2.

2. 7 C(a) Y D(b)

3. 7C(c) V E(d)

4. 7 D(w) 17 E(y)

5. B(x')

6. C(x1)

7. D(b)

8. E(d)

9. 7E(y1)

10. II

premise

Fremise

premise

premise

negation of sentence to be proved in clause form

 $1,5, \{x/x'\}$

2,6,2 2/2}

3, 6, {x1/c}

4,7, {w/b}

8,9, {y2/d}

validity means F YxP(x) -> = yP(y) using the converse of the Deduction Theorem + ∀x P(x) → ∃yP(y) implies this entailment relation ¬ (YxP(x) → JyP(y)) in CNF -(TVXP(X) V JyP(Y))

YXP(X) N-JY P(Y) YXP(X) N YX7P(Y)

 $P(x) \wedge P(y)$

clauses from CNF of sentence to be proved (Note: no premises) 1. P(x) 2. 7P(y)

1,2,8/93 **3**. ロ

C= {} 4. $C = \{ P(a_2, a_1), P(a_3, a_2), P(a_4, a_3), P(a_1, a_4) \}$ add all of the facts (R) C= { P(a2,a1), P(a3,a2), P(a4,a3), P(a1,a4), Q(a2,a1), Q(a3,a2), Q(a4,a3), Q(a1,a4)} add all the Qs from the first rule C= & all of the atoms in @ plus these are $Q(a_2,a_4)$, $Q(a_3,a_1)$, $Q(a_4,a_2)$, $Q(a_1,a_3)$ derived bottom up using the 2nd rule and the items in Cabore (**) C= { all of the atoms in (**) p) us $\rightarrow Q(a_2,a_3), Q(a_3,a_4), Q(a_4,a_1), Q(a_1,a_2)$ (*** C = { all of the atoms in (**) plus Q(a2,a2), Q(a3,a3), Q(a4,a4), Q(a1,a1)} [no more as can be added] C= { all of the atoms in (***) plus R(a,,a,), R(a,,a,), R(a,,a,), R(a+,2+),

 $R(a_1,a_2), R(a_1,a_3), R(a_1,a_4), \dots$ $\Gamma \vdash R(a_1, a_1)$ because $R(a_1, a_1) \in C$

yes $\leftarrow R(a, a, a)$ Rename variables in rule 3 and apply mgu {x,/a, > yes $\leftarrow Q(a_1, a_1)$ answer the the same of the sam $\leftarrow Q(q_1, Z_1) \wedge P(Z_1, a_1)$ Revame variables in rule 2 and apply Should $\leftarrow P(a_1, \Xi_1) \wedge P(\Xi_1, a_1) \qquad \text{mga } i \times_2 / i$ $\{y_3/\Xi_1\} \quad (\text{rule } 1)$ mga {x2/a,, y2/a15 $\left(\left\{ P\left(a_{4},a_{1}\right) \right\} \left(\left\{ z_{1}/a_{4}\right\} \left(\left\{ fact4\right) \right\} \right)$ $yes \leftarrow Q(a_1, a_1)$ yes ← P(a,, a,) {x,/a, y,/a} (rule 1) This gets stuck as well Note Imised yes $Q(a_1,a_1)$ $\{x_1|a_1,y_1|a_1\}$ yes $\leftarrow Q(a_1, Z_1) \wedge P(Z_1, a_1) \begin{cases} 4x_2/a_1, 4z/a_1 \end{cases}$ yes - Q(a,, Zz) A P(Zz, Z,) AP(Z,, a,) {x3/a, , 43/a, } Note: each of these. yes $\leftarrow P(a_1, z_3) \wedge P(z_3, z_2) \wedge P(z_2, z_1) \wedge P(z_1, a_1)^2$ yes $\leftarrow P(a_1, a_4) \wedge P(a_4, z_2) \wedge P(z_2, z_1) \wedge P(z_1, a_1)^5$ F would get stuck if either of the two if the yes ← P(a+, Z2) ∧ P(Z2, Z1) ∧ P(Z1, a) {x5/a, y5/a4} if the uses of variables yes = P(a3,Z1) 1 P(Z1,a1) {22/a3} rules lor 2 are used renamed $yes \leftarrow P(a_2, a_1)$ { $\pm 1/a_2$ } these wouldnot work yes <

Note: it is often the case that for resolutions to succeed the resolvents must build to the point that it can collapse