TITLE

FIRST AUTHOR¹ AND SECOND AUTHOR² Draft version June 25, 2013

ABSTRACT

24

25

26

Abstract

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

51

52

53

Subject headings: keywords

1. INTRODUCTION

2. METHOD

2.1. Host star parameters

[APO observation and spectrum fitting]

We estimate the rotation period of the host star by plotting the Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982, Figure 1) for the Kepler PDC lightcurve, with primary transits masked. The resulting spectrum was checked by the CLEAN algorithm (Roberts et al. 1987). We adopte the first significant peak at 1.19 days as the rotation period of the host star. [Justify with vsini




Fig. 1.— caption

2.2. Transit lightcurve fitting

The transit lightcurve is modelled using the Nelson & Davis (1972) model, implemented in an adaption of the JKTEBOP code (Popper & Etzel 1981; Southworth et al. 2004). The relevant free parameters are orbital period P, transit centre T_0 , normalised radius sum $R_{\star} + R_p/a$, radius ratio R_p/R_{\star} , line of sight inclination i, and quadratic limb darkening coefficients c_1 and c_2 . Initial estimates of the limb darkening coefficients are taken from Sing (2010). Jump parameters for the stellar oblation correction include the planet orbit obliquity λ , stellar oblation f. The projection angle between the stellar rotation axis and line of sight i_{rot} is fixed for the initial analysis, then set free to explore the potential degeneracies. A flux offset for each transit event is calculated and removed at each iteration, and is not included in the fit parameters. For Kepler long cadence data, the model is modified by a 30 minute boxcar smooth. The best fit parameters and the posterior probability distribution is explored via a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis, using the emcee MCMC ensemble sampler (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2012). The likelihood function is given by $\exp(-\chi^2/2)$. For each transit, we scale the flux errors such that the reduced χ^2 is at unity. This allows for errors other than photon noise to be taken into account.

3. ANALYSIS

4. DISCUSSION

REFERENCES

48

49

41

43

45

Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2012, ArXiv e-prints Lomb, N. R. 1976, Ap&SS, 39, 447

Nelson, B., & Davis, W. D. 1972, ApJ, 174, 617 55

Popper, D. M., & Etzel, P. B. 1981, AJ, 86, 102

Roberts, D. H., Lehar, J., & Dreher, J. W. 1987, AJ, 93, 968

Scargle, J. D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835

Sing, D. K. 2010, A&A, 510, A21

59 Southworth, J., Maxted, P. F. L., & Smalley, B. 2004, MNRAS,

¹ Affil2; email@email.com