

WAB

Provadis School of International Management and Technology

Exposé

Comparing Suffix Automata Against Suffix Arrays For Longest Common Substring Queries

When "Linear Time" is Not Enough

Rubin Chempananickal James

rubin.chempananickaljames@stud-provadis-hochschule.de

Matriculation Number: D876

Department: Information Technology

Module: Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen

Reviewer: Prof. Dr. Volker Scheidemann

February 24, 2026

Contents

Glossary	IV
Exposé	1
Introduction	1
Research Question	1
Objectives	2
Methodology	2
Planned Structure	3

Glossary

DNA Deoxyribonucleic Acid, the molecule that carries genetic information in living organisms. 1

RNA Ribonucleic Acid, a molecule that is transcribed from DNA and which then gets translated into proteins. 1

Exposé

Introduction

The Longest Common Substring (LCS) problem is a fundamental problem in computer science, with applications in multiple domains, bioinformatics being one of the most prominent. This is due to the fact that DNA and RNA sequences are usually encoded as strings for bioinformatics workflows, in a format called FASTA, originally described by Pearson and Lipman in 1985^{pearson}.

The LCS problem is defined as follows:

Given two strings S and T, each of length at most n, the longest common substring (LCS) problem is to find a longest substring common to S and T.^{lcsdef}

For example, given the strings "AGCTAGC" and "TCTAGCTA", the longest common substring is "CTAGC", which has a length of 5. The LCS problem can be solved using various algorithms, such as dynamic programming, suffix trees, suffix arrays, and suffix automata, each with different time and space complexities.

Of particular interest are the Suffix Automaton (SAM) (also known as Directed Acyclic Word Graph (DAWG)) and the Suffix Array (SA) with Longest Common Prefix (LCP) Array (also known as an Enhanced Suffix Array (ESA)), which both yield the search result in linear time, but differ in their construction time and space requirements.

Research Question

The primary research question of this paper is:

How do the Suffix Automaton and the Enhanced Suffix Array (ESA) compare in terms of time and space complexity when solving the Longest Common Substring problem in an everyday programming context?

Python^{python} was chosen as the programming language for this paper due to the fact that it is by far the most popular programming language for bioinformatics^{pythonproof}.

Objectives

The objectives of this paper are as follows:

- To review the existing literature on algorithms for solving the Longest Common Substring problem, with a focus on the Suffix Automaton and the Enhanced Suffix Array (ESA).
- To implement the Suffix Automaton and the Enhanced Suffix Array (ESA) algorithms for solving the Longest Common Substring problem.
- To evaluate the time and space complexity of both algorithms using a Python script that measures their performance on a variety of test cases.
- To analyze the results and draw conclusions about the trade-offs between the two approaches in terms of their efficiency and practicality for real-world applications.

Methodology

The SAM and ESA algorithms will be implemented in Python, and only synthetic test cases will be used to evaluate their performance. The amount of memory consumed by the algorithms will be measured using the `tracemalloc` library, while the time taken by the algorithms will be measured using the `time` library.

To ensure a fair comparison, both algorithms will be tested on the same set of input strings and on the same machine, and the results will be averaged over multiple runs to account for any variability in performance. Additionally, the median, standard deviation, and interquartile range of the results will be calculated to provide a more comprehensive analysis of the performance of both algorithms and to account for the inherent non-determinism of the operating environment.

These results will be compared against the expected theoretical time and space complexities for constructing and querying the SAM and the ESA algorithms.

Planned Structure

The paper will likely be structured as follows:

- Introduction
- Research Question and Objectives
-
- Literature Review
- Methodology
- Results and Discussion
- Limitations
- Conclusion and Future Work
- References
- AI Declaration
- Declaration of Authorship