Convex Optimization & Lagrange Duality

Chee Wei Tan

Convex Optimization and its Applications to Computer Science

Outline

- Convex optimization
- Optimality condition
- Lagrange duality
- KKT optimality condition
- Sensitivity analysis

Convex Optimization

A convex optimization problem with variables x:

minimize
$$f_0(x)$$
 subject to $f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,m$ $a_i^T x = b_i, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,p$

where f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m are convex functions.

- Minimize convex objective function (or maximize concave objective function)
- Upper bound inequality constraints on convex functions (⇒
 Constraint set is convex)
- Equality constraints must be affine

Convex Optimization

• Epigraph form:

minimize
$$t$$
 subject to $f_0(x)-t\leq 0$
$$f_i(x)\leq 0,\ i=1,2,\ldots,m$$
 $a_i^Tx=b_i,\ i=1,2,\ldots,p$

Can you reformulate the Illumination Problem in Lecture 1?

Can you reformulate the following problem (not in convex optimization form):

minimize
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2$$
 subject to
$$\frac{x_1}{1+x_2^2} \le 0$$

$$(x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0$$

Now transformed into a convex optimization problem:

minimize
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

subject to $x_1 \le 0$
 $x_1 + x_2 = 0$

Locally Optimal ⇒ Globally Optimal

Given x is locally optimal for a convex optimization problem, i.e., x is feasible and for some R > 0,

$$f_0(x) = \inf\{f_0(z)|z \text{ is feasible }, ||z - x||_2 \le R\}$$

Suppose x is not globally optimal, i.e., there is a feasible y such that $f_0(y) < f_0(x)$

Since $||y-x||_2 > R$, we can construct a point $z = (1-\theta)x + \theta y$ where $\theta = \frac{R}{2||y-x||_2}$. By convexity of feasible set, z is feasible. By convexity of f_0 , we have

$$f_0(z) \le (1 - \theta)f_0(x) + \theta f_0(y) < f_0(x)$$

which contradicts locally optimality of x

Therefore, there exists no feasible y such that $f_0(y) < f_0(x)$

Optimality Condition for Differentiable f_0

x is optimal for a convex optimization problem iff x is feasible and for all feasible y:

$$\nabla f_0(x)^T (y - x) \ge 0$$

 $-\nabla f_0(x)$ is supporting hyperplane to feasible set

Unconstrained convex optimization: condition reduces to:

$$\nabla f_0(x) = 0$$

Proof: take $y=x-t\nabla f_0(x)$ where $t\in \mathbf{R}_+$. For small enough t,y is feasible, so $\nabla f_0(x)^T(y-x)=-t\|\nabla f_0(x)\|_2^2\geq 0$. Thus $\nabla f_0(x)=0$

Unconstrained Quadratic Optimization

Minimize
$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T P x + q^T x + r$$

P is positive semidefinite. So it's a convex optimization problem x minimizes f_0 iff (P,q) satisfy this linear equality:

$$\nabla f_0(x) = Px + q = 0$$

- If $q \notin \mathcal{R}(P)$, no solution. f_0 unbounded below
- If $q \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ and $P \succ 0$, there is a unique minimizer $x^* = -P^{-1}q$
- If $q \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ and P is singular, set of optimal x: $-P^{\dagger}q + \mathcal{N}(P)$

Equality Constrained Convex Optimization

minimize
$$f_0(x)$$
 subject to $Ax = b$

Assume nonempty feasible set. Since

 $\nabla f_0(x)^T(y-x) \ge 0$, $\forall y: Ay = b$, and every feasible y can be written as y = x + v for some $v \in \mathcal{N}(A)$, optimality condition is:

$$\nabla f_0(x)^T v \ge 0, \ \forall v \in \mathcal{N}(A)$$

which implies $\nabla f_0(x)^T v = 0$, *i.e.*, $\nabla f_0(x)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{N}(A)$. Thus $\nabla f_0(x) \in \mathcal{R}(A^T)$, *i.e.*, there exists ν such that

$$\nabla f_0(x) + A^T \nu = 0$$

Conclusion: x is optimal iff Ax = b and $\exists \nu$ s.t. $\nabla f_0(x) + A^T \nu = 0$

Duality Mentality

Bound or solve an optimization problem via a different optimization problem!

We'll develop the basic Lagrange duality theory for a general optimization problem, then specialize for convex optimization

Lagrange Dual Function

An optimization problem in standard form:

minimize
$$f_0(x)$$
 subject to $f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,m$ $h_i(x)=0, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,p$

Variables: $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Assume nonempty feasible set

Optimal value: p^* . Optimizer: x^*

Idea: augment objective with a weighted sum of constraints

Lagrangian
$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x)$$

Lagrange multipliers (dual variables): $\lambda \succeq 0, \nu$

Lagrange dual function: $g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_x L(x, \lambda, \nu)$

Lower Bound on Optimal Value

Claim:
$$g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*, \ \forall \lambda \succeq 0, \nu$$

Proof: Consider feasible \tilde{x} :

$$L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i h_i(\tilde{x}) \le f_0(\tilde{x})$$

since
$$f_i(\tilde{x}) \leq 0$$
 and $\lambda_i \geq 0$

Hence,
$$g(\lambda, \nu) \leq L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) \leq f_0(\tilde{x})$$
 for all feasible \tilde{x}
Therefore, $g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$

Lagrange Dual Function and Conjugate Function

- Lagrange dual function $g(\lambda, \nu)$
- Conjugate function: $f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{dom}\, f} (y^T x f(x))$ Consider linearly constrained optimization:

minimize
$$f_0(x)$$

subject to $Ax \leq b$
 $Cx = d$

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x} (f_0(x) + \lambda^T (Ax - b) + \nu^T (Cx - d))$$

$$= -b^T \lambda - d^T \nu + \inf_{x} (f_0(x) + (A^T \lambda + C^T \nu)^T x)$$

$$= -b^T \lambda - d^T \nu - f_0^* (-A^T \lambda - C^T \nu)$$

Example

We'll use the simplest version of entropy maximization as our example for the rest of this lecture on duality. Entropy maximization is an important basic problem in information theory:

minimize
$$f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i$$
 subject to $Ax \leq b$ $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$

Since the conjugate function of $u \log u$ is e^{y-1} , by independence of the sum, we have

$$f_0^*(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{y_i - 1}$$

Therefore, dual function of entropy maximization is

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = -b^T \lambda - \nu - e^{-\nu - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-a_i^T \lambda}$$

where a^i are columns of A

Lagrange Dual Problem

Lower bound from Lagrange dual function depends on (λ, ν) . What's the best lower bound that can be obtained from Lagrange dual function?

maximize
$$g(\lambda, \nu)$$
 subject to $\lambda \succeq 0$

This is the Lagrange dual problem with dual variables (λ, ν)

Always a convex optimization! (Dual objective function always a concave function since it's the infimum of a family of affine functions in (λ, ν))

Denote the optimal value of Lagrange dual problem by d^{st}

Weak Duality

What's the relationship between d^* and p^* ?

Weak duality always hold (even if primal problem is not convex):

$$d^* \le p^*$$

Optimal duality gap:

$$p^* - d^*$$

Efficient generation of lower bounds through (convex) dual problem

Strong Duality

Strong duality (zero optimal duality gap):

$$d^* = p^*$$

If strong duality holds, solving dual is 'equivalent' to solving primal.

But strong duality does not always hold

Convexity and constraint qualifications ⇒ Strong duality

A simple constraint qualification: Slater's condition (there exists strictly feasible primal variables $f_i(x) < 0$ for non-affine f_i)

Another reason why convex optimization is 'easy'

Example: Entropy Maximization

Primal optimization problem (variables x):

minimize
$$f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i$$

subject to $Ax \leq b$, $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$

Dual optimization problem (variables λ, ν):

maximize
$$-b^T\lambda - \nu - e^{-\nu - 1}\sum_{i=1}^n e^{-a_i^T\lambda}$$
 subject to $\lambda \succeq 0$

Analytically maximize over the unconstrained $\nu \Rightarrow$ Simplified dual optimization problem (variables λ) and strong duality holds:

maximize
$$-b^T \lambda - \log \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(-a_i^T \lambda)$$
 subject to $\lambda \succeq 0$

Saddle Point Interpretation

Assume no equality constraints. We can express primal optimal value as

$$p^* = \inf_{x} \sup_{\lambda \succeq 0} L(x, \lambda)$$

By definition of dual optimal value:

$$d^* = \sup_{\lambda \succeq 0} \inf_{x} L(x, \lambda)$$

Weak duality (max min inequality):

$$\sup_{\lambda\succeq 0}\inf_x L(x,\lambda) \leq \inf_x \sup_{\lambda\succeq 0} L(x,\lambda)$$

Strong duality (saddle point property):

$$\sup_{\lambda\succeq 0}\inf_x L(x,\lambda)=\inf_x\sup_{\lambda\succeq 0}L(x,\lambda)$$

Complementary Slackness

Assume strong duality holds:

$$f_{0}(x^{*}) = g(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*})$$

$$= \inf_{x} \left(f_{0}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}^{*} f_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_{i}^{*} h_{i}(x) \right)$$

$$\leq f_{0}(x^{*}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}^{*} f_{i}(x^{*}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_{i}^{*} h_{i}(x^{*})$$

$$\leq f_{0}(x^{*})$$

Complementary Slackness

So the two inequalities must hold with equality. This implies:

$$\lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Complementary Slackness Property:

$$\lambda_i^* > 0 \implies f_i(x^*) = 0$$
$$f_i(x^*) < 0 \implies \lambda_i^* = 0$$

KKT Optimality Conditions

Since x^* minimizes $L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$ over x, we have

$$\nabla f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0$$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions:

$$f_i(x^*) \le 0, \quad h_i(x^*) = 0, \quad \lambda_i^* \succeq 0$$
$$\lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$$
$$\nabla f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0$$

KKT Optimality Conditions

- Any optimization (with differentiable objective and constraint functions) with strong duality, KKT condition is necessary condition for primal-dual optimality
- Convex optimization (with differentiable objective and constraint functions) with Slater's condition, KKT condition is also sufficient condition for primal-dual optimality (useful for theoretical and numerical purposes)

Example: Entropy Maximization

Primal optimization problem (variables x):

minimize
$$f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i$$

subject to $Ax \leq b$, $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$

Dual optimization problem (variables λ, ν):

maximize
$$-b^T\lambda - \nu - e^{-\nu - 1}\sum_{i=1}^n e^{-a_i^T\lambda}$$
 subject to $\lambda \succeq 0$

Having solved dual problem, recover optimal primal variables as minimizer of

$$L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \log x_i + \lambda^{*T} (Ax - b) + \nu^* (\mathbf{1}^T x - 1)$$

i.e.,
$$x_i^* = 1/\exp(a_i^T \lambda^* + \nu^* + 1)$$

If x^* above is primal feasible, it's the optimal primal. Otherwise, the primal optimum is not attained

Example: Maximizing Channel Capacity as Water-filling

maximize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \frac{x_i}{\alpha_i} \right)$$
 subject to $x \succeq 0$, $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$

Variables: x (powers). Constants: $\alpha_i > 0$ (channel noise)

KKT conditions:

$$x^* \succeq 0, \quad \mathbf{1}^T x^* = 1, \quad \lambda^* \succeq 0$$

 $\lambda_i^* x_i^* = 0, \quad -1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*) - \lambda_i^* + \nu^* = 0$

Since λ^* are slack variables, reduce to

$$x^* \succeq 0, \quad \mathbf{1}^T x^* = 1$$

 $x_i^* (\nu^* - 1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*)) = 0, \quad \nu^* \ge 1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*)$

If
$$\nu^* < 1/\alpha_i$$
, $x_i^* > 0$. So $x_i^* = 1/\nu^* - \alpha_i$. Otherwise, $x_i^* = 0$ Thus, $x_i^* = [1/\nu^* - \alpha_i]^+$ where ν^* is such that $\sum_i x_i^* = 1$

- Draw a picture to interpret this optimal solution
- Design an algorithm to compute this optimal solution

Global Sensitivity Analysis

Perturbed optimization problem:

minimize
$$f_0(x)$$
 subject to $f_i(x) \leq u_i, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,m$ $h_i(x)=v_i \quad i=1,2,\ldots,p$

Optimal value $p^*(u,v)$ as a function of parameters (u,v)

Assume strong duality and that dual optimum is attained:

$$p^{*}(0,0) = g(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*})$$

$$\leq f_{0}(x) + \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} f_{i}(x) + \sum_{i} \nu_{i}^{*} h_{i}(x)$$

$$\leq f_{0}(x) + \lambda^{*T} u + \nu^{*T} v$$

Global Sensitivity Analysis

$$p^*(u,v) \ge p^*(0,0) - \lambda^{*T}u - \nu^{*T}v$$

- ullet If λ_i^* is large, tightening ith constraint $(u_i < 0)$ will increase optimal value greatly
- If λ_i^* is small, loosening *i*th constraint $(u_i > 0)$ will reduce optimal value only slightly

Local Sensitivity Analysis

Assume that $p^*(u, v)$ is differentiable at (0, 0):

$$\lambda_i^* = -\frac{\partial p^*(0,0)}{\partial u_i}, \quad \nu_i^* = -\frac{\partial p^*(0,0)}{\partial v_i}$$

Shadow price interpretation of Lagrange dual variables

Small λ_i^* means tightening or loosening ith constraint will not change optimal value by much

Summary

- Convexity mentality. Convex optimization is 'nice' for several reasons: local optimum is global optimum, zero optimal duality gap (under mild conditions), KKT optimality conditions are necessary and sufficient
- Duality mentality. Can always bound primal through dual, sometimes indirectly solve primal through dual
- Primal-dual: where is the optimum, how sensitive is it to perturbation in problem parameters?

Reading assignment: Sections 4.1-4.2 and 5.1-5.7 of textbook.

Economics Interpretation

- Primal objective: cost of operation
- Primal constraints: can be violated
- Dual variables: price for violating the corresponding constraint (dollar per unit violation). For the same price, can sell 'unused violation' for revenue
- Lagrangian: total cost
- Lagrange dual function: optimal cost as a function of violation prices
- Weak duality: optimal cost when constraints can be violated is less

than or equal to optimal cost when constraints cannot be violated, for any violation prices

- Duality gap: minimum possible arbitrage advantage
- Strong duality: can price the violations so that there is no arbitrage advantages