

## Homework 4 Numerical Analysis Spring 2023

### Instructions:

- Problem 1.** Download the numpy data file from this link: [https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Ao0HQDnaGLYirr8pak6TRUd8IIItqTy9U/view?usp=share\\_link](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Ao0HQDnaGLYirr8pak6TRUd8IIItqTy9U/view?usp=share_link)

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

im = np.load('change this path/tandon.npy')
A = np.mean(im,axis=2)
```

- Plot the image using `np.imshow`. You may want to use the colormap 'Greys\_r' so that it looks like a greyscale image.
- Compute the reduced SVD of **A**. You can use `full_matrices=False` to get the reduced SVD. This will be much faster than computing the full SVD.

(c) Remark on the quality of the plots.

1

**Problem 2.** Computing the SVD is expensive, but randomization can help us!

- (a) Randomized numerical linear algebra (RandNLA) is the study of the use of randomness in numerical linear algebra algorithms. One of the most famous randNLA algorithms is the randomized SVD. A simple version can be described in several lines:

- Choose a  $n \times k$  matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  with standard normal random entries
- Compute  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}$
- Compute  $\mathbf{Q}, _ = \text{QR}(\mathbf{X})$
- Compute SVD of  $\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{A}$ :  $\hat{\mathbf{U}}\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\hat{\mathbf{V}}^\top$
- Return approximate SVD of  $\mathbf{A}$ :  $(\mathbf{Q}\hat{\mathbf{U}})\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\hat{\mathbf{V}}^\top$

Implement this algorithm and time it for  $k = 100$ . To generate the random matrix, you can use `np.random.randn(n,k)`.

Again make sure to use `full_matrices=False` when computing the SVD of  $\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{A}$ . Compare this to long the whole randomized SVD took (all of the steps) against the time to compute the exact SVD in the previous problem.

- (b) Make a plot of the  $k = 100$  truncated SVD and the  $k = 100$  randomized SVD. Show the relative errors for each.
- (c) Prove that  $\mathbf{Q}\hat{\mathbf{U}}$  has orthonormal columns.

**Problem 3.** (a) Suppose  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{A}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Show  $c\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{A}$ . What is the eigenvalue?

- (b) Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $n \times m$  matrix. Write  $\|\mathbf{X}\|_F$  in terms of the column-norms  $\|[\mathbf{X}]_{:,i}\|_2$ .
- (c) Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $n \times m$  matrix and  $\mathbf{U}$  is a  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix ( $\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ ). Show that  $\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{X}\|_F = \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ . Hint: use (b) and show that  $\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$  for any vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

**Problem 4.** This problem will illustrate that solving the normal equations is less stable than other approaches.

- (a) For each  $\kappa = 10^1, 10^2, 10^3 \dots, 10^8$ , construct a  $500 \times 100$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  whose condition number is  $\kappa$ . A simple way to do this is to generate  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  as random orthogonal matrices of size  $m \times n$  and  $n \times n$  and define  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  as a  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix manually.

The following code gets you started, you just need to modify the line for the singular values `s = ....`

```
m,n = 500,100
U,_ = np.linalg.qr(np.random.randn(m,n))
V,_ = np.linalg.qr(np.random.randn(n,n))
s = #TODO

A = U@np.diag(s)@V.T
```

Let  $\mathbf{b}$  be the all ones vector, and compute the “true” solution to the least squares problem  $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}\|_2$  by setting  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{true}} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{b}$ . This can be done with the following code:

```
b = np.ones(m)
x_true = V@np.diag(1/s)@U.T@b
```

Now, compute the least squares solution via:

- numpy’s least squares solver `np.linalg.lstsq`
- a QR based approach with numpy’s `np.linalg.qr` and `np.linalg.solve` or `sp.linalg.solve_triangular`
- Solving the normal equations with `np.linalg.solve`

For each of these three methods and each value of  $\kappa$ , record the relative error  $\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{method}} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{true}}\| / \|\mathbf{x}_{\text{true}}\|$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{method}}$  is the solution obtained by the given method.

(b) Make a log-log plot with the following five (labeled) curves:

- $\kappa$  vs  $10^{-16}\kappa$
- $\kappa$  vs  $10^{-16}\kappa^2$
- $\kappa$  vs relative error (for each of the three methods above)

Comment on what you observe about the plots. In particular, discuss how each method depends on  $\kappa$  and what the relative errors would be if we did everything in exact arithmetic