

The Introduction To Artificial Intelligence

Yuni Zeng yunizeng@zstu.edu.cn 2024-2025-1

The Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

• Teaching hours: 32 hours

• Assessment:

Assessment Methods	Assessment Requirements	Assessment Weighting	
Homework	3-4 times	10% of grada	
Literature review	About Applications in Al	40% of grade	
Classroom performance	Attendances, test and interaction	20% of grade	
Final exam	Open-book examination	40% of grade	

No Copy! No Plagiarize!

The Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

• Part I Brief Introduction to AI & Different AI tribes



The Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Brief Review

- What is propositional logic
 - A proposition is a declarative sentence that is either true or false.
 - Examples of propositions:
 - a) The Moon is made of green cheese.
 - b) Beijing is the capital of China.
 - c) Hangzhou is the capital of Canada.
 - d) 1 + 0 = 1
 - e) 0+0=2
 - Examples that are not propositions.
 - a) Sit down!
 - b) What time is it?
 - c) x + 1 = 2
 - $d) \quad x + y = z$

- What is propositional logic
 - Constructing Propositions
 - Propositional Variables: p, q, r, s, ...
 - The proposition that is always true is denoted by **T** and the proposition that is always false is denoted by **F**.
 - Compound Propositions: constructed from logical connectives and other propositions
 - Negation ¬
 - Conjunction ∧
 - Disjunction V
 - Implication \rightarrow
 - Biconditional \leftrightarrow

■ Syntax of logical connectives

■ What is propositional logic

A	В	$\neg \mathbf{A}$	ΑΛΒ	AVB	$A \rightarrow B$	A B
t	t	f	t	t	t	t
t	f	f	f	t	f	f
f	t	t	f	t	t	f
f	f	t	f	f	t	t

- Tautologies, Contradictions, and Contingencies
 - A tautology is a proposition which is always true.
 - Example: $p \lor \neg p$
 - A *contradiction* is a proposition which is always false.
 - Example: $p \land \neg p$
 - A *contingency* is a proposition which is neither a tautology nor a contradiction, such as *p*

Logical Equivalences

- Two compound propositions p and q are logically equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.
- We write this as $p \Leftrightarrow q$ or as $p \equiv q$ where p and q are compound propositions.
- Two compound propositions *p* and *q* are equivalent if and only if the columns in a truth table giving their truth values agree.
- This truth table shows that $\neg p \lor q$ is equivalent to $p \to q$.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$p \rightarrow q$
Т	Т	F	T	Т
Т	F	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т

■ De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$



Augustus De Morgan 1806-1871

This truth table shows that De Morgan's Second Law holds.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	(<i>p</i> ∨ <i>q</i>)	¬(p∨q)	$\neg p \land \neg q$
Т	Т	F	F	Т	F	F
Т	F	F	Т	Т	F	F
F	Т	Т	F	Т	F	F
F	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т

Logical Equivalences

- The operations Λ , V are commutative and associative, and the
- following equivalences are generally valid:

```
• ¬ A V B
                                                             A \rightarrow B (implication)
                                               \equiv
• A \rightarrow B
                                                               \neg B \rightarrow \neg A (contraposition)
                                               \equiv
                                                   (A \leftrightarrow B) (equivalence)
• (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)
                                              \equiv
• \neg (A \land B)
                                                             \neg AV \neg B (De Morgan's law)
                                              • \neg (A \lor B)
                                                    \neg A \land \neg B
                                               \equiv
• A V (B \( C \)
                                                             (A \lor B) \land (A \lor C) (distributive law)
                                              \equiv
• A \( \text{(B \text{V C)}}\)
                                               \equiv
                                                             (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)
• AV ¬ A
                                                             t (tautology)
                                               \equiv

    A∧¬A

                                                             f (contradiction)
                                               \equiv

    A V f

                                               \equiv
                                                             Α
• A V t
                                               \equiv
                                                             t
                                                             f
• A \( \) f
                                               \equiv
• A \( \) t
                                                             A
                                               \equiv
```

Homework-1

Logic Puzzles

Knights: t Knaves: f

- An island has two kinds of inhabitants, *knights*, who always tell the truth, and *knaves*, who always lie.
- You go to the island and meet A and B.
 - A says "At least one of us is a knave."
 - B says nothing.

Example: What are the types of A and B?

Homework-1

■ Logic Puzzles

- **Solution:** Let p and q be the statements that A is a knight and B is a knight, respectively. So, then $\neg p$ represents the proposition that A is a knave and $\neg q$ that B is a knave.
 - If A is a knight, then p is true. Since knights tell the truth, q must also be true. Then, $p \land \neg q$ would have to be true, which is logically right. So, A is a knight and B is a knave.
 - If A is a knave, then $p \land q$ would have to be true, but it is not. So, A is not a knave.
 - In conclusion: A is a knight, B is a knave.

- What is knowledge representation?
- Propositional Logic
- Predicate Logic
- Production-rule System
- Frame-Based System
- State Space System
- ■Knowledge graph

- What is propositional logic
 - A proposition is a declarative sentence that is either true or false.
 - Examples of propositions:
 - a) The Moon is made of green cheese.
 - b) Beijing is the capital of China.
 - c) Hangzhou is the capital of Canada.
 - d) 1 + 0 = 1
 - e) 0+0=2
 - Examples that are not propositions.
 - a) Sit down!
 - b) What time is it?
 - c) x + 1 = 2
 - d) x + y = z

■ Predicate Logic

• Consider the following statements:

$$x > 3, x = y + 3, x + y = z$$

- The truth value of these statements has no meaning without specifying the values of x, y, z
- However, we can make propositions out of such statements.
- A predicate is a property that is affirmed or denied about the subject (in logic, we say "variable" or argument) of a statement.

"
$$x$$
 is greater than 3"

subject predicate

Terminology: affirmed = holds = is true; denied = does not hold = is not true.

- Predicate Logic
 - To write in predicate logic:

"
$$x$$
 is greater than 3"
predicate

- We introduce a (functional) symbol for the predicate, and put out the subject as an argument (to the functional symbol): P(x)
- Examples:
- (1) Father(x): unary predicate
- (2) Brother(x, y): binary predicate
- (3) Sum(x, y, z): ternary predicate
- (4) P(x, y, z, t): n-ary predicate

■ Predicate Logic

- Definition: A statement of form $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is the value of the propositional function P. Here, (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is an n-tuple and P is a predicate.
- You can think of a propositional function as a function that
 - (1) Evaluates to true or false
 - (2) Take one or more arguments
 - (3) Expresses a predicate involving the argument(s).
- (4) Becomes a proposition when values are assigned to the arguments.

- Predicate Logic
 - Example:

Let Q(x, y, z) denote the statement " $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ ". What it the truth value of Q(3,4,5)? What is the truth vale of Q(2,2,3)? How many values of (x, y, z) make the predicate true?

■ Predicate Logic

• Example:

Let Q(x, y, z) denote the statement " $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ ". What it the truth value of Q(3,4,5)? What is the truth vale of Q(2,2,3)? How many values of (x, y, z) make the predicate true?

• Answer:

Since
$$3^2 + 4^2 = 25 = 5^2$$
, $Q(3,4,5)$ is true.

Since
$$2^2 + 2^2 = 8 \neq 3^2 = 9$$
, $Q(2,2,3)$ is false.

There are infinitely many values for (x, y, z) that make this propositional function true --- how many right triangles are there?

■ Predicate Logic

• Moreover, each variable in an n-tuple may have a different universe of discourse.

• Let P(r, g, b, c) = "The rgb-value of the color c is (r, g, b)".

• For example, $P(255,0,0,\frac{red}{red})$ is true, while $P(0,0,255,\frac{green}{red})$ is false.

• What are the universe of discourse for (r, g, b, c)?

■ Predicate Logic

- Consider the previous example. Does it make sense to assign to x the value "blue"?
- Intuitively, *the universe of discourse* is the set of all things we wish to talk about; that is, the set of all objects that we can sensibly assign to variable in a propositional function.
- What would be the universe of discourse for propositional function P(x) = "The test will be on x the 23rd" be?

Quantifiers

- A predicate becomes a proposition when we assign it fixed values.
- However, another way to make a predicate into a proposition is to *quantify* it. That is, the predicate is true (or false) for *all* possible values in the universe of discourse or for *some* value(s) in the universe of discourse.
- Such *quantification* can be done with two quantifiers: the *universal* quantifier and the *existential* quantifier.

Quantifiers

• Definition: The universal quantification of a predicate P(x) is the proposition "P(x) is true for all values of x in the universe of discourse". We use the notation

$$\forall x P(x)$$

which can be read "for all x".

• If the universe of discourse is finite, say $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$, then the universal quantifier is simply the conjunction of all elements:

$$\forall x P(x) \iff P(n_1) \land P(n_2) \land P(n_3) \land \dots \land P(n_k)$$

■ Quantifiers --- Example 1

- Let P(x) be the predicate "x must take discrete mathematics course" and let Q(x) be the predicate "x is a computer science student."
- The universe of discourse for both P(x) and Q(x) is all students.
- Express the statement "Every computer science student must take discrete mathematics course".

$$\forall x (Q(x) \rightarrow P(x))$$

• Express the statement "Everybody must take a discrete mathematics course or be a computer science student"

$$\forall x (Q(x) \lor P(x))$$

- Quantifiers --- Example 2
 - Express the statement "for every x and for every y, x + y > 10"

- □ Quantifiers --- Example 2
 - Express the statement "for every x and for every y, x + y > 10"
 - Let P(x, y) be the statement x + y > 10 where the universe of discourse for x, y is the set of integers.
 - Answer:

$$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$$

• Or

$$\forall x, y P(x, y)$$

Quantifiers

• Definition: The existential quantification of a predicate P(x) is the proposition "There exist an x in the universe of discourse such P(x) is true". We use the notation

$$\exists x P(x)$$

which can be read "there exist an x".

• Again, If the universe of discourse is finite, say $\{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k\}$, then the *existential* quantifier is simply the disjunction of all elements:

$$\exists x P(x) \Leftrightarrow P(n_1) \lor P(n_2) \lor P(n_3) \lor \cdots \lor P(n_k)$$

- ☐ Quantifiers. ---- Example 1
 - Let P(x) be the statement "x + y = 5".
 - What does the expression,

 $\exists x \exists y P(x)$

Mean?

- □ Quantifiers. --- Example 2
 - Express the statement "there exists a real solution to $ax^2 + bx c = 0$ "

Quantifiers

• Express the statement "there exists a real solution to $ax^2 + bx - c = 0$ "

• Let P(x) be the statement $x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$, where the universe of discourse for x is the set of reals. Note here that, a, b, c are all fixed constants.

The statement can thus be expressed as

$$\exists x P(x)$$

- Quantifiers
 - Question: what is the truth value of $\exists x P(x)$?

Quantifiers

• In general, when are quantified statements true/false?

Statement	True When	False When
$\forall x P(x)$	P(x) is true for every x .	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.
$\exists x P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.	P(x) is false for every x .

Table: Truth Values of Quantifiers

Mixing Quantifiers

• Existential and universal quantifiers can be used together to quantify a predicate statement; for example,

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

is perfectly valid.

- $\forall x \ \forall y \ \text{is the same as} \ \forall y \ \forall x$
- $\exists x \exists y \text{ is the same as } \exists y \exists x$
- However, you must be careful it must be read left to right.
- For example, $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ is not equivalent to $\exists y \forall x (x, y)$.
- Thus, ordering is important.

Mixing Quantifiers

For example:

- $\exists x \ \forall y \ Loves(x, y)$
 - "Someone loves everyone."
 - "There is a person who loves everyone in the world"
- $\forall y \exists x \text{ Loves}(x, y)$
 - "everyone is loved by someone."
 - "Everyone in the world is loved by at least one person"

Statement	True When	False When
$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$	P(x, y) is true for everypair x, y .	There is at least one pair, x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	For every x , there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	There is an x for which $P(x, y)$ is false for every y .
$\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$	There is an x for which $P(x, y)$ is true for every y .	For every x , there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$	There is at least one pair, x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	P(x, y) is false for everypair x, y .

Table: Truth Values of 2-variate Quantifiers

- Mixing Quantifiers -- Example
 - Express, in predicate logic, the statement that there are an infinite number of integers.
 - Let P(x, y) be the statement that x < y. Let the universe of discourse be the integers, \mathbb{Z} .
 - Then the statement can be expressed by the following.

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

- Mixing Quantifiers -- Example
 - Express the commutative law of addition for \mathbb{R} .
 - We want to express that for every pair of reals, x, y the following identity holds:

$$x + y = y + x$$

• Then we have the following:

$$\forall x \forall y (x + y = y + x)$$

- Mixing Quantifiers -- Example
 - Express the multiplicative inverse law for (nonzero) rational $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$.
 - We want to express that for every real number x, there exists a real number y such that xy = 1.
 - Then we have the following:

$$\forall x \exists y (xy = 1)$$

- Mixing Quantifiers -- Example
 - Is commutatively for subtraction valid over the reals?
 - That is, for all pairs of real numbers x, y does the identity x y = y x hold? Express this using quantifiers.
 - The expression is

$$\forall x \forall y (x - y = y - x)$$

Homework-2

■ Mixing Quantifiers

• Express the statement "there is a number x such that when it is added to any number, the result is that number, and if it is multiplied by any number, the result is x" as a logical expression.

• Solution:

■ De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$



Augustus De Morgan 1806-1871

This truth table shows that De Morgan's Second Law holds.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	(<i>p</i> ∨ <i>q</i>)	¬(p∨q)	$\neg p \land \neg q$
Т	Т	F	F	Т	F	F
Т	F	F	Т	Т	F	F
F	Т	Т	F	Т	F	F
F	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т

Mixing Quantifiers

- Just as we can use negation with proposition, we can use them with quantified expressions.
- Let P(x) be a predicate. Then the following hold.

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$
$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

- This is essentially a quantified version of De Morgan's Law (in fact if the universe of discourse if finite, it is exactly De Morgan's law)
- $\forall x P(x) \iff P(n_1) \land P(n_2) \land P(n_3) \land \cdots \land P(n_k)$
- $\exists x P(x) \Leftrightarrow P(n_1) \lor P(n_2) \lor P(n_3) \lor \cdots \lor P(n_k)$

■ Mixing Quantifiers -Negation

Statement	True When	False When
$\neg \exists x P(x) \\ \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x) \\ \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	P(x) is true for every x .

Table: Truth Values of Negation Quantifiers

Homework-3

■ Rewrite the expression

$$\neg \forall x (\exists y \forall x P(x, y, z) \land \exists z \forall y P(x, y, z))$$

- Applications: Translation from English to logic
 - 1. "A is above C, D is on E and above F."
 - 2. "A is green while C is not."
 - 3. "Everything is on something."
 - 4. "Everything that is free has nothing on it."
 - 5. "Everything that is green is free."
 - 6. "There is something that is red and is not free."
 - 7. "Everything that is not green and is above B, is red."

- ☐ Translation from English to logic
 - 1. "A is above C, D is on E and above F." Above(A, C) \land Above(D, F) \land On(D, E)
 - 2. "A is green while C is not." Green(A) $\land \neg$ Green(C)
 - 3. "Everything is on something." $\forall x \exists y \ On(x, y)$
 - 4. "Everything that is free has nothing on it." $\forall x \text{ (Free}(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists y, On(y, x))$

□ Translation from English to logic

- 5. "Everything that is green is free." $\forall x (Green(x) \rightarrow Free(x))$
- 6. "There is something that is red and is not free." $\exists x \ (\text{Red}(x) \land \neg \text{Free}(x))$
- 7. "Everything that is not green and is above B, is red." $\forall x \ (\neg Green(x) \land Above(x, B) \rightarrow Red(x))$

☐ Translation from English to logic

Formula	Description
$\forall x \; frog(x) \Rightarrow green(x)$	All frogs are green
$\forall x \; frog(x) \; \land \; brown(x) \; \Rightarrow \; big(x)$	All brown frogs are big
$\forall x \; \textit{likes}(x, \textit{cake})$	Everyone likes cake
$\neg \forall x \; \textit{likes}(x, \textit{cake})$	Not everyone likes cake
$\neg \exists x \; likes(x, cake)$	No one likes cake
$\exists x \ \forall y \ \textit{likes}(y, x)$	There is something that everyone likes
$\exists x \ \forall y \ \textit{likes}(x, y)$	There is someone who likes everything
$\forall x \; \exists y \; \mathit{likes}(y, x)$	Everything is loved by someone
$\forall x \; \exists y \; likes(x,y)$	Everyone likes something
$\forall x \; \textit{customer}(x) \Rightarrow \textit{likes}(\textit{bob}, x)$	Bob likes every customer
$\exists x \ customer(x) \land likes(x, bob)$	There is a customer whom bob likes
$\exists x \ baker(x) \land \forall y \ customer(y) \Rightarrow mag(x, y)$	There is a baker who likes all of his customers

Homework-3

■ Rewrite the expression

$$\neg \forall x (\exists y \forall x P(x, y, z) \land \exists z \forall y P(x, y, z))$$

Let P(x, y) denote "x is a factor of y" where $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $y \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let Q(y) denote " $\forall x [P(x, y) \rightarrow ((x = y) \lor (x = 1))]$ ". When is Q(y) true?