ON abc TRIPLES OF THE FORM $(1, c-1, c)$

ELISE ALVAREZ-SALAZAR, ALEXANDER J. BARRIOS, CALVIN HENAKU, AND SUMMER SOLLER

Abstract. By an abc triple, we mean a triple (a, b, c) of relatively prime positive integers a, b, and c such that $a+b=c$ and $\operatorname{rad}(abc) < c$, where $\operatorname{rad}(n)$ denotes the product of the distinct prime factors of n. The necessity of the ϵ in the abc conjecture is demonstrated by the existence of infinitely many abc triples. For instance, $\left(1, 9^k - 1, 9^k\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k. In this article, we study abc triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$ and deduce two general results that allow us to recover existing sequences in the literature of abc triples with $a = 1$.

1. Introduction

In 1985, Masser and Oesterlé proposed the abc conjecture [Oes88, Mas17], which states:
The abc conjecture. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, there are finitely many relatively prime positive integers a, b, and c with $a+b=c$ such that*

$$\operatorname{rad}(abc)^{1+\epsilon} < c,$$

where $\operatorname{rad}(n)$ denotes the product of the distinct prime factors of a positive integer n.

Due to its profound implications, this simple-to-state conjecture is one of the most important open questions in number theory. For instance, some consequences of the abc conjecture include an asymptotic version of Fermat's Last Theorem, Faltings's Theorem, Roth's Theorem, and Szpiro's Conjecture [Elk91, Lan90, Oes88]. For further information on the abc conjecture, see the excellent survey article [MM16].

The statement of the abc conjecture naturally leads us to ask if the ϵ is necessary? This leads us to the "simplistic abc conjecture," which asks if there are finitely many relatively prime positive integers a, b, and c with $a+b=c$ for which $\operatorname{rad}(abc) < c$. We call such triples (a,b,c) an *abc triple*. The "simplistic abc conjecture" is false, as demonstrated by the triple $\left(1, 3^{2^k} - 1, 3^{2^k}\right)$, which is an abc triple for each positive integer k. This infinite sequence of abc triples is one of the first documented counterexamples to the simplistic abc conjecture and was communicated to Lang by Jastrzebowski and Spielman [Lan90]. A theorem of Stewart [Ste84] leads to similar sequences of abc triples such as $\left(1, 8^{7^k} - 1, 8^{7^k}\right)$, where k is a positive integer [MM16]. Jastrzebowski and Spielman's counterexample can also be recovered from the following result: for each odd prime p and each positive integer k, $\left(1, p^{(p-1)k} - 1, p^{(p-1)k}\right)$ is an abc triple [Bar23]. Another construction, due to Granville and Tucker [GT02], shows that for each odd prime p, $\left(1, 2^{p(p-1)} - 1, 2^{p(p-1)}\right)$ is an abc triple.

In this article, we prove that $(1, c-1, c)$ is an abc triple if and only if $\operatorname{cosocle}(c-1) > \operatorname{rad}(c)$, where $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) = \frac{m}{\operatorname{rad}(m)}$ for m a positive integer (see Proposition 2.2). We note that the term cosocle is borrowed from module theory, where the *cosocle* of an R-module M is the maximal semisimple quotient of M, or equivalently, $\frac{M}{\operatorname{rad}(M)}$. In our setting, the cosocle plays a crucial role in our results, from which we recover each of the above mentioned sequences of abc triples. To provide context

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D75, 11J25.

Key words and phrases. abc conjecture, abc triples, number theory.

for our work, we note that the equivalence above requires us to compute $\operatorname{cosocle}(c-1)$ in order to deduce whether $(1, c-1, c)$ is an *abc* triple. The computation of $\operatorname{cosocle}(c-1)$ requires knowledge of the prime factorization of $c-1$, which becomes computationally difficult as c gets large. Our main results provide a recipe for constructing infinitely many *abc* triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$ based on knowledge of a divisor of $c-1$ or c. Our first theorem illustrates this:

Theorem 1. *Let n, m, l be positive integers with $n > 1$ such that m divides $n^l - 1$ and* $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$. *Then $\left(1, n^{lk} - 1, n^{lk}\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k.*

We prove Theorem 1 in Section 2. While the proof is elementary, the result allows us to recover each of the previously mentioned sequences of *abc* triples. It also leads to new sequences of *abc* triples, such as $\left(1, n^{(n-1)k} - 1, n^{(n-1)k}\right)$ which is an *abc* triple for each positive integer k whenever n is a positive integer that is either odd or even and non-squarefree (see Corollary 3.6). A slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1 leads us to our next result (which is also proven in Section 2):

Theorem 2. *Let n, m, l be positive integers such that l is odd, m divides $n^l + 1$, and* $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$. *Then $\left(1, n^{lk}, n^{lk} + 1\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive odd integer k.*

In Section 3, we demonstrate various consequences of Theorems 1 and 2. For example, we prove that if $n > 1$ is an integer and p is an odd prime such that $p > \operatorname{rad}(n)$, then $\left(1, n^{p(p-1)k} - 1, n^{p(p-1)k}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive integer k (see Corollary 3.5). In particular, taking $(n, k) = (2, 1)$ allows us to recover Granville and Tucker's original construction [GT02]. Another consequence is the following: if $n \geq 3$ is an odd integer and $b = n^j - 1$ for some positive integer j, then $\left(1, b^{nk}, b^{nk} + 1\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive odd integer k (see Corollary 3.11). Taking $(n, j) = (3, 1)$ gives us that $\left(1, 8^k, 8^k + 1\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each odd integer k.

We conclude the article with Section 4, which is an analysis of the *abc* triples found by the ABC@Home Project of the form $(1, c-1, c)$ with $c < 10^{18}$. The ABC@Home Project was a network computing project that was started in 2006 by the Mathematics Department of Leiden University, together with the Dutch Kennislink Science Institute. By 2011, they found that there are exactly 14 482 065 *abc* triples (a, b, c) with $c < 10^{18}$. By the time the project came to a close in 2015, the ABC@Home Project had found a total of 23 827 716 *abc* triples (a, b, c) with $c < 2^{63}$. We note that this list is not exhaustive of all *abc* triples with $c < 2^{63}$. In particular, the ABC@Home project found that there are exactly 45 604 *abc* triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$ with $c < 10^{18}$. Further observations about the *abc* triples found by the ABC@Home Project can be found in [Pal14, Chapter 7].

Motivated by Theorems 1 and 2, we study those *abc* triples found by the ABC@Home Project that are of the form $(1, n^l - 1, n^l)$ or $(1, n^l, n^l + 1)$ for some integer $l > 1$. We find that this amounts to 8 413 *abc* triples. For *abc* triples $(1, c-1, c)$ of the aforementioned form, we show that approximately 48.7% of the *abc* triples with $c \leq 10^6$ can be obtained from the results proven in Section 3. We also find that for *abc* triples of the form $(1, n^l - 1, n^l)$, there are only four cases where there does not exists a proper divisor m of $n^l - 1$ for which $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$.

2. Main Results

In this section, we establish Theorems 1 and 2. To do so, we recall the following elementary property about the radical of a positive integer:

Lemma 2.1. *Let m and n be relatively prime positive integers. Then* $\operatorname{rad}(mn) = \operatorname{rad}(m)\operatorname{rad}(n)$ *and* $\operatorname{rad}(m) \leq m$. *Moreover,* $\operatorname{rad}\left(m^k\right) = \operatorname{rad}(m)$ *for each positive integer k.*

We will assume Lemma 2.1 implicitly throughout this work. Next, we show an important facet about *abc* triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$, which showcases the importance of the cosocle in our arguments:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $c > 1$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent:*

(*i*) $\mathrm{cosocle}(c-1) > \mathrm{rad}(c)$;
(*ii*) $\mathrm{cosocle}(c) > \mathrm{rad}(c-1)$;
(*iii*) $(1, c-1, c)$ *is an abc triple.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{rad}(c) < \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)$. Since $\mathrm{rad}(c) = \frac{c}{\mathrm{cosocle}(c)}$ and $\mathrm{cosocle}(c-1) = \frac{c-1}{\mathrm{rad}(c-1)}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rad}(c) < \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1) \qquad &\iff \qquad \frac{c}{\mathrm{cosocle}(c)} < \frac{c-1}{\mathrm{rad}(c-1)} \\ &\iff \qquad \mathrm{rad}(c-1) < \frac{c-1}{c}\,\mathrm{cosocle}(c)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{c-1}{c} < 1$, we have the desired inequality: $\mathrm{rad}(c-1) < \mathrm{cosocle}(c)$.

Next, suppose that $\frac{\mathrm{rad}(c-1)}{\mathrm{cosocle}(c)} < 1$. Since $\mathrm{rad}(c) = \frac{c}{\mathrm{cosocle}(c)}$, we observe that

$$\mathrm{rad}(c(c-1)) = \mathrm{rad}(c)\,\mathrm{rad}(c-1) = \frac{\mathrm{rad}(c-1)}{\mathrm{cosocle}(c)} c < c,$$

which shows that $(1, c-1, c)$ is an *abc* triple.

Lastly, if $(1, c-1, c)$ is an *abc* triple, then $\mathrm{rad}(c(c-1)) < c$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} c > \mathrm{rad}(c(c-1)) = \mathrm{rad}(c)\,\mathrm{rad}(c-1) = \frac{\mathrm{rad}(c)(c-1)}{\mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)} \\ \implies \qquad \mathrm{rad}(c) < \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)\frac{c}{c-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{rad}(c)$ is an integer and $\frac{c}{c-1} > 1$, we deduce that $\mathrm{rad}(c) \leq \left\lfloor \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)\frac{c}{c-1} \right\rfloor$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor function. Since $\frac{\mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)}{c-1} < 1$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lfloor \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)\frac{c}{c-1} \right\rfloor &= \left\lfloor \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1) + \frac{\mathrm{cosocle}(c-1)}{c-1} \right\rfloor \\ &= \mathrm{cosocle}(c-1). \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, c is relatively prime to $c-1$, and thus $\mathrm{cosocle}(c-1) > \mathrm{rad}(c)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let m and n be positive integers. If m divides n, then* $\mathrm{rad}(n) = \mathrm{rad}\left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{cosocle}(m)}\right)$.

Proof. If $m = 1$, there is nothing to show. So suppose that $m > 1$ and let $m = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{e_i}$ be the unique prime factorization of m, with each p_i denoting a distinct prime. Since m divides n, we have that $n = q\prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{f_i}$ where $e_i \leq f_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and q is relatively prime to m. Since $\mathrm{cosocle}(m) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{e_i-1}$, we deduce that

$$\frac{n}{\mathrm{cosocle}(m)} = q\prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{f_i-e_i+1}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq r$, observe that $f_i - e_i + 1 \geq 1$ and thus $\mathrm{rad}\left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{cosocle}(m)}\right) = \mathrm{rad}(n)$. □

With this lemma, we are now ready to prove Theorem 1:

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $n^{lk}-1=\left(n^l-1\right)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}n^{lj}$, we deduce that m divides $n^{lk}-1$ for each positive integer k. By Lemma 2.3, $\operatorname{rad}\left(n^{lk}-1\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(\frac{n^{lk}-1}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\right)$. By assumption, $\frac{\operatorname{rad}(n)}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}<1$ and thus

$$\operatorname{rad}\left(n^{lk}\left(n^{lk}-1\right)\right)=\operatorname{rad}(n)\operatorname{rad}\left(\frac{n^{lk}-1}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\right)\leq\frac{\operatorname{rad}(n)}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\left(n^{lk}-1\right)<n^{lk}-1.$$

The result now follows since

$$n^{lk}-\operatorname{rad}\left(n^{lk}\left(n^{lk}-1\right)\right)>n^{lk}-n^{lk}+1=1. \qquad \square$$

⋆ Barry: [Might be interesting to consider the case when $\frac{n^{lk}-1}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}$ is non-square free, then we can bound as follows: $\operatorname{rad}\left(\frac{n^{lk}-1}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\right)\leq\frac{n^{lk}-1}{2\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}$ since 2 is the smallest distinct prime factor, and this gives us a bound $\operatorname{cosocle}(m)\geq\frac{\operatorname{rad}(n)}{2}$. Unsure of how often this would occur though]⋆ An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2.2, is the following result:

Corollary 2.4. *If $(1,c-1,c)$ is an abc triple, then $\left(1,c^k-1,c^k\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k.*

In the next section, we will consider further consequences of Theorem 1 that do not require knowledge of an *abc* triple at the start.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the factorization of $n^{lk}-1$. A similar factorization holds for $n^{lk}+1$ if lk is odd, and our proof of Theorem 2 makes use of this factorization:

Proof of Theorem 2. If lk is a positive odd integer, then $n^{lk}+1=\left(n^l+1\right)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j n^{lj}$. It follows that m divides $n^{lk}+1$ for each positive integer k. By Lemma 2.3, $\operatorname{rad}(n^{lk}+1)=\operatorname{rad}\left(\frac{n^{lk}+1}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\right)$. Since $\frac{\operatorname{rad}(n)}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}<1$, we observe that

$$\operatorname{rad}\left(n^{lk}\left(n^{lk}+1\right)\right)=\operatorname{rad}(n)\operatorname{rad}\left(\frac{n^{lk}+1}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\right)\leq\frac{\operatorname{rad}(n)}{\operatorname{cosocle}(m)}\operatorname{rad}(n^{lk}+1)<n^{lk}+1.$$

Consequently,

$$n^{lk}+1-\operatorname{rad}\left(n^{lk}\left(n^{lk}+1\right)\right)>n^{lk}+1-n^{lk}-1=0. \qquad \square$$

Similarly to the deduction of Corollary 2.4, we now recover the following result as an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 and Proposition 2.2:

Corollary 2.5. *If $(1,b,b+1)$ is an abc triple, then $\left(1,b^k,b^k+1\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive odd integer k.*

Since $(1,8,9)$ is an *abc* triple, we deduce from Corollary 2.5 that $(1,8^k,8^k+1)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive odd integer k. We will also recover this sequence of *abc* triples as a consequence of Corollary 3.11.

3. Consequences

In this section, we consider various consequences of Theorems 1 and 2. From these consequences, we deduce the sequences of *abc* triples that were mentioned in the introduction. We note that this article began as an investigation of the following question: for what positive odd integers n is $\left(1,n^{\varphi(n)}-1,n^{\varphi(n)}\right)$ an *abc* triple? Here $\varphi(n)$ denotes the Euler-totient function. The question was motivated by the following observation: if n is an odd integer such that $3\leq n\leq 99$, then

$\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)}-1, n^{\varphi(n)}\right)$ is an abc triple for each n except $n = 21, 39, 69$, and 87. The fact that the four exceptions are composites is no surprise, as the question is true for odd primes n [Bar23]. Our investigation of this phenomenon led to our Theorems 1 and 2, and our first consequence provides necessary conditions for when $\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)}-1, n^{\varphi(n)}\right)$ is an abc triple for a positive odd integer n. To prove this result, we first recall the following result from elementary number theory:

Lemma 3.1. *Let n be a positive odd integer. Then $n^{2^k} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{k+2}$ for each positive integer k.*

Proof. Since n is odd, there is an integer m such that $n = 2m+1$. By the Binomial Theorem,

$$n^{2^k} = (2m+1)^{2^k} = \sum_{j=0}^{2^k} \binom{2^k}{j} (2m)^j = 1 + 2^{k+1} m \left(1 + \left(2^k - 1\right) m\right) + \sum_{j=3}^{2^k} \binom{2^k}{j} (2m)^j .$$

Now observe that $m\left(1 + \left(2^k-1\right) m\right)$ is always even and $\binom{2^k}{j}(2m)^j$ is divisible by 2^{k+2} for $3 \le j \le 2^k$. Consequently, $n^{2^k} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{k+2}$. $\square$

Next, we recall that for a prime number p and a nonzero integer n, the *p-adic valuation of n*, denoted $v_p(n)$, is the unique integer that satisfies $n = p^{v_p(n)} q$ for some integer q that is relatively prime to p. With this terminology, we obtain our first application of Theorem 1:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n > 1$ be an odd integer and let φ denote the Euler-totient function. Set $d = \gcd(n-1, \varphi(n))$ and $m = 2^{v_2(4\varphi(n))-2v_2(d)} d^2$. If $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$, then $\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)k}-1, n^{\varphi(n)k}\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k.*

Proof. Let $P = \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)-1} n^j$ and observe that $n^{\varphi(n)} - 1 = (n-1)\,P$. Since $d = \gcd(n-1, \varphi(n))$ divides $n-1$, $n \equiv 1 \mod d$ and thus

$$P \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)-1} 1^j \mod d = \varphi(n) \mod d.$$

In particular, d divides P. Since $n^{\varphi(n)} - 1 = (n-1)\,P$, we deduce that d^2 divides $n^{\varphi(n)} - 1$.

Next, write $\varphi(n) = 2^{v_2(\varphi(n))} r$ for r an odd integer. By Lemma 3.1,

$$n^{\varphi(n)} - 1 = (n^r)^{2^{v_2(\varphi(n))}} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{v_2(\varphi(n))+2}.$$

Hence $2^{v_2(\varphi(n))+2}$ divides $n^{\varphi(n)} - 1$. It follows that

$$2^{v_2(\varphi(n))+2} \frac{d^2}{2^{v_2(d^2)}} = 2^{v_2(4\varphi(n))-2v_2(d)} d^2 = m$$

divides $n^{\varphi(n)} - 1$. The result now follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

As an illustration, let $n = 75$. Then with notation as in Corollary 3.2, we observe that $\varphi(75) = 40$, $d = 2$, and $m = 32$. Since $\operatorname{cosocle}(32) = 16 > \operatorname{rad}(75) = 15$, we have that $\left(1, 75^{40k} - 1, 75^{40k}\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k. We note that the converse to Corollary 3.2 does not hold. In fact, if $3 \le n \le 99$ is an odd integer such that $\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)}-1, n^{\varphi(n)}\right)$ is an abc triple, then the corollary fails to show the cases corresponding to $n = 33, 35, 55, 57, 63, 65, 77, 93, 95$, and 99. The following result provides an improvement, but comes at the cost of having to compute $v_p(n^{\varphi(n)} - 1)$ for each prime p that divides $\gcd(n^{\varphi(n)} - 1, \varphi(n))$:

Corollary 3.3. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer and let φ denote the Euler-totient function. Set $d = \gcd(n^{\varphi(n)} - 1, \varphi(n))$ and*

$$m = \prod_{p \mid d} p^{v_p(n^{\varphi(n)}-1)}.$$

If $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$, then $\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)k} - 1, n^{\varphi(n)k}\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k.

Proof. By construction, m divides $n^{\varphi(n)} - 1$. The result now follows from Theorem 1. □

For odd integers n such that $3 \le n \le 99$ and $\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)} - 1, n^{\varphi(n)}\right)$ is an *abc* triple, Corollary 3.3 allows us to conclude that $\left(1, n^{\varphi(n)} - 1, n^{\varphi(n)}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each n except $n = 55, 57$. When $n = 55$, we have that $\varphi(55) = 40$ and $\gcd\left(55^{40} - 1, 40\right) = 8$. Then $m = 2^{v_2(55^{40}-1)} = 64$, and thus $\operatorname{cosocle}(64) = 32 < \operatorname{rad}(55) = 55$. Consequently, Corollary 3.3 fails to show that $\left(1, 55^{40} - 1, 55^{40}\right)$ is an *abc* triple. We note the $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(55^{40} - 1\right) = 288$, and hence $\left(1, 55^{40k} - 1, 55^{40k}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive integer k by Proposition 2.2. The failure of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 in the $n = 55$ case stems from the fact that the primes dividing m must divide $\varphi(n)$. Indeed, $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(55^{40} - 1\right) = 32 \cdot 9$ and $3 \nmid \varphi(55)$.

To state our next result, we recall the Carmichael function $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, which has the property that $\lambda(m)$ is the least positive integer for which $a^{\lambda(m)} \equiv 1 \mod m$ for each integer a that is relatively prime to m. In particular, $\lambda(m)$ divides $\varphi(m)$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let λ and φ denote the Carmichael function and Euler-totient function, respectively. If m and n are relatively prime positive integers such that $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n) > 1$, then $\left(1, n^{\lambda(m)k} - 1, n^{\lambda(m)k}\right)$ and $\left(1, n^{\varphi(m)k} - 1, n^{\varphi(m)k}\right)$ are abc triples for each positive integer k.*

Proof. Since $n^{\lambda(m)} \equiv 1 \mod m$, we have that m divides $n^{\lambda(m)} - 1$. Now let $l = \lambda(m)$. Then n, m, l satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 and thus $\left(1, n^{\lambda(m)k} - 1, n^{\lambda(m)k}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive integer k. Since $\lambda(m) \mid \varphi(m)$, we also have that $\left(1, n^{\varphi(m)k} - 1, n^{\varphi(m)k}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive integer k. □

As an example, choose $n = 11$ and $m = 32$. Then $\operatorname{cosocle}(32) = 16 > \operatorname{rad}(11)$, and therefore the conditions of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied. As a result, we find that $\left(1, 11^{\lambda(32)k} - 1, 11^{\lambda(32)k}\right) = (1, 11^{8k} - 1, 11^{8k})$ is a sequence of *abc* triples. More generally, we have the following application of Corollary 3.4:

Corollary 3.5. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer and let p be an odd prime such that $p > \operatorname{rad}(n)$. Then for each positive integer k, $\left(1, n^{p(p-1)k} - 1, n^{p(p-1)k}\right)$ is an abc triple.*

Proof. By assumption, $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(p^2\right) = p > \operatorname{rad}(n)$. Moreover, $\lambda\left(p^2\right) = p\,(p-1)$ since p is prime. It follows from Corollary 3.4 that $\left(1, n^{\lambda(p^2)k} - 1, n^{\lambda(p^2)k}\right) = \left(1, n^{p(p-1)k} - 1, n^{p(p-1)k}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each positive integer k. □

Taking $n = 2$ and $k = 1$ in Corollary 3.5 yields that $\left(1, 2^{p(p-1)} - 1, 2^{p(p-1)}\right)$ is an *abc* triple for each odd prime p. This result is originally due to Granville and Tucker [GT02].

Corollary 3.6. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer that is either odd or even and non-squarefree. Then $\left(1, n^{(n-1)k} - 1, n^{(n-1)k}\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k.*

Proof. Let $P = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} n^j$ and observe that $n^{n-1} - 1 = (n-1)\,P$. Moreover,

$$P \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (1)^j \mod (n-1) = 0 \mod (n-1)\,.$$

In particular, $(n-1)^2$ divides $n^{n-1}-1$ and thus

$$\mathrm{rad}(n^{n-1}-1) = \mathrm{rad}\left(\frac{n^{n-1}-1}{n-1}\right).$$

Now suppose that n is odd. We claim that 4 divides P. If $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then this follows since P is divisible by $n-1$. So suppose that $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Then 4 divides $n+1$, and hence 4 divides P since

$$P \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (-1)^j \mod (n+1) = 0 \mod (n+1).$$

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{rad}(n^{n-1}-1) = \mathrm{rad}\left(\frac{n^{n-1}-1}{2\,(n-1)}\right) \leq \frac{n^{n-1}-1}{2\,(n-1)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Now observe that by (3.1),

$$\mathrm{cosocle}(n^{n-1}-1) = \frac{n^{n-1}-1}{\mathrm{rad}(n^{n-1}-1)} \geq 2\,(n-1) > \mathrm{rad}(n).$$

The claim now follows by Theorem 1 with $l = n-1$ and $m = n^l - 1$.

Lastly, suppose that n is an even non-squarefree positive integer. Then $n = a^2 b$ for some positive integers a and b with $a > 1$ and b squarefree. Then $\mathrm{rad}(n) = \mathrm{rad}(ab) \leq ab < n-1$. Since $\mathrm{rad}(n^{n-1}-1) = \mathrm{rad}\left(\frac{n^{n-1}-1}{n-1}\right) \leq \frac{n^{n-1}-1}{n-1}$, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{cosocle}(n^{n-1}-1) = \frac{n^{n-1}-1}{\mathrm{rad}(n^{n-1}-1)} \geq n-1 > \mathrm{rad}(n).$$

The result follows by Theorem 1 with $l = n-1$ and $m = n^l - 1$. $\square$

From Corollary 3.6, we recover that $\left(1, 9^k - 1, 9^k\right) = \left(1, 3^{2k}-1, 3^{2k}\right)$ is a sequence of abc triples. In particular, we obtain the smallest abc triple $(1, 8, 9)$ as a special case. Taking $n = 8$ in Corollary 3.6 gives us the sequence of abc triples $\left(1, 8^{7k}-1, 8^{7k}\right)$, which generalizes the sequence $\left(1, 8^{7^k}-1, 8^{7^k}\right)$ that appears in [MM16].

Corollary 3.7. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer. Then $\left(1, n^{(n+1)k}-1, n^{(n+1)k}\right)$ is an abc triple whenever $(n+1)\,k$ is a positive even integer.*

Proof. Let l be a positive even integer and let $P = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1}\, n^j$. Then $n^l - 1 = (n+1)\,P$. We now proceed by cases.

Case 1. Suppose that n is a positive even integer and let $l = 2\,(n+1)$. Since $n \equiv -1 \mod (n+1)$, we have that $P \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} = 0 \mod (n+1)$ and thus

$$\mathrm{rad}(n^l - 1) = \mathrm{rad}\left(\frac{n^l-1}{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{n^l-1}{n+1}.$$

The claim now holds by Theorem 1 with $m = n^l - 1$ since

$$\mathrm{cosocle}(n^l-1) = \frac{n^l-1}{\mathrm{rad}(n^l-1)} \geq n+1 > \mathrm{rad}(n).$$

Case 2. Suppose that n is a positive odd integer. Then $l = n+1$ is even and $P \equiv 0 \mod (n+1)$. A similar argument to that of Case 1 with $m = n^l - 1$ shows that the result holds by Theorem 1. $\square$

As an example, choose $n = 21$. As a result, $(n+1)k$ is even for every positive integer k and by Corollary 3.7, $\left(1, 21^{22k} - 1, 21^{22k}\right)$ is a sequence of *abc* triples.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $j \geq 2$ be an integer. Then $\left(1, \left(2^j - 1\right)^{2k} - 1, \left(2^j - 1\right)^{2k}\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive integer k.*

Proof. Observe that $\operatorname{rad}\left(\left(2^j-1\right)^2\right) = \operatorname{rad}(2^j - 1) \leq 2^j - 1$. Since $\left(2^j - 1\right)^2 - 1 = 2^{j+1}\left(2^{j-1} - 1\right)$, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(2^j-1\right)^2 - 1\right) = \frac{2^{j+1}\left(2^{j-1}-1\right)}{2\operatorname{rad}(2^{j-1}-1)} = \frac{2^j\left(2^{j-1}-1\right)}{\operatorname{rad}(2^{j-1}-1)} \geq 2^j.$$

The result now follows from Theorem 1, since

$$\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(2^j-1\right)^2 - 1\right) > \operatorname{rad}\left(\left(2^j-1\right)^2\right). \qquad \square$$

The $j = 2$ and $j = 3$ cases in Corollary 3.8 result in the sequences of *abc* triples $\left(1, 9^k - 1, 9^k\right)$ and $\left(1, 49^k - 1, 49^k\right)$, respectively. Of note is that the proof of the corollary is made possible by the lower bound, $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(2^j-1\right)^2 - 1\right) \geq 2^j$. This leads us to ask, can Corollary 3.8 be generalized to deduce sequences of *abc* triples $(1, c-1, c)$ with $\operatorname{cosocle}(c-1)$ bounded below by n^j for some positive integer of the form n^j? The answer is yes, but we have to take $c = \left(n^j - 1\right)^k$ for some positive even integer k that is divisible by n to allow a similar argument to that of Corollary 3.8 to work. This is shown below:

Corollary 3.9. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $j \geq 1$ be integers. If k is a positive integer such that nk is even, then $\left(1, \left(n^j-1\right)^{nk} - 1, \left(n^j-1\right)^{nk}\right)$ is an abc triple.*

Proof. Observe that $\operatorname{rad}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^{nk}\right) \leq n^j - 1$ and

$$\left(n^j-1\right)^{nk} - 1 = -1 + \sum_{l=0}^{nk}\binom{nk}{l} n^{jl}(-1)^{nk-l} = -kn^{j+1} + \sum_{l=2}^{nk}\binom{nk}{l} n^{jl}(-1)^{nk-l}.$$

Note that in the last expression, each term in the sum is divisible by n^{j+1}. From this, we deduce that $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^{nk} - 1\right) \geq n^j$. Hence $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^{nk} - 1\right) > \operatorname{rad}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^{nk}\right)$, and the result now follows by Theorem 1. $\square$

As an illustration, consider $(n, j) = (3, 1)$ and $k = 2l$ for some positive integer l. This results in the sequence of *abc* triples $\left(1, 64^l - 1, 64^l\right)$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let n be a positive even integer. Then $\left(1, n^{(n+1)k}, n^{(n+1)k} + 1\right)$ is an abc triple for each positive odd integer k.*

Proof. Observe that $n^{n+1} + 1 = (n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j n^j$. Since $n \equiv -1 \mod (n+1)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j n^j \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n} 1 \mod (n+1) = 0 \mod (n+1)$$

Hence, $\operatorname{rad}(n^{n+1}+1) = \operatorname{rad}\left(\frac{n^{n+1}+1}{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{n^{n+1}+1}{n+1}$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{cosocle}(n^{n+1}+1) = \frac{n^{n+1}+1}{\operatorname{rad}(n^{n+1}+1)} \geq n+1 > \operatorname{rad}(n).$$

The result now follows from Theorem 2 by taking $l = n+1$ and $m = n^l$. $\square$

As a demonstration of the corollary, take $n = 22$. Then $\left(1, 22^{23k}, 22^{23k}+1\right)$ is a sequence of *abc* triples for each positive odd integer k.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer and let $j \geq 1$ be an integer. Then for each odd integer k, $\left(1, \left(n^j-1\right)^{nk}, \left(n^j-1\right)^{nk}+1\right)$ is an abc triple.*

Proof. Observe that $\operatorname{rad}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^n\right) \leq n^j - 1$ and

$$\left(n^j-1\right)^n + 1 = 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} n^{jl} (-1)^{n-l} = n^{j+1} + \sum_{l=2}^{n} \binom{n}{l} n^{jl} (-1)^{n-l}.$$

Note that in the last expression, each term in the sum is divisible by n^{j+1}. From this, we conclude that $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^n+1\right) \geq n^j$. Hence $\operatorname{cosocle}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^n+1\right) > \operatorname{rad}\left(\left(n^j-1\right)^n\right)$, and the result now follows by Theorem 2. $\square$

As an example, let $n = 3$ and $j = 1$. Then we get the sequence of *abc* triples $\left(1, 8^k, 8^k+1\right)$ for each odd integer k. In particular, we recover the *abc* triple $(1, 8, 9)$ as a special case.

4. *abc* triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$ and the ABC@Home Project

The ABC@Home project found that there are exactly 14 482 065 *abc* triples (a, b, c) with $c < 10^{18}$. The information found by the ABC@Home project is available on Bart de Smit's webpage [dS23]. Given an *abc* triple (a, b, c), we define its *quality* to be

$$q(a, b, c) = \frac{\log c}{\log \operatorname{rad}(abc)}.$$

By definition, we see that since $\operatorname{rad}(abc) < c$, an *abc* triple (a, b, c) satisfies $q(a, b, c) > 1$. This gives us the following restatement of the *abc* conjecture: For each $\epsilon > 0$, there are finitely many *abc* triples (a, b, c) with $q(a, b, c) > 1 + \epsilon$.

The *abc* triple with the largest known quality is $\left(2, 3^{10} \cdot 109, 23^5\right)$, which has a quality of approximately 1.6299. In fact, Baker's [Bak04] *explicit abc conjecture* asserts that there is no *abc* triple (a, b, c) with $q(a, b, c) \geq \frac{7}{4}$. From this statement, Fermat's Last Theorem for exponent $n > 6$ easily follows. We note that the explicit *abc* conjecture and the *abc* conjecture are not equivalent.



FIGURE 1. Histogram of the quality of abc triples $(1, c-1, c)$ with $c < 10^{18}$

Let S denote the set of abc triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$ with $c < 10^{18}$. From the ABC@Home project, we have that $\#S = 45\ 603$. The largest quality occurring in S corresponds to the abc triple $(1, 4374, 4375)$, which has quality approximately equal to 1.5679. Figure 1 summarize the distribution of the quality of all abc triples in S. The bin size in the histogram is set to 5 000. We note that all computations done in this section were done on SageMath [S+23], and our code is available on GitHub [ASBHS23].

Table 1 lists the first fifteen elements of S, their quality, and whether they arise from one of the results proven in Section 3.

TABLE 1. The first fifteen abc triples of the form $(1, c-1, c)$

$(1, c-1, c)$	$q(1, c-1, c)$	Arises from result in Section 3?
$(1, 8, 9)$	1.2263	Yes; Corollary 3.6 with $(n, k) = (3, 1)$
$(1, 48, 49)$	1.0412	Yes; Corollary 3.8 with $(j, k) = (3, 1)$
$(1, 63, 64)$	1.1127	Yes; Corollary 3.9 with $(n, j, k) = (3, 1, 1)$
$(1, 80, 81)$	1.2920	Yes; Corollary 3.7 with $(n, k) = (3, 1)$
$(1, 224, 225)$	1.0129	Yes; Corollary 3.8 with $(j, k) = (4, 1)$
$(1, 242, 243)$	1.3111	No
$(1, 288, 289)$	1.2252	No
$(1, 512, 513)$	1.3176	Yes; Corollary 3.11 with $(n, j, k) = (3, 1, 2)$
$(1, 624, 625)$	1.0790	Yes; Corollary 3.6 with $(n, k) = (5, 1)$
$(1, 675, 676)$	1.0922	No
$(1, 728, 729)$	1.0459	Yes; Corollary 3.6 with $(n, k) = (3, 3)$
$(1, 960, 961)$	1.0048	Yes; Corollary 3.8 with $(j, k) = (5, 1)$
$(1, 1024, 1025)$	1.1523	Yes; Corollary 3.10 with $(n, k) = (4, 1)$

continued on next page

TABLE 1. *continued*

$(1, c-1, c)$	$q(1, c-1, c)$	Arises from result in Section 3?
$(1, 1215, 1216)$	1.1194	No
$(1, 2303, 2304)$	1.0204	No

In Table 1, the only abc triple that is not of the form $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ or $\big(1, n^l, n^l + 1\big)$ for some integer $l > 1$ is $(1, 1215, 1216)$. However, most abc triples in S are not of the aforementioned form. More precisely, S contains 7 376 (resp. 1 038) abc triples of the form $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ (resp. $\big(1, n^l, n^l + 1\big)$) for some integer $l > 1$. We note that $(1, 8, 9)$ is the only double-counted element since Mihăilescu's Theorem [Mih04] (formerly known as Catalan's conjecture) asserts that 2 and 3 are the only two consecutive perfect powers. Consequently,

$$T = \Big\{(1, c-1, c) \in S \mid c = n^l \text{ or } c = n^l + 1 \text{ for some } l > 1\Big\}$$

has 8 413 elements. The highest quality abc triple in T is $(1, 2400, 2401)$, with a quality of approximately 1.4557. Observe that this abc triple is obtained from Corollary 3.8 since $(1, 2400, 2401) = \big(1, 7^4 - 1, 7^4\big)$.

Now suppose that $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ is an abc triple for some integer $l > 1$. By Proposition 2.2, we know that $\operatorname{cosocle}\big(n^l - 1\big) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$. However, checking that $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ is an abc triple via this criteria gets more difficult as n^l grows. By Theorem 1, we can deduce that $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ is an abc triple if there is a divisor m of $n^l - 1$ such that $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$. By considering those elements in T of the form $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ for some integer $l > 1$, we find that m can be taken to be a proper divisor of $n^l - 1$, except for the abc triples $(1, c-1, c)$ where $c \in \{9, 676, 11309769, 17380816062160329\}$. Indeed, $\operatorname{rad}(676) = 26$ and $675 = 3^3 5^2$. The only divisor of 675 satisfying $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > 26$ is $m = 675$.

The above leads us to ask: given $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big) \in T$ with $l > 1$ an integer, what is the least divisor m of $n^l - 1$ for which $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$? Using SageMath [S+23], we answered this question, and our datafile can be accessed in [ASBHS23, triples_for_thm1.csv]. Table 2 gives the first fifteen elements (a, b, c) in T of the form $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$, where n and l are listed, as well as the least divisor m of $n^l - 1$ for which $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$ holds. The quality of the abc triple is also given.

TABLE 2. The first fifteen abc triples (a, b, c) of the form $\big(1, n^l - 1, n^l\big)$ for $l > 1$, with m the least divisor of $n^l - 1$ satisfying $\operatorname{cosocle}(m) > \operatorname{rad}(n)$

(a, b, c)	n	l	m	$q(a, b, c)$
$(1, 8, 9)$	3	2	8	1.2263
$(1, 48, 49)$	7	2	16	1.0412
$(1, 63, 64)$	2	6	9	1.1127
$(1, 80, 81)$	3	4	8	1.2920
$(1, 224, 225)$	15	2	32	1.0129
$(1, 242, 243)$	3	5	121	1.3111
$(1, 288, 289)$	17	2	144	1.2252

continued on next page

TABLE 2. *continued*

(a, b, c)	n	l	m	$q(a, b, c)$
$(1, 624, 625)$	5	4	16	1.0790
$(1, 675, 676)$	26	2	675	1.0922
$(1, 728, 729)$	3	6	8	1.0459
$(1, 960, 961)$	31	2	64	1.0048
$(1, 2303, 2304)$	48	2	49	1.0204
$(1, 2400, 2401)$	7	4	16	1.4557
$(1, 3024, 3025)$	55	2	432	1.0348
$(1, 3968, 3969)$	63	2	64	1.1554

Similarly, we ask the same question in the setting of Theorem 2. That is, given $\left(1, n^l, n^l + 1\right) \in T$ with $l > 1$ an odd integer, what is the least positive divisor m of n^l+1 for which $\mathrm{cosocle}(m) > \mathrm{rad}(n)$? We note that T has 596 elements of the form $\left(1, n^l, n^l + 1\right)$ for some integer $l > 1$. We also answer this question through SageMath, and our datafile is found in [ASBHS23, triples_for_thm2.csv]. Table 3 gives the first fifteen elements (a, b, c) in T of the form $\left(1, n^l, n^l + 1\right)$, where n and l are listed, as well as the least divisor m of $n^l + 1$ for which $\mathrm{cosocle}(m) > \mathrm{rad}(n)$ holds. In particular, we find that $(1, 8, 9)$ is the only *abc* triple of the form $(1, n^l, n^l + 1)$ in T with $l > 1$ an odd integer for which there is no proper divisor m of $n^l + 1$ satisfying $\mathrm{cosocle}(m) > \mathrm{rad}(n)$.

TABLE 3. The first fifteen *abc* triples (a, b, c) of the form $\left(1, n^l, n^l + 1\right)$ for $l > 1$ an odd integer, with m the least divisor of $n^l + 1$ satisfying $\mathrm{cosocle}(m) > \mathrm{rad}(n)$

(a, b, c)	n	l	m	$q(a, b, c)$
$(1, 8, 9)$	2	3	9	1.2263
$(1, 512, 513)$	2	9	9	1.3176
$(1, 6859, 6860)$	19	3	343	1.2281
$(1, 12167, 12168)$	23	3	676	1.2555
$(1, 17576, 17577)$	26	3	81	1.0039
$(1, 29791, 29792)$	31	3	784	1.1424
$(1, 32768, 32769)$	2	15	9	1.0406
$(1, 110592, 110593)$	48	3	49	1.0135
$(1, 250047, 250048)$	63	3	64	1.0351
$(1, 279936, 279937)$	6	7	49	1.0124
$(1, 512000, 512001)$	80	3	81	1.4433
$(1, 1953125, 1953126)$	5	9	27	1.0423
$(1, 2097152, 2097153)$	2	21	9	1.0287
$(1, 3176523, 3176524)$	147	3	676	1.0145
$(1, 7077888, 7077889)$	192	3	169	1.0515

Next, we investigate how many elements of T arise from the results proven in Section 3. Indeed, each abc triple produced by the results of that section are of the form $\left(1, n^l - 1, n^l\right)$ or $\left(1, n^l, n^l + 1\right)$ for some integer $l > 1$. Moreover, for each abc triple obtained from one of our corollaries in Section 3, we apply the following result from [vdH10, Section 2.3]:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(1, c-1, c)$ be an abc triple. Then the following are abc triples:*

$$\left(1, (c-1)^3, c\left(c^2 - 3c + 3\right)\right) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \left(1, c\,(c-2)\,, (c-1)^2\right).$$

As a demonstration, the abc triple $(1, 2303, 2304)$ is obtained from the abc triple $(1, 48, 49)$ since $2304 = 48^2$. In particular, $(1, 2303, 2304)$ can now be viewed as a consequence of Corollary 3.8 and Proposition 4.1. Proposition 4.1 is part of a more general result in [vdH10, Section 2.3], which provides a way of mapping an abc triple (a, b, c) to a new abc triple by applying polynomial identities. The more general result arises by splitting the binomial formula $(a+b)^n$ to obtain the following family of identities:

$$a^{n-k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{n}{j}a^{k-j}b^j\right) + b^{k+1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1}\binom{n}{j}a^j b^{n-k-1-j}\right) = c^n$$

Taking $k = 0$ yields Corollary 2.4. Therefore, the two non-trivial polynomials identities with $a = 1$ are those occurring in Proposition 4.1.

Corollaries 3.3 through 3.11 provide us with a recipe for constructing abc triples. For each of these corollaries, we consider the set

$$C_i = \{(1, c-1, c) \in T \mid (1, c-1, c) \text{ is obtained from Corollary 3.i}\},$$

where $3 \le i \le 11$. By Table 1, we see that $(1, 224, 225) \in C_8$, but $(1, 242, 243) \notin C_i$ for each i. Using SageMath, we find that

i	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$\#C_i$	32	58	12	41	29	81	46	18	36

The low number of abc triples in T occurring in each C_i is expected. Indeed, for Corollary 3.5 to yield an abc triples in T, we require that $n > 1$ be an integer, p be an odd prime such that $p > \operatorname{rad}(n)$, and $n^{p(p-1)k} < 10^{18}$ for some integer k. For n an odd integer, the only possible (n, p, k) is $(3, 5, 1)$, which gives the abc triple $(1, 3486784400, 3486784401)$. We also note that since Corollary 3.5 is a special case of Corollary 3.4, we have that $C_5 \subseteq C_4$. Now let

$$C = \bigcup_{3 \le i \le 11} C_i.$$

We find that $\#C = 162$.

Lastly, let D be the set of abc triples in T with the property that an element of D is in C or can be obtained from an abc triple in C after successive applications of Proposition 4.1 and Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5. As an illustration, the abc triple $(1, 12214672127, 12214672128) \notin C$, but it is in D. To see this, recall that $(1, 2303, 2304)$ is obtained from the abc triple $(1, 48, 49)$ via Proposition 4.1. Then,

$$(1, 12214672127, 12214672128) = \left(1, (c-1)^3, c\left(c^2 - 3c + 3\right)\right),$$

where $c = 2304$, which shows that the abc triple is in D. Using SageMath, we find that D has 309 elements.

We conclude this article by considering the percentage of abc triples $(1,c-1,c)$ in S and T, that are also in D. More precisely, for sets X and Y such that $X \subseteq Y \subseteq S$, we define

$$\delta_{X,Y}(x) = \frac{\#\left\{(1,c-1,c) \in X \mid c \leq x\right\}}{\#\left\{(1,c-1,c) \in Y \mid c \leq x\right\}}.$$

In particular, $\delta_{X,Y}(x)$ gives the percentage of abc triples $(1,c-1,c)$ of Y with $c \leq x$ that are in X. The table below gives some values of $\delta_{T,S}(x)$, $\delta_{D,S}(x)$, and $\delta_{D,T}(x)$:

x	10^4	10^6	10^8	10^{10}	10^{12}	10^{14}	10^{16}	10^{18}
$\delta_{T,S}(x)$	80%	57.8%	45.2%	35.1%	30.0%	24.6%	20.9%	18.4%
$\delta_{D,S}(x)$	53.3%	28.1%	13.5%	7.03%	3.79%	2.06%	1.14%	0.68%
$\delta_{D,T}(x)$	66.7%	48.7%	29.9%	20.0%	12.6%	8.40%	5.44%	3.67%

In particular, we see that D contains nearly half of the abc triples $(1,c-1,c)$ in T with $c < 10^6$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the National Science Foundation, Pomona College, Edray Goins, Renee Bell, Cory Colbert, Bianca Thompson, and the staff and students of the Pomona Research in Mathematics Experience (PRiME) for their support and camaraderie as this work was being undertaken. Research at PRiME was supported by the National Science Foundation award DMS-2113782.

We also would like to thank the High Performance Computing Program team at California State University San Bernardino and the National Research Platform, especially Youngsu Kim, for providing us access to SageMath in said computing program. In particular, this work was supported in part by National Science Foundation awards CNS-1730158, ACI-1540112, ACI-1541349, OAC-1826967, OAC-2112167, CNS-2120019, the University of California Office of the President, and the University of California San Diego's California Institute for Telecommunications and Information Technology/Qualcomm Institute. Thanks to CENIC for the 100Gbps networks.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References

[ASBHS23] Elise Alvarez-Salazar, Alexander J. Barrios, Calvin Henaku, and Summer Soller, *Code for abc triples of the form* $(1,c-1,c)$, https://github.com/alexanderbarrios/abc_triples/, 2023.

[Bak04] Alan Baker, *Experiments on the abc-conjecture*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **65** (2004), no. 3-4, 253–260. MR 2107944

[Bar23] Alexander J. Barrios, *Good elliptic curves with a specified torsion subgroup*, J. Number Theory **242** (2023), 21–43. MR 4474850

[dS23] Bart de Smit, *Abc-triples*, 2023, http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~desmit/abc/ .

[Elk91] Noam D. Elkies, *ABC implies Mordell*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1991), no. 7, 99–109. MR 1141316

[GT02] Andrew Granville and Thomas J. Tucker, *It's as easy as abc*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (2002), no. 10, 1224–1231. MR 1930670

[Lan90] Serge Lang, *Old and new conjectured Diophantine inequalities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **23** (1990), no. 1, 37–75. MR 1005184

[Mas17] D. W. Masser, *Abcological anecdotes*, Mathematika **63** (2017), no. 3, 713–714. MR 3731300

[Mih04] Preda Mihăilescu, *Primary cyclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **572** (2004), 167–195. MR 2076124

[MM16] Greg Martin and Winnie Miao, *abc triples*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **55** (2016), no. 2, 145–176. MR 3584566

[Oes88] Joseph Oesterlé, *Nouvelles approches du "théorème" de Fermat*, Astérisque (1988), no. 161-162, Exp. No. 694, 4, 165–186 (1989), Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1987/88. MR 992208

[Pal14] Willem Jan Palenstijn, *Finding abc-triples using elliptic curves*, 2014, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Universiteit Leiden.

[S+23] W. A. Stein et al., *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 9.7)*, The Sage Development Team, 2023, `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[Ste84] C. L. Stewart, *A note on the product of consecutive integers*, Topics in classical number theory, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1981), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 34, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 1523–1537. MR 781193

[vdH10] Johannes Petrus van der Horst, *Finding abc-triples using elliptic curves*, 2010, Masters Thesis – Universiteit Leiden.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 USA
Email address: `ealvarez-salazar@ucsb.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of St. Thomas, St. Paul, MN 55105 USA
Email address: `abarrios@stthomas.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130 USA
Email address: `chenaku@wustl.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523 USA
Email address: `summer.soller@colostate.edu`