

Brandon Chen
MATH 411

1.4

1. m is a positive integer divisible by 3, so $m = 3k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then instead of using boxes of m , we may instead use k boxes of 3 instead of each m . Thus, whatever we can do with boxes of 3 and m , we can do with boxes of 3 alone. The only numbers produced by boxes of 3 are multiples of 3, so the only numbers that are $(3, m)$ accessible are multiples of 3.

2. $(3, 5)$ inaccessible integers are $\{1, 2, 4, 7\}$
 $(3, 5)$ accessible integers are 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, and all integers ≥ 10

$(3, 7)$ inaccessible integers are $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 11\}$
 $(3, 7)$ accessible integers are 3, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, and all integers ≥ 14

$(3, 8)$ inaccessible integers are $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13\}$
 $(3, 8)$ accessible integers are 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, and all integers ≥ 16

3. The largest N inaccessible by $(3, m)$ is $2m - 3$
4. Divide \mathbb{N} into three lists, numbers divided by three with a remainder of 1, numbers divided by 3 with a remainder of 2, and numbers divided by three with a remainder of 0. Name these list one, list two, and list zero.

Numbers n in list one take the form $n = 3k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

Numbers n in list two take the form $n = 3k + 2, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

Numbers n in list zero take the form $n = 3k, k \in \mathbb{N}$

Every number in list zero is a multiple of 3, so it is $(3, m)$ accessible.

m is not divisible by 3, so it can be rewritten as

$m = 3k + r$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \{1, 2\}$.

if $r = 1$, then m can be found in list one, and all numbers $\geq m$ in list one can be obtained by adding some number of 3's and m , so they are accessible.

We know $m = 3k + 1$

So $2m = 6k + 2$

$2m = 3(2k) + 2$

so $2m$ is divided by 3 with a remainder of 2, so $2m$ can be found in list two.

Numbers $\geq 2m$ in list two can be obtained by adding some number of 3's and $2m$, so they are $(3, m)$ accessible.

if $r = 2$, then m can be found in list two, all numbers $\geq m$ in list two can be obtained by adding some number of 3's and m , so they are accessible.

We know $m = 3k + 2$,

So $2m = 6k + 4$

Then $2m = 3(2k + 1) + 1$

So $2m$ is divided by 3 with a remainder of 1, so $2m$ can be found in list one.

Numbers $\geq 2m$ in list one can be obtained by adding some number of 3's and $2m$, so they are $(3, m)$ accessible.

In the list containing $2m$, $2m$ is the lowest number accessible by $(3, m)$, since all numbers below it are not a combination of 3's and m 's.

So the number below it in the same list must be the greatest number inaccessible by $(3, m)$, this number is $2m - 3$.

In the other list, the list containing m , m is the lowest number accessible by $(3, m)$, since all numbers below it are not a combination of 3's and m 's.

So the number before it in this list must be the greatest number inaccessible by $(3, m)$, this number is $m - 3$.

We want the greatest inaccessible number, and $2m - 3 > m - 3$, so the greatest inaccessible number is $2m - 3$.