

CS61B

Lecture 10: Subtype Polymorphism vs. HoFs

- Dynamic Method Selection Puzzle
- Subtype Polymorphism vs. Explicit HoFs
- Application 1: Comparables
- Application 2: Comparators

Dynamic Method Selection Puzzle (Online Only)


A Typing Puzzle

Suppose we have two classes:

- Dog: Implements bark() method.
- ShowDog: Extends Dog, overrides bark method.

Summarizing is-a relationships, we have:

- Every ShowDog is-a Dog
- Every Dog is-an Object.
 - All types in Java are a subtype of Object.





A Typing Puzzle

For each assignment, decide if it causes a compile error.

For each call to bark, decide whether: 1. Dog.bark() is called, 2. ShowDog.bark() is called, or 3. A syntax error results.

```
Object o2 = new ShowDog("Mortimer", "Corgi", 25, 512.2);
ShowDog sdx = ((ShowDog) o2);
sdx.bark();
Dog dx = ((Dog) o2);
dx.bark();
((Dog) o2).bark();
Object o3 = (Dog) o2;
o3.bark();
```

The rules:

- Compiler allows memory box to hold any subtype.
- Compiler allows calls based on static type.
- Overridden non-static methods are selected at run time based on dynamic type.
 - **Everything else is based on static type,** including overloaded methods. Note: No overloaded methods for problem at left.

Static Methods, Variables, and Inheritance

You may find questions on old 61B exams, worksheets, etc. that consider:

- What if a subclass has variables with the same name as a superclass?
- What if subclass has a static method with the same signature as a superclass method?
 - For static methods, we do not use the term overriding for this.

These two practices above are called "hiding".

- It is bad style.
- There is no good reason to ever do this.
- The rules for resolving the conflict are a bit confusing to learn.
- I decided last year to stop teaching it in 61B.
- But if you want to learn it, see https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/landl/override.html



Subtype Polymorphism

Subtype Polymorphism

The biggest idea of the last couple of lectures: **Subtype Polymorphism**

Polymorphism: "providing a single interface to entities of different types"

a.k.a. compile-time type

Consider a variable deque of static type Deque:

- When you call deque.addFirst(), the actual behavior is based on the dynamic type.
- Java automatically selects the right behavior using what is sometimes called "dynamic method selection".

Curious about alternatives to subtype polymorphism? See wiki or CS164.



Subtype Polymorphism vs. Explicit Higher Order Functions

Suppose we want to write a program that prints a string representation of the larger of two objects.

Sometimes called a "callback".

Explicit HoF Approach

```
def print_larger(x, y, compare, stringify):
    if compare(x, y):
        return stringify(x)
    return stringify(y)
```

Subtype Polymorphism Approach

```
def print_larger(x, y):
    if x.largerThan(y):
        return x.str()
    return y.str()
```


Not to be confused with the amazing <u>Dr. Ernest Kaulbach</u>, who taught my Old English class.



DIY Comparison

shoutkey.com/TBA

Suppose we want to write a function max() that returns the max of any array, regardless of type.





yellkey.com/yell

Suppose we want to write a function max() that returns the max of any array, regardless of type. How many compilation errors are there in the code shown?

```
A. 0
           public static Object | max(Object[] items) {
B. 1
             int maxDex = 0;
C. 2
             for (int i = 0; i < items.length; i += 1) {</pre>
               if (items[i] > items[maxDex]) {
                 maxDex = i;
             return items[maxDex];
                                                           Maximizer.java
           public static void main(String[] args) {
             Dog[] dogs = {new Dog("Elyse", 3), new Dog("Sture", 9),
                            new Dog("Benjamin", 15)};
             Dog maxDog = (Dog) max(dogs);
             maxDog.bark();
                                                         DogLauncher.java
```

Objects cannot be compared to other objects with >

One (bad) way to fix this: Write a max method in the Dog class.

```
public static Object max(Object[] items) {
  int maxDex = 0;
 for (int i = 0; i < items.length; i += 1) {</pre>
   if (items[i] > items[maxDex]) {
      maxDex = i;
 return items[maxDex];
                                               Maximizer.java
public static void main(String[] args) {
 Dog[] dogs = {new Dog("Elyse", 3), new Dog("Sture", 9),
                new Dog("Benjamin", 15)};
 Dog maxDog = (Dog) max(dogs);
 maxDog.bark();
                                             DogLauncher.java
```

Dog.maxDog

One approach to maximizing a Dog array: Leave it to the Dog class.

What is the disadvantage of this?

```
/** Returns maximum of dogs. */
public static Dog maxDog(Dog[] dogs) {
   if (dogs == null || dogs.length == 0) {
       return null; }
   Dog maxDog = dogs[0];
   for (Dog d : dogs) {
       if (d.size > maxDog.size) {
          maxDog = d;
   return maxDog;
                         Dog[] dogs = new Dog[]{d1, d2, d3};
                         Dog largest = Dog.maxDog(dogs);
```

The Fundamental Problem

Objects cannot be compared to other objects with >

How could we fix our Maximizer class using inheritance / HoFs?

```
public static Object max(Object[] items) {
  int maxDex = 0;
 for (int i = 0; i < items.length; i += 1) {</pre>
   if (items[i] > items[maxDex]) {
      maxDex = i;
 return items[maxDex];
                                               Maximizer.java
public static void main(String[] args) {
 Dog[] dogs = {new Dog("Elyse", 3), new Dog("Sture", 9),
                new Dog("Benjamin", 15)};
 Dog maxDog = (Dog) max(dogs);
  maxDog.bark();
                                             DogLauncher.java
```


Solution

interface inheritance says **what** a class can do, in this case compare.

Create an interface that guarantees a comparison method.

- Have Dog implement this interface.
- Write Maximizer class in terms of this interface.

```
public static OurComparable max(OurComparable[] items) { ...
```



The OurComparable Interface

```
public interface OurComparable {
  int compareTo(Object obj);
}
```

Specification, returns:

Could have also been OurComparable. No meaningful difference.

- Negative number if this is less than obj.
- 0 if this is equal to object.
- Positive number if this is greater than obj.

General Maximization Function Through Inheritance

```
public interface OurComparable {
  int compareTo(Object obj);
}
```

```
public class Dog implements OurComparable {
   public int compareTo(Object obj) {
      /** Warning, cast can cause runtime error! */
      Dog uddaDog = (Dog) obj;
      return this.size - uddaDog.size;
   }...
```

```
public class Maximizer {
    public static OurComparable max(OurComparable[] a) {
    ...
}
Dog[] dogs = new Dog[]{d1, d2, d3};
Dog largest = (Dog) Maximizer.max(dogs);
```

General Maximization Function Through Inheritance

Benefits of this approach:

- No need for array maximization code in every custom type (i.e. no Dog.maxDog(Dog[]) function required).
- Code that operates on multiple types (mostly) gracefully, e.g.

```
OurComparable[] objs = getItems("somefile.txt");
return Maximizer.max(objs);
```



Interfaces Quiz #1: yellkey.com/special

```
public class DogLauncher {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    ...
    Dog[] dogs = new Dog[]{d1, d2, d3};
    System.out.println(Maximizer.max(dogs));
  }
}
```

```
Q: If we omit compareTo(), which file will fail to compile?
```

```
A. DogLauncher.java
B. Dog.java
```

C. Maximizer.java

```
D. OurComparable.java
```

Interfaces Quiz #2: yellkey.com/wish

```
public class Dog
public class DogLauncher {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Dog[] dogs = new Dog[]{d1, d2, d3};
    System.out.println(Maximizer.max(dogs));
```

```
plements OurComparable
public int compareTo(Object o) {
 Dog uddaDog = (Dog) o;
 return this.size
        uddaDog.size;
```

```
Q: If we omit implements OurComparable,
which file will fail to compile?
```

- DogLauncher.java Dog.java
- Maximizer.java

OurComparable.java

```
public class Maximizer {
  public static OurComparable max(
          OurComparable[] items) {
    int cmp = items[i].
      compareTo(items[maxDex]);
```

Answers to Quiz

Problem 1: Dog will fail to compile because it does not implement all abstract methods required by OurComparable interface. (And I suppose DogLauncher will fail as well since Dog.class doesn't exist)

Problem 2: DogLauncher will fail, because it tries to pass things that are not OurComparables, and Maximizer expects OurComparables.



Comparables

The Issues With OurComparable

Two issues:

- Awkward casting to/from Objects.
- We made it up.
 - No existing classes implement OurComparable (e.g. String, etc).
 - No existing classes use OurComparable (e.g. no built-in max function that uses OurComparable)

Dog largest = (Dog) Maximizer.max(dogs);

```
public class Dog implements OurComparable {
   public int compareTo(Object obj) {
      /** Warning, cast can cause runtime error! */
      Dog uddaDog = (Dog) obj;
      return this.size - uddaDog.size;
   }...
      Dog[] dogs = new Dog[]{d1, d2, d3};
```

e O S

The Issues With OurComparable

Two issues:

- Awkward casting to/from Objects.
- We made it up.
 - No existing classes implement OurComparable (e.g. String, etc).
 - No existing classes use OurComparable (e.g. no built-in max function that uses OurComparable)


The industrial strength approach: Use the built-in Comparable interface.

Already defined and used by tons of libraries. Uses generics.

```
public interface Comparable<T> {
    public int compareTo(T obj);
}
```

```
public interface OurComparable {
    public int compareTo(Object obj);
}
```

Comparable vs. OurComparable





Comparable Advantages

- Lots of built in classes implement Comparable (e.g. String).
- Lots of libraries use the Comparable interface (e.g. Arrays.sort)
- Avoids need for casts.

```
public class Dog implements Comparable<Dog> {
                                                                   Much better!
    public int compareTo(Dog uddaDog) {
        return this.size - uddaDog.size;
                                                            Implementing Comparable
public class Dog implements OurComparable {
                                                            allows library functions to
    public int compareTo(Object obj) {
                                                            compare custom types
        Dog uddaDog = (Dog) obj;
                                                            (e.g. finding max).
       return this.size - uddaDog.size;
   } ...
             Dog[] dogs = new Dog[]{d1, d2, d3};
```

Dog largest = Collections.max(Arrays.asList(dogs));

Comparators

Natural Order

The term "Natural Order" is sometimes used to refer to the ordering implied by a Comparable's compareTo method.

Example: Dog objects (as we've defined them) have a natural order given

by their size.



"Doge", size: 5



"Grigometh", size: 200




"Clifford", size: 9000



Natural Order

May wish to order objects in a different way.

• Example: By Name.



"Clifford", size: 9000



"Doge", size: 5



"Grigometh", size: 200



Subtype Polymorphism vs. Explicit Higher Order Functions

Suppose we want to write a program that prints a string representation of the larger of two objects according to some specific comparison function.

```
Explicit
HoF
Approach
```

```
def print_larger(x, y, compare, stringify):
    if compare(x, y):
        return stringify(x)
    return stringify(y)
```

Subtype Polymorphism Approach??

```
def print_larger(T x, T y):
    if x.largerThan(y):
        return x.str()
    return y.str()
```

Can simply pass a different compare function.



Subtype Polymorphism vs. Explicit Higher Order Functions

Suppose we want to write a program that prints a string representation of the larger of two objects according to some specific comparison function.

```
Explicit
HoF
Approach
```

```
def print_larger(x, y, compare, stringify):
    if compare(x, y):
        return stringify(x)
    return stringify(y)
```

Subtype Polymorphism Approach

```
def print_larger(T x, T y, comparator<T> c):
    if c.compare(x, y):
        return x.str()
    return y.str()
```

Can simply pass a different compare function.

Additional Orders in Java

In some languages, we'd write two comparison functions and simply pass the one we want :

- sizeCompare()
- nameCompare()

The standard Java approach: Create sizeComparator and nameComparator classes that implement the Comparator interface.

Requires methods that also take Comparator arguments (see project 1B).

```
public interface Comparator<T> {
   int compare(T o1, T o2);
}
```

Dogs and Comparators

```
Dog not related by inheritance
                                                         to any of the classes below.
public interface Comparator<T> {
    int compare(T o1, T o2);
                                                                    Dog
                       compare(T, T)
                                     Comparator<T>
                                                compare(Dog,
      compare(Dog,
                  NameComparator
                                                            SizeComparator
             Dog)
                                                       Dog)
```



Example: NameComparator

```
public class Dog implements Comparable<Dog> {
  private String name;
  private int size;
  public static class NameComparator implements Comparator<Dog> {
   public int compare(Dog d1, Dog d2) {
      return d1.name.compareTo(d2.name);
           Comparator<Dog> cd = new Dog.NameComparator();
           if (cd.compare(d1, d3) > 0) {
               d1.bark();
           } else {
                                     Result: If d1 has a name that comes
               d3.bark();
                                     later in the alphabet than d3, d1 barks.
```

Comparable and Comparator Summary

Interfaces provide us with the ability to make callbacks:

- Sometimes a function needs the help of another function that might not have been written yet.
 - Example: max needs compareTo
 - The helping function is sometimes called a "callback".
- Some languages handle this using explicit function passing.
- In Java, we do this by wrapping up the needed function in an interface (e.g. Arrays.sort needs compare which lives inside the comparator interface)
- Arrays.sort "calls back" whenever it needs a comparison.
 - Similar to giving your number to someone if they need information.
 - See Project 1B to explore how to write code that uses comparators.



Citations

Title screenshot from **Neuromancer**

How to draw a doge: http://i.imgur.com/iePIABL.png

Demon Dog:

http://orig02.deviantart.net/e8fd/f/2011/154/5/e/pen_sketchbook___demon_dog_by_synnabar-d3hxrms.jpg

