$NBAcase_B_Model$

Team

5/6/2021

Contents

 \mathbf{EDA}

| EDA | 1 |
|---|------------------|
| Multivariate Linear Reg - Stepwise | 2 2 2 5 |
| Conclusion | 5 |
| EDA | |
| <pre>df = read.csv('NBA_Data.csv') #summary(df)</pre> | |
| #df_2 = read.csv('NBAData_test.csv') #df_2 = df_2[-c(4,5,6),] #df_test = df_2[,-c(1,2,33,35)] | |
| <pre>library(ggplot2) theme_set(theme_classic() + theme(legend.position = "top"))</pre> | |
| <pre>#ggplot(df, aes(x=Deal.Average.Salary)) + # geom_histogram(binwidth=1000000, colour="black", fill="white") #ggplot(df, aes(x=Age)) + # geom_histogram(binwidth=1, colour="black", fill="white") #ggplot(df, aes(x=G)) + # geom_histogram(binwidth=1, colour="black", fill="white") #ggplot(df, aes(x=MP)) + # geom_histogram(binwidth=100, colour="black", fill="white") #ggplot(df, aes(x=FG)) + # geom_histogram(binwidth=10, colour="black", fill="white") #ggplot(df, aes(x=eFG.)) + # geom_histogram(binwidth=0.01, colour="black", fill="white")</pre> | |
| <pre>library(ggcorrplot) corr = round(cor_pmat(df[-1]),2)</pre> | |

```
ggcorrplot(corr, type = "lower")
corr = as.data.frame(corr)
corr
```

Question: Which three metrics are most correlated with AAV and which three are most correlated with each other? Please include this in your summary.

Answer: Deal_Year, Age, X3PAr are most correlated with AAV(Salary). Pairs with the highest corr coef: Deal_Year & FT, X3PAr & RFA, FG & AST, X3P & DRB, etc. Variables with highest corr with others: Deal_Year, Age, RFA

Modeling

Multivariate Linear Reg - Stepwise

```
#df_model = df[, -c(1,3,4)]
#req = lm(Deal.Average.Salary~Age+G+GS+MP+FGA+FG.+X3P.
          +eFG.+FTA+FT.+ORB+TRB+STL+BLK+TOV+PF+PTS+X3PAr+FTr+USG.+OWS+DWS+WS
          +Deal_Year*FT+X3PAr*RFA+FG*AST+X3P*DRB, df_model)
#summary(reg)
#Stepwise
null1 = lm(Deal.Average.Salary ~ 1, df_model)
full1 = lm(Deal.Average.Salary ~ ., df_model)
stepwise1 =step(null1, scope=list(lower=null1, upper=full1), direction="both")
summary(stepwise1)
reg = lm(formula = Deal.Average.Salary ~ WS + G + FGA + RFA + ORB +
   USG. + DWS + FG + X3PAr, data = df model)
summary(reg)
#plot(reg)
y_predicted_mlr = predict(reg, newx = x)
sst_mlr = sum((df_model$Deal.Average.Salary - mean(df_model$Deal.Average.Salary))^2)
sse_mlr = sum((y_predicted_mlr - df_model$Deal.Average.Salary)^2)
rsq_mlr = 1 - sse_mlr/sst_mlr
print(paste("R-Square - MLR:", rsq_mlr))
print(paste("Res Sum of Sq - MLR:", sse_mlr))
y_pred_reg = predict(reg, df_test[,c("WS", "G", "FGA", "RFA", "ORB", "USG.", "FG", "X3PAr", "DWS")])
print(paste("Predicted Value - MLR:", df_2$Player, y_pred_reg))
df JG = read.csv('JG data.csv')
df_tJG = df_JG[,-1]
y_pred_reg = predict(reg, df_tJG[,c("WS", "G", "FGA", "RFA", "ORB", "Deal_Year", "USG.", "Age", "DWS")]
print(paste("Predicted Value - JG:", df_JG$Player, y_pred_reg))
```

LASSO

```
library(caTools)
set.seed(123)
split = sample.split(df$Deal.Average.Salary, SplitRatio = 0.70)
```

```
df_train = subset(df, split == TRUE)
df_test = subset(df, split == FALSE)
#install.packages("qlmnet")
library(glmnet)
## Loading required package: Matrix
## Loaded glmnet 4.1-1
lambdas = 10^seq(2, -3, by = -.1)
x_train = data.matrix(df_train[, -c(1,2)])
lasso_reg = cv.glmnet(x_train, df_train$Deal.Average.Salary, alpha = 1)
lambda_best = lasso_reg$lambda.min
reg_lasso = glmnet(x_train, df_train$Deal.Average.Salary, alpha=1, lambda = lambda_best)
coef(reg_lasso)
## 32 x 1 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix"
## (Intercept) 1.228923e+09
## Deal_Year -6.062005e+05
## Age
              -3.549166e+04
## G
              -1.256735e+05
## GS
              2.048672e+04
## MP
## FG
## FGA
              1.428391e+04
## FG.
## X3P
              1.754752e+04
## X3PA
## X3P.
              -1.298366e+06
## eFG.
## FT
## FTA
## FT.
## ORB
## DRB
              -2.746186e+03
## TRB
## AST
              6.206511e+03
## STL
## BLK
## TOV
              -1.756029e+04
## PF
              -1.049853e+04
## PTS
## X3PAr
              -1.062662e+06
## FTr
              -4.542440e+05
## USG.
              -3.841536e+04
## OWS
              1.111473e+06
## DWS
## WS
              1.425767e+06
## RFA
              1.571643e+06
```

```
# testing
x_test = data.matrix(df_test[, -c(1,2)])
y_pred_LASSO = predict(reg_lasso, s = lambda_best, newx = x_test)
sst = sum((df_test$Deal.Average.Salary - mean(df_test$Deal.Average.Salary))^2)
sse = sum((y_pred_LASSO - df_test$Deal.Average.Salary)^2)
rsq = 1 - sse/sst
print(paste("R-Square - LASSO:", rsq))
## [1] "R-Square - LASSO: 0.742299569765037"
print(paste("Res Sum of Sq - LASSO:", sse))
## [1] "Res Sum of Sq - LASSO: 2985615246839328"
#print(paste("PV:", df_test$Player, y_pred_LASSO, df_test$Deal.Average.Salary))
Predicted = y_pred_LASSO
df_{result} = df_{test}[,c(1,2)]
df_result$Predicted = y_pred_LASSO
df_result$Error = df_result$Predicted-df_result$Deal.Average.Salary
df_result$Status = ifelse(df_result$Error<0, 'Overpaid', 'Underpaid')</pre>
df_result$AbsErrLess1Mil = ifelse(abs(df_result$Error)<1000000, 'Yes', 'No')</pre>
head(df_result)
##
                  Player Deal.Average.Salary
## 2
           Tobias Harris
                                    36000000 22503247 -13496753 Overpaid No
## 4
           Kevin Durant
                                    41063925 31423713 -9640212 Overpaid No
## 5 Kristaps Porzingis
                                    31650600 15942059 -15708541 Overpaid No
                                    34122650 29237305 -4885345 Overpaid No
## 8
           Kyrie Irving
## 11
           Kawhi Leonard
                                    34379100 28219269 -6159831 Overpaid No
## 16
           Julius Randle
                                    20700000 15503483 -5196517 Overpaid No
library(dplyr)
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       filter, lag
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
       intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
df_result %>%
  group_by(Status) %>%
  summarise(Count = length(Player))%>%
 mutate(Percentage = Count / sum(Count))
## `summarise()` ungrouping output (override with `.groups` argument)
## # A tibble: 2 x 3
   Status[,"1"] Count Percentage
     <chr>
                  <int>
                             <dbl>
## 1 Overpaid
                     71
                             0.493
```

```
## 2 Underpaid
                     73
                             0.507
df result %>%
  group_by(AbsErrLess1Mil) %>%
  summarise(Count = length(Player))%>%
 mutate(Percentage = Count / sum(Count))
## `summarise()` ungrouping output (override with `.groups` argument)
## # A tibble: 2 x 3
    AbsErrLess1Mil[,"1"] Count Percentage
##
##
                          <int>
                                     <dbl>
## 1 No
                            102
                                     0.708
## 2 Yes
                                     0.292
df_JG = read.csv('JG_data.csv')
df_tJG = df_JG[,-c(1,3,4)]
df_tJG = data.matrix(df_tJG[, -c(1)])
y_pred_LASSO_JG = predict(reg_lasso, s = lambda_best, newx = df_tJG)
print(paste("Predicted (LASSO) - JG:", df_JG$Player, y_pred_LASSO_JG))
print(paste("Actual - JG:", df_JG$Player, df_JG$Deal.Average.Salary))
```

Random Forest

Conclusion

LASSO Regression achieved the highest performance (highest residual sum of square and r square). The combo of predictors selected by LASSO can be found above with their respective coefficients.

Notes: coefficients can be directly interpreted by unit changes for Multivariate Reg and Lasso Reg, no transformations were applied to modeling. Random Forest does not support predictor interpretation.