Instructions:

- Due 04/06 at 11:59pm on Gradescope.
- Write the names of anyone you work with on the top of your assignment. If you worked alone, write that you worked alone.
- Show your work.
- Include all code you use as copyable monospaced text in the PDF (i.e. not as a screenshot).
- Do not put the solutions to multiple problems on the same page.
- Tag your responses on gradescope. Each page should have a single problem tag.
 Improperly tagged responses will not receive credit.

Problem 1. Download the numpy data file from this link: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1AoOHQDnaGlYirr8pak6TRUd8IItqTy9U/view?usp=share_link

Use the following code to import the file into numpy.

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

im = np.load('change this path/tandon.npy')
A = np.mean(im,axis=2)
```

Here we obtain A by averaging the red, green, and blue channels of the image. This result sin a black and white image.

- (a) Plot the image using np.imshow. You may want to use the colormap 'Greys_r' so that it looks like a greyscale image.
- (b) Compute the reduced SVD of **A**. You can use full_matrices=False to get the reduced SVD. This will be much faster than computing the full SVD.
 - For each k=1,10,100,200, make a plot of the best rank-k approximation \mathbf{A}_k to \mathbf{A} (i.e. via truncated SVD). Label each plot with the rank k as well as the relative error $\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}_k\|_{\mathsf{F}} / \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}$
- (c) Remark on the quality of the plots.

How many floating point numbers are required to store **A**? How many are required to store the rank-*k* truncated SVD?

Problem 2. Computing the SVD is expensive, but randomization can help us!

- (a) Randomized numerical linear algebra (RandNLA) is the study of the use of randomness in numerical linear algebra algorithms. One of the most famous randNLA algorithms is the randomized SVD. A simple version can be described in several lines:
 - Choose a $n \times k$ matrix **R** with standard normal random entries
 - Compute X = AR
 - Compute $\mathbf{Q}_{\prime} = QR(\mathbf{X})$
 - Compute SVD of $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{A}$: $\hat{\mathbf{U}} \hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \hat{\mathbf{V}}^T$
 - Return approximate SVD of A: $(Q\hat{U})\hat{\Sigma}\hat{V}^{T}$

Implement this algorithm and time it for k = 100. To generate the random matrix, you can use np.random.randn(n,k).

Again make sure to use full_matrices=False when computing the SVD of $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}$. Compare this to long the whole randomized SVD took (all of the steps) against the time to compute the exact SVD in the previous problem.

- (b) Make a plot of the k = 100 truncated SVD and the k = 100 randomized SVD. Show the relative errors $\|\mathbf{A} (\mathbf{Q}\hat{\mathbf{U}})\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathsf{T}}\|_{\mathsf{F}}/\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}$ for each.
- (c) Prove that $\mathbf{Q}\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ has orthonormal columns.
- **Problem 3.** (a) Suppose **v** is an eigenvector of **A** with eigenvalue λ . Show $c\mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of **A**. What is the eigenvalue?
 - (b) Suppose **X** is a $n \times m$ matrix. Write $\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathsf{F}}$ in terms of the column-norms $\|[\mathbf{X}]_{:,i}\|_{2}$.
 - (c) Suppose **X** is a $n \times m$ matrix and **U** is a $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix ($\mathbf{U}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$). Show that $\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathsf{F}} = \|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathsf{F}}$. Hint: use (b) and show that $\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ for any vector **x**.

Problem 4. This problem will illustrate that solving the normal equations is less stable than other approaches.

(a) For each $\kappa = 10^1, 10^2, 10^3 \dots, 10^8$, construct a 500×100 matrix **A** whose condition number is κ . A simple way to do this is to generate **U** and **V** as random orthogonal matrices of size $m \times n$ and $n \times n$ and define Σ as a $n \times n$ diagonal matrix manually.

The following code gets you started, you just need to modify the line for the singular values $s = \dots$

```
m,n = 500,100
U,_ = np.linalg.qr(np.random.randn(m,n))
V,_ = np.linalg.qr(np.random.randn(n,n))
s = #TODO
A = U@np.diag(s)@V.T
```

Let **b** be the all ones vector, and compute the "true" solution to the least squares problem $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2$ by setting $\mathbf{x}_{\text{true}} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}$. This can be done with the following code:

```
b = np.ones(m)
x true = V@np.diag(1/s)@U.T@b
```

Now, compute the least squares solution via:

- numpy's least squares solver np.linalg.lstsq
- a QR based approach with numpy's np.linalg.qr and np.linalg.solve or sp.linalg.solve_triangular
- Solving the normal equations with np.linalg.solve

For each of these three methods and each value of κ , record the relative error $\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{method}} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{true}}\|_2 / \|\mathbf{x}_{\text{true}}\|_2$, where $\mathbf{x}_{\text{method}}$ is the solution obtained by the given method.

- (b) Make a log-log plot with the following five (labeled) curves:
 - $\kappa \text{ vs } 10^{-16} \kappa$
 - $\kappa \text{ vs } 10^{-16} \kappa^2$
 - κ vs relative error (for each of the three methods above)

Comment on what you observe about the plots. In particular, discuss how each method depends on κ and what the relative errors would be if we did everything in exact arithmetic