

# From Data to Insights - Exercise sheet 7

discussed June 06 and June 07

May 31, 2024

## 1 Calculating Evidence and Posterior with pen and paper (no computers)

Consider a measured data vector

$$\mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

which we know to be drawn from a Gaussian likelihood with covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

and with expectation value

$$\mu(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a free parameter. To constrain that parameter we perform a Bayesian analysis, employing a flat prior over the interval  $[\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\max}]$ .

Assume that this prior is much wider than the final posterior. Under this assumption:

- A) Calculate the Bayesian evidence  $p(\mathbf{d})$ . How does it depend on the difference  $(\alpha_{\max} - \alpha_{\min})$ ?
- B) Calculate the posterior  $p(\alpha|\mathbf{d})$ . What are the mean  $\mu_\alpha$  and the standard deviation  $\sigma_\alpha$  of that posterior?

## 2 A metric for the tension between datasets

Assume that two data vectors  $\mathbf{d}_A$  and  $\mathbf{d}_B$  have been measured. Our likelihood models

$$p_A(\mathbf{d}_A|\boldsymbol{\pi}), p_B(\mathbf{d}_B|\boldsymbol{\pi}) \quad (4)$$

for the data vectors share the same parameter space, and we assume the same prior distribution  $p(\boldsymbol{\pi})$  for both measurements.

To determine, whether both measurements can indeed be described by the same values for the parameters  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ , let us consider two different likelihood models for the combined data vector

$$\mathbf{d} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}_A \\ \mathbf{d}_B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The first model assumes that both measurements are indeed described by the same parameters, i.e.

$$p_1(\mathbf{d}|\boldsymbol{\pi}) = p_A(\mathbf{d}_A|\boldsymbol{\pi})p_B(\mathbf{d}_B|\boldsymbol{\pi}), \quad (6)$$

such that the posterior becomes

$$p_1(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\mathbf{d}) = \frac{p_A(\mathbf{d}_A|\boldsymbol{\pi})p_B(\mathbf{d}_B|\boldsymbol{\pi})p(\boldsymbol{\pi})}{p_1(\mathbf{d})}. \quad (7)$$

The 2nd model assumes that both measurements need completely independent values of their respective parameters. This leads to the likelihood

$$p_2(\mathbf{d}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_A, \boldsymbol{\pi}_B) = p_A(\mathbf{d}_A|\boldsymbol{\pi}_A)p_B(\mathbf{d}_B|\boldsymbol{\pi}_B) \quad (8)$$

and to the posterior

$$p_2(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\mathbf{d}) = \frac{p_A(\mathbf{d}_A|\boldsymbol{\pi}_A)p_B(\mathbf{d}_B|\boldsymbol{\pi}_B)p(\boldsymbol{\pi}_A)p(\boldsymbol{\pi}_B)}{p_2(\mathbf{d})}. \quad (9)$$

- A) Write down an expression for the Bayes ratio between these two models.
- B) Assume that  $p(\boldsymbol{\pi})$  is a flat prior over some region of parameters space with volume  $V$ . Assume also, that this region is much larger than the bulk of the respective posteriors (e.g. the prior may be much wider than the  $6\text{-}\sigma$  contour of the posteriors).
- How does the Bayes ratio depend on the prior volume  $V$ ?
- C) In the limit of very large  $V$ , which model will be preferred according to the Bayes factor?

### 3 Distribution of best-fit $\chi^2$

Consider a Gaussian likelihood model with covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 25 & 0 \\ 0 & 25 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

and with expectation value

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (11)$$

- A) As in the last exercise sheet, put yourself in 'god mode': assume that the true parameter value is  $\alpha_{\text{true}} = 0$  and draw many ( $>10000$ ) data vectors  $\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \dots$ . Back in human mode, calculate the best-fitting value of  $\chi^2$  for each of these measurements. Is the mean best-fit  $\chi^2$  equal to the number of degrees-of-freedom  $N_{\text{dof}} = N_{\text{data}} - N_{\text{param}} = 1$ ?
- B) Plot a (normalised) histogram of the  $\chi^2$  values you obtained and compare it to the  $\chi^2$ -distribution with  $N_{\text{dof}} = 1$ .
- C) Repeat A) and B) but changing the expectation value of the likelihood model to

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} . \quad (12)$$

### 4 Discussion question

- Bring up whatever questions you may have from the lecture.