

## Solutions

**Exercise 1.**

- (a)  $\mathcal{R}$  is not an equivalence relation since it is neither reflexive nor transitive:  $(1, 2) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(2, 1) \in \mathcal{R}$ , but  $(1, 1) \notin \mathcal{R}$ .
- (b) Yes,  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation: Notice that  $a + 2b$  is divisible by 3 whenever  $a - b$  is divisible by 3, hence  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$  iff  $a \bmod 3 = b \bmod 3$ , which is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

**Exercise 2.** If  $m = n \pmod{p}$  then  $m = k \cdot p + r$  and  $n = l \cdot p + r$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 &= k^2 p^2 + 2kpr + r^2 \\ n^2 &= l^2 p^2 + 2lpr + r^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $m^2 \bmod p = r^2 \bmod p = n^2 \bmod p$ , so  $m^2 = n^2 \pmod{p}$ .

**Exercise 3.**

- $\mathcal{R}$  is reflexive: for every  $a, b$  such that  $a = b$ , by definition  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{R}$  is antisymmetric: for any  $a \neq b$ , if  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$  then it must be that  $a \leq b - 0.5$ , therefore  $b \geq a + 0.5 > a - 0.5$  so  $(b, a) \notin \mathcal{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{R}$  is transitive: for any  $a, b, c$ , this is trivial if  $a = b$  or  $b = c$ , otherwise if  $a \neq b$  and  $b \neq c$  then  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (b, c) \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow a \leq b - 0.5 \wedge b \leq c - 0.5 \Rightarrow a \leq c - 1 \Rightarrow a \leq c - 0.5 \Rightarrow (a, c) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is a partial order. It is not a total order since any pair  $a, b$  where  $a < b < a + 0.5$  (for instance, 1.1 and 1.2) are not related in either direction.

**Exercise 4.**

- (a) Check that  $\mathcal{R}$  is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive in the same way as for the relation in Exercise 2.
- (b) It is not a lattice; for example, the pair (1,2) do not have a greatest lower bound (or, in fact, any lower bound — both 1 and 2 are minimal elements).

**Exercise 5.** For the product order the maximum length is 19; for example,

$$(1, 1) \sqsubseteq_P (1, 2) \sqsubseteq_P \dots \sqsubseteq_P (1, 9) \sqsubseteq_P (1, 10) \sqsubseteq_P (2, 10) \sqsubseteq_P \dots \sqsubseteq_P (9, 10) \sqsubseteq_P (10, 10)$$

For the lexicographic order, since it is a total order, the longest chain contains all of the 100 elements in the set:

$$(1, 1) \sqsubseteq_L (1, 2) \sqsubseteq_L \dots \sqsubseteq_L (1, 10) \sqsubseteq_L (2, 1) \sqsubseteq_L \dots \sqsubseteq_L (9, 10) \sqsubseteq_L (10, 1) \sqsubseteq_L \dots \sqsubseteq_L (10, 10)$$