* $[\text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}] \ \text{Undecided} \ t$ *rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
by(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

lemma *emptyD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [\text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}], \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies t = \text{Undecided}$

apply (*induction* [Rule *m Empty*] *Undecided t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
by(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

lemma *nomatchD*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [Rule\ m\ a],\ Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \Longrightarrow \neg\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p$
 $\Longrightarrow t = Undecided$
apply (*induction* [Rule *m a*] *Undecided t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
by(*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E*)

lemmas *approximating-bigstepD = skipD acceptD dropD rejectD logD emptyD no-matchD decisionD*

lemma *approximating-bigstep-to-undecided*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \Longrightarrow s = Undecided$
by (*metis decisionD state.exhaust*)

lemma *approximating-bigstep-to-decision1*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ Decision\ Y \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ X \Longrightarrow Y = X$
by (*metis decisionD state.inject*)
thm *decisionD*

lemma *nomatch-fst*: $\neg\ matches\ \gamma\ m\ a\ p \Longrightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \Longrightarrow \gamma, p \vdash \langle Rule\ m\ a\ \# rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$
apply(*cases s*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*drule nomatch*)
apply(*drule(1) seq*)
apply (*simp*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*drule decisionD*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*simp-all add: decision*)
done

lemma *seq'*:
assumes $rs = rs_1 @ rs_2\ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t\ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$
shows $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$
using *assms* **by** (*cases s*) (*auto intro: seq decision dest: decisionD*)

lemma *seq-split*:
assumes $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t\ rs = rs_1 @ rs_2$
obtains t' **where** $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1,\ s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'\ \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_2,\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$
using *assms*
proof (*induction rs s t arbitrary: rs₁ rs₂ thesis rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
case *Allow* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro: approximating-bigstep.intros*)
next
case *Deny* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro: approximating-bigstep.intros*)
next


```

    case Log thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E intro:
approximating-bigstep.intros)
  next
    case Nomatch thus ?case by (auto dest: skipD elim!: rules-singleton-rev-E
intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)
  next
    case (Seq rs rsa rsb t t')
    hence rs: rsa @ rsb = rs1 @ rs2 by simp
    note List.append-eq-append-conv-if[simp]
    from rs show ?case
    proof (cases rule: list-app-eq-cases)
    case longer
    with Seq have t1:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ rs}_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
    by simp
    from Seq longer obtain t2
    where t2a:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ rs}_1, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t2$ 
    and rs2-t2:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}_2, t2 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$ 
    by blast
    with t1 rs2-t2 have  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa}) \text{ rs}_1 @ \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa})$ 
rs1, Undecided  $\rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t2$ 
    by (blast intro: approximating-bigstep.seq)
    with Seq rs2-t2 show ?thesis
    by simp
  next
    case shorter
    with rs have rsa':  $\text{rsa} = \text{rs}_1 @ \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2$ 
    by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
    from shorter rs have rsb':  $\text{rsb} = \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2$ 
    by (metis append-eq-conv-conj length-drop)
    from Seq rsa' obtain t1
    where t1a:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}_1, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t1$ 
    and t1b:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{take } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
    by blast
    from rsb' Seq.hyps have t2:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{drop } (\text{length } \text{rsa} - \text{length } \text{rs}_1) \text{ rs}_2, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha$ 
t'
    by blast
    with seq' t1b have  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}_2, t1 \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t'$  by (metis append-take-drop-id)
    with Seq t1a show ?thesis
    by fast
  qed
qed (auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros)

```

lemma seqE-fst:

```

  assumes  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# \text{rs}, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  obtains t' where  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \wedge \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}, t' \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
  using assms seq-split by (metis append-Cons append-Nil)

```

lemma seq-fst: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [r], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rs}, t \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t' \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# \text{rs}, s \rangle$

```

 $\Rightarrow_{\alpha} t'$ 
apply(cases s)
apply(simp)
using seq apply fastforce
apply(simp)
apply(drule decisionD)
apply(simp)
apply(drule decisionD)
apply(simp)
using decision by fast

```

```

fun approximating-bigstep-fun :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$ 
state where
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p [] s = s |
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs (Decision X) = (Decision X) |
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p ((Rule m a)#rs) Undecided = (if
     $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p
  then
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
  else
    case a of Accept  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalAllow
      | Drop  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalDeny
      | Reject  $\Rightarrow$  Decision FinalDeny
      | Log  $\Rightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
      | Empty  $\Rightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
    (*unhandled cases*)
  )

```

```

thm approximating-bigstep-fun.induct[of P  $\gamma$  p rs s]

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun.induct[case-names Empty Decision Nomatch Match]
:
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p s. P  $\gamma$  p [] s)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p r rs X. P  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) (Decision X))  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p m a rs.
     $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p (Rule m a # rs)
    Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge \gamma$  p m a rs.
    matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\Longrightarrow$  (a = Log  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a = Empty  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    P  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\gamma$  p (Rule m a # rs) Undecided)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    P  $\gamma$  p rs s
  apply (rule approximating-bigstep-fun.induct[of P  $\gamma$  p rs s])
  apply (simp-all)
  by metis

```

```

lemma Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs (Decision
X) = Decision X

```

by(*induction rs*) (*simp-all*)

8.1 wf ruleset

A *'a rule list* here is well-formed (for a packet) if

1. either the rules do not match
2. or the action is not *Call*, not *Return*, not *Unknown*

definition *wf-ruleset* :: (*'a*, *'p*) *match-tac* \Rightarrow *'p* \Rightarrow *'a rule list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
wf-ruleset γ *p* *rs* $\equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs.$
 $(\neg \text{matches } \gamma (\text{get-match } r) (\text{get-action } r) p) \vee$
 $(\neg (\exists \text{chain. get-action } r = \text{Call chain}) \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Return} \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Unknown})$

lemma *wf-ruleset-append*: *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*rs1*@*rs2*) \longleftrightarrow *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs1* \wedge *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs2*

by(*auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *wf-rulesetD*: **assumes** *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*r* # *rs*) **shows** *wf-ruleset* γ *p* [*r*]
and *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs*

using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *wf-ruleset-fst*: *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*Rule m a* # *rs*) \longleftrightarrow *wf-ruleset* γ *p* [*Rule m a*] \wedge *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs*

using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *wf-ruleset-stripfst*: *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*r* # *rs*) \Longrightarrow *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*rs*)

by(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *wf-ruleset-rest*: *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*Rule m a* # *rs*) \Longrightarrow *wf-ruleset* γ *p* [*Rule m a*]

by(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf*[*case-names Empty Decision Nomatch MatchAccept MatchDrop MatchReject MatchLog MatchEmpty*, *consumes 1*]:

wf-ruleset γ *p* *rs* \Longrightarrow

$(\bigwedge \gamma p s. P \gamma p [] s) \Longrightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \gamma p r rs X. P \gamma p (r \# rs) (\text{Decision } X)) \Longrightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$

$\neg \text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow P \gamma p rs \text{ Undecided} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Accept} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Drop} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Reject} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \gamma p m a rs.$

$\text{matches } \gamma m a p \Longrightarrow a = \text{Log} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p rs \text{ Undecided} \Longrightarrow P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \Longrightarrow$

```

( $\wedge \gamma p m a rs.$ 
  matches  $\gamma m a p \implies a = \text{Empty} \implies P \gamma p rs \text{ Undecided} \implies P \gamma p (\text{Rule } m$ 
   $a \# rs) \text{ Undecided}) \implies$ 
   $P \gamma p rs s$ 
apply(induction  $\gamma p rs s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
apply blast
apply blast
apply(auto dest:wf-rulesetD)[1]
apply(frule wf-rulesetD(1), drule wf-rulesetD(2))
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all)
apply(auto simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
done

```

8.1.1 Append, Prepend, Postpend, Composition

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf:  $\llbracket wf\text{-ruleset } \gamma p rs_1 \rrbracket \implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma p (rs_1 @ rs_2) \text{ Undecided} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $\gamma p rs_2 (\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma p rs_1 \text{ Undecided})$ 
apply(induction  $rs_1$  arbitrary: )
apply simp-all
apply(rename-tac r  $rs_1$ )
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac  $x1 x2$ )
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma x1 x2 p$ )
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(case-tac  $x2$ )
apply simp-all
apply(simp-all add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-Undecided-wf:  $\llbracket wf\text{-ruleset } \gamma p (rs_1 @ rs_2) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma p (rs_1 @ rs_2) \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided} \iff$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma p rs_1 \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
 $\gamma p rs_2 \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided}$ 
apply(induction  $rs_1$  arbitrary:)
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(rename-tac r  $rs_1$ )
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac  $x1 x2$ )
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma x1 x2 p$ )
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
apply(case-tac  $x2$ )

```

apply *simp-all*
apply *auto*
done

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-Undecided-t-wf*: $\llbracket \text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rs1 @ rs2) \text{ Undecided} = t \iff$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ Undecided} = \text{Undecided} \wedge \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs2 \text{ Undecided} = t \vee$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rs1 \text{ Undecided} = t \wedge t \neq \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* *rs1 arbitrary*:)
apply *simp-all*
apply(*case-tac* *t*)
apply(*simp-all add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)

apply(*rename-tac* *r* *rs1*)
apply(*case-tac* *r*, *rename-tac* *x1* *x2*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*case-tac* $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } p$)
apply(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)
apply(*simp add: wf-ruleset-def*)
apply(*case-tac* *x2*)
apply *simp-all*
apply *auto*
done

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-wf-postpend*: $\text{wf-ruleset } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \implies \text{wf-ruleset}$
 $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsB \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsB \text{ } s$
 \implies
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (rsA @ rsC) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p$
 $(rsB @ rsC) \text{ } s$
apply(*case-tac* *s*)
prefer 2
apply(*simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*thin-tac* $s = ?un$)
apply(*induction* $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsA \text{ Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf}$)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply (*metis approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf*)
apply (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf*) +
done

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-singleton-prepend*: $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma$
 $p \text{ } rsB \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } rsC \text{ } s \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p \text{ } (r \# rsB) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ } p$
 $(r \# rsC) \text{ } s$
apply(*case-tac* *s*)
prefer 2

```

  apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  apply(simp)
  apply(cases r)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  done

```

8.2 Equality with $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ semantics

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-wf:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha Undecided \implies wf\text{-ruleset}$ 
 $\gamma \ p \ rs$ 
  unfolding wf-ruleset-def
  proof(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
    case Skip thus ?case by simp
  next
    case Log thus ?case by auto
  next
    case Nomatch thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Seq rs rs1 rs2 t)
      from Seq approximating-bigstep-to-undecided have  $t = Undecided$  by fast
      from this Seq show ?case by auto
  qed

```

only valid actions appear in this ruleset

```

definition good-ruleset :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  good-ruleset rs  $\equiv \forall r \in \text{set } rs. (\neg(\exists \text{chain}. \text{get-action } r = \text{Call chain}) \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Return} \wedge \text{get-action } r \neq \text{Unknown})$ 

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: good-ruleset rs  $\equiv (\forall r \in \text{set } rs. (\text{case get-action } r \text{ of Call chain} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Return} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Unknown} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}))$ 
  apply(induction rs)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  apply(thin-tac ?x = ?y)
  apply(rename-tac r rs)
  apply(case-tac get-action r)
  apply(simp-all)
  done

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-alt: good-ruleset rs =  $(\forall r \in \text{set } rs. \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop} \vee$ 
 $\vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Empty}$ 
 $\vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Reject} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Log}$ 
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(case-tac get-action r)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(clarify)

```

```

apply(case-tac get-action r)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(fastforce)+
done

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-append: good-ruleset (rs1 @ rs2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  good-ruleset rs1  $\wedge$ 
good-ruleset rs2
by(simp add: good-ruleset-alt, blast)

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-fst: good-ruleset (r#rs)  $\implies$  good-ruleset [r]
by(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
lemma good-ruleset-tail: good-ruleset (r#rs)  $\implies$  good-ruleset rs
by(simp add: good-ruleset-def)

```

good-ruleset is stricter than *wf-ruleset*. It can be easily checked with running code!

```

lemma good-imp-wf-ruleset: good-ruleset rs  $\implies$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs by (metis
good-ruleset-def wf-ruleset-def)

```

```

definition simple-ruleset :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  simple-ruleset rs  $\equiv \forall r \in$  set rs. get-action r = Accept (* $\vee$  get-action r =
Reject*)  $\vee$  get-action r = Drop
lemma simple-imp-good-ruleset: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  good-ruleset rs
by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def good-ruleset-def, fastforce)

```

```

lemma simple-ruleset-tail: simple-ruleset (r#rs)  $\implies$  simple-ruleset rs by (simp
add: simple-ruleset-def)

```

```

lemma simple-ruleset-append: simple-ruleset (rs1 @ rs2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  simple-ruleset rs1
 $\wedge$  simple-ruleset rs2
by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def, blast)

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics:  $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \rrbracket \implies$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (rs1 @ rs2) s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p
rs2 t
apply(induction rs1 s t arbitrary: rs2 rule: approximating-bigstep.induct)
apply(simp-all add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
done

```

```

lemma approximating-semantics-imp-fun:  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t \implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs s = t
apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(auto)[7]
apply(case-tac rs)
apply(simp-all)
apply(simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantics)
done

```

```

lemma approximating-fun-imp-semantics: assumes wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs
  shows approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs  $s = t \implies \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
using assms proof(induction  $\gamma$  p rs s rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct-wf)
  case (Empty  $\gamma$  p s)
    thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle [], s \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$  using skip by(simp)
  next
  case (Decision  $\gamma$  p r rs X)
    hence  $t = \text{Decision } X$  by simp
    thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle r \# rs, \text{Decision } X \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$  using decision by fast
  next
  case (Nomatch  $\gamma$  p m a rs)
    thus  $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_\alpha t$ 
    apply(rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
    apply(simp add: nomatch)
    apply(simp add: Nomatch.IH)
    done
  next
  case (MatchAccept  $\gamma$  p m a rs)
    hence  $t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalAllow}$  by simp
    thus  $?case$  by (metis MatchAccept.hyps accept decision seq-fst)
  next
  case (MatchDrop  $\gamma$  p m a rs)
    hence  $t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$  by simp
    thus  $?case$  by (metis MatchDrop.hyps drop decision seq-fst)
  next
  case (MatchReject  $\gamma$  p m a rs)
    hence  $t = \text{Decision } \text{FinalDeny}$  by simp
    thus  $?case$  by (metis MatchReject.hyps reject decision seq-fst)
  next
  case (MatchLog  $\gamma$  p m a rs)
    thus  $?case$ 
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
    apply(simp add: log)
    apply(simp add: MatchLog.IH)
    done
  next
  case (MatchEmpty  $\gamma$  p m a rs)
    thus  $?case$ 
    apply(simp)
    apply(rule-tac  $t = \text{Undecided}$  in seq-fst)
    apply(simp add: empty)
    apply(simp add: MatchEmpty.IH)
    done
qed

```

Henceforth, we will use the *approximating-bigstep-fun* semantics, because they are easier. We show that they are equal.

theorem *approximating-semantics-iff-fun*: *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs* \implies

$\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s = t$
by (*metis approximating-fun-imp-semantic approximating-semantic-imp-fun*)

corollary *approximating-semantic-iff-fun-good-ruleset: good-ruleset rs \implies*
 $\gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s = t$
by (*metis approximating-semantic-iff-fun good-imp-wf-ruleset*)

lemma *approximating-bigstep-deterministic: $\llbracket \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t; \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t' \rrbracket \implies t = t'$*
apply(*induction arbitrary: t' rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
apply(*auto dest: approximating-bigstepD*)[6]
by (*metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) append-Nil2 approximating-bigstep-fun.simps(1) approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-semantic*)

The actions Log and Empty do not modify the packet processing in any way.
They can be removed.

fun *rm-LogEmpty* :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**
rm-LogEmpty [] = [] |
rm-LogEmpty ((Rule - Empty)#rs) = *rm-LogEmpty* rs |
rm-LogEmpty ((Rule - Log)#rs) = *rm-LogEmpty* rs |
rm-LogEmpty (r#rs) = r # *rm-LogEmpty* rs

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantic:*
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{rm-LogEmpty } rs) \ s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s$
apply(*induction rs*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*rename-tac r rs*)
apply(*case-tac r*)
apply(*rename-tac m a*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*case-tac [!] s*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)
by (*metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-seq: rm-LogEmpty (rs1@rs2) = rm-LogEmpty rs1 @ rm-LogEmpty rs2*
apply(*induction rs1*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*case-tac x2*)
apply(*simp-all*)
done

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-antics*: $\gamma, p \vdash \langle \text{rm-LogEmpty } rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t \iff \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} t$
apply(*rule iffI*)

apply(*induction rs arbitrary: s t*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac x2*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros*)
apply(*erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst*)
apply(*erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst*)
apply(*metis decision log nomatch-fst seq-fst state.exhaust*)
apply(*erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst*)
apply(*erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst*)
apply(*erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst*)
apply(*metis decision empty nomatch-fst seq-fst state.exhaust*)
apply(*erule seqE-fst, simp add: seq-fst*)

apply(*induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
apply(*auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros*)
apply(*drule-tac rs₁=rm-LogEmpty rs₁ and rs₂=rm-LogEmpty rs₂ in seq*)
apply(*simp-all*)
using *rm-LogEmpty-seq* **apply** *metis*
done

lemma *rm-LogEmpty-simple-but-Reject*:
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies \forall r \in \text{set } (\text{rm-LogEmpty } rs). \text{get-action } r = \text{Accept} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Reject} \vee \text{get-action } r = \text{Drop}$
apply(*induction rs*)
apply(*simp-all add: good-ruleset-def simple-ruleset-def*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac x2*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply *fastforce+*
done

Rewrite *Reject* actions to *Drop* actions

fun *rw-Reject* :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a rule list **where**
rw-Reject [] = [] |
rw-Reject ((*Rule m Reject*)#*rs*) = (*Rule m Drop*)#*rw-Reject rs* |
rw-Reject (*r*#*rs*) = *r* # *rw-Reject rs*

```

lemma rw-Reject-fun-semantics:
  wf-unknown-match-tac  $\alpha \implies$ 
    (approximating-bigstep-fun ( $\beta, \alpha$ )  $p$  (rw-Reject  $rs$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun
    ( $\beta, \alpha$ )  $p$   $rs$   $s$ )
  apply(induction  $rs$ )
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac  $r$   $rs$ )
  apply(case-tac  $r$ )
  apply(rename-tac  $m$   $a$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
    apply(simp-all)

    apply(case-tac [!]  $s$ )
      apply(simp-all)
  apply(auto dest: wf-unknown-match-tacD-False1 wf-unknown-match-tacD-False2)
done

```

```

lemma good-ruleset  $rs \implies$  simple-ruleset (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty  $rs$ ))
  apply(drule rm-LogEmpty-simple-but-Reject)
  apply(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  apply(induction  $rs$ )
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac  $r$   $rs$ )
  apply(case-tac  $r$ )
  apply(rename-tac  $m$   $a$ )
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
    apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

definition optimize-matches :: ('a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr)  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a rule list where
  optimize-matches  $f$   $rs$  = map ( $\lambda r$ . Rule ( $f$  (get-match  $r$ )) (get-action  $r$ ))  $rs$ 

```

```

lemma optimize-matches:  $\forall m$ . matches  $\gamma$   $m$  = matches  $\gamma$  ( $f$   $m$ )  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$  (optimize-matches  $f$   $rs$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$   $s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
  apply(simp add: optimize-matches-def)
  apply(case-tac  $a$ )
    apply(simp-all)
done

```

lemma *optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr*: *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ
 p (*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr* rs) s = *approximating-bigstep-fun*
 γ p rs s
using *optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr-correct* **by** *metis*

definition *optimize-matches-a* :: (*action* \Rightarrow 'a *match-expr* \Rightarrow 'a *match-expr*) \Rightarrow
'a *rule list* \Rightarrow 'a *rule list* **where**
optimize-matches-a f rs = *map* (λr . *Rule* (f (*get-action* r) (*get-match* r)) (*get-action*
 r)) rs

lemma *optimize-matches-a*: $\forall a\ m$. *matches* γ m a = *matches* γ ($f\ a\ m$) $a \implies$
approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (*optimize-matches-a* f rs) s = *approximating-bigstep-fun*
 γ p rs s
apply(*induction* γ p rs s *rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct*)
apply(*simp* *add: optimize-matches-a-def*)
apply(*simp* *add: optimize-matches-a-def*)
apply(*simp* *add: optimize-matches-a-def*)
apply(*simp* *add: optimize-matches-a-def*)
apply(*case-tac* a)
apply(*simp-all*)
done

end
theory *Unknown-Match-Tacs*
imports *Matching-Ternary*
begin

9 Approximate Matching Tactics

in-doubt-tactics

fun *in-doubt-allow* :: 'packet *unknown-match-tac* **where**
in-doubt-allow *Accept* - = *True* |
in-doubt-allow *Drop* - = *False* |
in-doubt-allow *Reject* - = *False*

lemma *wf-in-doubt-allow*: *wf-unknown-match-tac* *in-doubt-allow*
unfolding *wf-unknown-match-tac-def* **by**(*simp* *add: fun-eq-iff*)

```

fun in-doubt-deny :: 'packet unknown-match-tac where
  in-doubt-deny Accept - = False |
  in-doubt-deny Drop - = True |
  in-doubt-deny Reject - = True

```

```

lemma wf-in-doubt-deny: wf-unknown-match-tac in-doubt-deny
  unfolding wf-unknown-match-tac-def by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)

```

```

end
theory Matching-Embeddings
imports Matching-Ternary Matching Unknown-Match-Tacs
begin

```

10 Boolean Matching vs. Ternary Matching

```

term Semantics.matches
term Matching-Ternary.matches

```

The two matching semantics are related. However, due to the ternary logic, we cannot directly translate one to the other. The problem are *MatchNot* expressions which evaluate to *TernaryUnknown* because *MatchNot TernaryUnknown* and *TernaryUnknown* are semantically equal!

```

lemma  $\exists m \beta \alpha a. \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \alpha) m a p \neq$ 
  Semantics.matches  $(\lambda atm p. \text{case } \beta atm p \text{ of TernaryTrue} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{TernaryFalse}$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{TernaryUnknown} \Rightarrow \alpha a p) m p$ 
apply(rule-tac  $x=\text{MatchNot (Match X)}$  in exI) — any  $X$ 
apply (simp split: ternaryvalue.split ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple
  bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
by fast

```

the *the* in the next definition is always defined

```

lemma  $\forall m \in \{m. \text{approx } m p \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}\}. \text{ternary-to-bool (approx } m$ 
 $p) \neq \text{None}$ 
  by(simp add: ternary-to-bool-None)

```

The Boolean and the ternary matcher agree (where the ternary matcher is defined)

```

definition matcher-agree-on-exact-matches :: ('a, 'p) matcher  $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{ternaryvalue}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  matcher-agree-on-exact-matches exact approx  $\equiv \forall p m. \text{approx } m p \neq \text{TernaryUn-}$ 
 $\text{known} \longrightarrow \text{exact } m p = \text{the (ternary-to-bool (approx } m p))$ 

```

We say the Boolean and ternary matchers agree iff they return the same result or the ternary matcher returns *TernaryUnknown*.

lemma *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches exact approx* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall p\ m.\ \text{exact } m\ p = \text{the } (\text{ternary-to-bool } (\text{approx } m\ p)) \vee \text{approx } m\ p = \text{TernaryUnknown})$

unfolding *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *eval-ternary-Not-TrueD*: $\text{eval-ternary-Not } m = \text{TernaryTrue} \implies m = \text{TernaryFalse}$

by (*metis eval-ternary-Not.simps(1) eval-ternary-idempotence-Not*)

lemma *matches-comply-exact*: $\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } \beta\ p\ m) \neq \text{TernaryUnknown} \implies$

$\text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches } \gamma\ \beta \implies$

$\text{Semantics.matches } \gamma\ m\ p = \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \alpha)\ m\ a\ p$

proof(*unfold matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple, induction m*)

case *Match* **thus** *?case*

by(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*)

next

case (*MatchNot m*) **thus** *?case*

apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def*)

apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac $\beta\ p\ m$)*)

by(*simp-all*)

next

case (*MatchAnd m1 m2*)

thus *?case*

apply(*simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm ternaryvalue.split*)

apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac $\beta\ p\ m1$)*)

apply(*case-tac [!] ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac $\beta\ p\ m2$)*)

by(*simp-all*)

next

case *MatchAny* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept*: $a = \text{Accept} \implies \text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches } \gamma\ \beta \implies$

$\text{Semantics.matches } \gamma\ m\ p \implies \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow})$

$m\ a\ p$

apply(*case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac $\beta\ p\ m$) $\neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$*)

using *matches-comply-exact* **apply** *fast*

apply(*simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple*)

done

lemma *not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match*: $\text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches}$

$\gamma\ \beta \implies a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Reject} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$

$\neg \text{Semantics.matches } \gamma\ m\ p \implies$

$(a = \text{Accept} \wedge \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow})\ m\ a\ p) \vee \neg \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow})\ m\ a\ p$

```

apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p m)  $\neq$  TernaryUnknown)
  apply(drule(1) matches-comply-exact[where  $\alpha$ =in-doubt-allow and a=a])
  apply(rule disjI2)
  apply fast
  apply(simp)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
  apply(cases a)
    apply(simp-all)
done

```

lemma in-doubt-deny-denies-DropReject: $a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies \text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches } \gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{Semantics.matches } \gamma m p \implies \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny})$
 $m a p$
apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \neq TernaryUnknown)
using matches-comply-exact **apply** fast
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
done

lemma not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx-match: $\text{matcher-agree-on-exact-matches } \gamma \beta \implies a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Reject} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies$
 $\neg \text{Semantics.matches } \gamma m p \implies$
 $((a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject}) \wedge \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}) m a$
 $p) \vee \neg \text{Matching-Ternary.matches } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}) m a p$
apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p m) \neq TernaryUnknown)
apply(drule(1) matches-comply-exact[**where** α =in-doubt-deny **and** a=a])
apply(rule disjI2)
apply fast
apply(simp)
apply(clarify)
apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply(cases a)
apply(simp-all)
done

The ternary primitive matcher can return exactly the result of the Boolean primitive matcher

definition $\beta_{\text{magic}} :: ('a, 'p) \text{ matcher} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow \text{ternaryvalue})$ **where**
 $\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma \equiv (\lambda a p. \text{if } \gamma a p \text{ then TernaryTrue else TernaryFalse})$

lemma matcher-agree-on-exact-matches $\gamma (\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma)$
by(simp add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def β_{magic} -def)

lemma β_{magic} -not-Unknown: $\text{ternary-ternary-eval } (\text{map-match-tac } (\beta_{\text{magic}} \gamma) p$

```

m) ≠ TernaryUnknown
proof(induction m)
case MatchNot thus ?case using eval-ternary-Not-UnknownD βmagic-def
  by (simp) blast
case (MatchAnd m1 m2) thus ?case
  apply(case-tac ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac (βmagic γ) p m1))
  apply(case-tac [!] ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac (βmagic γ) p m2))
  by(simp-all add: βmagic-def)
qed (simp-all add: βmagic-def)

lemma βmagic-matching: Matching-Ternary.matches ((βmagic γ), α) m a p ⟷
Semantics.matches γ m p
proof(induction m)
case Match thus ?case
  by(simp add: βmagic-def matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
case MatchNot thus ?case
  by(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple βmagic-not-Unknown split: ternary-
value.split-asm)
qed (simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split-asm)

end
theory Semantics-Embeddings
imports Matching-Embeddings Semantics Semantics-Ternary
begin

```

11 Semantics Embedding

11.1 Tactic *in-doubt-allow*

```

lemma iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
γ β ⟹
  good-ruleset rs ⟹
  Γ, γ, p ⊢ ⟨rs, Undecided⟩ ⇒ Undecided ⟹
  (β, in-doubt-allow), p ⊢ ⟨rs, Undecided⟩ ⇒α Undecided ∨ (β, in-doubt-allow), p ⊢
  ⟨rs, Undecided⟩ ⇒α Decision FinalAllow
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.skip)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(case-tac a = Log)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(case-tac a = Empty)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
  apply(drule-tac a=a in not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match)

```



```

    apply(simp-all)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
    apply fast
    apply (metis approximating-bigstep.accept approximating-bigstep.nomatch)
    apply(frul iptables-bigstep-to-undecided)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
    apply (metis (hide-lams, no-types) approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
    apply(simp add: good-ruleset-def)
done

```

lemma *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

```

 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
     $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow \implies (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow$ 
  apply(rotate-tac 2)
  apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalAllow rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.accept in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept)
  apply(case-tac t)
  apply(simp-all)
  prefer 2
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision approximating-bigstep.seq Semantics.decisionD state.inject)
  apply(thin-tac False  $\implies ?x \implies ?y$ )
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
  apply(drul(2) iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx)
  apply(erule disjE)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.seq)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
done

```

corollary *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ*

```

 $\beta \implies good-ruleset\ rs \implies$ 
   $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow\}$ 
  using FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-allow by (metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono)

```

lemma *approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

```

 $\gamma \beta \implies$ 
  good-ruleset  $rs \implies$ 
     $(\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Undecided \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Un-$ 

```

$decided\} \Rightarrow Undecided \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
 apply(simp-all)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.skip)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
 apply (metis in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch matches-casesE
ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(5))
 apply(case-tac a)
 apply(simp-all)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.drop iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.nomatch iptables-bigstep.reject)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
by (metis approximating-bigstep-to-undecided iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

lemma *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*

$\gamma \beta \Rightarrow$
 $good-ruleset\ rs \Rightarrow$
 $(\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalDeny \Rightarrow \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,$
Undecided $\rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny$
 apply(rotate-tac 2)
 apply(induction rs Undecided Decision FinalDeny rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
 apply(simp-all)
 apply (metis action.distinct(1) action.distinct(5) deny not-exact-match-in-doubt-allow-approx-match)

 apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
 apply(case-tac t)
 apply(simp)
 apply(drule(2) approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-allow-approx[where
 $\Gamma = \Gamma]$)
 apply(erule disjE)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.seq)
 apply (metis iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)
 by (metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-semantics-imp-fun
iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

corollary *FinalDenys-subseteq-in-doubt-allow: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ*

$\beta \Rightarrow good-ruleset\ rs \Rightarrow$
 $\{p. (\beta, in-doubt-allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalDeny\} \subseteq \{p.$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny\}$
using *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow* **by** (metis (lifting, full-types) Collect-mono)

If our approximating firewall (the executable version) concludes that we deny

a packet, the exact semantic agrees that this packet is definitely denied!

corollary *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches* $\gamma \beta \implies \text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } (\beta, \text{in-doubt-allow}) \ p \ rs \text{ Undecided} = (\text{Decision FinalDeny}) \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny}$
apply(*frule*(1) *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-allow*[**where** $p=p$ **and** $\Gamma=\Gamma$])
apply(*rule approximating-fun-imp-semantics*)
apply (*metis good-imp-wf-ruleset*)
apply(*simp-all*)
done

11.2 Tactic *in-doubt-deny*

lemma *iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*
 $\gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \implies$
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Undecided} \vee (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash$
 $\langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}$
apply(*rotate-tac* 2)
apply(*induction* $rs \text{ Undecided Undecided rule: iptables-bigstep-induct}$)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply (*metis approximating-bigstep.skip*)
apply (*metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch*)
apply(*case-tac* $a = \text{Log}$)
apply (*metis approximating-bigstep.log approximating-bigstep.nomatch*)
apply(*case-tac* $a = \text{Empty}$)
apply (*metis approximating-bigstep.empty approximating-bigstep.nomatch*)
apply(*drule-tac* $a=a$ **in** *not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx-match*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-alt*)
apply *fast*
apply (*metis approximating-bigstep.drop approximating-bigstep.nomatch approximating-bigstep.reject*)
apply(*frule iptables-bigstep-to-undecided*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-append*)
apply (*metis (hide-lams, no-types) approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq'*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)
apply(*simp add: good-ruleset-def*)
done

lemma *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches*
 $\gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalDeny} \implies (\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs,$
 $\text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalDeny}$
apply(*rotate-tac* 2)
apply(*induction* $rs \text{ Undecided Decision FinalDeny rule: iptables-bigstep-induct}$)
apply(*simp-all*)

```

apply (metis approximating-bigstep.drop approximating-bigstep.reject in-doubt-deny-denies-DropReject)
  apply(case-tac t)
  apply(simp-all)
  prefer 2
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append)
  apply(thin-tac False  $\implies$  ?x)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision approximating-bigstep.seq Semantics.decisionD state.inject)
  apply(thin-tac False  $\implies$  ?x  $\implies$  ?y)
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)

  apply(drule(2) iptables-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx)
  apply(erule disjE)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.seq)
  apply (metis approximating-bigstep.decision Semantics-Ternary.seq')
  apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
done

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Undecided} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Undecided} \vee \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Decision FinalAllow}$
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs Undecided Undecided rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.skip)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(simp split: ternaryvalue.split-asm add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)
apply (metis in-doubt-allow-allows-Accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch matches-casesE ternaryvalue.distinct(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(5))
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.accept iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.log iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.empty iptables-bigstep.nomatch)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
by (metis approximating-bigstep-to-undecided iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)

lemma FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches
 $\gamma \beta \implies$
 $\text{good-ruleset } rs \implies$
 $(\beta, \text{in-doubt-deny}), p \vdash \langle rs, \text{Undecided} \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} \text{Decision FinalAllow} \implies \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs,$

$Undecided \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow$
apply(rotate-tac 2)
apply(induction rs *Undecided Decision FinalAllow rule: approximating-bigstep-induct*)
apply(simp-all)
apply (metis action.distinct(1) action.distinct(5) iptables-bigstep.accept not-exact-match-in-doubt-deny-approx)
apply(simp add: good-ruleset-append, clarify)
apply(case-tac t)
apply(simp)
apply(drule(2) *approximating-bigstep-undecided-to-undecided-in-doubt-deny-approx* [where $\Gamma=\Gamma$])
apply(erule disjE)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.seq)
apply (metis iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq)
by (metis *Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun approximating-semantics-imp-fun iptables-bigstep.decision iptables-bigstep.seq*)

corollary *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-deny: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ*
 $\beta \Rightarrow good\ ruleset\ rs \Rightarrow$
 $\{p. (\beta, in\ doubt\ deny), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow\} \subseteq \{p.$
 $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow\}$
using *FinalAllow-approximating-in-doubt-deny* **by** (metis (lifting, full-types) *Collect-mono*)

11.3 Approximating Closures

theorem *FinalAllowClosure:*

assumes *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ β and good-ruleset rs*
shows $\{p. (\beta, in\ doubt\ deny), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow\} \subseteq$
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow\}$
and $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalAllow\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, in\ doubt\ allow), p \vdash$
 $\langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalAllow\}$
apply (metis *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-deny assms*)
by (metis *FinalAllows-subseteq-in-doubt-allow assms*)

theorem *FinalDenyClosure:*

assumes *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ β and good-ruleset rs*
shows $\{p. (\beta, in\ doubt\ allow), p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalDeny\} \subseteq$
 $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny\}$
and $\{p. \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow Decision\ FinalDeny\} \subseteq \{p. (\beta, in\ doubt\ deny), p \vdash$
 $\langle rs, Undecided \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} Decision\ FinalDeny\}$
apply (metis *FinalDenys-subseteq-in-doubt-allow assms*)
by (metis *FinalDeny-approximating-in-doubt-deny assms mem-Collect-eq subsetI*)

11.4 Exact Embedding

thm *matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def* [of γ β]

lemma *LukassLemma:*

matcher-agree-on-exact-matches γ $\beta \Rightarrow$

```

(∀ r ∈ set rs. ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac β p (get-match r)) ≠ TernaryUnknown) ⇒
good-ruleset rs ⇒
(β,α),p ⊢ ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒α t ⇒ Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒ t
apply(simp add: matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def)
apply(rotate-tac 3)
apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros iptables-bigstep.intros dest: iptables-bigstepD)
apply(metis iptables-bigstep.accept matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply(metis deny matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply(metis iptables-bigstep.reject matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
apply(metis iptables-bigstep.nomatch matcher-agree-on-exact-matches-def matches-comply-exact)
by (metis good-ruleset-append iptables-bigstep.seq)

```

For rulesets without *Calls*, the approximating ternary semantics can perfectly simulate the Boolean semantics.

```

theorem βmagic-approximating-bigstep-iff-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes ∀ r ∈ set rs. ∀ c. get-action r ≠ Call c
  shows ((βmagic γ),α),p ⊢ ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒α t ⟷ Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒ t
apply(rule iffI)
apply(induction rs s t rule: approximating-bigstep-induct)
  apply(auto intro: iptables-bigstep.intros simp: βmagic-matching)[7]
apply(insert assms)
apply(induction rs s t rule: iptables-bigstep-induct)
  apply(auto intro: approximating-bigstep.intros simp: βmagic-matching)
done

```

```

corollary βmagic-approximating-bigstep-fun-iff-iptables-bigstep:
  assumes good-ruleset rs
  shows approximating-bigstep-fun (βmagic γ,α) p rs s = t ⟷ Γ,γ,p ⊢ ⟨rs, s⟩ ⇒ t
apply(subst approximating-semantics-iff-fun-good-ruleset[symmetric])
  using assms apply simp
apply(subst βmagic-approximating-bigstep-iff-iptables-bigstep[where Γ=Γ])
  using assms apply (simp add: good-ruleset-def)
by simp

```

```

end
theory Fixed-Action
imports Semantics-Ternary
begin

```

12 Fixed Action

If firewall rules have the same action, we can focus on the matching only.

Applying a rule once or several times makes no difference.

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate*:
 $n > 0 \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (r \# rs) \ \text{Undecided} = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$
 $\gamma \ p \ ((\text{replicate } n \ r) @ rs) \ \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* n)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac* r)
apply(*rename-tac* $m \ a$)
apply(*simp split: action.split*)
by *fastforce*

utility lemmas

lemma *fixedaction-Log*: $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}) \ ms) \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* ms , *simp-all*)
done
lemma *fixedaction-Empty*: $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}) \ ms) \ \text{Undecided} = \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* ms , *simp-all*)
done
lemma *helperX1-Log*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ \text{Log } p \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } ((\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}) \circ \text{MatchAnd } m') \ m2' @ rs2) \ \text{Undecided} =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs2 \ \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* $m2'$)
apply(*simp-all split: action.split*)
done
lemma *helperX1-Empty*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ \text{Empty } p \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } ((\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}) \circ \text{MatchAnd } m') \ m2' @ rs2) \ \text{Undecided} =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs2 \ \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* $m2'$)
apply(*simp-all split: action.split*)
done
lemma *helperX3*: $\text{matches } \gamma \ m' \ a \ p \implies$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } ((\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \circ \text{MatchAnd } m') \ m2' @ rs2) \ \text{Undecided} =$
 $\text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ m2' @ rs2) \ \text{Undecided}$
apply(*induction* $m2'$)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*case-tac* a)
apply(*simp-all add: matches-simps*)
done

lemmas *fixed-action-simps* = *helperX1-Log helperX1-Empty helperX3*
hide-fact *helperX1-Log helperX1-Empty helperX3*

lemma *fixedaction-swap*:

```

    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 @ m2$ ))  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 @ m1$ ))  $s$ 
proof(cases  $s$ )
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 @$ 
 $m2$ ))  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 @ m1$ ))  $s$ 
    by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
    have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )  $m1 @$  map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )  $m2$ ) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )  $m2$ 
    @ map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )  $m1$ ) Undecided
    proof(induction  $m1$ )
        case Nil thus ?case by simp
        next
        case (Cons  $m \ m1$ )
            { fix  $m \ rs$ 
                have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ((map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ \text{Log}$ )  $m$ )@ $rs$ )
                Undecided =
                    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$  Undecided
                by(induction  $m$ ) (simp-all)
            } note Log-helper=this
            { fix  $m \ rs$ 
                have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ((map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ \text{Empty}$ )  $m$ )@ $rs$ )
                Undecided =
                    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $rs$  Undecided
                by(induction  $m$ ) (simp-all)
            } note Empty-helper=this

    show ?case (is ?goal)
    proof(cases matches  $\gamma \ m \ a \ p$ )
        case True
            thus ?goal
            proof(induction  $m2$ )
                case Nil thus ?case by simp
                next
                case Cons thus ?case
                    apply(simp split:action.split action.split-asm)
                    using Log-helper Empty-helper by fastforce+
                qed
            next
            case False
                thus ?goal
                apply(simp)
                apply(simp add: Cons.IH)
                apply(induction  $m2$ )
                apply(simp-all)
                apply(simp split:action.split action.split-asm)
                apply fastforce
            done

```



```

      qed
    qed
    thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2$ ))  $s =$ 
    approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1$ ))  $s$  using Unde-
    cided by simp
  qed

corollary fixedaction-reorder: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s =$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s$ 
proof(cases  $s$ )
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s =$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s$ 
  by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))
  Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ )) Undecided
proof(induction  $m3$ )
  case Nil thus ?case using fixedaction-swap by fastforce
  next
  case (Cons  $m3'1 \ m3$ )
    have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) (( $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ )
    @  $m1 \ @ \ m2$ )) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )
    (( $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ ) @  $m2 \ @ \ m1$ )) Undecided
    apply(simp)
    apply(cases matches  $\gamma \ m3'1 \ a \ p$ )
    apply(simp split: action.split action.split-asm)
    apply (metis append-assoc fixedaction-swap map-append Cons.IH)
    apply(simp)
    by (metis append-assoc fixedaction-swap map-append Cons.IH)
    hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) (( $m1 \ @ \ m2$ ) @
     $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ )) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ )
    (( $m2 \ @ \ m1$ ) @  $m3'1 \ \# \ m3$ )) Undecided
    apply(subst fixedaction-swap)
    apply(subst(2) fixedaction-swap)
    by simp
  thus ?case
    apply(subst append-assoc[symmetric])
    apply(subst append-assoc[symmetric])
    by simp
  qed
thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m1 \ @ \ m2 \ @ \ m3$ ))
 $s =$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$ ) ( $m2 \ @ \ m1 \ @ \ m3$ ))  $s$ 
using Undecided by simp
qed

```

If the actions are equal, the *set* (position and replication independent) of

the match expressions can be considered.

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-fixaction-matchseteq: set m1 = set m2 ==>
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) s
proof(cases s)
case Decision thus approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) s
  by(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
next
case Undecided
  assume m1m2-seteq: set m1 = set m2
  hence approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m1) Undecided =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) m2) Undecided
  proof(induction m1 arbitrary: m2)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons m m1)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof (cases m  $\in$  set m1)
  case True
    from True have set m1 = set (m # m1) by auto
    from Cons.IH[OF  $\langle$ set m1 = set (m # m1) $\rangle$ ] have approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m # m1)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a) (m1)) Undecided ..
    thus ?goal by (metis Cons.IH Cons.premis  $\langle$ set m1 = set (m # m1) $\rangle$ )
  next
  case False
    from False have m  $\notin$  set m1 .
    show ?goal
    proof (cases m  $\notin$  set m2)
    case True
      from True  $\langle$ m  $\notin$  set m1 $\rangle$  Cons.premis have set m1 = set m2 by auto
      from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?goal by (metis Cons.IH Cons.premis  $\langle$ set
m1 = set m2 $\rangle$ )
    next
    case False
      hence m  $\in$  set m2 by simp

      have repl-filter-simp: (replicate (length [x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x = m]) m) = [x $\leftarrow$ m2 .
x = m]
      by (metis (lifting, full-types) filter-set member-filter replicate-length-same)

      from Cons.premis  $\langle$ m  $\notin$  set m1 $\rangle$  have set m1 = set (filter ( $\lambda x$ . x $\neq$ m)
m2) by auto
      from Cons.IH[OF this] have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ .
Rule m a) m1) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)
[x $\leftarrow$ m2 . x  $\neq$  m]) Undecided .
      from this have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m
a) (m#m1)) Undecided = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule m a)

```

```

(m#[x←m2 . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  by fast
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a)
([x←m2 . x = m]@[x←m2 . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  apply(simp only: list.map)
  thm approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate[where n=length [x←m2
. x = m]]
  apply(subst approximating-bigstep-fun-prepend-replicate[where n=length
[x←m2 . x = m]])
  apply (metis (full-types) False filter-empty-conv neq0-conv repl-filter-simp
replicate-0)
  by (metis (lifting, no-types) map-append map-replicate repl-filter-simp)
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) m2)
Undecided
  proof(induction m2)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case(Cons m2'1 m2')
  have approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x
= m] @ Rule m2'1 a # map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m]) Undecided =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a) ([x←m2' . x
= m] @ [m2'1] @ [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided by fastforce
  also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m a)
([m2'1] @ [x←m2' . x = m] @ [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  using fixedaction-reorder by fast
  finally have XX: approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map (λm. Rule m
a) [x←m2' . x = m] @ Rule m2'1 a # map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m])
Undecided =
    approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (Rule m2'1 a # (map (λm. Rule m
a) [x←m2' . x = m] @ map (λm. Rule m a) [x←m2' . x ≠ m])) Undecided
  by fastforce
  from Cons show ?case
  apply(case-tac m2'1 = m)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  apply fast
  apply(simp del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
  apply(simp only: XX)
  apply(case-tac matches γ m2'1 a p)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: action.split)
  apply(fast)
  apply(simp)
  done
qed
finally show ?goal .
qed
qed
qed

```

thus *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ p ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms1$) $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p$ ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms2$) s **using** *Undecided* $m1m2\text{-seteq}$ **by** *simp*
qed

12.1 match-list

Reducing the firewall semantics to shortcircuit matching evaluation

fun *match-list* :: ('a, 'packet) *match-tac* \Rightarrow 'a *match-expr list* \Rightarrow *action* \Rightarrow 'packet
 \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
match-list $\gamma \ [] \ a \ p = \text{False}$ |
match-list $\gamma \ (m \# ms) \ a \ p = (\text{if matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p \text{ then True else match-list } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p)$

lemma *match-list-matches*: *match-list* $\gamma \ ms \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow (\exists m \in \text{set } ms. \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p)$
by(*induction ms, simp-all*)

lemma *match-list-True*: *match-list* $\gamma \ ms \ a \ p \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p$
($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms$) *Undecided* = (case a of *Accept* \Rightarrow *Decision FinalAllow*
| *Drop* \Rightarrow *Decision FinalDeny*
| *Reject* \Rightarrow *Decision FinalDeny*
| *Log* \Rightarrow *Undecided*
| *Empty* \Rightarrow *Undecided*
(*unhandled cases*)
)
apply(*induction ms*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp split: split-if-asm action.split*)
apply(*simp add: fixedaction-Log fixedaction-Empty*)
done

lemma *match-list-False*: $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p \implies \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p$
($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms$) *Undecided* = *Undecided*
apply(*induction ms*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp split: split-if-asm action.split*)
done

lemma *match-list-semantics*: *match-list* $\gamma \ ms1 \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ ms2 \ a \ p$
 \implies
approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \ p$ ($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms1$) $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p$
($\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a) \ ms2$) s
apply(*case-tac s*)
prefer 2
apply(*simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*thin-tac s = ?un*)
apply(*induction ms2*)
apply(*simp*)

```

    apply(induction ms1)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
    apply(rename-tac m ms2)
    apply(simp del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
    apply(simp split: split-if-asm del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
    apply(simp split: action.split add: match-list-True fixedaction-Log fixedaction-Empty)
    apply(simp)
  done

lemma match-list-singleton: match-list  $\gamma$  [m] a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p by(simp)

lemma empty-concat: (concat (map ( $\lambda x.$  []) ms)) = []
  apply(induction ms)
  by(simp-all)

lemma match-list-append: match-list  $\gamma$  (m1@m2) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  m1
a p  $\longrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  m2 a p)
  apply(induction m1)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
  done

lemma match-list-helper1:  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m2 a p  $\implies$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map ( $\lambda x.$ 
MatchAnd x m2) m1') a p  $\implies$  False
  apply(induction m1')
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper2:  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\implies$   $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map (MatchAnd
m) m2') a p
  apply(induction m2')
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper3: matches  $\gamma$  m a p  $\implies$  match-list  $\gamma$  m2' a p  $\implies$ 
match-list  $\gamma$  (map (MatchAnd m) m2') a p
  apply(induction m2')
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by (simp add: matches-simps)

lemma match-list-helper4:  $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  m2' a p  $\implies$   $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  (map
(MatchAnd aa) m2') a p
  apply(induction m2')
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split:split-if-asm)
  by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper5:  $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  m2' a p  $\implies$   $\neg$  match-list  $\gamma$  (concat
(map ( $\lambda x.$  map (MatchAnd x) m2') m1')) a p

```

```

apply(induction m2')
apply(simp add: empty-concat)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(induction m1')
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: match-list-append)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma match-list-helper6:  $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ m1' \ a \ p \implies \neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{concat}$ 
(map ( $\lambda x. \text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } x) \ m2')$  m1')) a p
apply(induction m2')
apply(simp add: empty-concat)
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply(induction m1')
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: match-list-append split: split-if-asm)
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemmas match-list-helper = match-list-helper1 match-list-helper2 match-list-helper3
match-list-helper4 match-list-helper5 match-list-helper6
hide-fact match-list-helper1 match-list-helper2 match-list-helper3 match-list-helper4
match-list-helper5 match-list-helper6

lemma match-list-map-And1:  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m1 \ a \ p = \text{match-list } \gamma \ m1' \ a \ p \implies$ 
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{MatchAnd}$ 
x m2) m1') a p
apply(induction m1')
apply(auto dest: matches-dest)[1]
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
apply safe
apply(simp-all add: matches-simps)
apply(auto dest: match-list-helper(1))[1]
by(auto dest: matches-dest)

lemma matches-list-And-concat:  $\text{matches } \gamma \ m1 \ a \ p = \text{match-list } \gamma \ m1' \ a \ p \implies$ 
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ m2 \ a \ p = \text{match-list } \gamma \ m2' \ a \ p \implies$ 
 $\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{match-list } \gamma \ [\text{MatchAnd } x \ y. \ x$ 
 $\leftarrow m1', \ y \leftarrow m2'] \ a \ p$ 
apply(induction m1')
apply(auto dest: matches-dest)[1]
apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: match-list-append)
apply(subgoal-tac  $\neg \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{map } (\text{MatchAnd } aa) \ m2') \ a \ p$ )
apply(simp)
apply safe
apply(simp-all add: matches-simps match-list-append match-list-helper)
done

```

```

lemma fixedaction-wf-ruleset: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$  (map ( $\lambda m$ . Rule  $m$   $a$ )  $ms$ )  $\longleftrightarrow \neg$ 
match-list  $\gamma$   $ms$   $a$   $p \vee \neg (\exists chain. a = Call\ chain) \wedge a \neq Return \wedge a \neq Unknown$ 
proof -
have helper:  $\bigwedge a\ b\ c. a \longleftrightarrow c \implies (a \longrightarrow b) = (c \longrightarrow b)$  by fast
show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
  apply (rule helper)
  apply (induction  $ms$ )
  apply (simp)
  apply (simp)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma wf-ruleset-singleton: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$  [Rule  $m$   $a$ ]  $\longleftrightarrow \neg$  matches  $\gamma$   $m$   $a$   $p \vee$ 
 $\neg (\exists chain. a = Call\ chain) \wedge a \neq Return \wedge a \neq Unknown$ 
by (simp add: wf-ruleset-def)

```

13 Normalized (DNF) matches

simplify a match expression. The output is a list of match expressions, the semantics is \vee of the list elements.

```

fun normalize-match :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr list where
  normalize-match (MatchAny) = [MatchAny] |
  normalize-match (Match  $m$ ) = [Match  $m$ ] |
  normalize-match (MatchAnd  $m1\ m2$ ) = [MatchAnd  $x\ y. x <- normalize-match$ 
 $m1, y <- normalize-match\ m2$ ] |
  normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchAnd  $m1\ m2$ )) = normalize-match (MatchNot
 $m1$ ) @ normalize-match (MatchNot  $m2$ ) |
  normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchNot  $m$ )) = normalize-match  $m$  |
  normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchAny)) = [] |
  normalize-match (MatchNot (Match  $m$ )) = [MatchNot (Match  $m$ )]

```

```

lemma match-list-normalize-match: match-list  $\gamma$  [ $m$ ]  $a$   $p \longleftrightarrow$  match-list  $\gamma$  (normalize-match
 $m$ )  $a$   $p$ 

```

```

proof (induction  $m$  rule: normalize-match.induct)
case 1 thus ?case by (simp add: match-list-singleton)
next
case 2 thus ?case by (simp add: match-list-singleton)
next
case (3  $m1\ m2$ ) thus ?case
  apply (simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  apply (case-tac matches  $\gamma$   $m1$   $a$   $p$ )
  apply (rule matches-list-And-concat)
  apply (simp)
  apply (case-tac (normalize-match  $m1$ ))
  apply simp
  apply (auto)[1]
  apply (simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches match-list-helper)

```

```

    done
  next
case 4 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  apply(simp add: match-list-append)
  apply(safe)
  apply(simp-all add: matches-DeMorgan)
  done
next
case 5 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  apply (metis matches-not-idem)
  done
next
case 6 thus ?case
  apply(simp-all add: match-list-singleton del: match-list.simps(2))
  by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3))
next
case 7 thus ?case by(simp add: match-list-singleton)
qed

```

thm *match-list-normalize-match[simplified match-list-singleton]*

theorem *normalize-match-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun γ p (map ($\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \ a$) (normalize-match m)) s = approximating-bigstep-fun γ p [Rule m a] s*
apply(rule *match-list-antics[of - - - [m], simplified]*)
using *match-list-normalize-match* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *normalize-match-empty: normalize-match m = [] $\implies \neg \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$*
proof(induction m rule: *normalize-match.induct*)
 case 3 thus ?case **by** (simp) (metis *ex-in-conv matches-simp2 matches-simp22 set-empty*)
 next
 case 4 thus ?case **using** *match-list-normalize-match* **by** (metis *match-list.simps*)
 next
 case 5 thus ?case **using** *matches-not-idem* **by** *fastforce*
 next
 case 6 thus ?case **by** (metis *bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3) matches-def matches-tuple*)
qed(simp-all)

lemma *matches-to-match-list-normalize: matches $\gamma \ m \ a \ p = \text{match-list } \gamma \ (\text{normalize-match } m) \ a \ p$*
using *match-list-normalize-match[simplified match-list-singleton]* .

lemma *wf-ruleset-normalize-match: wf-ruleset $\gamma \ p \ [(Rule \ m \ a)] \implies wf-ruleset \ \gamma$*


```

p (map (λm. Rule m a) (normalize-match m))
proof(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
  next
  case 2 thus ?case by simp
  next
  case 3 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset )
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
  next
  case 4 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-append)
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset)
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(safe)
      apply(simp-all add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    apply(simp-all add: match-list-append)
    done
  next
  case 5 thus ?case
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
  next
  case 6 thus ?case by(simp add: wf-ruleset-def)
  next
  case 7 thus ?case by(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-append)
qed

```

lemma *normalize-match-wf-ruleset*: $wf\text{-ruleset} \ \gamma \ p \ (map \ (\lambda m. \ Rule \ m \ a) \ (normalize\text{-match} \ m)) \implies wf\text{-ruleset} \ \gamma \ p \ [Rule \ m \ a]$

```

proof(induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
  next
  case 2 thus ?case by simp
  next
  case 3 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset )
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
    apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
    done
  next
  case 4 thus ?case
    apply(simp add: wf-ruleset-append)
    apply(simp add: fixedaction-wf-ruleset)
    apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)

```

```

    apply(safe)
      apply(simp-all add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
      apply(simp-all add: match-list-append)
    done
  next
case 5 thus ?case
  apply(unfold wf-ruleset-singleton)
  apply(simp add: matches-to-match-list-normalize)
  done
next
case 6 thus ?case unfolding wf-ruleset-singleton using bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3)
by metis
  next
case 7 thus ?case by(simp-all add: wf-ruleset-append)
qed

```

```

fun normalize-rules :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  normalize-rules [] = [] |
  normalize-rules ((Rule m a)#rs) = (map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule m a) (normalize-match
m))@(normalize-rules rs)

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-singleton: normalize-rules [Rule m a] = map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule m
a) (normalize-match m) by simp

```

```

lemma normalize-rules-fst: (normalize-rules (r # rs)) = (normalize-rules [r]) @
(normalize-rules rs)
by(cases r) (simp)

```

```

lemma good-ruleset-normalize-match: good-ruleset [(Rule m a)]  $\Longrightarrow$  good-ruleset
(map ( $\lambda m.$  Rule m a) (normalize-match m))
by(simp add: good-ruleset-def)

```

```

lemma wf-ruleset-normalize-rules: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p rs  $\Longrightarrow$  wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules
rs)
proof(induction rs)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
from Cons have IH: wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules rs) by(auto dest: wf-rulesetD)

from Cons.premis have wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] by(auto dest: wf-rulesetD)
hence wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r]) using wf-ruleset-normalize-match
by(cases r) simp

```

with *IH* *wf-ruleset-append* **have** *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*normalize-rules* [*r*] @ *normalize-rules* *rs*) **by** *fast*
thus ?*case* **by** (*subst normalize-rules-fst*)
qed

lemma *good-ruleset-normalize-rules*: *good-ruleset* *rs* \implies *good-ruleset* (*normalize-rules* *rs*)
proof (*induction rs*)
case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*simp add: good-ruleset-tail*)
next
case (*Cons r rs*)
from *Cons* **have** *IH*: *good-ruleset* (*normalize-rules rs*) **using** *good-ruleset-tail*
by *blast*
from *Cons.prem*s **have** *good-ruleset* [*r*] **using** *good-ruleset-fst* **by** *fast*
hence *good-ruleset* (*normalize-rules* [*r*]) **by** (*cases r*) (*simp add: good-ruleset-normalize-match*)
with *IH* *good-ruleset-append* **have** *good-ruleset* (*normalize-rules* [*r*] @ *normalize-rules* *rs*) **by** *blast*
thus ?*case* **by** (*subst normalize-rules-fst*)
qed

lemma *normalize-rules-correct*: *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rs* \implies *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*normalize-rules* *rs*) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* *rs* *s*
proof (*induction rs*)
case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons r rs*)
thus ?*case* (*is ?goal*)
proof (*cases s*)
case *Decision* **thus** ?*goal*
by (*simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun*)
next
case *Undecided*
from *Cons* *wf-rulesetD*(2) **have** *IH*: *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*normalize-rules* *rs*) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* *rs* *s* **by** *fast*
from *Cons.prem*s **have** *wf-ruleset* γ *p* [*r*] **and** *wf-ruleset* γ *p* (*normalize-rules* [*r*])
by (*auto dest: wf-rulesetD simp: wf-ruleset-normalize-rules*)
with *IH* *Undecided* **have**
approximating-bigstep-fun γ *p* (*normalize-rules* *rs*) (*approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*normalize-rules* [*r*]) *Undecided*) = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*r* # *rs*) *Undecided*
apply (*case-tac r, rename-tac m a*)
apply (*simp*)
apply (*case-tac a*)
apply (*simp-all add: normalize-match-correct Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun wf-ruleset-singleton*)
done
hence *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*normalize-rules* [*r*] @ *normalize-rules* *rs*)

```

s = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (r # rs) s
  using Undecided  $\langle$ wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p [r] $\rangle$   $\langle$ wf-ruleset  $\gamma$  p (normalize-rules [r]) $\rangle$ 
  by (simp add: approximating-bigstep-fun-seq-wf)
  thus ?goal using normalize-rules-fst by metis
qed
qed

```

```

fun normalized-match :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  normalized-match MatchAny = True |
  normalized-match (Match -) = True |
  normalized-match (MatchNot (Match -)) = True |
  normalized-match (MatchAnd m1 m2) = ((normalized-match m1)  $\wedge$  (normalized-match
m2)) |
  normalized-match - = False

```

Essentially, *normalized-match* checks for a negation normal form: Only AND is at toplevel, negation only occurs in front of literals. Since *'a match-expr* does not support OR, the result is in conjunction normal form. Applying *normalize-match*, the result is a list. Essentially, this is the disjunctive normal form.

```

lemma normalized-match-normalize-match:  $\forall$  m'  $\in$  set (normalize-match m). normalized-match
m'
  proof (induction m arbitrary: rule: normalize-match.induct)
  case 4 thus ?case by fastforce
qed (simp-all)

```

Example

```

lemma normalize-match (MatchNot (MatchAnd (Match ip-src) (Match tcp))) =
[MatchNot (Match ip-src), MatchNot (Match tcp)] by simp

```

```

end
theory Iptables-Semantics
imports Semantics-Embeddings Fixed-Action
begin

```

14 Normalizing Rulesets in the Boolean Big Step Semantics

```

corollary normalize-rules-correct-BooleanSemantics:
  assumes good-ruleset rs
  shows  $\Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle$ normalize-rules rs, s $\rangle \Rightarrow t \iff \Gamma, \gamma, p \vdash \langle$ rs, s $\rangle \Rightarrow t$ 
proof -
  from asms have assm': good-ruleset (normalize-rules rs) by (metis good-ruleset-normalize-rules)

```

```

from normalize-rules-correct assms good-imp-wf-ruleset have
   $\forall \beta \alpha. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } (\beta, \alpha) \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{normalize-rules } rs) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
   $(\beta, \alpha) \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$  by fast
hence
   $\forall \alpha. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } (\beta_{magic} \gamma, \alpha) \text{ } p \text{ } (\text{normalize-rules } rs) \text{ } s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun}$ 
   $(\beta_{magic} \gamma, \alpha) \text{ } p \text{ } rs \text{ } s$  by fast
with  $\beta_{magic}$ -approximating-bigstep-fun-iff-iptables-bigstep assms assm' show ?thesis
by metis
qed

end
theory Negation-Type
imports Main
begin

```

15 Negation Type

Only negated or non-negated literals

datatype *'a negation-type* = *Pos 'a* | *Neg 'a*

```

fun getPos :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  getPos [] = [] |
  getPos ((Pos x)#xs) = x#(getPos xs) |
  getPos (-#xs) = getPos xs

```

```

fun getNeg :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  getNeg [] = [] |
  getNeg ((Neg x)#xs) = x#(getNeg xs) |
  getNeg (-#xs) = getNeg xs

```

If there is *'a negation-type*, then apply a *map* only to *'a*. I.e. keep *Neg* and *Pos*

```

fun NegPos-map :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'b negation-type list where
  NegPos-map - [] = [] |
  NegPos-map f ((Pos a)#as) = (Pos (f a))#NegPos-map f as |
  NegPos-map f ((Neg a)#as) = (Neg (f a))#NegPos-map f as

```

Example

lemma *NegPos-map* ($\lambda x::nat. x+1$) [*Pos* 0, *Neg* 1] = [*Pos* 1, *Neg* 2] **by** *eval*

lemma *getPos-NegPos-map-simp*: (*getPos* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map* *Pos* *src*))) = *map* *X* *src*

by(*induction* *src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma *getNeg-NegPos-map-simp*: (*getNeg* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map* *Neg* *src*))) = *map* *X* *src*

by(*induction* *src*) (*simp-all*)

lemma *getNeg-Pos-empty*: (*getNeg* (*NegPos-map* *X* (*map* *Pos* *src*))) = []

```

  by(induction src) (simp-all)
lemma getNeg-Neg-empty: (getPos (NegPos-map X (map Neg src))) = []
  by(induction src) (simp-all)
lemma getPos-NegPos-map-simp2: (getPos (NegPos-map X src)) = map X (getPos
src)
  by(induction src rule: getPos.induct) (simp-all)
lemma getNeg-NegPos-map-simp2: (getNeg (NegPos-map X src)) = map X (getNeg
src)
  by(induction src rule: getPos.induct) (simp-all)
lemma getPos-id: (getPos (map Pos (getPos src))) = getPos src
  by(induction src rule: getPos.induct) (simp-all)
lemma getNeg-id: (getNeg (map Neg (getNeg src))) = getNeg src
  by(induction src rule: getNeg.induct) (simp-all)
lemma getPos-empty2: (getPos (map Neg src)) = []
  by(induction src) (simp-all)
lemma getNeg-empty2: (getNeg (map Pos src)) = []
  by(induction src) (simp-all)

lemmas NegPos-map-simps = getPos-NegPos-map-simp getNeg-NegPos-map-simp
getNeg-Pos-empty getNeg-Neg-empty getPos-NegPos-map-simp2
getNeg-NegPos-map-simp2 getPos-id getNeg-id getPos-empty2
getNeg-empty2

lemma NegPos-map-append: NegPos-map C (as @ bs) = NegPos-map C as @
NegPos-map C bs
  by(induction as rule: getNeg.induct) (simp-all)

lemma getPos-set: Pos a ∈ set x ⟷ a ∈ set (getPos x)
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(auto)
  done
lemma getNeg-set: Neg a ∈ set x ⟷ a ∈ set (getNeg x)
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(auto)
  done
lemma getPosgetNeg-subset: set x ⊆ set x' ⟷ set (getPos x) ⊆ set (getPos x')
∧ set (getNeg x) ⊆ set (getNeg x')
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: getPos-set)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(simp-all add: getPos-set getNeg-set)
  done
lemma set-Pos-getPos-subset: Pos ' set (getPos x) ⊆ set x
  apply(induction x rule: getPos.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply blast+
  done
lemma set-Neg-getNeg-subset: Neg ' set (getNeg x) ⊆ set x

```

```

apply(induction x rule: getNeg.induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply blast+
done
lemmas NegPos-set = getPos-set getNeg-set getPosgetNeg-subset set-Pos-getPos-subset
set-Neg-getNeg-subset
hide-fact getPos-set getNeg-set getPosgetNeg-subset set-Pos-getPos-subset set-Neg-getNeg-subset

fun invert :: 'a negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type where
  invert (Pos x) = Neg x |
  invert (Neg x) = (Pos x)

end
theory Negation-Type-Matching
imports Negation-Type ../Matching-Ternary ../Datatype-Selectors ../Fixed-Action
begin

```

16 Negation Type Matching

Transform a 'a *negation-type list* to a 'a *match-expr* via conjunction.

```

fun alist-and :: 'a negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  alist-and [] = MatchAny |
  alist-and ((Pos e)#es) = MatchAnd (Match e) (alist-and es) |
  alist-and ((Neg e)#es) = MatchAnd (MatchNot (Match e)) (alist-and es)

fun negation-type-to-match-expr :: 'a negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr where
  negation-type-to-match-expr (Pos e) = (Match e) |
  negation-type-to-match-expr (Neg e) = (MatchNot (Match e))
lemma alist-and-negation-type-to-match-expr: alist-and (n#es) = MatchAnd (negation-type-to-match-expr
n) (alist-and es)
by(cases n, simp-all)

lemma alist-and-append: matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (l1 @ l2)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$ 
(MatchAnd (alist-and l1) (alist-and l2)) a p
apply(induction l1)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(rename-tac l l1)
apply(case-tac l)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done

```

```

fun to-negation-type-nnf :: 'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  'a negation-type list where
  to-negation-type-nnf MatchAny = [] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (Match a) = [Pos a] |
  to-negation-type-nnf (MatchNot (Match a)) = [Neg a] |

```

to-negation-type-nnf (MatchAnd a b) = (to-negation-type-nnf a) @ (to-negation-type-nnf b)

lemma *normalized-match* m \implies matches γ (alist-and (to-negation-type-nnf m))
a p = matches γ m a p
apply(induction m rule: to-negation-type-nnf.induct)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches alist-and-append)
done

Isolating the matching semantics

fun *nt-match-list* :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow 'packet \Rightarrow 'a negation-type list \Rightarrow bool **where**
nt-match-list - - [] = True |
nt-match-list γ a p ((Pos x)#xs) \longleftrightarrow matches γ (Match x) a p \wedge *nt-match-list* γ a p xs |
nt-match-list γ a p ((Neg x)#xs) \longleftrightarrow matches γ (MatchNot (Match x)) a p \wedge *nt-match-list* γ a p xs

lemma *nt-match-list-matches*: *nt-match-list* γ a p l \longleftrightarrow matches γ (alist-and l) a p
apply(induction l rule: alist-and.induct)
apply(simp-all)
apply(case-tac [!] γ)
apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
done

lemma *nt-match-list-simp*: *nt-match-list* γ a p ms \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } ms). \text{matches } \gamma (\text{Match } m) a p) \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } ms). \text{matches } \gamma (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } m)) a p)$
apply(induction γ a p ms rule: nt-match-list.induct)
apply(simp-all)
by fastforce

lemma *matches-alist-and*: matches γ (alist-and l) a p \longleftrightarrow $(\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getPos } l). \text{matches } \gamma (\text{Match } m) a p) \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } (\text{getNeg } l). \text{matches } \gamma (\text{MatchNot } (\text{Match } m)) a p)$
by (metis (poly-guards-query) nt-match-list-matches nt-match-list-simp)

Test if a *disc* is in the match expression. For example, it call tell whether there are some matches for *Src ip*.

fun *has-disc* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a match-expr \Rightarrow bool **where**
has-disc - MatchAny = False |
has-disc disc (Match a) = disc a |
has-disc disc (MatchNot m) = *has-disc* disc m |
has-disc disc (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (*has-disc* disc m1 \vee *has-disc* disc m2)

The following function takes a tuple of functions (('a \Rightarrow bool) \times ('a \Rightarrow 'b))

and a *'a match-expr*. The passed function tuple must be the discriminator and selector of the datatype package. *primitive-extractor* filters the *'a match-expr* and returns a tuple. The first element of the returned tuple is the filtered primitive matches, the second element is the remaining match expression.

It requires a *normalized-match*.

```
fun primitive-extractor :: (('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b))  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  ('b
negation-type list  $\times$  'a match-expr) where
  primitive-extractor - MatchAny = ([], MatchAny) |
  primitive-extractor (disc,sel) (Match a) = (if disc a then ([Pos (sel a)], MatchAny)
else ([], Match a)) |
  primitive-extractor (disc,sel) (MatchNot (Match a)) = (if disc a then ([Neg (sel
a)], MatchAny) else ([], MatchNot (Match a))) |
  primitive-extractor C (MatchAnd ms1 ms2) = (
    let (a1', ms1') = primitive-extractor C ms1;
        (a2', ms2') = primitive-extractor C ms2
    in (a1'@a2', MatchAnd ms1' ms2'))
```

The first part returned by *primitive-extractor*, here *as*: A list of primitive match expressions. For example, let $m = \text{MatchAnd } (\text{Src } ip1) (\text{Dst } ip2)$ then, using the src (*disc*, *sel*), the result is $[ip1]$. Note that *Src* is stripped from the result.

The second part, here *ms* is the match expression which was not extracted. Together, the first and second part match iff *m* matches.

theorem *primitive-extractor-correct*: **assumes**

normalized-match m and wf-disc-sel (disc, sel) C and primitive-extractor (disc, sel) m = (as, ms)

shows *matches γ (alist-and (NegPos-map C as)) a p \wedge matches γ ms a p \longleftrightarrow matches γ m a p*

and *normalized-match ms*

and $\neg \text{has-disc disc ms}$

and $\forall \text{disc2. } \neg \text{has-disc disc2 m} \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc disc2 ms}$

proof –

— better simplification rule

from *assms have assm3': (as, ms) = primitive-extractor (disc, sel) m by simp*
with *assms(1) assms(2) show matches γ (alist-and (NegPos-map C as)) a p \wedge matches γ ms a p \longleftrightarrow matches γ m a p*

apply(*induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct*)

apply(*simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches wf-disc-sel.simps split: split-if-asm*)

apply(*simp split: split-if-asm split-split-asm add: NegPos-map-append*)

apply(*auto simp add: alist-and-append bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches*)

done

from *assms(1) assm3' show normalized-match ms*

apply(*induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct*)

apply(*simp*)

```

      apply(simp)
      apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
      apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(simp split: split-split-asm)
      apply(simp)
      apply(simp)
      apply(simp)
    done

  from assms(1) assm3' show  $\neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc } ms$ 
  apply(induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct)
  by(simp-all split: split-if-asm split-split-asm)

  from assms(1) assm3' show  $\forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ms$ 
  apply(induction (disc, sel) m arbitrary: as ms rule: primitive-extractor.induct)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp split: split-split-asm)
  apply(simp-all)
  done
qed

```

lemma *primitive-extractor-matchesE*: $\text{wf-disc-sel } (disc, sel) \ C \implies \text{normalized-match } m \implies \text{primitive-extractor } (disc, sel) \ m = (as, ms)$

\implies

$(\text{normalized-match } ms \implies \neg \text{has-disc } disc \ ms \implies (\forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ms) \implies \text{matches-other } \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p)$

\implies

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } C \ as)) \ a \ p \wedge \text{matches-other } \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$

using *primitive-extractor-correct* **by** *metis*

lemma *primitive-extractor-matches-lastE*: $\text{wf-disc-sel } (disc, sel) \ C \implies \text{normalized-match } m \implies \text{primitive-extractor } (disc, sel) \ m = (as, ms)$

\implies

$(\text{normalized-match } ms \implies \neg \text{has-disc } disc \ ms \implies (\forall \text{disc2}. \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } \text{disc2 } ms) \implies \text{matches } \gamma \ ms \ a \ p)$

\implies

$\text{matches } \gamma \ (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } C \ as)) \ a \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p$

using *primitive-extractor-correct* **by** *metis*

The lemmas $\llbracket \text{wf-disc-sel } (?disc, ?sel) \ ?C; \text{normalized-match } ?m; \text{primitive-extractor}$

$(?disc, ?sel) ?m = (?as, ?ms); \llbracket \text{normalized-match } ?ms; \neg \text{has-disc } ?disc \text{ } ?ms; \forall disc2. \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ } ?m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ } ?ms \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?\text{matches-other}$
 $= \text{matches } ?\gamma \text{ } ?ms \text{ } ?a \text{ } ?p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\text{matches } ?\gamma \text{ } (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } ?C \text{ } ?as)) \text{ } ?a \text{ } ?p \wedge ?\text{matches-other}) = \text{matches } ?\gamma \text{ } ?m \text{ } ?a \text{ } ?p$ and $\llbracket \text{wf-disc-sel } (?disc, ?sel) \text{ } ?C; \text{normalized-match } ?m; \text{primitive-extractor } (?disc, ?sel) \text{ } ?m = (?as, ?ms); \llbracket \text{normalized-match } ?ms; \neg \text{has-disc } ?disc \text{ } ?ms; \forall disc2. \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ } ?m \longrightarrow \neg \text{has-disc } disc2 \text{ } ?ms \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{matches } ?\gamma \text{ } ?ms \text{ } ?a \text{ } ?p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{matches } ?\gamma \text{ } (\text{alist-and } (\text{NegPos-map } ?C \text{ } ?as)) \text{ } ?a \text{ } ?p = \text{matches } ?\gamma \text{ } ?m \text{ } ?a \text{ } ?p$ can be used as erule to solve goals about consecutive application of *primitive-extractor*. They should be used as *primitive-extractor-matchesE*[*OF wf-disc-sel-for-first-extracted-thing*].

end

theory *Packet-Set-Impl*

imports *Fixed-Action Output-Format/Negation-Type-Matching Datatype-Selectors*
begin

17 Util: listprod

definition *listprod* :: *nat list* \Rightarrow *nat* **where** *listprod as* $\equiv \text{foldr } (op \ *) \text{ } as \ 1$

lemma *listprod-append[simp]*: *listprod (as @ bs) = listprod as * listprod bs*

apply(*induction as arbitrary: bs*)

apply(*simp-all add: listprod-def*)

done

lemma *listprod-simps [simp]*:

listprod [] = 1

*listprod (x # xs) = x * listprod xs*

by (*simp-all add: listprod-def*)

lemma *distinct as \Longrightarrow listprod as = \prod (set as)*

by(*induction as*) *simp-all*

18 Executable Packet Set Representation

Recall: *alist-and* transforms '*a negation-type list* \Rightarrow '*a match-expr* and uses conjunction as connective.

Symbolic (executable) representation. inner is \wedge , outer is \vee

datatype-new '*a packet-set* = *PacketSet* (*packet-set-repr*: ((*'a negation-type* \times *action negation-type*) *list*) *list*)

Essentially, the '*a list list* structure represents a DNF. See *Negation_Type_DNF.thy* for a pure Boolean version (without matching).

definition *to-packet-set* :: *action* \Rightarrow '*a match-expr* \Rightarrow '*a packet-set* **where**

*to-packet-set a m = PacketSet (map (map ($\lambda m'. (m', \text{Pos } a)$) o *to-negation-type-nnf*) (normalize-match m))*

```

fun get-action :: action negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  action where
  get-action (Pos a) = a |
  get-action (Neg a) = a

```

```

fun get-action-sign :: action negation-type  $\Rightarrow$  (bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool) where
  get-action-sign (Pos -) = id |
  get-action-sign (Neg -) = ( $\lambda m. \neg m$ )

```

We collect all entries of the outer list. For the inner list, we request that a packet matches all the entries. A negated action means that the expression must not match. Recall: $\text{matches } \gamma \text{ (MatchNot } m) \text{ } a \text{ } p \neq (\neg \text{ matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p)$, due to *TernaryUnknown*

```

definition packet-set-to-set :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'packet
set where
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  ps  $\equiv \bigcup ms \in \text{set (packet-set-repr ps)}. \{p. \forall (m, a) \in \text{set}$ 
   $ms. \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr } m) \text{ (get-action } a)$ 
   $p)\}$ 

```

```

lemma packet-set-to-set-alt: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  ps = ( $\bigcup ms \in \text{set (packet-set-repr}$ 
ps).
  { $p. \forall m a. (m, a) \in \text{set } ms \longrightarrow \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr}$ 
   $m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } p\}$ })
unfolding packet-set-to-set-def
by fast

```

We really have a disjunctive normal form

```

lemma packet-set-to-set-alt2: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  ps = ( $\bigcup ms \in \text{set (packet-set-repr}$ 
ps).
  ( $\bigcap (m, a) \in \text{set } ms. \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr}$ 
   $m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } p\}$ ))
unfolding packet-set-to-set-alt
by blast

```

```

lemma to-packet-set-correct:  $p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \text{ (to-packet-set } a \text{ } m) \longleftrightarrow \text{matches}$ 
 $\gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$ 
apply (simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-to-set-def)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (clarify)
apply (induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
  apply (simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
  apply force
apply (metis matches-DeMorgan)
apply (induction m rule: normalize-match.induct)
  apply (simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
  apply (metis Un-iff)
apply (metis Un-iff matches-DeMorgan)
done

```

lemma *to-packet-set-set*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m) = \{p. \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ a \ p\}$

using *to-packet-set-correct* **by** *fast*

definition *packet-set-UNIV* :: 'a packet-set **where**

packet-set-UNIV \equiv *PacketSet* $[\]$

lemma *packet-set-UNIV*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ \text{packet-set-UNIV} = \text{UNIV}$

by(*simp add: packet-set-UNIV-def packet-set-to-set-def*)

definition *packet-set-Empty* :: 'a packet-set **where**

packet-set-Empty \equiv *PacketSet* $[\]$

lemma *packet-set-Empty*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ \text{packet-set-Empty} = \{\}$

by(*simp add: packet-set-Empty-def packet-set-to-set-def*)

If the matching agrees for two actions, then the packet sets are also equal

lemma $\forall p. \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ a1 \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ a2 \ p \implies \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{to-packet-set } a1 \ m) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{to-packet-set } a2 \ m)$

apply(*subst(asm) to-packet-set-correct[symmetric]*) +

apply *safe*

apply *simp-all*

done

18.0.1 Basic Set Operations

\cap

fun *packet-set-intersect* :: 'a packet-set \Rightarrow 'a packet-set \Rightarrow 'a packet-set **where**

packet-set-intersect (*PacketSet* *olist1*) (*PacketSet* *olist2*) = *PacketSet* [*andlist1*

@ *andlist2*. *andlist1* \leftarrow *olist1*, *andlist2* \leftarrow *olist2*]

lemma *packet-set-intersect* (*PacketSet* $[[a,b], [c,d]]$) (*PacketSet* $[[v,w], [x,y]]$) = *PacketSet* $[[a, b, v, w], [a, b, x, y], [c, d, v, w], [c, d, x, y]]$ **by** *simp*

declare *packet-set-intersect.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *packet-set-intersect-intersect*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{packet-set-intersect } P1 \ P2) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ P1 \cap \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ P2$

unfolding *packet-set-to-set-def*

apply(*cases P1*)

apply(*cases P2*)

apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp add: packet-set-intersect.simps*)

apply *blast*

done

lemma *packet-set-intersect-correct*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{packet-set-intersect } (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m1) \ (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m2)) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ (\text{to-packet-set } a \ (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2))$

apply(*simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-intersect.simps packet-set-to-set-alt*)

apply *safe*
apply *simp-all*
apply *blast+*
done

lemma *packet-set-intersect-correct'*: $p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{packet-set-intersect } (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m1) (\text{to-packet-set } a \ m2)) \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma (\text{MatchAnd } m1 \ m2) \ a$
 p

apply(*simp add: to-packet-set-correct[symmetric]*)
using *packet-set-intersect-correct* **by** *fast*

The length of the result is the product of the input lengths

lemma *packet-set-intersect-length*: $\text{length } (\text{packet-set-repr } (\text{packet-set-intersect } (\text{PacketSet } \text{ass}) (\text{PacketSet } \text{bss}))) = \text{length } \text{ass} * \text{length } \text{bss}$
by(*induction ass*) (*simp-all add: packet-set-intersect.simps*)

∪

fun *packet-set-union* :: $'a \ \text{packet-set} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{packet-set} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{packet-set}$ **where**
packet-set-union (*PacketSet olist1*) (*PacketSet olist2*) = *PacketSet (olist1 @ olist2)*
declare *packet-set-union.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *packet-set-union-correct*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{packet-set-union } P1 \ P2) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ P1 \cup \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma \ P2$

unfolding *packet-set-to-set-def*
apply(*cases P1*)
apply(*cases P2*)
apply(*simp add: packet-set-union.simps*)
done

lemma *packet-set-append*:

$\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{PacketSet } (p1 \ @ \ p2)) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{PacketSet } p1) \cup \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{PacketSet } p2)$
by(*simp add: packet-set-to-set-def*)

lemma *packet-set-cons*: $\text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{PacketSet } (a \ \# \ p3)) = \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{PacketSet } [a]) \cup \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma (\text{PacketSet } p3)$

by(*simp add: packet-set-to-set-def*)

—

fun *listprepend* :: $'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \ \text{list}$ **where**
listprepend [] *ns* = [] |
listprepend (*a#as*) *ns* = (*map* ($\lambda xs. \ a \ \# \ xs$) *ns*) @ (*listprepend as ns*)

The returned result of *listprepend* can get long.

lemma *listprepend-length*: $\text{length } (\text{listprepend } as \ bss) = \text{length } as * \text{length } bss$
by(*induction as*) (*simp-all*)

```

lemma packet-set-map-a-and: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (map (op # a)
ds)) = packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[a]])  $\cap$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet ds)
  apply(induction ds)
  apply(simp-all add: packet-set-to-set-def)
  apply(case-tac a)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply blast+
done

lemma listprepend-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (listprepend as ds)) =
packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (map ( $\lambda a.$  [a]) as))  $\cap$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet
ds)
  apply(induction as arbitrary: )
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rename-tac a as)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-map-a-and packet-set-append)

  apply(subst(2) packet-set-cons)
  by blast

lemma packet-set-to-set-map-singleton: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (map
( $\lambda a.$  [a]) as)) = ( $\bigcup a \in \text{set } as.$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[a]]))
  by(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)

fun invertt :: ('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a negation-type  $\times$ 
action negation-type) where
  invertt (n, a) = (n, invert a)

lemma singleton-invertt: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[invertt n]]) = -
packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[n]])
  apply(simp add: to-packet-set-def packet-set-intersect.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(case-tac n, rename-tac m a)
  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac a)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply safe
done

lemma packet-set-to-set-map-singleton-invertt:
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet (map (( $\lambda a.$  [a])  $\circ$  invertt) d)) = - ( $\bigcap a \in \text{set}$ 
d. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (PacketSet [[a]]))
  apply(induction d)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
  apply(simp add: )
  apply(subst(1) packet-set-cons)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-map-singleton singleton-invertt)

```

done

```
fun packet-set-not-internal :: ('a negation-type × action negation-type) list list
⇒ ('a negation-type × action negation-type) list list where
  packet-set-not-internal [] = [[]] |
  packet-set-not-internal (ns#nss) = listprepend (map invertt ns) (packet-set-not-internal
nss)
```

```
lemma packet-set-not-internal-length: length (packet-set-not-internal ass) =
listprod ([length n. n <- ass])
by(induction ass) (simp-all add: listprepend-length)
```

```
lemma packet-set-not-internal-correct: packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet (packet-set-not-internal
d)) = - packet-set-to-set γ (PacketSet d)
apply(induction d)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(rename-tac d ds)
apply(simp add: )
apply(simp add: listprepend-correct)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-map-singleton-invertt)
apply(simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt)
by blast
```

```
fun packet-set-not :: 'a packet-set ⇒ 'a packet-set where
  packet-set-not (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (packet-set-not-internal ps)
declare packet-set-not.simps[simp del]
```

The length of the result of *packet-set-not* is the multiplication over the length of all the inner sets. Warning: gets huge! See *length (packet-set-not-internal ?ass) = listprod (map length ?ass)*

```
lemma packet-set-not-correct: packet-set-to-set γ (packet-set-not P) = - packet-set-to-set
γ P
apply(cases P)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: packet-set-not.simps)
apply(simp add: packet-set-not-internal-correct)
done
```

18.0.2 Derived Operations

```
definition packet-set-constrain :: action ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ 'a packet-set ⇒ 'a
packet-set where
  packet-set-constrain a m ns = packet-set-intersect ns (to-packet-set a m)
```

```
theorem packet-set-constrain-correct: packet-set-to-set γ (packet-set-constrain a
m P) = {p ∈ packet-set-to-set γ P. matches γ m a p}
unfolding packet-set-constrain-def
unfolding packet-set-intersect-intersect
unfolding to-packet-set-set
```


by blast

Warning: result gets huge

definition *packet-set-constrain-not* :: *action* \Rightarrow '*a match-expr* \Rightarrow '*a packet-set*
 \Rightarrow '*a packet-set* **where**
packet-set-constrain-not *a m ns* = *packet-set-intersect ns (packet-set-not (to-packet-set a m))*

theorem *packet-set-constrain-not-correct*: *packet-set-to-set* γ (*packet-set-constrain-not a m P*) = $\{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set } \gamma P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma m a p\}$
unfolding *packet-set-constrain-not-def*
unfolding *packet-set-intersect-intersect*
unfolding *packet-set-not-correct*
unfolding *to-packet-set-set*
 by blast

18.0.3 Optimizing

fun *packet-set-opt1* :: '*a packet-set* \Rightarrow '*a packet-set* **where**
packet-set-opt1 (*PacketSet ps*) = *PacketSet (map remdups (remdups ps))*
declare *packet-set-opt1.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *packet-set-opt1-correct*: *packet-set-to-set* γ (*packet-set-opt1 ps*) = *packet-set-to-set* γps
 by (cases ps) (simp add: *packet-set-to-set-alt packet-set-opt1.simps*)

fun *packet-set-opt2-internal* :: (('a negation-type \times action negation-type) list) list
 \Rightarrow (('a negation-type \times action negation-type) list) list **where**
packet-set-opt2-internal [] = [] |

packet-set-opt2-internal ([_#ps]) = [[]] |

packet-set-opt2-internal (as#ps) = as# (if length as \leq 5 then *packet-set-opt2-internal* (filter ($\lambda ass. \neg \text{set as} \subseteq \text{set ass}$) ps)) else *packet-set-opt2-internal* ps)

lemma *packet-set-opt2-internal-correct*: *packet-set-to-set* γ (*PacketSet (packet-set-opt2-internal ps)*) = *packet-set-to-set* γ (*PacketSet ps*)
apply(*induction ps rule:packet-set-opt2-internal.induct*)
apply(*simp-all add: packet-set-UNIV*)
apply(*simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt*)
apply(*simp add: packet-set-to-set-alt*)
apply(*safe*)[1]
apply(*simp-all*)

```

apply blast+

done

export-code packet-set-opt2-internal in SML

fun packet-set-opt2 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt2 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (packet-set-opt2-internal ps)
declare packet-set-opt2.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-opt2-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt2 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-opt2.simps packet-set-opt2-internal-correct)

If we sort by length, we will hopefully get better results when applying
packet-set-opt2.

fun packet-set-opt3 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt3 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (sort-key ( $\lambda p.$  length p) ps)
declare packet-set-opt3.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-opt3-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt3 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-opt3.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)

fun packet-set-opt4-internal-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type)
list)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  packet-set-opt4-internal-internal cs = ( $\forall$  (m, a)  $\in$  set cs. (m, invert a)  $\notin$  set
cs)
fun packet-set-opt4 :: 'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-opt4 (PacketSet ps) = PacketSet (filter packet-set-opt4-internal-internal
ps)
declare packet-set-opt4.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-opt4-internal-internal-helper: assumes
   $\forall m a. (m, a) \in \text{set } xb \longrightarrow \text{get-action-sign } a \text{ (matches } \gamma \text{ (negation-type-to-match-expr } m) \text{ (get-action } a) \text{ } xa)$ 
shows  $\forall (m, a) \in \text{set } xb. (m, \text{invert } a) \notin \text{set } xb$ 
proof(clarify)
  fix a b
  assume a1: (a, b)  $\in$  set xb and a2: (a, invert b)  $\in$  set xb
  from assms a1 have 1: get-action-sign b (matches  $\gamma$  (negation-type-to-match-expr
a) (get-action b) xa) by simp
  from assms a2 have 2: get-action-sign (invert b) (matches  $\gamma$  (negation-type-to-match-expr
a) (get-action (invert b)) xa) by simp
  from 1 2 show False
  by(cases b) (simp-all)
qed
lemma packet-set-opt4-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-opt4 ps) = packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  ps

```

```

apply(cases ps, clarify)
apply(simp add: packet-set-opt4.simps packet-set-to-set-alt)
apply(rule)
  apply blast
apply(clarify)
apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=xb in exI)
apply(simp)
using packet-set-opt4-internal-internal-helper by fast

```

definition *packet-set-opt* :: 'a packet-set \Rightarrow 'a packet-set **where**
packet-set-opt ps = *packet-set-opt1* (*packet-set-opt2* (*packet-set-opt3* (*packet-set-opt4* ps)))

lemma *packet-set-opt-correct*: *packet-set-to-set* γ (*packet-set-opt* ps) = *packet-set-to-set* γ ps
using *packet-set-opt-def* *packet-set-opt2-correct* *packet-set-opt3-correct* *packet-set-opt4-correct* *packet-set-opt1-correct* **by** metis

18.1 Conjunction Normal Form Packet Set

datatype-new 'a packet-set-cnf = *PacketSetCNF* (*packet-set-repr-cnf*: (('a negation-type \times action negation-type) list) list)

lemma $\neg ((a \wedge b) \vee (c \wedge d)) \longleftrightarrow (\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge (\neg c \vee \neg d)$ **by** blast
lemma $\neg ((a \vee b) \wedge (c \vee d)) \longleftrightarrow (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg c \wedge \neg d)$ **by** blast

definition *packet-set-cnf-to-set* :: ('a, 'packet) match-tac \Rightarrow 'a packet-set-cnf \Rightarrow 'packet set **where**
packet-set-cnf-to-set γ ps $\equiv (\bigcap ms \in \text{set } (\text{packet-set-repr-cnf } ps).$
 $(\bigcup (m, a) \in \text{set } ms. \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a (\text{matches } \gamma (\text{negation-type-to-match-expr } m) (\text{get-action } a) p)\}))$

Inverting a 'a packet-set and returning 'a packet-set-cnf is very efficient!

fun *packet-set-not-to-cnf* :: 'a packet-set \Rightarrow 'a packet-set-cnf **where**
packet-set-not-to-cnf (*PacketSet* ps) = *PacketSetCNF* (map ($\lambda a. \text{map invertt } a$) ps)
declare *packet-set-not-to-cnf.simps*[simp del]

lemma *helper*: (case invertt x of (m, a) \Rightarrow {p. get-action-sign a (matches γ (negation-type-to-match-expr m) (*Packet-Set-Impl.get-action* a) p)}) =
 $(- (\text{case } x \text{ of } (m, a) \Rightarrow \{p. \text{get-action-sign } a (\text{matches } \gamma (\text{negation-type-to-match-expr } m) (\text{Packet-Set-Impl.get-action } a) p)\}))$
apply(case-tac x)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac b)
apply(simp-all)

```

    apply safe
  done
lemma packet-set-not-to-cnf-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-not-to-cnf
P) =  $\neg$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P
  apply (cases P)
  apply (simp add: packet-set-not-to-cnf.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-to-set-alt2)
  apply (subst helper)
  by simp

fun packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSet (map ( $\lambda a$ . map in-
vertt a) ps)
  declare packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf-correct: packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf
P) =  $\neg$  packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P
  apply (cases P)
  apply (simp add: packet-set-cnf-not-to-dnf.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-to-set-alt2)
  apply (subst helper)
  by simp

```

Also, intersection is highly efficient in CNF

```

fun packet-set-cnf-intersect :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf
where
  packet-set-cnf-intersect (PacketSetCNF ps1) (PacketSetCNF ps2) = Packet-
SetCNF (ps1 @ ps2)
  declare packet-set-cnf-intersect.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-cnf-intersect-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-intersect
P1 P2) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P1  $\cap$  packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  P2
  apply (case-tac P1)
  apply (case-tac P2)
  apply (simp add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-cnf-intersect.simps)
  apply (safe)
  apply (simp-all)
  done

```

Optimizing

```

fun packet-set-cnf-opt1 :: 'a packet-set-cnf  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt1 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (map remdups (remdups
ps))
  declare packet-set-cnf-opt1.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt1-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  (packet-set-cnf-opt1
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set  $\gamma$  ps
  by (cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def packet-set-cnf-opt1.simps)

fun packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal :: (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list)
list  $\Rightarrow$  (('a negation-type  $\times$  action negation-type) list) list where

```

```

    packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal [] = [] |
    packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal ([#ps]) = [[]] |

    packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal (as#ps) = (as#(filter (λass. ¬ set as ⊆ set ass)
ps))

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set γ (PacketSetCNF
(packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal ps)) = packet-set-cnf-to-set γ (PacketSetCNF ps)
  apply(induction ps rule:packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: packet-set-cnf-to-set-def)
  by blast
fun packet-set-cnf-opt2 :: 'a packet-set-cnf ⇒ 'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt2 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal
ps)
declare packet-set-cnf-opt2.simps[simp del]

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt2-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set γ (packet-set-cnf-opt2
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set γ ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-opt2.simps packet-set-cnf-opt2-internal-correct)

fun packet-set-cnf-opt3 :: 'a packet-set-cnf ⇒ 'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt3 (PacketSetCNF ps) = PacketSetCNF (sort-key (λp. length
p) ps)
declare packet-set-cnf-opt3.simps[simp del]
lemma packet-set-cnf-opt3-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set γ (packet-set-cnf-opt3
ps) = packet-set-cnf-to-set γ ps
  by(cases ps) (simp add: packet-set-cnf-opt3.simps packet-set-cnf-to-set-def)

definition packet-set-cnf-opt :: 'a packet-set-cnf ⇒ 'a packet-set-cnf where
  packet-set-cnf-opt ps = packet-set-cnf-opt1 (packet-set-cnf-opt2 (packet-set-cnf-opt3
(ps)))

lemma packet-set-cnf-opt-correct: packet-set-cnf-to-set γ (packet-set-cnf-opt ps)
= packet-set-cnf-to-set γ ps
  using packet-set-cnf-opt-def packet-set-cnf-opt2-correct packet-set-cnf-opt3-correct
packet-set-cnf-opt1-correct by metis

hide-const (open) get-action get-action-sign packet-set-repr packet-set-repr-cnf

end
theory Optimizing
imports Semantics-Ternary Packet-Set-Impl
begin

```

19 Optimizing

19.1 Removing Shadowed Rules

Assumes: *simple-ruleset*

```
fun rmshadow :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rmshadow - [] - = [] |
  rmshadow  $\gamma$  ((Rule m a)#rs) P = (if ( $\forall p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m a p}$ )
    then
      rmshadow  $\gamma$  rs P
    else
      (Rule m a) # (rmshadow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ m a p}$ }))
```

19.1.1 Soundness

```
lemma rmshadow-sound:
  simple-ruleset rs  $\Longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\Longrightarrow$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (rmshadow  $\gamma$ 
rs P) = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  let ?fw=approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  — firewall semantics
  let ?rm=rmshadow  $\gamma$ 
  let ?match=matches  $\gamma$  (get-match r) (get-action r)
  let ?set={p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  ?match p}
  from Cons.IH Cons.prem have IH: ?fw p (?rm rs P) = ?fw p rs by (simp
add: simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.IH[of ?set] Cons.prem have IH': p  $\in$  ?set  $\Longrightarrow$  ?fw p (?rm rs ?set)
= ?fw p rs by (simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons show ?case
  proof(cases  $\forall p \in P. \neg$  ?match p) — the if-condition of rmshadow
  case True
    from True have 1: ?rm (r#rs) P = ?rm rs P
    apply(cases r)
    apply(rename-tac m a)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(simp)
    done
  from True Cons.prem have ?fw p (r # rs) = ?fw p rs
  apply(cases r)
  apply(rename-tac m a)
  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(rename-tac s)
  apply(case-tac s)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  done
```

```

    from this IH have  $?fw\ p\ (?rm\ rs\ P) = ?fw\ p\ (r\ \#rs)$  by simp
    thus  $?fw\ p\ (?rm\ (r\ \#rs)\ P) = ?fw\ p\ (r\ \#rs)$  using 1 by simp
next
case False — else
  have  $?fw\ p\ (r\ \# (\?rm\ rs\ ?set)) = ?fw\ p\ (r\ \# rs)$ 
  proof(cases  $p \in ?set$ )
    case True
      from True IH' show  $?fw\ p\ (r\ \# (\?rm\ rs\ ?set)) = ?fw\ p\ (r\ \#rs)$ 
      apply(cases r)
      apply(rename-tac m a)
      apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
      apply(clarify)
      apply(rename-tac s)
      apply(case-tac s)
      apply(simp)
      apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
      done
    next
    case False
      from False Cons.prems have  $?match\ p$  by simp
      from Cons.prems have  $get\ action\ r = Accept \vee get\ action\ r = Drop$ 
by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
    from this ( $?match\ p$ ) show  $?fw\ p\ (r\ \# (\?rm\ rs\ ?set)) = ?fw\ p\ (r\ \#rs)$ 
    apply(cases r)
    apply(rename-tac m a)
    apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(rename-tac s)
    apply(case-tac s)
    apply(simp split:action.split)
    apply fast
    apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
    done
  qed
from False this show  $?thesis$ 
  apply(cases r)
  apply(rename-tac m a)
  apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(rename-tac s)
  apply(case-tac s)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  done
qed
qed

```

```

fun rmMatchFalse :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list where
  rmMatchFalse [] = [] |
  rmMatchFalse ((Rule (MatchNot MatchAny) -)#rs) = rmMatchFalse rs |
  rmMatchFalse (r#rs) = r # rmMatchFalse rs

lemma rmMatchFalse-helper:  $m \neq \text{MatchNot MatchAny} \implies (\text{rmMatchFalse } (\text{Rule } m \ a \ \# \ rs)) = \text{Rule } m \ a \ \# (\text{rmMatchFalse } rs)$ 
  apply(case-tac m)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(rename-tac match-expr)
  apply(case-tac match-expr)
  apply(simp-all)
done

lemma rmMatchFalse-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \ p \ (\text{rmMatchFalse } rs)$ 
 $s = \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \ p \ rs \ s$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma \ p \ rs \ s$  rule: approximating-bigstep-fun-induct)
    apply(simp)
    apply (metis Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
  apply(case-tac  $m = \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$ )
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: rmMatchFalse-helper)
  apply(subgoal-tac  $m \neq \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$ )
  apply(drule-tac  $a=a$  and  $rs=rs$  in rmMatchFalse-helper)
  apply(simp split:action.split)
  apply(thin-tac  $a = ?x \implies ?y$ )
  apply(thin-tac  $a = ?x \implies ?y$ )
  by (metis bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(3) surj-pair)

end
theory IPSpace-Operations
imports Negation-Type ../Bitmagic/Numberwang-Ln ../Primitive-Matchers/IPSpace-Syntax
  ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr
begin

definition intersect-netmask-empty ::  $\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  intersect-netmask-empty base1 m1 base2 m2  $\equiv$ 
  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base1) m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask
  (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base2) m2 = {}

fun ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ::  $\text{ipt-ipv4range} \Rightarrow 32 \text{ bitrange}$  where
  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range (Ip4AddrNetmask pre len) =

```


$$\text{ipv4range-range } (((\text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } pre) \text{ AND } ((\text{mask } len) << (32 - len)))) \mid ((\text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } pre) \text{ OR } (\text{mask } (32 - len))) \mid$$

$$\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ ip}) = \text{ipv4range-single } (\text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } ip)$$

lemma *ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*:

$$\text{ipv4range-to-set } (\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ Netmask } base \ m)) =$$

$$\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } (\text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } base) \ m$$

$$\text{ipv4range-to-set } (\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ ip})) = \{ \text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } ip \}$$

by(*simp-all add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-alt ipv4range-range-set-eq ipv4range-single-set-eq*)

declare *ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range.simps*[*simp del*]

definition *intersect-netmask-empty-executable* $\equiv (\lambda \text{ base1 } m1 \text{ base2 } m2. \text{ipv4range-empty } ($

$$\text{ipv4range-intersection}$$

$$(\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ Netmask } base1 \ m1)))$$

$$(\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ Netmask } base2 \ m2))))$$

lemma [*code*]: *intersect-netmask-empty* = *intersect-netmask-empty-executable*

apply (*rule ext*) +

unfolding *intersect-netmask-empty-def intersect-netmask-empty-executable-def*

apply(*simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)

done

export-code *intersect-netmask-empty* **in** *SML*

definition *subset-netmask* $:: \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\text{subset-netmask } base1 \ m1 \ base2 \ m2 \equiv$$

$$\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } (\text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } base1) \ m1 \subseteq \text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask } (\text{ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal } base2) \ m2$$

definition *subset-netmask-executable* $:: \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\text{subset-netmask-executable} \equiv (\lambda \text{ base1 } m1 \text{ base2 } m2. \text{ipv4range-subset}$$

$$(\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ Netmask } base1 \ m1)))$$

$$(\text{ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range } (Ip4Addr \text{ Netmask } base2 \ m2))))$$

lemma [*code*]: *subset-netmask* = *subset-netmask-executable*

```

apply(simp only: fun-eq-iff, intro allI)
unfolding subset-netmask-def subset-netmask-executable-def
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps)
done

```

```

fun intersect-ips :: ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range option where
  intersect-ips (Ip4Addr ip) (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) =
    (if (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)  $\in$  (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
base) m)
    then
      Some (Ip4Addr ip)
    else
      None) |
  intersect-ips (Ip4AddrNetmask base m) (Ip4Addr ip) =
    (if (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip)  $\in$  (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
base) m)
    then
      Some (Ip4Addr ip)
    else
      None) |
  intersect-ips (Ip4Addr ip1) (Ip4Addr ip2) =
    (if (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip2 = ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip1 (*there might
be overflows if someone uses values > 256*))
    then
      Some (Ip4Addr ip1)
    else
      None) |
  intersect-ips (Ip4AddrNetmask base1 m1) (Ip4AddrNetmask base2 m2) =
    (if (*ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base1) m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask
(ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal base2) m2 = { }*)
    intersect-netmask-empty base1 m1 base2 m2
    then
      None
    else if (*m1  $\geq$  m2*) (*maybe use executable subset check to make proofs
easier?*)
      subset-netmask base1 m1 base2 m2
    then
      Some (Ip4AddrNetmask base1 m1) (*andersrum?*)
    else if subset-netmask base2 m2 base1 m1 then
      Some (Ip4AddrNetmask base2 m2)
    else
      None (*cannot happen, one must be subset of each other*)

```

```

export-code intersect-ips in SML

```

```

lemma  $\neg$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2  $\subseteq$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1
 $\longrightarrow$ 
   $\neg$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1  $\subseteq$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2  $\longrightarrow$ 
  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b1 m1  $\cap$  ipv4range-set-from-bitmask b2 m2 = {}
using ipv4range-bitmask-intersect by auto

```

```

lemma intersect-ips-None: intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$ 
(ipv4s-to-set ip2) = {}
apply(induction ip1 ip2 rule: intersect-ips.induct)
apply(simp-all add: intersect-netmask-empty-def subset-netmask-def ipv4range-bitmask-intersect)
done

```

```

lemma intersect-ips-Some: intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some X  $\implies$  (ipv4s-to-set ip1)
 $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2) = ipv4s-to-set X
apply(induction ip1 ip2 rule: intersect-ips.induct)
apply(case-tac [!] X)
apply(auto simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps
intersect-netmask-empty-def subset-netmask-def split: split-if-asm)
done

```

The other direction does not directly hold. Someone might enter some invalid ips.

```

lemma intersect-ips-Some2: (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2) = ipv4s-to-set
X  $\implies \exists Y$ . intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some Y  $\wedge$  ipv4s-to-set X = ipv4s-to-set Y
proof -
  assume a: (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2) = ipv4s-to-set X
  hence (ipv4s-to-set ip1)  $\cap$  (ipv4s-to-set ip2)  $\neq$  {} by(simp add: ipv4s-to-set-nonempty)
  with a have ipv4s-to-set X  $\neq$  {} by(simp add: intersect-ips-None)
  with a have intersect-ips ip1 ip2  $\neq$  None by(simp add: intersect-ips-None)
  from this obtain Y where intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some Y by blast
  with a intersect-ips-Some have intersect-ips ip1 ip2 = Some Y  $\wedge$  ipv4s-to-set
X = ipv4s-to-set Y by simp
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

fun compress-pos-ips :: ipt-ipv4range list  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range option where
  compress-pos-ips [] = Some (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0) |
  compress-pos-ips [ip] = Some ip |
  compress-pos-ips (a#b#cs) = (
    case intersect-ips a b of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  compress-pos-ips (x#cs)
  )

```

```

lemma compress-pos-ips-None: compress-pos-ips ips = None  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\bigcap$  (ipv4s-to-set
‘ set ips) = {}
  apply(induction ips rule: compress-pos-ips.induct)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp add: ipv4s-to-set-nonempty)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: option.split)
  apply(simp add: intersect-ips-None)
  using intersect-ips-Some by blast

```

```

lemma compress-pos-ips-Some: compress-pos-ips ips = Some X  $\implies$   $\bigcap$  (ipv4s-to-set
‘ set ips) = ipv4s-to-set X
  apply(induction ips rule: compress-pos-ips.induct)
    apply(simp)
    apply(auto simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-0)[1]
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: option.split-asm)
by (metis Int-assoc intersect-ips-Some)

```

```

fun collect-to-range :: ipt-ipv4range list  $\Rightarrow$  32 bitrange where
  collect-to-range [] = Empty-Bitrage |
  collect-to-range (r#rs) = RangeUnion (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range
r) (collect-to-range rs)

```

```

fun compress-ips :: ipt-ipv4range negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-ipv4range negation-type
list option where
  compress-ips l = (if (getPos l) = [] then Some l (*fix not to introduce (Ip4AddrNetmask
(0,0,0,0) 0), only return the negative list*)
    else
      (case compress-pos-ips (getPos l)
        of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
         | Some ip  $\Rightarrow$ 
            if ipv4range-empty (ipv4range-setminus (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range
ip) (collect-to-range (getNeg l)))
              (*  $\bigcap$  pos -  $\bigcup$  neg = {}*)
              then
                None
              else Some (Pos ip # map Neg (getNeg l))
            ))

```

export-code *compress-ips* in *SML*

lemma *ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range*:
ipv4range-to-set (ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range a) = ipv4s-to-set
a
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all add:ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)
done

lemma *ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range*: *ipv4range-to-set (collect-to-range ips) =*
($\bigcup x \in \text{set } ips. \text{ipv4s-to-set } x$)
apply(*induction ips*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-def*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-def*)
by (*metis ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-def*)

lemma *compress-ips-None*: *getPos ips $\neq \square \implies \text{compress-ips ips} = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\bigcap$*
(ipv4s-to-set ‘ set (getPos ips))) - ($\bigcup (ipv4s-to-set ‘ set (getNeg ips)) = \{\}$)
apply(*simp split: split-if*)
apply(*simp*)

apply(*simp split: option.split*)
apply(*intro conjI impI allI*)
apply(*simp add: compress-pos-ips-None*)
apply(*rename-tac a*)
apply(*frule compress-pos-ips-Some*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)
apply(*rename-tac a*)
apply(*frule compress-pos-ips-Some*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)
apply(*simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range-simps*)
done

lemma *compress-ips-emptyPos*: *getPos ips = $\square \implies \text{compress-ips ips} = \text{Some ips}$*
 $\wedge \text{ips} = \text{map Neg (getNeg ips)}$
apply(*simp only: compress-ips.simps split: split-if*)
apply(*intro conjI impI*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*induction ips*)
apply(*simp-all*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all*)

done

end

theory *Format-Ln*

imports *Negation-Type-Matching* *../Bitmagic/Numberwang-Ln* *../Primitive-Matchers/IPSpace-Syntax*
../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr

begin

20 iptables LN formatting

Produce output as produced by the command: iptables -L -n

Example

```
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
STATEFUL   all  --  0.0.0.0/0              0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT     all  --  0.0.0.0/0              0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT     icmp --  0.0.0.0/0              0.0.0.0/0          icmptype 3
...
```

datatype *match-Ln-uncompressed* = *UncompressedFormattedMatch*

ipt-ipv4range negation-type list

ipt-ipv4range negation-type list

ipt-protocol negation-type list

string negation-type list

fun *UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* :: *match-Ln-uncompressed* \Rightarrow *iptrule-match*
match-expr **where**

UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (*UncompressedFormattedMatch* *src*
dst *proto* *extra*) =

MatchAnd (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map* *Src* *src*)) (*MatchAnd* (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map*
Dst *dst*)) (*MatchAnd* (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map* *Prot* *proto*)) (*alist-and* (*NegPos-map*
Extra *extra*))))))

append

fun *match-Ln-uncompressed-append* :: *match-Ln-uncompressed* \Rightarrow *match-Ln-uncompressed*
 \Rightarrow *match-Ln-uncompressed* **where**

match-Ln-uncompressed-append (*UncompressedFormattedMatch* *src1* *dst1* *proto1*
extra1) (*UncompressedFormattedMatch* *src2* *dst2* *proto2* *extra2*) =

UncompressedFormattedMatch (*src1*@*src2*) (*dst1*@*dst2*) (*proto1*@*proto2*)
(*extra1*@*extra2*)

lemma *matches-match-Ln-uncompressed-append*: *matches* γ (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr*
(*match-Ln-uncompressed-append* *fmt1* *fmt2*)) *a* *p* \longleftrightarrow

```

    matches  $\gamma$  (MatchAnd (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr fmt1)
(UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr fmt2)) a p
  apply(case-tac fmt1)
  apply(case-tac fmt2)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: alist-and-append NegPos-map-append bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
  by fastforce

```

assumes: *normalized-match*

```

fun iptrule-match-collect :: iptrule-match match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed
 $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed where
  iptrule-match-collect MatchAny accu = accu |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Src ip)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch ((Pos ip)#src) dst proto extra |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Dst ip)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src ((Pos ip)#dst) proto extra |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Prot p)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst ((Pos p)#proto) extra |
  iptrule-match-collect (Match (Extra e)) (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst
proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto ((Pos e)#extra) |
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Src ip))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch ((Neg ip)#src) dst proto extra
|
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Dst ip))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src ((Neg ip)#dst) proto extra
|
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Prot p))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst ((Neg p)#proto) extra
|
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchNot (Match (Extra e))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch
src dst proto extra) = UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto ((Neg e)#extra)
|
  iptrule-match-collect (MatchAnd m1 m2) fmt =
    match-Ln-uncompressed-append (iptrule-match-collect m1 fmt)
    (match-Ln-uncompressed-append (iptrule-match-collect m2 fmt) fmt)

```

We can express *iptrule-match-collect* with *primitive-extractor*. Latter is more elegant. We keep the definition of *iptrule-match-collect* to show explicitly what we are doing here.

```

lemma iptrule-match-collect-by-primitive-extractor: normalized-match m  $\Rightarrow$  iptrule-match-collect
m (UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] []) = (
  let (srcs, m') = primitive-extractor (is-Src, src-range) m;
  (dsts, m'') = primitive-extractor (is-Dst, dst-range) m';
  (protos, m''') = primitive-extractor (is-Prot, prot-sel) m'';
  (extras, -) = primitive-extractor (is-Extra, extra-sel) m'''
  in UncompressedFormattedMatch srcs dsts protos extras
)
apply(induction m UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] rule: iptrule-match-collect.induct)

```

```

apply(simp-all)
apply(simp add: split: split-split-asm split-split)
done

```

Example

```

lemma iptrule-match-collect (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0, 0, 0, 0) 8))) (Match (Prot ProtTCP))) (UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] []) =
  UncompressedFormattedMatch [Pos (Ip4AddrNetmask (0, 0, 0, 0) 8)] [] [Pos ProtTCP] [] by eval

```

The empty *UncompressedFormattedMatch* always match

```

lemma matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] [])) a p
by(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

lemma UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr-correct: assumes normalized-match m and matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr accu) a p shows
  matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (iptrule-match-collect m accu)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p

```

```

using assms apply (induction m accu arbitrary: rule: iptrule-match-collect.induct)

```

```

  apply(case-tac [!] $\gamma$ )

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply (simp add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply(simp add: matches-match-Ln-uncompressed-append bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```

```

  apply(simp-all) —  $\neg$  normalized-match

```

```

done

```

```

definition format-Ln-match :: iptrule-match match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed

```

```

where

```

```

  format-Ln-match m  $\equiv$  iptrule-match-collect m (UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] [] [])

```

```

corollary format-Ln-match-correct: normalized-match m  $\Longrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (format-Ln-match m)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches  $\gamma$  m a p

```

```

unfolding format-Ln-match-def

```

```

apply(rule UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr-correct)

```

```

  apply(assumption)

```

```

by(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)

```


We can also show the previous corollary by the correctness of *primitive-extractor*

corollary *normalized-match* $m \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (format-Ln-match } m)) \text{ } a \text{ } p \longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$

proof –

```

{fix yc
  have normalized-match yc  $\implies \neg \text{has-disc is-Dst } yc \implies \neg \text{has-disc is-Prot } yc$ 
 $\implies \neg \text{has-disc is-Extra } yc \implies \neg \text{has-disc is-Src } yc \implies \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } yc \text{ } a \text{ } p$ 
  apply(induction yc)
  apply(simp-all add:bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
  apply(case-tac aa)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(case-tac yc)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(case-tac aa)
  apply(simp-all)
  done
} note yc-exhaust=this
assume normalized: normalized-match m
{fix asrc msrc adst mdst aprot mprot aextra mextra
from normalized have
  primitive-extractor (is-Extra, extra-sel) mprot = (aextra, mextra)  $\implies$ 
  primitive-extractor (is-Prot, prot-sel) mdst = (aprot, mprot)  $\implies$ 
  primitive-extractor (is-Dst, dst-range) msrc = (adst, mdst)  $\implies$ 
  primitive-extractor (is-Src, src-range) m = (asrc, msrc)  $\implies$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Src asrc)) a p  $\wedge$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst adst)) a p  $\wedge$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot aprot)) a p  $\wedge$ 
  matches  $\gamma$  (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra aextra)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow \text{matches } \gamma \text{ } m \text{ } a \text{ } p$ 
  apply –
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(1)])
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(2)])
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matchesE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(3)])
  apply(erule(1) primitive-extractor-matches-lastE[OF wf-disc-sel-iptrule-match(4)])
  using yc-exhaust by metis
}
thus ?thesis
  unfolding format-Ln-match-def
  unfolding iptrule-match-collect-by-primitive-extractor[OF normalized]
  by(simp split: split-split add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches(1))
qed

```

lemma *format-Ln-match-correct'*: $\forall m' \in \text{set } ms. \text{normalized-match } m' \implies$

approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ (map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ } a) \text{ (map } (\lambda m'. \text{UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr (format-Ln-match } m')) \text{ } ms)) \text{ } s =$

approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \text{ } p \text{ (map } (\lambda m. \text{Rule } m \text{ } a) \text{ } ms) \text{ } s$

apply(rule match-list-semantics)

apply(induction ms)

apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp*)
by (*metis* *format-Ln-match-correct*)

definition *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* :: *iptrule-match rule list* \Rightarrow (*match-Ln-uncompressed* \times *action*) *list* **where**
format-Ln-rules-uncompressed *rs* = [(*format-Ln-match* (*get-match* *r*)), (*get-action* *r*)). *r* \leftarrow (*normalize-rules* *rs*)]

definition *Ln-rules-to-rule* :: (*match-Ln-uncompressed* \times *action*) *list* \Rightarrow *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
Ln-rules-to-rule *rs* = [case *r* of (*m*,*a*) \Rightarrow *Rule* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* *m*) a. *r* \leftarrow *rs*]

lemma *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-correct*: *good-ruleset* *rs* \Longrightarrow
approximating-bigstep-fun γ *p* (*Ln-rules-to-rule* (*format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* *rs*)) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* *rs* *s*
proof(*induction* *rs*)
case *Nil* **thus** ?case **by**(*simp* add: *Ln-rules-to-rule-def* *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-def*)
next
case (*Cons* *r* *rs*)
from *Cons.IH* *Cons.prem*s *good-ruleset-tail* **have** *IH*: *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*Ln-rules-to-rule* (*format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* *rs*)) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* *rs* *s*
by *blast*

have *map-uncompressedmapping-simp*: $\bigwedge ms$ a. (*map* (($\lambda(m, y)$. *Rule* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* *m*) *y*) \circ (λr . (*format-Ln-match* (*get-match* *r*), *get-action* *r*)) \circ (λm . *Rule* *m* *a*))) *ms*) =
(*map* (λm . *Rule* *m* *a*) (*map* ($\lambda m'$. *UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* (*format-Ln-match* *m'*)) *ms*)) **by**(*simp*)

let ?*rsA*=*map* (($\lambda(m, y)$. *Rule* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* *m*) *y*) \circ (λr . (*format-Ln-match* (*get-match* *r*), *get-action* *r*))) (*normalize-rules* [*r*])
let ?*rsC*=*map* (($\lambda(m, y)$. *Rule* (*UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr* *m*) *y*) \circ (λr . (*format-Ln-match* (*get-match* *r*), *get-action* *r*))) (*normalize-rules* *rs*)
from *approximating-bigstep-fun-wf-postpend*[**where** *rsB*=[*r*], *simplified*] **have** *subst-rule*:
 $\bigwedge rsA$ *rsC*. *wf-ruleset* γ *p* *rsA* \Longrightarrow *wf-ruleset* γ *p* [*r*] \Longrightarrow
approximating-bigstep-fun γ *p* *rsA* *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* [*r*] *s* \Longrightarrow
approximating-bigstep-fun γ *p* (*rsA* @ *rsC*) *s* = *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*r* # *rsC*) *s*
by *fast*

have *approximating-bigstep-fun* γ *p* (*Ln-rules-to-rule* (*format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* (*r* # *rs*))) *s* =

```

      approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$ 
      (map (( $\lambda(m, y).$  Rule (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr  $m$ )
 $y$ )  $\circ$  ( $\lambda r.$  (format-Ln-match (get-match  $r$ ), get-action  $r$ ))) (normalize-rules ( $r$  #
 $rs$ )))  $s$ 
    by (simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-def)
    also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $?rsA$  @  $?rsC$ )  $s$  by (subst normalize-rules-fst,
simp)
    also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r$  #  $?rsC$ )  $s$ 
    proof (subst subst-rule)
      from Cons.premis good-ruleset-fst have good-ruleset  $[r]$  by fast
      hence good-ruleset  $?rsA$ 
      apply (cases  $r$ )
      apply (rename-tac  $m$   $a$ , clarify)
      apply (simp add: normalize-rules-singleton)
      apply (drule good-ruleset-normalize-match)
      apply (simp add: good-ruleset-alt)
      done
      thus wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$   $?rsA$  using good-imp-wf-ruleset by fast
    next
      show wf-ruleset  $\gamma$   $p$   $[r]$  using Cons.premis good-ruleset-fst good-imp-wf-ruleset
    by fast
    next
      show approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$   $?rsA$   $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$ 
 $[r]$   $s$ 
      apply (case-tac  $r$ , rename-tac  $m$   $a$ , clarify)
      apply (simp add: normalize-rules-singleton)
      apply (simp add: map-uncompressedmapping-simp)
      apply (subst normalize-match-correct[symmetric])
      apply (subst format-Ln-match-correct'[symmetric])
      apply (simp add: normalized-match-normalize-match)
      apply (simp)
      done
    next
      show approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r$  #  $?rsC$ )  $s$  = approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r$  #  $?rsC$ )  $s$  by blast
    qed
    also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r$  # Ln-rules-to-rule (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
 $rs$ ))  $s$ 
    by (simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-def)
    also have ... = approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$   $p$  ( $r$  #  $rs$ )  $s$  using IH approximating-bigstep-fun-singleton-preper
    by fast
    finally show ?case .
  qed

```

```

fun Ln-uncompressed-matching :: (iprule-match, 'packet) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$ 
'packet  $\Rightarrow$  match-Ln-uncompressed  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto
extra)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Src src))  $\wedge$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Dst dst))  $\wedge$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Prot proto))  $\wedge$ 
    (nt-match-list  $\gamma$  a p (NegPos-map Extra extra))

```

```

declare Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma Ln-uncompressed-matching: Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p m  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches
 $\gamma$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr m) a p
  apply(cases m)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: nt-match-list-matches Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps)
by (metis matches-simp1 matches-simp2)

```

```

lemma Ln-uncompressed-matching-semantics-singleton: Ln-uncompressed-matching
 $\gamma$  a p m1  $\longleftrightarrow$  Ln-uncompressed-matching  $\gamma$  a p m2
 $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (Ln-rules-to-rule [(m1, a)]) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p (Ln-rules-to-rule [(m2, a)]) s
apply(case-tac s)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
apply(clarify)
apply(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def)
apply(simp split: action.split)
apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching)
apply(safe)
done

```

```

end
theory IPSpace-Matcher
imports ../Semantics-Ternary IPSpace-Syntax ../Bitmagic/IPv4Addr ../Unknown-Match-Tacs
begin

```

20.1 Primitive Matchers: IP Space Matcher

```

fun simple-matcher :: (iprule-match, packet) exact-match-tac where
  simple-matcher (Src (Ip4Addr ip)) p = bool-to-ternary (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
ip = src-ip p) |
  simple-matcher (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ip n)) p = bool-to-ternary (src-ip p  $\in$ 
ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip) n) |

```

```

  simple-matcher (Dst (Ip4Addr ip)) p = bool-to-ternary (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
ip = dst-ip p) |
  simple-matcher (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ip n)) p = bool-to-ternary (dst-ip p ∈
ipv4range-set-from-bitmask (ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal ip) n) |

  simple-matcher (Prot ProtAll) - = TernaryTrue |
  simple-matcher (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP) p = bool-to-ternary (prot p = prot-
Packet.ProtTCP) |
  simple-matcher (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP) p = bool-to-ternary (prot p = prot-
Packet.ProtUDP) |

  simple-matcher (Extra -) p = TernaryUnknown

```

```

lemma simple-matcher-simps[simp]:
  simple-matcher (Src ip) p = bool-to-ternary (src-ip p ∈ ipv4s-to-set ip)
  simple-matcher (Dst ip) p = bool-to-ternary (dst-ip p ∈ ipv4s-to-set ip)
apply(case-tac [!]1 ip)
apply(auto)
apply (metis (poly-guards-query) bool-to-ternary-simps(2)) +
done

```

```

declare simple-matcher.simps(1)[simp del]
declare simple-matcher.simps(2)[simp del]
declare simple-matcher.simps(3)[simp del]
declare simple-matcher.simps(4)[simp del]

```

Perform very basic optimizations

```

fun opt-simple-matcher :: iptrule-match match-expr ⇒ iptrule-match match-expr
where
  opt-simple-matcher (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) = MatchAny
  |
  opt-simple-matcher (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) = MatchAny
  |
  opt-simple-matcher (Match (Prot ProtAll)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher (Match m) = Match m |
  opt-simple-matcher (MatchNot m) = (MatchNot (opt-simple-matcher m)) |
  opt-simple-matcher (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (opt-simple-matcher m1)
(opt-simple-matcher m2) |
  opt-simple-matcher MatchAny = MatchAny

```

```

lemma opt-simple-matcher-correct-matchexpr: matches (simple-matcher, α) m =
matches (simple-matcher, α) (opt-simple-matcher m)
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify, rename-tac a p)
apply(rule matches-iff-apply-f)
apply(simp)
apply(induction m rule: opt-simple-matcher.induct)

```

```

    apply(simp-all add: eval-ternary-simps ip-in-ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-UNIV)
  done
corollary opt-simple-matcher-correct: approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,
 $\alpha$ ) p (optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,
 $\alpha$ ) p rs s
using optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher-correct-matchexpr by metis

remove Extra (i.e. TernaryUnknown) match expressions

fun opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra :: action  $\Rightarrow$  iptrule-match match-expr
 $\Rightarrow$  iptrule-match match-expr where
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Reject (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Drop (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra - (Match m) = Match m |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Drop (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchNot MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Reject (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchNot MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m |

  —  $\neg (a \wedge b) = \neg b \vee \neg a$  and  $\neg Unknown = Unknown$ 
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
  (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny  $\vee$ 
    (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
    then MatchAny else
    (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot
      MatchAny then
        opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2) else
        if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
          MatchAny then
            opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot m1) else
            MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a
              (MatchNot m1))) (MatchNot (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchNot
                m2))))))
    ) |
  — For the final else case, we exploit idempotence of not to introduce recursive
  calls

  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra - (MatchNot m) = MatchNot m |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m1) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m2)

```

```

lemma[code-unfold]: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a$  (MatchNot (MatchAnd
 $m1$   $m2$ )) =
  (let  $m1'$  = opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a$  (MatchNot  $m1$ );  $m2'$  =
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a$  (MatchNot  $m2$ ) in
    (if  $m1' = \text{MatchAny} \vee m2' = \text{MatchAny}$ 
      then MatchAny
    else
      if  $m1' = \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$  then  $m2'$  else
      if  $m2' = \text{MatchNot MatchAny}$  then  $m1'$ 
    else
      MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot  $m1'$ ) (MatchNot  $m2'$ )))
  )
by(simp)

```

opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra can be expressed in terms of *remove-unknowns-generic*

lemma assumes $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop}$ **shows** *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* a m = *remove-unknowns-generic* (*simple-matcher*, *in-doubt-allow*) a m

proof –

```

  {fix  $x1$   $x2$   $x3$ 
  have
    ( $\exists p::\text{packet}. \text{bool-to-ternary } (\text{src-ip } p \in \text{ipv4s-to-set } x1) \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
    ( $\exists p::\text{packet}. \text{bool-to-ternary } (\text{dst-ip } p \in \text{ipv4s-to-set } x2) \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
    ( $\exists p::\text{packet}. \text{simple-matcher } (\text{Prot } x3) p \neq \text{TernaryUnknown}$ )
    apply –
    apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
    apply(case-tac  $x3$ )
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(rule-tac  $x = \langle \text{src-ip} = X, \text{dst-ip} = Y, \text{prot} = \text{protPacket.ProtTCP} \rangle$ )
  in exI, simp)
    apply(rule-tac  $x = \langle \text{src-ip} = X, \text{dst-ip} = Y, \text{prot} = \text{protPacket.ProtUDP} \rangle$ )
  in exI, simp)
  done
} note simple-matcher-packet-exists=this
{fix  $\gamma$ 
  have  $a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \implies \gamma = (\text{simple-matcher}, \text{in-doubt-allow}) \implies$ 
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra  $a$   $m$  = remove-unknowns-generic  $\gamma$   $a$   $m$ 
  apply(induction  $\gamma$   $a$   $m$  rule: remove-unknowns-generic.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(case-tac [!]  $A$ )
  apply(case-tac [!]  $a$ )
  apply(simp-all)
  apply(simp-all add: simple-matcher-packet-exists)
  done
} thus ?thesis using  $\langle a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \rangle$  by simp
qed

```

```

fun has-unknowns :: ('a, 'p) exact-match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a match-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (Match A) = ( $\exists p$ . ternary-ternary-eval (map-match-tac  $\beta$  p
(Match A)) = TernaryUnknown) |
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (MatchNot m) = has-unknowns  $\beta$  m |
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  MatchAny = False |
  has-unknowns  $\beta$  (MatchAnd m1 m2) = (has-unknowns  $\beta$  m1  $\vee$  has-unknowns  $\beta$ 
m2)

```

```

lemma simple-matcher-prot-not-unknown: simple-matcher (Prot v) p  $\neq$  TernaryUnknown
  apply(cases v)
  apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)
done

```

opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra does indeed remove all unknowns

```

theorem opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-specification:
  a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop  $\vee$  a = Reject  $\implies \neg$  has-unknowns simple-matcher
(opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m)
  apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
  apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unknown)
done

```

```

value opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Drop (MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot
MatchAny) (MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny) (Match (Extra "foo"))))))
value opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra Accept ((MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny)
(MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot MatchAny) (Match (Extra "foo"))))))

```

```

lemma eval-ternary-And-UnknownTrue1: eval-ternary-And TernaryUnknown t  $\neq$ 
TernaryTrue
apply(cases t)
apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

lemma matches  $\gamma$  m1 a p = matches  $\gamma$  m2 a p  $\implies$  matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot m1) a
p = matches  $\gamma$  (MatchNot m2) a p
apply(case-tac  $\gamma$ )
apply(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: )
— counterexample: m1 is unknown m2 is true default matches
oops

```

```

lemma opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-correct-matchexpr: matches (simple-matcher,
in-doubt-allow) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra a m) a =
  matches (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) m a
apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)

```



```

apply(rename-tac p)
apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra.induct)
      apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)
apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)

apply safe
apply(simp-all)
done

```

```

corollary opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-correct:
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) p (optimize-matches-a
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra rs) s =
    approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) p rs s
using optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra-correct-matchexpr
by metis

```

```

fun opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra :: action ⇒ iptrule-match match-expr
⇒ iptrule-match match-expr where
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra - MatchAny = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Accept (Match (Extra -)) = MatchNot
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Reject (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Drop (Match (Extra -)) = MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra - (Match m) = Match m |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Reject (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Drop (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra Accept (MatchNot (Match (Extra -))) =
MatchNot MatchAny |
  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot (MatchNot m)) = opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra
a m |

```

```

  opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot (MatchAnd m1 m2)) =
    (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchAny ∨
      (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchAny
      then MatchAny else
      (if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m1)) = MatchNot
        MatchAny then
          opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m2) else
          if (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m2)) = MatchNot
            MatchAny then
              opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot m1) else
              MatchNot (MatchAnd (MatchNot (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a
                (MatchNot m1))) (MatchNot (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchNot
                  m2))))))

```

```

    ) |
    opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra - (MatchNot m) = MatchNot m |
    opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a (MatchAnd m1 m2) = MatchAnd (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m1) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m2)

```

lemma *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-correct-matchexpr*: matches (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m) a = matches (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) m a

apply(simp add: fun-eq-iff, clarify)

apply(rename-tac p)

apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra.induct)

apply(simp-all add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches matches-DeMorgan)

apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple)

apply safe

apply(simp-all)

done

corollary *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-correct*: approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) p (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra rs) s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) p rs s
using optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-correct-matchexpr
by metis

theorem *opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra-specification*:

$a = \text{Accept} \vee a = \text{Drop} \vee a = \text{Reject} \implies \neg \text{has-unknowns simple-matcher (opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra a m)}$

apply(induction a m rule: opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra.induct)

apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown simple-matcher-prot-not-unknown)

done

Lemmas when matching on *Src* or *Dst*

lemma *simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined*: simple-matcher (Src m) p \neq TernaryUnknown simple-matcher (Dst m) p \neq TernaryUnknown

apply(case-tac [!] m)

apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-Unknown)

done

lemma *simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined-simp*:

simple-matcher (Src x) p \neq TernaryFalse \longleftrightarrow simple-matcher (Src x) p = TernaryTrue

simple-matcher (Dst x) p \neq TernaryFalse \longleftrightarrow simple-matcher (Dst x) p = TernaryTrue

apply (metis eval-ternary-Not.cases simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined(1) ternaryvalue.distinct(1))

apply (metis eval-ternary-Not.cases simple-matcher-SrcDst-defined(2) ternaryvalue.distinct(1))

done

lemma *match-simplematcher-SrcDst*:

```

    matches (simple-matcher, α) (Match (Src X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  src-ip p  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set
X
    matches (simple-matcher, α) (Match (Dst X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  dst-ip p  $\in$  ipv4s-to-set
X
    apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)
    apply (metis bool-to-ternary.elims bool-to-ternary-Unknown ternaryvalue.distinct(1))+
    done
lemma match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not:
    matches (simple-matcher, α) (MatchNot (Match (Src X))) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  src-ip p  $\notin$ 
ipv4s-to-set X
    matches (simple-matcher, α) (MatchNot (Match (Dst X))) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  dst-ip p  $\notin$ 
ipv4s-to-set X
    apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)
    apply(case-tac [!]) X
    apply(simp-all add: bool-to-ternary-simps)
    done
lemma simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter:
    ( $\forall m \in \text{set } X. \text{ matches (simple-matcher, } \alpha) (\text{Match (Src } m)) a p \longleftrightarrow \text{src-ip } p \in$ 
( $\bigcap x \in \text{set } X. \text{ ipv4s-to-set } x$ )
    ( $\forall m \in \text{set } X. \text{ matches (simple-matcher, } \alpha) (\text{Match (Dst } m)) a p \longleftrightarrow \text{dst-ip } p \in$ 
( $\bigcap x \in \text{set } X. \text{ ipv4s-to-set } x$ )
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(simp-all add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple bool-to-ternary-Unknown
bool-to-ternary-simps split: ternaryvalue.split)
    done

end
theory IPspace-Format-Ln
imports Format-Ln ../Primitive-Matchers/IPspace-Matcher IPspace-Operations
begin

```

20.2 Formatting

```

lemma ( $\bigcap x \in \text{set } X. \text{ ipv4s-to-set } x = \{\}$ )  $\implies \neg (\forall m \in \text{set } X. \text{ matches (simple-matcher, } \alpha) (\text{Match (Src } m)) a p)$ 
    using simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter by blast

lemma compress-pos-ips-src-None-matching: compress-pos-ips src' = None  $\implies$ 
     $\neg \text{Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, } \alpha) a p (\text{UncompressedFormattedMatch}$ 
( $\text{map Pos src'}$ ) dst proto extra)
    apply(simp add: compress-pos-ips-None)
    apply(unfold Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps)
    apply safe
    apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher, α) a p (NegPos-map Dst dst))
    apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher, α) a p (NegPos-map Prot proto))
    apply(thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher, α) a p (NegPos-map Extra extra))
    apply(simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
    apply(simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)

```

```

using simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter by blast
lemma compress-pos-ips-dst-None-matching: compress-pos-ips dst = None  $\implies$ 
 $\neg$  Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch
src (map Pos dst) proto extra)
  apply (simp add: compress-pos-ips-None)
  apply (unfold Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps)
  apply safe
  apply (thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Src ?x))
  apply (thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Prot proto))
  apply (thin-tac nt-match-list (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (NegPos-map Extra extra))
  apply (simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply (simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  using simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter by blast

```

```

lemma compress-pos-ips-src-Some-matching: compress-pos-ips src' = Some X  $\implies$ 

```

```

  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src [Pos X])) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src (map Pos src')) a p
  apply (drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
  apply (simp only: nt-match-list-matches[symmetric])
  apply safe
  apply (simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply (simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply (rule conjI)
    apply (simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
    apply (simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply (simp add: getNeg-Pos-empty)
  apply (simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply (simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply (simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply (simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
  done

```

```

lemma compress-pos-ips-dst-Some-matching: compress-pos-ips dst' = Some X  $\implies$ 

```

```

  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst [Pos X])) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst (map Pos dst')) a p
  apply (drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
  apply (simp only: nt-match-list-matches[symmetric])
  apply safe
  apply (simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply (simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)
  apply (rule conjI)
    apply (simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter)
    apply (simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply (simp add: getNeg-Pos-empty)
  apply (simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst)
  apply (simp add: nt-match-list-simp)
  apply (simp add: getPos-NegPos-map-simp)

```

apply(*simp add: simple-matcher-SrcDst-Inter*)
done

lemma *Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-subset*: $\text{set } (src') \subseteq \text{set } (src) \implies$
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \ a \ p \ (UncompressedFormattedMatch \ src \ dst \ proto \ extra) \implies$
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \ a \ p \ (UncompressedFormattedMatch \ src' \ dst \ proto \ extra)$
 $\text{set } (dst') \subseteq \text{set } (dst) \implies$
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \ a \ p \ (UncompressedFormattedMatch \ src \ dst \ proto \ extra) \implies$
 $\text{Ln-uncompressed-matching } (simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \ a \ p \ (UncompressedFormattedMatch \ src \ dst' \ proto \ extra)$
apply(*simp-all only: Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps nt-match-list-matches*)
apply(*safe*)
apply(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst ?x)) a*
p)
apply(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x))*
a p)
apply(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x))*
a p)
prefer 2
apply(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src ?x)) a*
p)
apply(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x))*
a p)
apply(*thin-tac matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x))*
a p)
prefer 2
apply(*simp-all add: matches-alist-and*)
apply(*simp-all add: NegPos-map-simps*)
apply(*simp-all add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not*)
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*simp-all add: NegPos-set*)
apply *blast*
apply(*clarify*)
apply(*blast*)
done

lemma *compress-ips-src-None-matching*: $\text{compress-ips } src = None \implies \neg \text{Ln-uncompressed-matching}$
 $(simple\text{-matcher}, \alpha) \ a \ p \ (UncompressedFormattedMatch \ src \ dst \ proto \ extra)$
apply(*case-tac getPos src = []*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp split: option.split-asm*)

```

  apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $a=a$  and  $p=p$  and  $dst=dst$  and  $proto=proto$  and
extra=extra in compress-pos-ips-src-None-matching)
  apply(thin-tac getPos src  $\neq []$ )
  apply(erule HOL.rev-notE)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule-tac src'=(map Pos (getPos src)) and src=src in Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-subset(1))
  prefer 2 apply simp
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: NegPos-set)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
  apply(simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range)
  apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps nt-match-list-matches)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst ?x)) a
p)
  apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x))
a p)
  apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x))
a p)
  apply(simp add: matches-alist-and)
  apply(simp add: NegPos-map-simps)
  apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)
  apply(clarify)
by (metis (erased, hide-lams) INT-iff UN-iff subsetCE)
lemma compress-ips-dst-None-matching: compress-ips dst = None  $\implies \neg$  Ln-uncompressed-matching
(simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) a p (UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto extra)
  apply(case-tac getPos dst = [])
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp split: option.split-asm)
  apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $a=a$  and  $p=p$  and src=src and proto=proto and
extra=extra in compress-pos-ips-dst-None-matching)
  apply(thin-tac getPos dst  $\neq []$ )
  apply(erule HOL.rev-notE)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule-tac dst'=(map Pos (getPos dst)) and dst=dst in Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-subset(2))
  prefer 2 apply simp
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: NegPos-set)
  apply(simp split: split-if-asm)
  apply(drule compress-pos-ips-Some)
  apply(simp add: ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range)
  apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching.simps nt-match-list-matches)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src ?x)) a
p)
  apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Prot ?x))
a p)
  apply(thin-tac matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Extra ?x))
a p)

```

$a\ p)$
apply(simp add: matches-alist-and)
apply(simp add: NegPos-map-simps)
apply(simp add: match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)
apply(clarify)
by (metis (erased, hide-lams) INT-iff UN-iff subsetCE)

lemma Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-eq: matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src X)) $a\ p \longleftrightarrow$ matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src Y)) $a\ p \implies$
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, α) $a\ p$ (UncompressedFormattedMatch X dst proto extra) \longleftrightarrow
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, α) $a\ p$ (UncompressedFormattedMatch Y dst proto extra)
apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching)
by (metis matches-simp11 matches-simp22)

lemma Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-eq: matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src X)) $a\ p \longleftrightarrow$ matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src Y)) $a\ p \implies$
 matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst A)) $a\ p \longleftrightarrow$ matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst B)) $a\ p \implies$
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, α) $a\ p$ (UncompressedFormattedMatch X A proto extra) \longleftrightarrow
 Ln-uncompressed-matching (simple-matcher, α) $a\ p$ (UncompressedFormattedMatch Y B proto extra)
apply(simp add: Ln-uncompressed-matching)
by (metis matches-simp11 matches-simp22)

lemma matches-and-x-any: matches γ (MatchAnd (Match x) MatchAny) $a\ p =$ matches γ (Match x) $a\ p$
apply(case-tac γ)
by(simp add: matches-case-ternaryvalue-tuple split: ternaryvalue.split)

lemma compress-ips-src-Some-matching: compress-ips src = Some X \implies
 matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src X)) $a\ p \longleftrightarrow$ matches (simple-matcher, α) (alist-and (NegPos-map Src src)) $a\ p$
apply(case-tac getPos src = [])
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: option.split-asm split-if-asm)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range)
apply(drule-tac $\alpha=\alpha$ and $a=a$ and $p=p$ in compress-pos-ips-src-Some-matching)
apply(simp add: matches-and-x-any)
apply(simp add: matches-alist-and NegPos-map-simps match-simplematcher-SrcDst match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)

```

apply(safe)
apply(simp-all add: NegPos-map-simps)
done
lemma compress-ips-dst-Some-matching: compress-ips dst = Some X  $\implies$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst X)) a p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches
  (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (alist-and (NegPos-map Dst dst)) a p
apply(case-tac getPos dst = [])
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(simp split: option.split-asm split-if-asm)
apply(simp add: ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-to-executable-ipv4range ipv4range-to-set-collect-to-range)
apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and a=a and p=p in compress-pos-ips-dst-Some-matching)
apply(simp add: matches-and-x-any)
apply(simp add: matches-alist-and NegPos-map-simps match-simplematcher-SrcDst
match-simplematcher-SrcDst-not)
apply(safe)
apply(simp-all add: NegPos-map-simps)
done

```

```

fun compress-Ln-ips :: (match-Ln-uncompressed  $\times$  action) list  $\Rightarrow$  (match-Ln-uncompressed
 $\times$  action) list where
  compress-Ln-ips [] = [] |
  compress-Ln-ips (((UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst proto extra), a)#rs) =
    (case (compress-ips src, compress-ips dst) of
      (None, -)  $\Rightarrow$  compress-Ln-ips rs
    | (-, None)  $\Rightarrow$  compress-Ln-ips rs
    | (Some src', Some dst')  $\Rightarrow$  (UncompressedFormattedMatch src' dst' proto extra,
a)#(compress-Ln-ips rs)
    )

```

```

export-code compress-Ln-ips in SML

```

```

fun compress-prots :: ipt-protocol negation-type list  $\Rightarrow$  ipt-protocol negation-type
option where
  compress-prots [] = Some (Pos ProtAll) |
  compress-prots ((Pos ProtAll)#ps) = compress-prots ps |
  compress-prots ((Neg ProtAll)#-) = None |
  compress-prots ( p # Pos ProtAll # ps) = compress-prots (p#ps)|
  compress-prots ( - # Neg ProtAll # -) = None |
  compress-prots ( Pos ProtTCP # Pos ProtUDP # -) = None|
  compress-prots ( Pos ProtUDP # Pos ProtTCP # -) = None

```

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun-Ln-rules-to-rule-step-simultaneously:
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule (rs1)) Unde-
  cided = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule (rs2))

```



```

Undecided  $\Rightarrow$ 
  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr r1) a
p  $\longleftrightarrow$  matches (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) (UncompressedFormattedMatch-to-match-expr
r2) a p
 $\Rightarrow$ 
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule ((r1, a)#rs1))
Undecided =
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule ((r2,
a)#rs2)) Undecided
by(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def split: action.split)

theorem compress-Ln-ips-correctness: approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,
 $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule (compress-Ln-ips rs1)) s =
  approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,  $\alpha$ ) p (Ln-rules-to-rule rs1) s
apply(case-tac s)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: Decision-approximating-bigstep-fun)
apply(clarify, thin-tac s = Undecided)
apply(induction rs1)
apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(case-tac r, simp)
apply(rename-tac m action)
apply(case-tac m)
apply(rename-tac src dst proto extra)
apply(simp only: compress-Ln-ips.simps)
apply(simp del: compress-ips.simps split: option.split)
apply(safe)
apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $p=p$  and  $proto=proto$  and  $extra=extra$  and  $dst=dst$ 
and  $a=action$  in compress-ips-src-None-matching)
apply(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def Ln-uncompressed-matching)
apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $p=p$  and  $proto=proto$  and  $extra=extra$  and  $src=src$ 
and  $a=action$  in compress-ips-dst-None-matching)
apply(simp add: Ln-rules-to-rule-def Ln-uncompressed-matching)
apply(simp del: compress-ips.simps)
apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $p=p$  and  $a=action$  in compress-ips-dst-Some-matching)

apply(drule-tac  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $p=p$  and  $a=action$  in compress-ips-src-Some-matching)
apply(rule approximating-bigstep-fun-Ln-rules-to-rule-step-simultaneously, simp)
apply(rule Ln-uncompressed-matching-src-dst-eq[simplified Ln-uncompressed-matching])
apply(simp-all)
done

fun does-I-has-compressed-rules :: (match-Ln-uncompressed  $\times$  action) list  $\Rightarrow$  (match-Ln-uncompressed
 $\times$  action) list where
  does-I-has-compressed-rules [] = [] |
  does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [dst] proto []),
a)#rs) =

```

```

    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [src] [] proto []),
a)#rs) =
    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [src] [dst] proto []),
a)#rs) =
    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (((UncompressedFormattedMatch [] [] proto []), a)#rs)
=
    does-I-has-compressed-rules rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-rules (r#rs) = r # does-I-has-compressed-rules rs

```

```

fun does-I-has-compressed-prots :: (match-Ln-uncompressed × action) list ⇒ (match-Ln-uncompressed
× action) list where
    does-I-has-compressed-prots [] = [] |
    does-I-has-compressed-prots (((UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst [] []), a)#rs)
=
    does-I-has-compressed-prots rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-prots (((UncompressedFormattedMatch src dst [proto] []),
a)#rs) =
    does-I-has-compressed-prots rs |
    does-I-has-compressed-prots (r#rs) =
    r # does-I-has-compressed-prots rs

```

```

end
theory Packet-Set
imports Packet-Set-Impl
begin

```

21 Packet Set

An explicit representation of sets of packets allowed/denied by a firewall. Very work in progress, such pre-alpha, wow. Probably everything here wants a simple ruleset.

21.1 The set of all accepted packets

Collect all packets which are allowed by the firewall.

```

fun collect-allow :: ('a, 'p) match-tac ⇒ 'a rule list ⇒ 'p set ⇒ 'p set where
    collect-allow - [] P = {} |
    collect-allow γ ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = {p ∈ P. matches γ m Accept p} ∪
    (collect-allow γ rs {p ∈ P. ¬ matches γ m Accept p}) |
    collect-allow γ ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow γ rs {p ∈ P. ¬ matches
γ m Drop p})

```

lemma collect-allow-subset: simple-ruleset rs ⇒ collect-allow γ rs P ⊆ P

```

apply(induction rs arbitrary: P)
  apply(simp)
apply(rename-tac r rs P)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)
apply(fast)
apply blast
done

lemma collect-allow-sound: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies p \in \text{collect-allow } \gamma \text{ rs } P \implies$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow
  proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons r rs)
    from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
    from Cons.prem s have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
    from Cons.prem s r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
    show ?case (is ?goal)
    proof(cases a)
      case Accept
        from Accept Cons.IH[where P={p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}] simple-rs
        have IH:
          p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow by simp
          from Accept Cons.prem s have (p  $\in$  P  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)  $\vee$  p  $\in$ 
collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}
          by(simp add: r)
          with Accept show ?goal
          apply –
          apply(erule disjE)
          apply(simp add: r)
          apply(simp add: r)
          using IH by blast
        next
        case Drop
          from Drop Cons.prem s have p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$ 
m Drop p}
          by(simp add: r)
          with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided
= Decision FinalAllow by simp
          with Cons show ?goal
          apply(simp add: r Drop del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
          apply(simp)
          using collect-allow-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast
        qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)

```

qed

```

lemma collect-allow-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow  $\implies$  p  $\in$  P  $\implies$  p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs P
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases a)
    case Accept
      from Accept Cons.IH simple-rs have IH:  $\forall$  P. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs P by
simp
      with Accept Cons.premis show ?goal
      apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r)
      done
    next
    case Drop
      with Cons show ?goal
      apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r simple-rs)
      done
  qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

```

theorem collect-allow-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  {p. p  $\in$  collect-allow
 $\gamma$  rs UNIV} = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAl-
low}
apply(safe)
using collect-allow-sound[where P=UNIV] apply fast
using collect-allow-complete[where P=UNIV] by fast

```

the complement of the allowed packets

```

fun collect-allow-compl :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set
where
  collect-allow-compl - [] P = UNIV |
  collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = (P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$ matches  $\gamma$  m Accept
p})  $\cap$  (collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$  {p. matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p})) |
  collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$ 

```

$\{p. \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop } p\})$)

lemma *collect-allow-compl-correct: simple-ruleset rs \implies ($- \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (- \ P)$) = collect-allow $\gamma \ rs \ P$*
proof(*induction $\gamma \ rs \ P$ arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow.induct*)
case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case (2 $\gamma \ r \ rs$)
have *set-simp1: $- \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\} = - P \cup \{p. \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\}$* **by blast**
from 2 have IH: $\bigwedge P. - \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (- \ P) = \text{ collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ P$ **using simple-ruleset-tail by blast**
from IH[where $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\}$] set-simp1 have
 $- \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (- \ P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept})) =$
 $\text{collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Accept } p\}$ **by simp**
thus ?case by auto
next
case (3 $\gamma \ r \ rs$)
have *set-simp1: $- \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\} = - P \cup \{p. \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$* **by blast**
from 3 have IH: $\bigwedge P. - \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (- \ P) = \text{ collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ P$ **using simple-ruleset-tail by blast**
from IH[where $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$] set-simp1 have
 $- \text{ collect-allow-compl } \gamma \ rs \ (- \ P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop})) = \text{ collect-allow } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ r \ \text{Drop } p\}$ **by simp**
thus ?case by auto
qed(*simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def*)

21.2 The set of all dropped packets

Collect all packets which are denied by the firewall.

fun *collect-deny :: ('a, 'p) match-tac \Rightarrow 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'p set \Rightarrow 'p set* **where**
 $\text{collect-deny } - \ [] \ P = \{\}$ |
 $\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ ((\text{Rule } m \ \text{Drop})\#rs) \ P = \{p \in P. \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop } p\} \cup$
 $(\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Drop } p\})$ |
 $\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ ((\text{Rule } m \ \text{Accept})\#rs) \ P = (\text{collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ \{p \in P. \neg \text{ matches } \gamma \ m \ \text{Accept } p\})$

lemma *collect-deny-subset: simple-ruleset rs $\implies \text{ collect-deny } \gamma \ rs \ P \subseteq P$*
apply(*induction rs arbitrary: P*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*rename-tac r rs P*)
apply(*case-tac r, rename-tac m a*)
apply(*case-tac a*)
apply(*simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def*)
apply(*fast*)
apply *blast*
done

```

lemma collect-deny-sound: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies p \in \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs } P \implies$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$ 
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp
  from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
  from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
  show ?case (is ?goal)
  proof(cases a)
    case Drop
      from Drop Cons.IH[where P={p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}] simple-rs
have IH:
      p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun
 $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}$  by simp
      from Drop Cons.premis have (p  $\in$  P  $\wedge$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)  $\vee$  p  $\in$ 
collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p}
      by(simp add: r)
      with Drop show ?goal
      apply -
      apply(erule disjE)
      apply(simp add: r)
      apply(simp add: r)
      using IH by blast
    next
    case Accept
      from Accept Cons.premis have p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$ 
m Accept p}
      by(simp add: r)
      with Cons.IH simple-rs have approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided}$ 
= Decision FinalDeny by simp
      with Cons show ?goal
      apply(simp add: r Accept del: approximating-bigstep-fun.simps)
      apply(simp)
      using collect-deny-subset[OF simple-rs] by fast
      qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
  qed

```

```

lemma collect-deny-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny  $\implies p \in P \implies p \in \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs } P$ 
proof(induction rs arbitrary: P)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons r rs)
  from Cons obtain m a where r: r = Rule m a by (cases r) simp

```

```

from Cons.premis have simple-rs: simple-ruleset rs by (simp add: r simple-ruleset-def)
from Cons.premis r have a-cases: a = Accept  $\vee$  a = Drop by (simp add: r
simple-ruleset-def)
show ?case (is ?goal)
proof(cases a)
  case Accept
    from Accept Cons.IH simple-rs have IH:  $\forall P$ . approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$ 
p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  P  $\longrightarrow$  p  $\in$  collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs P by
simp
    with Accept Cons.premis show ?goal
    apply(cases matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p)
    apply(simp add: r)
    apply(simp add: r)
    done
  next
  case Drop
    with Cons show ?goal
    apply(case-tac matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p)
    apply(simp add: r)
    apply(simp add: r simple-rs)
    done
qed(insert a-cases, simp-all)
qed

```

```

theorem collect-deny-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  {p. p  $\in$  collect-deny
 $\gamma$  rs UNIV} = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision Fi-
nalDeny}
apply(safe)
using collect-deny-sound[where P=UNIV] apply fast
using collect-deny-complete[where P=UNIV] by fast

```

the complement of the denied packets

```

fun collect-deny-compl :: ('a, 'p) match-tac  $\Rightarrow$  'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'p set  $\Rightarrow$  'p set
where
  collect-deny-compl - [] P = UNIV |
  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$ matches  $\gamma$  m Drop
p})  $\cap$  (collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$  {p. matches  $\gamma$  m Drop p})) |
  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = (collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$  rs (P  $\cup$ 
{p. matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p}))

```

```

lemma collect-deny-compl-correct: simple-ruleset rs  $\implies$  ( $\neg$  collect-deny-compl  $\gamma$ 
rs ( $\neg$  P)) = collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs P
proof(induction  $\gamma$  rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-deny.induct)
case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
case (3  $\gamma$  r rs)
  have set-simp1:  $\neg$  {p  $\in$  P.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  r Accept p} =  $\neg$  P  $\cup$  {p. matches
 $\gamma$  r Accept p} by blast

```

```

    from 3 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. - \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs}$ 
P using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
    from IH[where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Accept } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
    -  $\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Accept})) = \text{collect-deny}$ 
 $\gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Accept } p\}$  by simp
    thus ?case by auto
  next
  case (2  $\gamma \text{ r rs}$ )
  have set-simp1:  $-\{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop } p\} = - P \cup \{p. \text{matches } \gamma$ 
r Drop p} by blast
  from 2 have IH:  $\bigwedge P. - \text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P) = \text{collect-deny } \gamma \text{ rs}$ 
P using simple-ruleset-tail by blast
  from IH[where  $P = \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop } p\}$ ] set-simp1 have
  -  $\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } (- P \cup \text{Collect } (\text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop})) = \text{collect-deny}$ 
 $\gamma \text{ rs } \{p \in P. \neg \text{matches } \gamma \text{ r Drop } p\}$  by simp
  thus ?case by auto
qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def)

```

21.3 Rulesets with default rules

definition *has-default* :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow bool **where**
has-default rs \equiv length rs > 0 \wedge ((last rs = Rule MatchAny Accept) \vee (last rs
= Rule MatchAny Drop))

lemma *has-default-UNIV*: good-ruleset rs \implies has-default rs \implies
 $\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalAllow}\} \cup \{p.$
approximating-bigstep-fun $\gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}$
apply(induction rs)
apply(simp add: has-default-def)
apply(rename-tac r rs)
apply(simp add: has-default-def good-ruleset-tail split: split-if-asm)
apply(elim disjE)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(simp add: bunch-of-lemmata-about-matches)
apply(case-tac r, rename-tac m a)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(auto simp: good-ruleset-def)
done

lemma *allow-set-by-collect-deny-compl*: **assumes** simple-ruleset rs **and** has-default
rs

shows $\text{collect-deny-compl } \gamma \text{ rs } \{\} = \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Un-}$
decided = *Decision FinalAllow*}

proof —

from *assms* **have** *univ*: $\{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} =$
Decision FinalAllow} $\cup \{p. \text{approximating-bigstep-fun } \gamma \text{ p rs Undecided} = \text{Decision}$
FinalDeny}\} = \text{UNIV}

using simple-imp-good-ruleset has-default-UNIV **by** fast


```

from assms(1) collect-deny-compl-correct[where  $P=UNIV$ ] have collect-deny-compl
 $\gamma$  rs  $\{\}$  = - collect-deny  $\gamma$  rs UNIV by fastforce
  moreover with collect-deny-sound-complete assms(1) have ... = -  $\{p.$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny $\}$  by fast
  ultimately show ?thesis using univ by fastforce
qed
lemma deny-set-by-collect-allow-compl: assumes simple-ruleset rs and has-default
rs
  shows collect-allow-compl  $\gamma$  rs  $\{\}$  =  $\{p.$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Un-
decided = Decision FinalDeny $\}$ 
  proof -
    from assms have univ:  $\{p.$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided =
Decision FinalAllow $\} \cup \{p.$  approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision
FinalDeny $\} = UNIV$ 
    using simple-imp-good-ruleset has-default-UNIV by fast
    from assms(1) collect-allow-compl-correct[where  $P=UNIV$ ] have collect-allow-compl
 $\gamma$  rs  $\{\}$  = - collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs UNIV by fastforce
    moreover with collect-allow-sound-complete assms(1) have ... = -  $\{p.$ 
approximating-bigstep-fun  $\gamma$  p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow $\}$  by fast
    ultimately show ?thesis using univ by fastforce
qed

```

with *packet-set-to-set* $? \gamma$ (*packet-set-constrain* $?a$ $?m$ $?P$) = $\{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set}$ $? \gamma$ $?P.$ *matches* $? \gamma$ $?m$ $?a$ $p\}$ and *packet-set-to-set* $? \gamma$ (*packet-set-constrain-not* $?a$ $?m$ $?P$) = $\{p \in \text{packet-set-to-set}$ $? \gamma$ $?P.$ \neg *matches* $? \gamma$ $?m$ $?a$ $p\}$, it should be possible to build an executable version of the algorithm above.

21.4 The set of all accepted packets – Executable Implementation

```

fun collect-allow-impl-v1 :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  collect-allow-impl-v1 []  $P$  = packet-set-Empty |
  collect-allow-impl-v1 ((Rule m Accept)#rs)  $P$  = packet-set-union (packet-set-constrain
Accept m P) (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs (packet-set-constrain-not Accept m P)) |
  collect-allow-impl-v1 ((Rule m Drop)#rs)  $P$  = (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs (packet-set-constrain-not
Drop m P))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-impl-v1: simple-ruleset rs  $\Longrightarrow$  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-impl-v1
rs P) = collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$   $P$ )
apply(induction  $\gamma$  rs (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$   $P$ ))arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow.induct)
apply(simp-all add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-constrain-correct packet-set-constrain-not-correct
packet-set-Empty simple-ruleset-def)
done

```

```

fun collect-allow-impl-v2 :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set where
  collect-allow-impl-v2 []  $P$  = packet-set-Empty |
  collect-allow-impl-v2 ((Rule m Accept)#rs)  $P$  = packet-set-opt ( packet-set-union

```

(*packet-set-opt* (*packet-set-constrain* *Accept m P*)) (*packet-set-opt* (*collect-allow-impl-v2*
rs (*packet-set-opt* (*packet-set-constrain-not* *Accept m* (*packet-set-opt P*)))))) |
collect-allow-impl-v2 ((*Rule m Drop*)#*rs*) *P* = (*collect-allow-impl-v2* *rs* (*packet-set-opt*
(*packet-set-constrain-not* *Drop m* (*packet-set-opt P*))))

lemma *collect-allow-impl-v2: simple-ruleset rs \implies packet-set-to-set γ (collect-allow-impl-v2*
rs P) = packet-set-to-set γ (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs P)
apply(*induction rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow-impl-v1.induct*)
apply(*simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct*
packet-set-constrain-not-correct collect-allow-impl-v1)
done

executable!

export-code *collect-allow-impl-v2* **in** *SML*

theorem *collect-allow-impl-v1-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs \implies*
packet-set-to-set γ (collect-allow-impl-v1 rs packet-set-UNIV) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 γ p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}
apply(*simp add: collect-allow-impl-v1 packet-set-UNIV*)
using *collect-allow-sound-complete* **by** *fast*

corollary *collect-allow-impl-v2-sound-complete: simple-ruleset rs \implies*
packet-set-to-set γ (collect-allow-impl-v2 rs packet-set-UNIV) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 γ p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}
using *collect-allow-impl-v1-sound-complete collect-allow-impl-v2* **by** *fast*

instead of the expensive invert and intersect operations, we try to build the
algorithm primarily by union

lemma (*UNIV - A*) \cap (*UNIV - B*) = *UNIV - (A \cup B)* **by** *blast*

lemma *A \cap (- P) = UNIV - (-A \cup P)* **by** *blast*

lemma *UNIV - ((- P) \cap A) = P \cup - A* **by** *blast*

lemma (*(- P) \cap A*) = *UNIV - (P \cup - A)* **by** *blast*

lemma *UNIV - ((P \cup - A) \cap X) = UNIV - ((P \cap X) \cup (- A \cap X))* **by** *blast*

lemma *UNIV - ((P \cap X) \cup (- A \cap X)) = (- P \cup -X) \cap (A \cup - X)* **by** *blast*

lemma (*- P \cup -X*) \cap (*A \cup -X*) = (*- P \cap A*) \cup - X **by** *blast*

lemma (*((- P) \cap A) \cup X*) = *UNIV - ((P \cup - A) \cap - X)* **by** *blast*

lemma *set-helper1:*

(*- P \cap - {p. matches γ m a p}*) = {*p. p \notin P \wedge \neg matches γ m a p*}

- {*p \in - P. matches γ m a p*} = (*P \cup - {p. matches γ m a p}*)

- {*p. matches γ m a p*} = {*p. \neg matches γ m a p*}

by *blast+*

fun *collect-allow-compl-impl :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a packet-set \Rightarrow 'a packet-set* **where**
collect-allow-compl-impl [] P = packet-set-UNIV |

```

collect-allow-compl-impl ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P = packet-set-intersect
(packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m))) (collect-allow-compl-impl
rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Accept m)))) |
collect-allow-compl-impl ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P = (collect-allow-compl-impl rs
(packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Drop m))))

```

```

lemma collect-allow-compl-impl: simple-ruleset rs  $\impl$ 
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P) = - collect-allow  $\gamma$  rs (-
packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P)
apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-correct[symmetric] )
apply(induction rs P arbitrary: P rule: collect-allow-impl-v1.induct)
apply(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
packet-set-intersect-intersect packet-set-not-correct
to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-UNIV )
done

```

take *UNIV* setminus the intersect over the result and get the set of allowed packets

```

fun collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec :: 'a rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set
list  $\Rightarrow$  'a packet-set list where
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec [] P PAs = PAs |
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec ((Rule m Accept)#rs) P PAs =
    collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set
Accept m))) ((packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)))#
PAs) |
  collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec ((Rule m Drop)#rs) P PAs = collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec
rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Drop m))) PAs

```

```

lemma collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-helper: simple-ruleset rs  $\impl$ 
  (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P))  $\cap$  ( $\bigcap$  set (map (packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$ ) PAs)) =
  ( $\bigcap$  set (map (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$ ) (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs P PAs)))
proof(induction rs P arbitrary: PAs P rule: collect-allow-compl-impl.induct)
  case (2 m rs)
    from 2 have IH: ( $\bigwedge$  P PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P)
 $\cap$  ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) =
  ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs P PAs). packet-set-to-set
 $\gamma$  x))
    by(simp add: simple-ruleset-def)
    from IH[where P=(packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set Accept
m))) and PAs=(packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m))) #
PAs)] have
  (packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  P  $\cup$  {p.  $\neg$  matches  $\gamma$  m Accept p})  $\cap$ 
  packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  (collect-allow-compl-impl rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union
P (to-packet-set Accept m))))  $\cap$ 
  ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set PAs. packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x) =
  ( $\bigcap$   $x \in$  set

```

```

    (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs (packet-set-opt (packet-set-union P (to-packet-set
Accept m))) (packet-set-union P (packet-set-not (to-packet-set Accept m)) # PAs)).
    packet-set-to-set  $\gamma$  x)
  apply(simp add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-not-correct to-packet-set-set)
by blast
  thus ?case
  by(simp add: packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct packet-set-intersect-intersect
packet-set-not-correct
    to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-constrain-not-correct)
qed(simp-all add: simple-ruleset-def packet-set-union-correct packet-set-opt-correct
packet-set-intersect-intersect packet-set-not-correct
  to-packet-set-set set-helper1 packet-set-constrain-not-correct packet-set-UNIV
packet-set-Empty-def)

```

lemma *collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-correct: simple-ruleset rs \impl*
(packet-set-to-set γ (collect-allow-compl-impl rs P)) = ($\bigcap x \in \text{set}$ (collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec
rs P []). packet-set-to-set γ x)
using *collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-helper[where PAs=[], simplified]*
by *metis*

definition *allow-set-not-inter :: 'a rule list \Rightarrow 'a packet-set list where*
allow-set-not-inter rs \equiv collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec rs packet-set-Empty []

Intersecting over the result of *allow-set-not-inter* and inverting is the list of
all allowed packets

lemma *allow-set-not-inter: simple-ruleset rs \impl*
– ($\bigcap x \in \text{set}$ (allow-set-not-inter rs). packet-set-to-set γ x) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 γ p rs Undecided = Decision FinalAllow}
unfolding *allow-set-not-inter-def*
apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-impl-tailrec-correct[symmetric])
apply(simp add: collect-allow-compl-impl)
apply(simp add: packet-set-Empty)
using *collect-allow-sound-complete by fast*

this gives the set of denied packets

lemma *simple-ruleset rs \impl has-default rs \impl*
($\bigcap x \in \text{set}$ (allow-set-not-inter rs). packet-set-to-set γ x) = {p. approximating-bigstep-fun
 γ p rs Undecided = Decision FinalDeny}
apply(frule simple-imp-good-ruleset)
apply(drule(1) has-default-UNIV[where $\gamma=\gamma$])
apply(drule allow-set-not-inter[where $\gamma=\gamma$])

by *force*

```

lemma UNIV - ((P ∪ - A) ∩ X) = - ((- ( - P ∩ A)) ∩ X) by blast

end
theory Analyze-TUM-Net-Firewall
imports Main ../../Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln ../../Call-Return-Unfolding
../../Optimizing
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Nat
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Int
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Char
../../Packet-Set
begin

```

22 Example: Chair for Network Architectures and Services (TUM)

definition *unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* :: *iptrule-match ruleset* ⇒ *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
unfold-ruleset-FORWARD rs = ((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)¹⁰)

(*optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call rs)⁵) [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]))))*)

definition *map-of-string* :: (*string* × *iptrule-match rule list*) *list* ⇒ *string* → *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
map-of-string rs = *map-of* rs

definition *upper-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list* ⇒ *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
upper-closure rs == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)²⁰⁰⁰) (*optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* rs))

definition *lower-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list* ⇒ *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
lower-closure rs == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)²⁰⁰⁰) (*optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra* rs))

definition *deny-set* :: *iptrule-match rule list* ⇒ *iptrule-match packet-set list* **where**
deny-set rs ≡ *filter* (λa. a ≠ *packet-set-UNIV*) (*map packet-set-opt (allow-set-not-inter rs)*)

definition *bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask*:: *nat* ⇒ (*nat* × *nat* × *nat* × *nat*) **where**
bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask n ≡ *dotteddecimal-of-ipv4addr* ((NOT (((mask

```

n)::ipv4addr) << (32 - n))) )
lemma bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask 16 = (0, 0, 255, 255) by eval
lemma bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask 24 = (0, 0, 0, 255) by eval
lemma bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask 8 = (0, 255, 255, 255) by eval
lemma bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask 32 = (0, 0, 0, 0) by eval

```

export-code *unfold-ruleset-FORWARD map-of-string upper-closure lower-closure
format-Ln-rules-uncompressed compress-Ln-ips does-I-has-compressed-rules*

```

Rule
Accept Drop Log Reject Call Return Empty Unknown
Match MatchNot MatchAnd MatchAny
Ip4Addr Ip4AddrNetmask
ProtAll ProtTCP ProtUDP
Src Dst Prot Extra
nat-of-integer integer-of-nat
UncompressedFormattedMatch Pos Neg
does-I-has-compressed-protos
bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask
deny-set
in SML module-name Test file unfold-code.ML

```

ML-file *unfold-code.ML*

ML-file *iptables-Ln-29.11.2013.ML*

This is the firewall ruleset (excerpt, 24 of 2800 rules displayed) we are going to analyze:

```

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
target    prot  source          destination
LOG_DROP  all   127.0.0.0/8     0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    tcp   131.159.14.206  0.0.0.0/0      multiport sports 389,636
ACCEPT    tcp   131.159.14.208  0.0.0.0/0      multiport sports 389,636
ACCEPT    udp   131.159.14.206  0.0.0.0/0      udp spt:88
ACCEPT    udp   131.159.14.208  0.0.0.0/0      udp spt:88
ACCEPT    tcp   131.159.14.192/27 0.0.0.0/0      tcp spt:3260
ACCEPT    tcp   131.159.14.0/23   131.159.14.192/27 tcp dpt:3260
ACCEPT    tcp   131.159.20.0/24   131.159.14.192/27 tcp dpt:3260
ACCEPT    udp   131.159.15.252   0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    udp   0.0.0.0/0        131.159.15.252 multiport
                                                dports 4569,5000:65535

ACCEPT    all   131.159.15.247   0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    all   0.0.0.0/0        131.159.15.247
ACCEPT    all   131.159.15.248   0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT    all   0.0.0.0/0        131.159.15.248
tcp       0.0.0.0/0        131.159.14.0/23 state NEW tcp
dpt:22flags: 0x17/0x02 recent: SET name: ratessh side: source

```

```

        tcp 0.0.0.0/0          131.159.20.0/23    state NEW tcp
            dpt:22flags: 0x17/0x02 recent: SET name: ratessh side: source
mac_96  all  131.159.14.0/25    0.0.0.0/0
LOG_DROP all  !131.159.14.0/25    0.0.0.0/0

```

Chain LOG_DROP (21 references)

```

target  prot source          destination
LOG     all  0.0.0.0/0          0.0.0.0/0          limit: avg 100/min
                        burst 5 LOG flags 0 level 4 prefix "[IPT_DROP]:"
DROP    all  0.0.0.0/0          0.0.0.0/0

```

Chain mac_96 (1 references)

```

target  prot source          destination
RETURN  all  131.159.14.92      0.0.0.0/0          MAC XX:XX:XX:XX:XX:XX
DROP    all  131.159.14.92      0.0.0.0/0
RETURN  all  131.159.14.65      0.0.0.0/0          MAC XX:XX:XX:XX:XX:XX
DROP    all  131.159.14.65      0.0.0.0/0

```

```

ML⟨⟨
  open Test;
  ⟩⟩
declare[[ML-print-depth=50]]
ML⟨⟨
  val rules = unfold-ruleset-FORWARD (map-of-string firewall-chains)
  ⟩⟩
ML⟨⟨
  length rules;
  val upper = upper-closure rules;
  length upper;⟩⟩
ML⟨⟨
  val lower = lower-closure rules;
  length lower;⟩⟩

```

How long does the unfolding take?

```

ML-val⟨⟨
  val t0 = Time.now();
  val - = unfold-ruleset-FORWARD (map-of-string firewall-chains);
  val t1 = Time.now();
  writeln(String.concat [It took , Time.toString(Time.-(t1,t0)), seconds])
  ⟩⟩

```

on my system, less than 1 second.

Time required for calculating both closures

```

ML-val⟨⟨
  val t0 = Time.now();
  val - = upper-closure rules;
  val - = lower-closure rules;
  val t1 = Time.now();
  writeln(String.concat [It took , Time.toString(Time.-(t1,t0)), seconds])
  ⟩⟩

```

on my system, less than five seconds.

```

ML⟨⟨
fun dump-dotteddecimal-ip (a,(b,(c,d))) = ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat a) ^ . ^ Int.toString
(integer-of-nat b) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat c) ^ . ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat
d);

fun dump-ip (Ip4Addr ip) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip) ^ /32
  | dump-ip (Ip4AddrNetmask (ip, nm)) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip) ^ / ^ Int.toString
(integer-of-nat nm);

fun dump-prot ProtAll = all
  | dump-prot ProtTCP = tcp
  | dump-prot ProtUDP = udp;

fun dump-protos [] = all
  | dump-protos [Pos p] = dump-prot p
  | dump-protos [Neg p] = ! ^ dump-prot p;
(*undefined otherwise*)

fun dump-extra [] = ;
(*undefined case checks that we have no Extra (i.e. unknown in here)*)

fun dump-action Accept = ACCEPT
  | dump-action Drop = DROP
  | dump-action Log = LOG
  | dump-action Reject = REJECT
;

local
  fun dump-ip-list-hlp [] =
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Pos ip)::ips) = ((dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Neg ip)::ips) = (! ^ (dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
  in
    fun dump-ip-list [] = 0.0.0.0/0
      | dump-ip-list rs = dump-ip-list-hlp rs
    end;

fun dump-iptables [] = ()
  | dump-iptables ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, extra), a) :: rs)
  =
    (writeln (dump-action a ^
      ^ dump-protos proto ^ -- ^
      ^ dump-ip-list src ^
      ^ dump-ip-list dst ^
      ^ dump-extra extra); dump-iptables rs);

fun dump-iptables-save [] = ()
  | dump-iptables-save ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, []), a) ::

```



```

rs) =
  (writeln (-A FORWARD ^
    (if List.length src = 1 then -s ^ dump-ip-list src ^ else if List.length
src > 1 then ERROR else ) ^
    (if List.length dst = 1 then -d ^ dump-ip-list dst ^ else if List.length
dst > 1 then ERROR else ) ^
    (if List.length proto = 1 then -p ^ dump-prots proto ^ else if
List.length proto > 1 then ERROR else ) ^
    ^ -j ^ dump-action a); dump-iptables-save rs);
  >>

```

```

ML-val<<
length (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
(format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
>>
— optimized upper closure, roughly 1k rules
ML-val<<
length (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>
ML-val<<
(compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>
ML-val<<
length (does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
upper))));
does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>
ML-val<<
does-I-has-compressed-prots (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>

```

iptables -L -n

```

ML-val<<
writeln Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source                destination;
writeln ;
writeln Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source                destination;
dump-iptables (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));

writeln Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source                destination
>>

```

Upper Closure (excerpt)

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)

target	prot	source	destination
DROP	all	127.0.0.0/8	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.206/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.208/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	udp	131.159.14.206/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	udp	131.159.14.208/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.192/27	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.14.0/23	131.159.14.192/27
ACCEPT	tcp	131.159.20.0/24	131.159.14.192/27
ACCEPT	udp	131.159.15.252/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	udp	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.15.252/32
ACCEPT	all	131.159.15.247/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	all	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.15.247/32
ACCEPT	all	131.159.15.248/32	0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT	all	0.0.0.0/0	131.159.15.248/32
DROP	all	!131.159.14.0/25	0.0.0.0/0

iptables -L -n

```
ML-val⟨⟨
writeln Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source                destination;
writeln ;
writeln Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source                destination;
dump-iptables (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower));

writeln Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT);
writeln target    prot opt source                destination
⟩⟩
```

Lower Closure (excerpt)

```
Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
target prot source                destination
DROP   all 127.0.0.0/8                0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT udp 131.159.15.252/32           0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT all 131.159.15.247/32           0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT all 0.0.0.0/0                  131.159.15.247/32
ACCEPT all 131.159.15.248/32           0.0.0.0/0
ACCEPT all 0.0.0.0/0                  131.159.15.248/32
DROP   all 131.159.14.92/32            0.0.0.0/0
DROP   all 131.159.14.65/32            0.0.0.0/0
... (unfolded DROPS from chain mac_96
DROP   all !131.159.14.0/25      0.0.0.0/0
```

iptables-save

```
ML-val⟨⟨
writeln # Generated by iptables-save v1.4.21 on Wed Sep 3 18:02:01 2014;
writeln *filter;
writeln :INPUT ACCEPT [0:0];
```

```

writeln :FORWARD ACCEPT [0:0];
writeln :OUTPUT ACCEPT [0:0];
dump-iptables-save (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
writeln COMMIT;
writeln # Completed on Wed Sep 3 18:02:01 2014;
>>

Cisco

ML<<
fun dump-action-cisco Accept = permit
  | dump-action-cisco Drop = deny
;

fun dump-prot-cisco [] = ip
  | dump-prot-cisco [Pos ProtAll] = ip
  | dump-prot-cisco [Pos ProtTCP] = tcp
  | dump-prot-cisco [Pos ProtUDP] = udp;

local
  fun dump-ip-cisco (Ip4Addr ip) = host ^ (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip)
    | dump-ip-cisco (Ip4AddrNetmask (ip, nm)) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip) ^
      ^ (dump-dotteddecimal-ip (bitmask-to-strange-inverse-cisco-mask nm));
in
  fun dump-ip-list-cisco [] = any
    | dump-ip-list-cisco [Pos ip] = dump-ip-cisco ip
    | dump-ip-list-cisco [Neg ip] = TODO ^ dump-ip-cisco ip
end;

fun dump-cisco [] = ()
  | dump-cisco ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, []), a) :: rs) =
    (writeln (access-list 101 ^ dump-action-cisco a ^
      (if List.length proto <= 1 then ^ dump-prot-cisco proto ^ else
ERROR) ^
      (dump-ip-list-cisco src) ^ ^ (dump-ip-list-cisco dst)); dump-cisco rs);
>>

ML-val<<
writeln interface fe0;
writeln ip address 10.1.1.1 255.255.255.254;
writeln ip access-group 101 in;
writeln !;
dump-cisco (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
(*access-list 101 deny ip host 10.1.1.2 any
access-list 101 permit tcp any host 192.168.5.10 eq 80
access-list 101 permit tcp any host 192.168.5.11 eq 25
access-list 101 deny any*)

```

```

writeln !;
writeln ! // need to give the end command;
writeln end;

>>

ML<<

fun dump-action-flowtable Accept = flood
  | dump-action-flowtable Drop = drop
;

local
  fun dump-ip-flowtable (Ip4Addr ip) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip ip)
    | dump-ip-flowtable (Ip4AddrNetmask (ip, nm)) = (dump-dotteddecimal-ip
ip) ^ ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat nm);
in
  fun dump-ip-list-flowtable [] = *
    | dump-ip-list-flowtable [Pos ip] = dump-ip-flowtable ip
    | dump-ip-list-flowtable [Neg ip] = TODO ^ dump-ip-flowtable ip
end;

fun dump-flowtable [] = ()
  | dump-flowtable ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, []), a) :: rs) =
    (writeln ((if List.length proto <= 1 then ^ dump-prot-cisco proto ^ else
ERROR) ^
nw-src=^(dump-ip-list-flowtable src) ^ nw-dst=^(dump-ip-list-flowtable
dst) ^
priority=^Int.toString (List.length rs) ^
action=^dump-action-flowtable a
); dump-flowtable rs);

>>
ML-val<<
(*ip nw-src=10.0.0.1/32 nw-dst=* priority=30000 action=flood*)

dump-flowtable (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>

packet set (test)

ML<<
val t0 = Time.now();
val deny-set-set = deny-set upper;
val t1= Time.now();
writeln(String.concat [It took , Time.toString(Time.-(t1,t0)), seconds])
>>

```

```

ML-val⟨⟨
length deny-set-set;
⟩⟩
ML-val⟨⟨
deny-set-set;
⟩⟩

end
theory Analyze-SQRL-Shorewall
imports Main ../../Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln ../../Call-Return-Unfolding
../../Optimizing
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Nat
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Int
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Char
begin

```

23 Example: SQRL Shorewall

definition *unfold-ruleset-FORWARD* :: *iptrule-match ruleset* \Rightarrow *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
unfold-ruleset-FORWARD *rs* = (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)¹⁰)
(*optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call*
rs)²⁰) [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")]))))

definition *unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT* :: *iptrule-match ruleset* \Rightarrow *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT *rs* = (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)¹⁰)
(*optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call*
rs)²⁰) [Rule MatchAny (Call "OUTPUT")]))))

definition *map-of-string* :: (*string* \times *iptrule-match rule list*) *list* \Rightarrow *string* \rightarrow
iptrule-match rule list **where**
map-of-string *rs* = *map-of* *rs*

definition *upper-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list* \Rightarrow *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
upper-closure *rs* == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)²⁰⁰⁰)
(*optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra* *rs*))

definition *lower-closure* :: *iptrule-match rule list* \Rightarrow *iptrule-match rule list* **where**
lower-closure *rs* == *rmMatchFalse* (((*optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)²⁰⁰⁰)
(*optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra* *rs*))

export-code *unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT* *map-of-string* *upper-closure* *lower-closure*

format-Ln-rules-uncompressed compress-Ln-ips does-I-has-compressed-rules

Rule

Accept Drop Log Reject Call Return Empty Unknown

Match MatchNot MatchAnd MatchAny

Ip4Addr Ip4AddrNetmask

ProtAll ProtTCP ProtUDP

Src Dst Prot Extra

nat-of-integer integer-of-nat

UncompressedFormattedMatch Pos Neg

does-I-has-compressed-prots

in SML module-name *Test file* *unfold-code.ML*

ML-file *unfold-code.ML*

ML-file *akachan-iptables-Ln.ML*

ML⟨⟨

open Test;

⟩⟩

declare[[*ML-print-depth=50*]]

ML⟨⟨

val rules = unfold-ruleset-OUTPUT (map-of-string firewall-chains)

⟩⟩

ML⟨⟨

length rules;

val upper = upper-closure rules;

length upper;⟩⟩

ML⟨⟨

val lower = lower-closure rules;

length lower;⟩⟩

ML⟨⟨

*fun dump-ip (Ip4Addr (a,(b,(c,d)))) = ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat a) ^ ^ Int.toString
(integer-of-nat b) ^ ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat c) ^ ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat
d) ^ /32*

*| dump-ip (Ip4AddrNetmask ((a,(b,(c,d))), nm)) =
^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat a) ^ ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat b) ^ ^ Int.toString
(integer-of-nat c) ^ ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat d) ^ / ^ Int.toString (integer-of-nat
nm);*

fun dump-prot ProtAll = all

| dump-prot ProtTCP = tcp

| dump-prot ProtUDP = udp;

fun dump-prots [] = all

| dump-prots [Pos p] = dump-prot p

| dump-prots [Neg p] = ! ^ dump-prot p;

```

(*undefined otherwise*)

fun dump-extra [] = ;

fun dump-action Accept = ACCEPT
  | dump-action Drop = DROP
  | dump-action Log = LOG
  | dump-action Reject = REJECT
;

local
  fun dump-ip-list-hlp [] =
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Pos ip)::ips) = ((dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
    | dump-ip-list-hlp ((Neg ip)::ips) = (! ^ (dump-ip ip) ^ dump-ip-list-hlp ips)
  in
    fun dump-ip-list [] = 0.0.0.0/0
      | dump-ip-list rs = dump-ip-list-hlp rs
  end;

fun dump-iptables [] = ()
  | dump-iptables ((UncompressedFormattedMatch (src, dst, proto, extra), a) :: rs)
  =
    (writeln (dump-action a ^
      ^ dump-prots proto ^ -- ^
      ^ dump-ip-list src ^
      ^ dump-ip-list dst ^
      ^ dump-extra extra); dump-iptables rs);
>>

ML-val<<
length (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
(format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper);
>>
ML-val<<
(compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));
>>
ML-val<<
length (does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
upper)));
does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>
ML-val<<
does-I-has-compressed-prots (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed up-
per));
>>
ML-val<<
dump-iptables (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed upper));

```

»

```
ML-val⟨⟨
compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower);
⟩⟩
ML-val⟨⟨
length (does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed
lower)));
does-I-has-compressed-rules (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower));
⟩⟩
ML-val⟨⟨
does-I-has-compressed-protos (compress-Ln-ips (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed lower));
⟩⟩
```

end

theory *Analyze-Synology-Diskstation*

imports *iptables-Ln-tuned-parsed* ../ *Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln* ../ *Call-Return-Unfolding*
../ *Optimizing*
../ *Packet-Set*

begin

24 Example: Synology Diskstation

we removed the established,related rule

```
definition example-ruleset == ["DOS-PROTECT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match
(Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask
((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra ("Prot icmp"))) (Match (Extra ("icmptype
8 limit: avg 1/sec burst 5")))))) (Return),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra ("Prot
icmp"))) (Match (Extra ("icmptype 8")))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x04 limit: avg 1/sec burst 5")))))) (Return),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x04")))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x02 limit: avg 10000/sec burst 100")))))) (Return),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp flags:0x17/0x02")))))) (Drop)],
"INPUT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0))))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Prot (ProtAll))) (MatchAny)))) (Call ("DOS-PROTECT")),
```



```

(* Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtAll)))
(Match (Extra ("state RELATED,ESTABLISHED")))))) (Accept), *)
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("tcp dpt:22")))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtTCP)))
(Match (Extra ("multiport dports 21,873,5005,5006,80,548,111,2049,892"))))))
(Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtUDP)))
(Match (Extra ("multiport dports 123,111,2049,892,5353")))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((192,168,0,0)) (16)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtAll)))
(MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask ((0,0,0,0)) (0)))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot (ProtAll)))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAny) (Accept)],
"FORWARD" ↦ [Rule (MatchAny) (Accept)],
"OUTPUT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAny) (Accept)]

```

abbreviation *MatchAndInfix* :: 'a match-expr ⇒ 'a match-expr ⇒ 'a match-expr
(infixr MATCHAND 65) **where** *MatchAndInfix* *m1* *m2* ≡ *MatchAnd* *m1* *m2*

definition *example-ruleset-simplified* = ((*optimize-matches* *opt-MatchAny-match-expr*) ^ 10)

```

(optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call
example-ruleset) ^ 2) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]))))
value(code) example-ruleset-simplified

```

lemma *good-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified by eval*

lemma *simple-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified by eval*

packets from the local lan are allowed (in doubt)

```

value approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalAllow
lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalAllow by eval

```

However, they might also be rate-limited, ... (we don't know about icmp)

```

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) (|src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP|)
example-ruleset-simplified

```

Undecided = Decision FinalDeny by eval

But we can guarantee that packets from the outside are blocked!

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (8,8,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)*
example-ruleset-simplified
Undecided = Decision FinalDeny by eval

lemma *wf-unknown-match-tac $\alpha \implies$ approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, α) p example-ruleset-simplified s = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, α) p (((process-call example-ruleset) ^2) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]) s*
apply(simp add: example-ruleset-simplified-def)
apply(simp add: optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr)
apply(simp add: opt-simple-matcher-correct)
apply(simp add: rw-Reject-fun-semantics)
apply(simp add: rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics)
done

in doubt allow closure

value *rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10) (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified))*

in doubt deny closure

value *rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10) (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra example-ruleset-simplified))*

upper closure

lemma *rmshadow (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10) (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified))) UNIV =*
[Rule (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192, 168, 0, 0) 16))) Accept, Rule MatchAny Drop]
apply(subst tmp)
apply(subst rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp del: rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp add: Matching-Ternary.matches-def)
apply(intro conjI impI)
apply(rule-tac x=(src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (8,8,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP))
in exI
apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def
 ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def Let-def ipv4addr-of-nat-def)

apply(thin-tac $\exists p. ?x p$)
apply(rule-tac x=(src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (192,168,99,0), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP))
in exI

```

apply(simp add: ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal.simps ipv4range-set-from-bitmask-def
  ipv4range-set-from-netmask-def Let-def ipv4addr-of-nat-def)
done

```

lower closure

```

lemma rmshadow (simple-matcher, in-doubt-deny) (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches
  opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10) (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra
  example-ruleset-simplified))) UNIV =
  [Rule MatchAny Drop]
apply(subst tmp')
apply(subst rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp del: rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp add: Matching-Ternary.matches-def)
done
hide-fact tmp

```

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed [Rule (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192,
  168, 0, 0) 16))) Accept, Rule MatchAny Drop]

```

exact

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed example-ruleset-simplified

```

```

value length (example-ruleset-simplified)

```

Wow, normalization has exponential?? blowup!!

```

value length (normalize-rules example-ruleset-simplified)
value length (format-Ln-rules-uncompressed example-ruleset-simplified)

```

```

thm format-Ln-rules-uncompressed-correct

```

upper closure

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10)
  (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))
lemma collect-allow-impl-v2 (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10)
  (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))
  packet-set-UNIV =
  PacketSet [[[Pos (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (192, 168, 0, 0) 16)), Pos Accept]]] by
  eval

```

lower closure

```

value format-Ln-rules-uncompressed (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10)
  (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))
lemma collect-allow-impl-v2 (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^10)
  (optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra example-ruleset-simplified)))
  packet-set-UNIV =
  packet-set-Empty by eval

```

packet set test

value(code) collect-allow-impl-v2 (take 1 example-ruleset-simplified) packet-set-UNIV

value(code) collect-allow-impl-v2 (take 2 example-ruleset-simplified) packet-set-UNIV

end
theory Analyze-Ringofsaturn-com
imports
 .././Call-Return-Unfolding
 .././Optimizing
 .././Output-Format/IPSpace-Format-Ln
 .././Packet-Set
begin

25 Example: ringofsaturn.com

We have directly executable approximating semantics: $wf\text{-}ruleset\ ?\gamma\ ?p\ ?rs \implies ?\gamma, ?p \vdash \langle ?rs, ?s \rangle \Rightarrow_{\alpha} ?t = (approximating\text{-}bigstep\text{-}fun\ ?\gamma\ ?p\ ?rs\ ?s = ?t)$

value(code) approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (\downarrow src-ip=0, dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
 (process-call ["FORWARD" \mapsto [Rule (Match (Src (Ip4Addr(192,168,0,0)))) Drop, Rule MatchAny Accept], "foo" \mapsto [] [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")])
 Undecided

definition example-ruleset == ["FORWARD" \mapsto [Rule (Match (Src ((Ip4AddrNetmask (192,168,0,0) 16)))) (Call "foo"), Rule MatchAny Drop],
 "foo" \mapsto [Rule MatchAny Log, Rule (Match (Extra "foobar"))
 Accept]]

definition example-ruleset-simplified = rm-LogEmpty (((process-call example-ruleset) ^ ^2)
 [Rule MatchAny (Call "FORWARD")])

value example-ruleset-simplified

value good-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified
value simple-ruleset example-ruleset-simplified

lemma approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (\downarrow src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal (192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
 example-ruleset-simplified
 Undecided = Decision FinalAllow **by** eval
hide-const example-ruleset-simplified example-ruleset

25.1 Example Ruleset 1

definition *example-firewall* \equiv ["STATEFUL" \mapsto [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (Match (Extra "state RE-LATED,ESTABLISHED")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (Match (Extra "state NEW")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP")],
"DUMP" \mapsto [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) (Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP)) (Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP)) (Match (Extra "reject-with tcp-reset")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP)) (Match (Extra "reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Drop)],
"INPUT" \mapsto [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "STATEFUL"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (169,254,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (172,16,0,0) 12))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (224,0,0,0) 3))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny))))]

```

(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (240,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (160,86,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 3"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 11"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 0"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 8"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:111"))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:113 reject-with tcp-reset"))))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:4"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:20"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:21"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:20"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:21"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:22"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:22"))))) (Accept),

```

```

Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:80"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:80"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:443"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:443"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpt:520 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable"))))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable"))))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "udp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable"))))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule MatchAny (Accept) ,
"FORWARD" ↦ [Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ,
"OUTPUT" ↦ [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0)))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot
ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ]

```

definition *simple-example-firewall* $\equiv (((optimize-matches\ opt-MatchAny-match-expr) \wedge^{10})$
 $(optimize-matches\ opt-simple-matcher\ (rw-Reject\ (rm-LogEmpty\ (((process-call\ example-firewall) \wedge^3)$
 $[Rule\ MatchAny\ (Call\ "INPUT")]))))$

It accepts everything in state RELATED,ESTABLISHED,NEW

```

value(code) simple-example-firewall
value good-ruleset simple-example-firewall
value simple-ruleset simple-example-firewall

```

lemma *approximating-bigstep-fun* (*simple-matcher*, *in-doubt-allow*) *p* *simple-example-firewall*
 $s = approximating-bigstep-fun\ (simple-matcher,\ in-doubt-allow)\ p\ (((process-call\ example-firewall) \wedge^3)$
 $[Rule\ MatchAny\ (Call\ "INPUT")])\ s$
apply(*simp* add: *simple-example-firewall-def*)
apply(*simp* add: *optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr*)
apply(*simp* add: *opt-simple-matcher-correct*)
apply(*simp* add: *rw-Reject-fun-semantics* *wf-in-doubt-allow*)
apply(*simp* add: *rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics*)

done

value(code) ((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ ^10) (optimize-matches-a
opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra simple-example-firewall)

lemma rmshadow (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) ^ ^10)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra simple-example-firewall))
UNIV =
[Rule MatchAny Accept]
apply(subst tmp)
apply(subst rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp del: rmshadow.simps)
apply(simp add: Matching-Ternary.matches-def)
done

value(code) approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (!src-ip=0,
dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
simple-example-firewall
Undecided

value(code) approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, in-doubt-allow) (!src-ip=ipv4addr-of-dotteddecimal
(192,168,3,5), dst-ip=0, prot=protPacket.ProtTCP)
simple-example-firewall
Undecided

We removed the first matches on state

definition example-firewall2 \equiv ["STATEFUL" \mapsto [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src
(Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0)
0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (Match (Extra "state RE-
LATED, ESTABLISHED")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(Match (Extra "state NEW")))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny))) (Call "DUMP")) ,
"DUMP" \mapsto [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "LOG flags 0 level 4")))) (Log),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "reject-with tcp-reset")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match


```

(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
(Match (Extra "reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop)) ,
"INPUT" ↦ [
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (10,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (127,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (169,254,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (172,16,0,0) 12))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (224,0,0,0) 3))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (240,0,0,0) 8))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (160,86,0,0) 16))) (MatchAnd
(Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
(MatchAny)))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 3"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 11"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 0"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Extra "Prot icmp"))
(Match (Extra "icmptype 8"))))) (Accept),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
(Match (Extra "tcp dpt:111"))))) (Drop),
Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match

```

(Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:113 reject-with tcp-reset")))) (Reject),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:4")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:20")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:21")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpt:20")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpt:21")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:22")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpt:22")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:80")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpt:80")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpt:443")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpt:443")))) (Accept),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpt:520 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtTCP))
 (Match (Extra "tcp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtUDP))
 (Match (Extra "udp dpts:137:139 reject-with icmp-port-unreachable")))) (Reject),
 Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match
 (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot ipt-protocol.ProtAll))
 (MatchAny)))) (Call "DUMP"),
 Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ,
 "FORWARD" \mapsto [Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ,

```
"OUTPUT"  $\mapsto$  [Rule (MatchAnd (Match (Src (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0)))
(MatchAnd (Match (Dst (Ip4AddrNetmask (0,0,0,0) 0))) (MatchAnd (Match (Prot
ipt-protocol.ProtAll)) (MatchAny)))) (Accept),
Rule MatchAny (Accept)] ]
```

definition *simple-example-firewall2* \equiv (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) $^{\wedge} 10$)
(optimize-matches opt-simple-matcher (rw-Reject (rm-LogEmpty (((process-call example-firewall2) $^{\wedge} 3$)
[Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")])))))

lemma *wf-unknown-match-tac* $\alpha \implies$ approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher,
 α) *p* simple-example-firewall2 *s* = approximating-bigstep-fun (simple-matcher, α)
p (((process-call example-firewall2) $^{\wedge} 3$) [Rule MatchAny (Call "INPUT")]) *s*
apply(simp add: simple-example-firewall2-def)
apply(simp add: optimize-matches-opt-MatchAny-match-expr)
apply(simp add: opt-simple-matcher-correct)
apply(simp add: rw-Reject-fun-semantics)
apply(simp add: rm-LogEmpty-fun-semantics)
done

value(code) *simple-example-firewall2*
value(code) *zip* (upto 0 (int (length simple-example-firewall2))) simple-example-firewall2
value *good-ruleset* simple-example-firewall2
value *simple-ruleset* simple-example-firewall2

in doubt allow closure

value(code) *rmMatchFalse* (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) $^{\wedge} 10$)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra simple-example-firewall2))

in doubt deny closure

value(code) *rmMatchFalse* (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) $^{\wedge} 10$)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra simple-example-firewall2))

value(code) *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) $^{\wedge} 10$)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-allow-extra simple-example-firewall2)))
value(code) *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* (rmMatchFalse (((optimize-matches opt-MatchAny-match-expr) $^{\wedge} 10$)
(optimize-matches-a opt-simple-matcher-in-doubt-deny-extra simple-example-firewall2)))
value(code) *format-Ln-rules-uncompressed* simple-example-firewall2

Allowed Packets

the first 10 rules basically accept no packets

lemma *collect-allow-impl-v2* (take 10 simple-example-firewall2) packet-set-UNIV
= packet-set-Empty **by** eval

value(code) *allow-set-not-inter* simple-example-firewall2

```
value(code) map packet-set-opt (allow-set-not-inter simple-example-firewall2)
```

```
end
```