

# THE NON-EXISTENCE OF NEGATIVE WEIGHT DERIVATIONS ON POSITIVE DIMENSIONAL ISOLATED SINGULARITIES: GENERALIZED WAHL CONJECTURE

BING YI CHEN, HAO CHEN, STEPHEN S.-T. YAU & HUAI QING ZUO

## Abstract

Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/(f)$  where  $f$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial defining an isolated singularity at the origin. Then  $R$  and  $\text{Der}(R, R)$  are graded. It is well-known that  $\text{Der}(R, R)$  does not have a negatively graded component. Wahl conjectured that this is still true for  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  which defines an isolated, normal and complete intersection singularity and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  weighted homogeneous polynomials with the same weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ . Here we give a positive answer to the Wahl Conjecture and its generalization (without the condition of complete intersection singularity) for  $R$  when the degree of  $f_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$  are bounded below by a constant  $C$  depending only on the weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ . Moreover this bound  $C$  is improved when any two of  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  are coprime. Since there are counter-examples for the Wahl Conjecture and its generalization when  $f_i$  are low degree, our theorem is more or less optimal in the sense that only the lower bound constant can be improved.

Keywords. Negative weight derivations, weighted homogeneous singularities.

MSC(2010). 14B05, 32S05.

## 1. Introduction

On the one hand, in [YZ2], we have studied the problems of nonexistence of negative weight derivation on moduli algebras which are zero-dimensional weighted homogeneous singularities. We also gave sharp upper estimates of dimensions of derivation algebras for these moduli algebras [YZ1]. The nonexistence of negative weight derivation on zero-dimensional weighted homogeneous complete intersection singularities was also studied in [PP1, PP2]. On the other hand, the nonexistence of negative weight derivation on positive dimensional weighted homogeneous singularities has also been considered by many mathematicians ([MS], [Wa1, Wa2, Wa3]). In [Ka1] and [Ka2], the nonexistence of

negative weight derivation was proved for isolated weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities and weighted homogeneous curve singularities. Kantor proved the following results in detail:

(a) **[Ka1]** If  $A = \mathbb{C}[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_r}]$  is a non-regular monomial curve, then  $A$  has no derivations of negative weight.

(b) **[Ka2]** If  $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f)$  is an isolated weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularity and normalized grading, then  $A$  has no derivations of negative weight.

Wahl proposed a very general conjecture (cf. Conjecture 1.4, **[Wa2]**) about the nonexistence of negative weight derivation for positive dimensional weighted homogeneous singularities. One special case of his conjecture for singular cones led him to give a beautiful cohomological characterization of complex projective space (**[Wa3]**, **[MS]**). As noted in **[GS]**, the Wahl Conjecture can be rephrased in the case of the weighted homogeneous isolated complete intersection singularity (ICIS).

**Wahl Conjecture (ICIS).** Any weighted homogeneous ICIS with dimension  $\geq 2$  has no negative weight derivations with respect to some positive grading.

The Wahl Conjecture for complete intersections was first solved by Aleksandrov in **[Al]**.

**Theorem 1.1.** (**[AGLV]**, pp. 34–35) *Let  $(V, 0)$  be a positive dimensional weighted homogeneous ICIS which is defined by  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then*

$$A := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$$

*has no derivations of negative weight except the following two cases: 1).  $p = 1$ , and  $f_1$  has multiplicity 2; 2).  $p \geq 2, n \geq 3p$ ,  $\dim V \geq 4$  and  $f_i$  has multiplicity 2 for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ . In the first exceptional case, the grading is not unique and can always be chosen such that the singularity has no derivations of negative weight. In the second case, the grading is defined uniquely, and for such a singularity there may be derivations of negative weight.*

**Counter-example 1** (Aleksandrov **[Al]**). Let  $a \geq 3$ . If one assigns weights  $1, 1, 1, 1, a, a, a$  to the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_7$ , the equations

$$f_1 := x_7x_1 + x_6x_2 + x_5x_3 + x_4^{a+1}$$

$$f_2 := x_7x_4 + x_6x_1 + x_5x_2 + x_3^{a+1}$$

define a five-dimensional weighted homogeneous complete intersection

$$A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_7]/(f_1, f_2)$$

with an isolated singularity. On  $A$  there is a derivation

$$D := (x_2x_4 - x_1^2)\partial/\partial x_5 - (x_3x_4 - x_1x_2)\partial/\partial x_6 + (x_1x_3 - x_2^2)\partial/\partial x_7$$

of negative weight  $2 - a$ .

**Remark 1.1.** In the original statement of Theorem 1.1, Aleksandrov mistakenly claimed that for embedding dimension 6, all weighted homogeneous ICIS have no negative weight derivations with respect to some positive grading. Recently Granger and Schulze [GS] reproved Aleksandrov's theorem and gave the following counter-example for embedding dimension 6.

**Counter-example 2** (Granger and Schulze, [GS]). Let  $n \geq 6$  and pick  $c_7, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$  pairwise different such that  $c_i^9 + 1 \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . If one assigns weights  $8, 8, 5, 2, \dots, 2$  to the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the equations

$$f_1 := x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3^2 - x_4^5 + \sum_{i=7}^n x_i^5$$

$$f_2 := x_1x_5 + x_2x_6 + x_3^2 + x_6^5 + \sum_{i=7}^n c_i x_i^5$$

define a weighted homogeneous complete intersection

$$A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, f_2)$$

with an isolated singularity. On  $A$  there is a derivation

$$D := 2x_3(x_5 - x_6)\partial/\partial x_1 - 2x_3(x_4 - x_5)\partial/\partial x_2 + (x_4x_6 - x_5^2)\partial/\partial x_3$$

of weight  $-1$ .

Both singularities in Counter-examples 1 and 2 are complete intersection. We shall give a non-complete intersection singularity which has a negative weight derivation. This is a Gorenstein singularity obtained by taking quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by finite cyclic group of order 3.

**Example 3.**

Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$  generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp(2\pi i/3) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(2\pi i/3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \exp(2\pi i/3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then a set of minimal generators of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^G$  is

$$x_1 = x^3, \quad x_2 = y^3, \quad x_3 = z^3, \quad x_4 = xyz, \quad x_5 = x^2y,$$

$$x_6 = xy^2, \quad x_7 = x^2z, \quad x_8 = xz^2, \quad x_9 = y^2z, \quad x_{10} = yz^2,$$

whose relations are

$$\begin{aligned} x_5^2 &= x_1x_6, & x_5x_6 &= x_1x_2, & x_6^2 &= x_2x_5, & x_7^2 &= x_1x_8, \\ x_7x_8 &= x_1x_3, & x_8^2 &= x_3x_7, & x_9^2 &= x_2x_{10}, & x_9x_{10} &= x_2x_3, \\ x_{10}^2 &= x_3x_9, & x_1x_9 &= x_4x_5, & x_1x_{10} &= x_4x_7, & x_2x_7 &= x_4x_6, \\ x_2x_8 &= x_4x_9, & x_3x_5 &= x_4x_8, & x_3x_6 &= x_4x_{10}, & x_5x_7 &= x_1x_4, \\ x_5x_8 &= x_4x_7, & x_5x_9 &= x_4x_6, & x_5x_{10} &= x_4^2, & x_6x_7 &= x_4x_5, \\ x_6x_8 &= x_4^2, & x_6x_9 &= x_2x_4, & x_6x_{10} &= x_4x_9, & x_7x_9 &= x_4^2, \\ x_7x_{10} &= x_4x_8, & x_8x_9 &= x_4x_{10}, & x_8x_{10} &= x_3x_4. \end{aligned}$$

We assign the following weights

$$\begin{aligned} wt(x_1) = 1, \quad wt(x_2) = 4, \quad wt(x_3) = 7, \quad wt(x_4) = 4, \quad wt(x_5) = 2 \\ wt(x_6) = 3, \quad wt(x_7) = 3, \quad wt(x_8) = 5, \quad wt(x_9) = 5, \quad wt(x_{10}) = 6. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easy to see that the relation equations are weighted homogeneous under this weight system and define a three-dimensional isolated quotient singularity (cf. [YY], Theorem A ). We obtain a derivation

$$3x_6\partial/\partial x_2 + x_7\partial/\partial x_4 + x_1\partial/\partial x_5 + 2x_5\partial/\partial x_6 + 2x_4\partial/\partial x_9 + x_8\partial/\partial x_{10}$$

of degree  $-1$ .

Based on these examples, it is natural to propose the following conjecture.

**Generalized Wahl Conjecture.** Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the weighted polynomial ring in  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with positive integer weights  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$ . Let  $(V, 0)$  be a positive dimensional variety which is defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in P$ . Suppose  $(V, 0)$  is an isolated singularity. Then the graded ring  $R = P/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  has no negative weight derivations if the (weighted) degrees of  $f_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , are large.

**Remark 1.2.** (cf. [A1]) If the singularity is a positive dimensional isolated complete intersection singularity, then the derivation algebra is generated by Euler derivations and trivial derivations. Thus, the generators of the derivations are completely known. However, for non-complete intersection singularities, there is no known description of all holomorphic vector fields. Therefore the generalized Wahl Conjecture is substantially more difficult than the Wahl Conjecture for ICIS.

In this paper, we solve the Generalized Wahl Conjecture.

**Main Theorem A** (Generalized Wahl Conjecture). Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the weighted polynomial ring in  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with positive integer weights  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$ . Suppose that  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials of degrees greater than  $(m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1}$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Then there are no non-zero negative weight derivations on  $R = P/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ .

**Remark 1.3.** We claim that our degree condition on  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  implies that  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  cannot contain any quadratic terms when  $m \geq 2$ . We assume that  $wt(x_i) = w_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n \geq 1$  where  $w_i$  are integers. Let  $d_i$  be the weighted degree of  $f_i$ . We have  $d_i > (m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ . If  $w_1 = 1$ , then  $w_i = 1, 2 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $d_i > (m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1} \geq 2$ , so obviously  $f_i$  cannot contain any quadratic terms. If  $w_1 > 1$ , then  $d_i > (m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1} \geq 2w_1$ .

Thus  $f_i$  cannot contain any quadratic terms due to the degree consideration.

From Counter-examples 1 and 2 for the Wahl Conjecture in the complete intersection case ([Al], [GS]) and Example 3, we know that the nonexistence of negative weight derivation on positive dimensional singularities can be expected only for “large” degree cases. But of course our constant  $(m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1}$  here may not be sharp. Main Theorem B below tells us that this bound can be improved under the additional condition that any two of the weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  are co-prime.

**Main Theorem B.** Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the weighted polynomial ring in  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with positive integer weights  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be  $m$  weighted homogeneous polynomials of degrees greater than  $(m-1+w_1)w_1w_2$ . Suppose that any two of the original weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  are co-prime and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Then there are no non-zero negative weight derivations on  $R = P/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Notice that the singularities investigated in Main Theorem A and Main Theorem B are not necessarily normal singularities. Indeed, if we take  $m = 1, n = 2, P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2], f_1 = x_1^8 + x_2^{12}, w_1 = 3$ , and  $w_2 = 2$ , then it is easy to check  $f_1$  satisfies the conditions in the main theorems, but the singularity defined by  $f_1$  is not normal.

The main idea of the proofs of the main theorems is as follows. Suppose there exists a non-zero negative weight derivation  $D$  on  $R = P/I$  with respect to weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  where  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n \geq 1$ . We can regard  $D$  as a negative weight derivation on the weighted polynomial ring  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  which preserves the ideal  $I$ . It is well known that  $D$  is of the following form

$$(1.1) \quad D = p_1 \partial / \partial x_1 + p_2 \partial / \partial x_2 + \dots + p_n \partial / \partial x_n$$

where  $p_i$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials with the degrees  $w_i + wt D$ , respectively. Let the weighted homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  generate the ideal  $I$  and without loss of generality we assume that  $\deg f_1 \geq \deg f_2 \geq \dots \geq \deg f_m$ . By the condition  $D(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) \subset (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  and  $\deg f_1 \geq \deg f_2 \geq \dots \geq \deg f_m$ , we have

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Df_1 &= \ell_1^2 f_2 + \ell_1^3 f_3 + \dots + \ell_1^m f_m \\ Df_2 &= \ell_2^3 f_3 + \ell_2^4 f_4 + \dots + \ell_2^m f_m \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ Df_{m-1} &= \ell_{m-1}^m f_m \\ Df_m &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell_j^i$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials.

For any negative weight derivation  $D$  as in (1.1) on  $P$  we associate families of new weight type  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$  controlled by parameters  $\epsilon_i$  (see Definition 3.1). In Theorem 4.1, we prove that if we can choose suitable parameters  $\epsilon_i$  to make the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$  satisfy the three conditions below:

(1) there is only one index  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ;

(2)  $\epsilon_{i_0} = \epsilon_{\min}$ , where

$$\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \text{ such that } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\};$$

(3)  $p_{i_0}$  is a non-zero polynomial,

where  $p_i$  is the coefficient of  $\partial/\partial x_i$  in  $D$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the degree of each  $f_j$  is low, which contradicts the condition in the main theorems that the degree of each  $f_j$  is bounded below by a constant. Thus such  $D$  doesn't exist and there are no negative weight derivations on  $R$ .

From the argument above, we emphasize here the key point is to choose suitable parameters for a given negative weight derivation  $D$ , which preserves the ideal  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ , to satisfy the above three conditions (1)-(3). First we let

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon, & p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive real number. Then we have  $\epsilon_{\min} = \epsilon$  and  $\ell_i = 0$  for  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is the zero polynomial. Let  $I_{\max} = \{e : \ell_e/w_e \text{ is the maximum among all } \ell_i/w_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{\min}$  and  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ . Under the additional condition that any two of the weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  are coprime, we can prove that  $I_{\max}$  has only one element, implying that conditions (1)-(3) in Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Consequently, Main Theorem B follows immediately using Theorem 4.1. But in general  $I_{\max}$  might have more than one element, thus we need to adjust the parameters  $\epsilon_i$  in order to separate  $\{\ell_i/w_i : i \in I_{\max}\}$  such that these numbers have only one maximum. The parameters are adjusted as follows: pick an index  $i_1 \notin I_{\max}$  and replace the parameter  $\epsilon_{i_1}$  with  $\epsilon_{i_1} + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)$ , then the new weight type and  $I_{\max}$  change accordingly. Then pick an index  $i_2 \notin I_{\max}$  and replace the parameter  $\epsilon_{i_2}$  with  $\epsilon_{i_2} + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^2$ . Repeat this process. Theorem 6.1 guarantees the procedure will be terminated after finite steps, and Main Theorem A is proved. We speculate that this new technique of decomposing equations according to the new weight type might be useful for attacking other problems in singularity theory.

The paper is organized as follows. We recall the definition and properties of derivations in section 2. In section 3 we define and give the

necessary properties for the main technical tool—new weight type associated to a negative weight derivation on the weighted polynomial ring. Some lemmas and theorems which are used in the proof of our main theorems are introduced and are proved in section 4. We shall give the proofs of Main Theorem A and B in section 4 and 5.

## 2. Derivations

Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring of  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with positive integer weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ . For a monomial  $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  in  $P$  its weighted degree is defined to be  $w_1 i_1 + \dots + w_n i_n$ . A polynomial  $f \in P$  is called weighted homogeneous with respect to weights  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  if there exists a positive integer  $d$  such that  $\sum a_i w_i = d$ , for each monomial  $\prod x_i^{a_i}$  appearing in  $f$  with a nonzero coefficient. The number  $d$  is called the (weighted) degree of  $f$  and denoted by  $\deg f$ . For an ideal  $I$  generated by weighted homogeneous polynomials in  $P$  we have a graded quotient algebra  $R = P/I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$ . Furthermore  $R$  is called a graded complete intersection algebra if  $I$  is generated by a regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_m, m \leq n$ . When the Krull dimension of  $R$  is zero,  $R$  is a positively graded Artinian algebra.

Let  $R = P/I$  be a positively graded algebra as above. Then the derivations of  $R$  are induced by derivations of  $P$  sending  $I$  to  $I$ . Let  $\text{Der}(R)$  be the  $R$ -module of derivations of  $R$ . As  $R$  is graded, we have a natural grading on  $\text{Der}(R) = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{Der}(R)_k$  where  $\text{Der}(R)_k = \{D \in \text{Der}(R) : D(R_i) \subset R_{i+k} \text{ for any } i\}$ . In particular, the Euler derivation  $\Delta = \sum w_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  has weight 0.

A complete local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra (i.e. singularity) is weighted homogeneous if it is the completion  $\hat{R}$  of a graded algebra  $R$ . If the singularity is isolated, weighted-homogeneity is equivalent to having a positive grading on the completion. The same singularity may have essentially different graded structures. For example,  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(xz - y^2)$  is bigraded, so it has many gradings (e.g., using weights  $\{1, k+1, 2k+1\}$ ). However, Saito [Sa] has proved that an isolated weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularity defined by  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  has unique normalized weights. Saito's choice of weights gives a graded algebra  $R = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]/(f)$  for which there are no derivations of negative weight. A complete intersection weighted homogeneous isolated singularity  $\hat{R}$  uniquely determines a graded algebra  $R$  (assuming  $\dim R > 0$ , and excluding the case of multiplicity 2 hypersurfaces). In general, if the maximal reductive automorphism group of  $\hat{R}$  has dimension 1, then  $\hat{R}$  admits a unique positively graded structure (cf. [Wa2]).

### 3. New weight type

Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$  be as above and  $D$  be a non-zero negative weight derivation on  $P$ . It is well known that  $D$  is of the following form

$$(3.1) \quad D = p_1 \partial / \partial x_1 + p_2 \partial / \partial x_2 + \dots + p_n \partial / \partial x_n$$

where  $p_i$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree  $w_i + wt D$  with respect to the weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  or the zero polynomial for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $wt D < 0$ , we know that  $p_i$  is a polynomial in  $x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus  $p_n$  is a constant polynomial. We define a new weight type associated to  $D$  as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $D$  be a non-zero negative weight derivation on the weighted polynomial ring  $P$  as in (3.1). The following weight type  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$  controlled by the given  $n$  parameters  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n$  are called the new weight type associated to  $D$ , where  $\epsilon_i$  are non-negative real parameters. Set

$$\ell_n = \epsilon_n.$$

If  $\ell_n, \ell_{n-1}, \dots, \ell_{q+1}$  are defined,  $\ell_q$  is defined as follows:

(i) if the coefficient  $p_q(x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n)$  of  $\partial / \partial x_q$  in  $D$  is the zero-polynomial

$$(3.2) \quad \ell_q = \epsilon_q,$$

(ii) if the coefficient  $p_q(x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n)$  of  $\partial / \partial x_q$  in  $D$  is a non-zero polynomial

$$(3.3) \quad \ell_q = \epsilon_q + \max\{\ell_{q+1}i_{q+1} + \ell_{q+2}i_{q+2} + \dots + \ell_n i_n \mid \text{monomial } x_{q+1}^{i_{q+1}} x_{q+2}^{i_{q+2}} \dots x_n^{i_n} \text{ appears in the expansion of } p_q\}$$

where  $p_i$  is the coefficient of  $\partial / \partial x_i$  in  $D$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

It is clear that when

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -wt D, & p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ w_i, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

then the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$  is just the original weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The degree of a monomial  $x^\alpha = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  is defined to be  $w_1 i_1 + w_2 i_2 + \dots + w_n i_n$ . The  $Q$ -degree of  $x^\alpha$  is defined to be  $\ell_1 i_1 + \ell_2 i_2 + \dots + \ell_n i_n$ . And the  $Q$ -degree of a polynomial  $f$  is defined as follows,

$$Q\text{-deg } f := \max\{Q\text{-degrees of monomials in the expansion of } f\}.$$

Thus  $\ell_i = \epsilon_i + Q\text{-deg } p_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial, where  $p_i$  is the coefficient of  $\partial / \partial x_i$  in  $D$ .



**Definition 3.3.** For any polynomial  $f$  in  $P$ , we denote by  $f_{\max}$  the sum of terms in the expansion of  $f$  with maximum  $Q$ -degree with respect to  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$ , i.e., if we write

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in I} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$$

where  $I$  is a finite set, then

$$f_{\max} := \sum_{\alpha \in I \text{ and } Q\text{-deg } x^{\alpha} = Q\text{-deg } f} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}.$$

**Definition 3.4.** With the same notation as before, we define

$$d_{\max}(D) := \max\{\text{the } Q\text{-degree of } (p_j)_{\max} \partial / \partial x_j \mid p_j \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\},$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad D_{\max} := \sum_{\substack{\text{for } j \text{ such that} \\ (p_j)_{\max} \partial / \partial x_j \text{ has } Q\text{-degree } d_{\max}(D)}} (p_j)_{\max} \partial / \partial x_j$$

where the  $Q$ -degree of  $(p_j)_{\max} \partial / \partial x_j$  is defined to be  $Q\text{-deg } (p_j)_{\max} - \ell_j$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** With the same notation as above, we have

$$(3.5) \quad D_{\max} = \sum_{\substack{\text{for } j \text{ such that} \\ p_j \text{ is a non-zero polynomial and } \epsilon_j = \epsilon_{\min}}} (p_j)_{\max} \partial / \partial x_j$$

where

$$\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \text{ such that } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\}.$$

Then  $Q\text{-degree } D_{\max} = -\epsilon_{\min}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear from the definition of the new weight type and  $D_{\max}$ .  
q.e.d.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $D, D_{\max}, \epsilon_{\min}$  be as above and  $g$  be an arbitrary polynomial in  $P$ . We have either

- (i)  $D_{\max} g_{\max} = 0$ , in this case  $Q\text{-deg } (Dg)_{\max} < Q\text{-deg } g_{\max} - \epsilon_{\min}$ ,  
or
- (ii)  $D_{\max} g_{\max} = (Dg)_{\max}$ .

*Proof.* Write

$$g = g_{\max} + \text{lower } Q\text{-deg terms} = g_{\max} + g_r + g_{r-1} + \dots,$$

and

$$D = D_{\max} + \text{lower } Q\text{-deg terms} = D_{\max} + D_s + D_{s-1} + \dots,$$

where

$$\dots < Q\text{-deg } g_{r-1} < Q\text{-deg } g_r < Q\text{-deg } g_{\max},$$

and

$$\cdots < \text{Q-deg } D_{s-1} < \text{Q-deg } D_s < \text{Q-deg } D_{\max}.$$

Then we have

$$Dg = D_{\max}g_{\max} + D_{\max}g_r + D_sg_{\max} + D_sg_r + \dots$$

If  $D_{\max}g_{\max} \neq 0$ , then  $Dg = D_{\max}g_{\max} + \text{lower Q-deg terms}$ . Thus we have

$$D_{\max}g_{\max} = (Dg)_{\max}.$$

If  $D_{\max}g_{\max} = 0$ , then  $Dg = D_{\max}g_r + D_sg_{\max} + D_sg_r + \dots$ . Thus

$$\text{Q-deg } (Dg)_{\max} \leq \max\{\text{Q-deg } D_{\max} + \text{Q-deg } g_r, \text{Q-deg } D_s + \text{Q-deg } g_{\max}\}.$$

Since  $\text{Q-degree } D_{\max} = -\epsilon_{\min}$  by Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\text{Q-deg } D_{\max} + \text{Q-deg } g_r < \text{Q-deg } D_{\max} + \text{Q-deg } g_{\max} = \text{Q-deg } g_{\max} - \epsilon_{\min},$$

and

$$\text{Q-deg } D_s + \text{Q-deg } g_{\max} < \text{Q-deg } D_{\max} + \text{Q-deg } g_{\max} = \text{Q-deg } g_{\max} - \epsilon_{\min}.$$

Therefore,  $\text{Q-deg } (Dg)_{\max} < \text{Q-deg } g_{\max} - \epsilon_{\min}$ . q.e.d.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $D$  and  $g$  as above. If  $Dg = 0$ , then  $D_{\max}g_{\max} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2. q.e.d.

#### 4. Some lemmas for the proof of main theorems

In this section and the next section,  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is the weighted polynomial ring in  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with positive integer weights  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$ . Let

$$D = p_1\partial/\partial x_1 + p_2\partial/\partial x_2 + \dots + p_n\partial/\partial x_n$$

be a fixed non-zero negative weight derivation on  $P$ , and let  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$  be the new weight type associated to  $D$  controlled by non-negative parameters  $\epsilon_i$ .

The following simple properties of isolated singularities are needed for our proof of the main results in this section as well as in the next section.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $I$  be the ideal generated by weighted homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  with respect to weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  as above and  $P/I$  is a non-zero Artinian algebra. Let  $m$  be the maximal ideal generated by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , then we have  $m^r \subseteq I$  for some integer  $r > 0$  and  $P/I$  is a local Artinian algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $d_i$  be the degree of  $f_i$  with respect to  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then for any point  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have

$$f_i(\alpha^{w_1}x_1, \alpha^{w_2}x_2, \dots, \alpha^{w_n}x_n) = \alpha^{d_i}f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  generate an ideal  $I$  such that  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I$  is an Artinian Algebra. By Lemma 4.2, for any index  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , one of the following cases occurs,

(i) there exists a  $(n - r) \times (n - r)$  submatrix of the above matrix, such that  $x_i^b$  with a positive integer  $b$  is contained in the expansion of the determinant of this submatrix. Thus one of its entries, i.e.  $\partial f_p / \partial x_q$ , contains a power of  $x_i$  in its expansion,

(ii) there exists  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $x_i^b$  with a positive integer  $b$  is contained in the expansion of  $f_t$ .

Thus the conclusion is proved.

q.e.d.

The following observations based on the assumption that  $\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  has the unique maximum are crucial to our proof of the main results.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose that there is only one index  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\beta = \ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be a weighted homogeneous polynomial with respect to both the original weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  and the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$ . Suppose that the degree of  $f$  and the  $Q$ -degree of  $f$  satisfy*

$$(4.1) \quad \deg f > M/(\beta - \gamma),$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad Q\text{-deg } f \geq \beta \deg f - M,$$

where  $M$  is a fixed constant and

$$\gamma = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0 - 1, i_0 + 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then  $x_{i_0}$  divides  $f$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that some monomial  $x^a$  in the expansion of  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is not divisible by  $x_{i_0}$ . Let us denote  $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_0-1}^{a_{i_0-1}} x_{i_0+1}^{a_{i_0+1}} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ . By the definition of  $\gamma$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} Q\text{-deg } f &= Q\text{-deg } x^a \\ &= a_1 \ell_1 + \cdots + a_{i_0-1} \ell_{i_0-1} + a_{i_0+1} \ell_{i_0+1} + \cdots + a_n \ell_n \\ &\leq \gamma(a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_{i_0-1} w_{i_0-1} + a_{i_0+1} w_{i_0+1} + \cdots + a_n w_n) \\ (4.3) \quad &= \gamma \deg x^a = \gamma \deg f. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.3) with (4.2), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \beta \deg f - M \leq Q\text{-deg } f \leq \gamma \deg f.$$

This implies

$$(4.5) \quad \deg f \leq M/(\beta - \gamma),$$

which contradicts (4.1). Thus the lemma is proved.

q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.5.** *If the coefficient  $p_{i_0}$  of  $\partial/\partial x_{i_0}$  in  $D$  is a non-zero polynomial and  $f$  is a polynomial which is divisible by  $x_{i_0}$ , then  $Df \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us expand  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in powers of  $x_{i_0}$

$$(4.6) \quad f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = b_q x_{i_0}^q + b_{q-1} x_{i_0}^{q-1} + \dots + b_h x_{i_0}^h, \text{ with } b_h \neq 0,$$

where  $h \leq q$  and  $b_q, b_{q-1}, \dots, b_h$  are polynomials of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_n$ . From the condition of Lemma 4.5, we know that  $h \geq 1$ . It yields that

$$(4.7) \quad Df = (D_1 + p_{i_0} \partial/\partial x_{i_0})f$$

where  $D_1 = D - p_{i_0} \partial/\partial x_{i_0}$ . Therefore,  $D_1 f = x_{i_0}^h D_1 (b_q x_{i_0}^{q-h} + \dots + b_h)$  and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Df &= x_{i_0}^h D_1 (b_q x_{i_0}^{q-h} + \dots + b_h) + p_{i_0} (q b_q x_{i_0}^{q-1} + \dots + h b_h x_{i_0}^{h-1}) \\ &= x_{i_0}^h D_1 (b_q x_{i_0}^{q-h} + \dots + b_h) + x_{i_0}^h p_{i_0} (q b_q x_{i_0}^{q-h-1} + \dots + (h+1) b_{h+1}) \\ &\quad + h x_{i_0}^{h-1} p_{i_0} b_h. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $p_{i_0} b_h$  is a non-zero polynomial in  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_n$ . Hence the last term on the right hand side of (4.8) is only divisible by  $x_{i_0}^{h-1}$ . Thus  $Df$  is a non-zero polynomial. q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $\ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (not necessarily the unique maximum) and the coefficient  $p_{i_0}$  of  $\partial/\partial x_{i_0}$  in  $D$  is a non-zero polynomial, then  $\ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} \leq \epsilon_{i_0}/(-wtD)$ . That is to say,  $\ell_i/w_i \leq \epsilon_{i_0}/(-wtD)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} > \epsilon_{i_0}/(-wtD)$ , then by the definition of the new weight type and the fact that  $wtD = \deg p_{i_0} - w_{i_0}$ , we have

$$\frac{\text{Q-deg } (p_{i_0})_{\max} + \epsilon_{i_0}}{\deg(p_{i_0})_{\max} - wtD} = \frac{\ell_{i_0}}{w_{i_0}}.$$

Combining with the assumption that  $\epsilon_{i_0}/(-wtD) < \ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0}$ , we conclude that

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\text{Q-deg } (p_{i_0})_{\max}}{\deg(p_{i_0})_{\max}} > \frac{\ell_{i_0}}{w_{i_0}}.$$

However,  $(p_{i_0})_{\max}$  is a polynomial in  $x_t$  for  $t > i_0$  and we have  $\ell_t/w_t \leq \ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0}$  for  $t > i_0$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$\frac{\text{Q-deg } (p_{i_0})_{\max}}{\deg(p_{i_0})_{\max}} \leq \frac{\ell_{i_0}}{w_{i_0}},$$

which contradicts (4.9). Thus this lemma is proved. q.e.d.

The following theorem is critical to the proof of Main Theorem A.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be  $m$  weighted homogeneous polynomials in  $P$  with respect to the weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ . Suppose these polynomials define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Suppose that the negative weight derivation  $D$  on  $P$  preserves the ideal  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ . If we can choose suitable parameters  $\epsilon_i$  to make the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n)$  satisfy the three conditions below:*

(1) *there is only one index  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\beta = \ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,*

(2)  *$\epsilon_{i_0} = \epsilon_{\min}$ , where*

$$\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \text{ such that } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\},$$

(3)  *$p_{i_0}$  is a non-zero polynomial,*

*where  $p_i$  is the coefficient of  $\partial/\partial x_i$  in  $D$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then there exists  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that*

$$\deg f_j \leq \frac{(m-1+w_1)\epsilon_{\min}}{\beta-\gamma},$$

*where  $\gamma = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0-1, i_0+1, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\deg f_1 \geq \deg f_2 \geq \dots \geq \deg f_m$ . By comparing degrees, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} Df_1 &= \ell_1^2 f_2 + \dots + \ell_1^m f_m, \\ Df_2 &= \ell_2^3 f_3 + \dots + \ell_2^m f_m, \\ (4.10) \quad &\dots\dots\dots, \\ Df_{m-1} &= \ell_{m-1}^m f_m, \\ Df_m &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell_j^i$  with  $i > j$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials with respect to the original weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ .

By Lemma 4.3, we can find one  $f_{j_0}$  which contains a term of the form  $x_{i_0}^a$  or  $x_{i_0}^a x_j$  with  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  in its expansion. If the former holds, then  $\text{Q-deg } (f_{j_0})_{\max} \geq a\ell_{i_0} = \beta \deg f_{j_0} \geq \beta \deg f_{j_0} - w_1 \epsilon_{\min}$ . If the latter holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Q-deg } (f_{j_0})_{\max} &\geq a\ell_{i_0} + \ell_j = \beta(\deg f_{j_0} - w_j) + \ell_j \\ &\geq \beta \deg f_{j_0} - \beta w_j \geq \beta \deg f_{j_0} - w_1 \epsilon_{\min}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that  $w_j \leq w_1$  and  $\beta \leq \epsilon_{i_0} = \epsilon_{\min}$  by Lemma 4.6.

We construct a sequence  $j_0 < j_1 < \dots$  as follows. If  $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_i$  are defined, by Proposition 3.2, then we have either  $D_{\max}(f_{j_i})_{\max} = 0$  or  $D_{\max}(f_{j_i})_{\max} = (Df_{j_i})_{\max}$ . If the former holds, let the sequence end. If the latter holds, by the  $j_i$ -th equation in (4.10), there is an index

$j_{i+1} \in \{j_i + 1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$(4.11) \quad \text{Q-deg} \left( \ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}} f_{j_{i+1}} \right)_{\max} = \text{Q-deg} (D_{\max}(f_{j_i})_{\max}).$$

Now we prove by induction that the sequence has the following property

$$(4.12) \quad \text{Q-deg}(f_{j_i})_{\max} \geq -i(\beta \, wt \, D + \epsilon_{\min}) + \beta \deg f_{j_i} - w_1 \epsilon_{\min}.$$

We have proven that (4.12) holds for  $i = 0$ . Suppose the proposition holds for  $i$ , we shall validate it for  $i + 1$ . By (4.11) and Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$\text{Q-deg} \left( \ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}} \right)_{\max} + \text{Q-deg}(f_{j_{i+1}})_{\max} = -\epsilon_{\min} + \text{Q-deg}(f_{j_i})_{\max}.$$

Using the fact that  $\deg f_{j_i} + wt \, D = \deg \ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}} + \deg f_{j_{i+1}}$  and  $\beta \deg \ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}} \geq \text{Q-deg}(\ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}})_{\max}$ , we get

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Q-deg}(f_{j_{i+1}})_{\max} \\ &= -\epsilon_{\min} + \text{Q-deg}(f_{j_i})_{\max} - \text{Q-deg}(\ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}})_{\max} \\ &\geq -\epsilon_{\min} - i(\beta \, wt \, D + \epsilon_{\min}) + \beta \deg f_{j_i} - w_1 \epsilon_{\min} - \text{Q-deg}(\ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}})_{\max} \\ &= -\epsilon_{\min} - i(\beta \, wt \, D + \epsilon_{\min}) + \beta(\deg \ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}} + \deg f_{j_{i+1}} - wt \, D) \\ &\quad - w_1 \epsilon_{\min} - \text{Q-deg}(\ell_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}})_{\max} \\ &\geq -(i+1)(\beta \, wt \, D + \epsilon_{\min}) + \beta \deg f_{j_{i+1}} - w_1 \epsilon_{\min}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.10), we have  $Df_m = 0$ . It follows from Corollary 3.1 that

$$D_{\max}(f_m)_{\max} = 0.$$

From this point of view and the fact that  $j_i < j_{i+1}$ , we find that the sequence ends within  $(m-1)$  steps. That is to say, there is an index  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  such that

$$(4.14) \quad D_{\max}(f_t)_{\max} = 0,$$

$$(4.15) \quad \text{Q-deg}(f_t)_{\max} \geq -t(\epsilon_{\min} + \beta \, wt \, D) + \beta \deg f_t - w_1 \epsilon_{\min}.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we have  $(\epsilon_{\min} + \beta \, wt \, D) \geq 0$ . Notice that  $t \leq m-1$ , we have

$$(4.16) \quad \text{Q-deg}(f_t)_{\max} \geq -(m-1)(\epsilon_{\min} + \beta \, wt \, D) + \beta \deg f_t - w_1 \epsilon_{\min}.$$

Assume that

$$(4.17) \quad \deg(f_t)_{\max} > \frac{(m-1+w_1)\epsilon_{\min}}{\beta - \gamma}.$$

By the fact that  $wt \, D < 0$ , we have

$$(4.18) \quad \deg(f_t)_{\max} > \frac{(m-1)(\epsilon_{\min} + \beta \, wt \, D) + w_1 \epsilon_{\min}}{\beta - \gamma}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 (here  $M = (m-1)(\epsilon_{\min} + \beta wtD) + w_1\epsilon_{\min}$  and notice that  $\deg f_{j_t} = \deg(f_{j_t})_{\max}$ ) we know that  $(f_{j_t})_{\max}$  is divisible by  $x_{i_0}$ . Since  $\epsilon_{i_0} = \epsilon_{\min}$ , Proposition 3.1 tells us that the coefficient of  $\partial/\partial x_{i_0}$  in  $D_{\max}$  is  $(p_{i_0})_{\max}$ . Since  $p_{i_0}$  is a non-zero polynomial, so  $(p_{i_0})_{\max}$  is a non-zero polynomial. Thus,  $D_{\max}(f_{j_t})_{\max} \neq 0$  by Lemma 4.5, which contradicts (4.14). Thus, the assumption (4.17) is false, and

$$\deg f_{j_t} = \deg(f_{j_t})_{\max} \leq \frac{(m-1+w_1)\epsilon_{\min}}{\beta-\gamma}.$$

The conclusion is proved. q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.7.** *If there exists a positive real number  $\varepsilon$  such that all parameters  $\epsilon_i$  are divisible by  $\varepsilon$ , that is to say,  $\epsilon_i = b_i\varepsilon$  where  $b_i$  is a non-negative integer for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then we have*

- (i)  $\ell_i = q_i\varepsilon$ , where  $q_i$  is a non-negative integer for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii) For any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , if  $\ell_i/w_i > \ell_j/w_j$ , then

$$\ell_i/w_i - \ell_j/w_j \geq \varepsilon/(w_1w_2).$$

*Proof.* (i) (By induction on  $i$ ) If  $i = n$ , then the lemma holds, since  $\ell_n = \epsilon_n = b_n\varepsilon$  by Definition 3.1. Suppose it also holds for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$ , we prove it for  $i = k$ . If  $p_k$  is the zero polynomial, then the lemma holds obviously since  $\ell_k = \epsilon_k$ . Otherwise, for any term  $x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n}$  in the expansion of  $p_k$ , we have

$$\text{Q-deg } x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n} = (a_{k+1}q_{k+1} + \dots + a_nq_n)\varepsilon.$$

By Definition 3.1, we have

(4.19)

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_k &= \epsilon_k + \max\{\text{Q-degrees of monomials } x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n} \\ &\quad \text{in the expansion of } p_k\} \\ &= \left( b_k + \max\{a_{k+1}q_{k+1} + \dots + a_nq_n : \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{the monomial } x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n} \text{ appears in the expansion of } p_k\} \right) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma for case  $i = k$  holds.

(ii) By (i), we have

$$\ell_i/w_i - \ell_j/w_j = (\ell_iw_j - \ell_jw_i)/(w_iw_j) = (q_iw_j - q_jw_i)\varepsilon/(w_iw_j).$$

Notice that  $\ell_i/w_i > \ell_j/w_j$ , implies  $q_iw_j - q_jw_i > 0$ . Since  $q_iw_j - q_jw_i$  is an integer, so  $q_iw_j - q_jw_i \geq 1$ . Recall the fact that  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n \geq 1$ , we obtain that

$$\ell_i/w_i - \ell_j/w_j \geq \varepsilon/(w_iw_j) \geq \varepsilon/(w_1w_2).$$

q.e.d.

We recall the following Lemma, which is a consequence of Corollary 3.4 in [Ro].



**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $(V, 0)$  be a germ of positive dimensional isolated singularity, defined by  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . Let*

$$D = p_1 \partial / \partial x_1 + p_2 \partial / \partial x_2 + \dots + p_n \partial / \partial x_n$$

*be a holomorphic vector field on  $(V, 0)$ . Then  $p_i(0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be weighted homogeneous polynomials in  $P$  and they define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Suppose that  $D$  is a non-zero negative weight derivation on  $P/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  as in (3.1). Suppose  $p_k$  for some  $k$  is not identically zero. From Lemma 4.8, we know that  $p_k(0) = 0$ , so the polynomial  $p_k$  cannot be constant. Thus, the (weighted) degree of  $p_k$  is positive. Since  $D$  is a negative weight derivation, so  $p_n$  is a constant polynomial. This implies  $p_n$  has to be the zero polynomial.

## 5. Proof of Main Theorem B

In this section, we first prove Main Theorem B. Main Theorem A is proved in next section.

**Theorem 5.1** (Main Theorem B). *Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the weighted polynomial ring of  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with positive integer weights  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be  $m$  weighted homogeneous polynomials of degrees greater than  $(m-1+w_1)w_1w_2$ . Suppose that any two of the original weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  are coprime and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Then there are no non-zero negative weight derivations on  $R = P/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ .*

*Proof.* (By contradiction) Suppose  $D$  is a non-zero negative weight derivation on  $R$  or equivalently a non-zero negative weight derivation on  $P$  which preserves the ideal  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  as in (3.1). We take the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  of  $D$  controlled by parameters  $\epsilon_i$ , where

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon, & p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

with  $\epsilon$  a positive real number and  $p_i$  the coefficient of  $\partial / \partial x_i$  in  $D$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $I_{\max} = \{e: \ell_e/w_e \text{ is the maximum among all } \ell_i/w_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . It is clear that  $\ell_i > 0$  for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial and  $\ell_i = 0$  for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is the zero polynomial. Thus  $\ell_i > 0$  and  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ , which implies that  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon$  for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ .

We claim that  $I_{\max}$  has only one element. Since  $\epsilon$  divides  $\epsilon_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , by Lemma 4.7, we have  $\ell_i = q_i \epsilon$ , where  $q_i$  is a non-negative integer for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now we prove that  $q_i < w_i$  for all  $i$  by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = n$ , then we know  $p_n$  is the zero polynomial (see Remark 4.1), thus  $\ell_n = \epsilon_n = 0$ , which shows that  $q_n = 0 < w_n$ .

Suppose  $q_i < w_i$  for  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$ , we prove that  $q_k < w_k$ . If  $p_k$  is the zero polynomial, then  $l_k = \epsilon_k = 0$ , which yields that  $q_k = 0 < w_k$ . If  $p_k$  is a non-zero polynomial, then  $\epsilon_k = \epsilon$ , thus  $\ell_k = \epsilon_k + \text{Q-deg } p_k = \epsilon + \text{Q-deg } p_k$ . By Lemma 4.8 we have  $p_k(0) = 0$ , thus  $p_k$  doesn't contain any constant term. Since  $p_k$  is a polynomial in  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$  and  $\ell_i = q_i\epsilon < w_i\epsilon$  for  $i > k$ , we have  $\text{Q-deg } p_k < \epsilon \deg p_k$ . Notice that  $w_k = -wtD + \deg p_k$ , hence

$$\ell_k = \epsilon + \text{Q-deg } p_k < (1 + \deg p_k)\epsilon \leq (-wtD + \deg p_k)\epsilon = w_k\epsilon,$$

which implies that  $q_k < w_k$ . Thus  $q_i < w_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $\ell_i > 0$  for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ , we have  $q_i > 0$  for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ . Suppose that  $I_{\max}$  has more than one element, then for any  $i, j \in I_{\max}$  such that  $i \neq j$ ,  $q_i/w_i \neq q_j/w_j$  since  $0 < q_i < w_i$ ,  $0 < q_j < w_j$  and  $w_i, w_j$  are coprime. It follows that  $\ell_i/w_i \neq \ell_j/w_j$ , which contradicts  $i, j \in I_{\max}$ . Thus the claim that  $I_{\max}$  has only one element is proved.

Write  $I = \{i_0\}$ . Let  $\beta = \ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0}$  and  $\gamma = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0 - 1, i_0 + 1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $\epsilon$  divides  $\epsilon_i$  for all  $i$ , by Lemma 4.7, we have  $\beta - \gamma \geq \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)$ . Let  $\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \text{ such that } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\}$  and it is clear that  $\epsilon_{\min} = \epsilon$ . Then by Theorem 4.1, we know that there exists  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$\deg f_j \leq \frac{(m-1+w_1)\epsilon_{\min}}{\beta - \gamma} \leq (m-1+w_1)(w_1 w_2),$$

which contradicts the condition that  $\deg f_j > (m-1+w_1)(w_1 w_2)$  for all  $j$ . So the conclusion is proved. q.e.d.

## 6. Proof of main theorem A

In this section we give the proof of Main Theorem A. In order to use Theorem 4.1, we need to choose suitable parameters  $\epsilon_i$  to make the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  satisfy the following conditions in Theorem 4.1:

(1) there is only one index  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0} = \max\{\ell_i/w_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ;

(2)  $\epsilon_{i_0} = \epsilon_{\min}$ , where

$$\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \text{ such that } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\};$$

(3)  $p_{i_0}$  is a non-zero polynomial.

First we let

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon, & p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive real number. Let  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  be the new weight type associated to a non-zero negative weight derivation  $D$  and controlled by parameters  $\epsilon_i$ . Then we have  $\epsilon_{\min} = \epsilon$  and  $\ell_i = 0$  for  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is the zero polynomial. Let  $I_{\max} = \{e : \ell_e/w_e \text{ is the maximum among all } \ell_i/w_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{\min}$  and  $p_i$  is a

non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ . Thus if  $I_{\max}$  has only one element then the conditions (1)-(3) in Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. But in general  $I_{\max}$  might have more than one element. So the key step is to adjust the parameters  $\epsilon_i$  in order to separate  $\{\ell_i/w_i : i \in I_{\max}\}$  such that these numbers have only one maximum.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $D$  be a non-zero negative weight derivation such that  $p_i(0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $p_i$  is the coefficient of  $\partial/\partial x_i$  in  $D$ . Suppose there exists a positive real number  $\varepsilon$  such that all parameters  $\epsilon_i$  are divisible by  $\varepsilon$ . Fix an index  $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , define another group of parameters  $\epsilon'_i$  as follows,*

$$\epsilon'_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon_i + \varepsilon/(w_1 w_2), & i = j_0 \\ \epsilon_i, & i \neq j_0 \end{cases}.$$

*Let  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  and  $(\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n)$  be new weight type associated to  $D$  and controlled by parameters  $\epsilon_i$  and  $\epsilon'_i$  respectively, then we have*

- (i) *For any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that both  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are non-zero polynomials, we have*

$$\ell_i/w_i < \ell_j/w_j \Rightarrow \ell'_i/w_i < \ell'_j/w_j.$$

- (ii) *For any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that both  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are non-zero polynomials, then for any term  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  in the expansion of  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ , respectively, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (Q\text{-deg } t_i + \epsilon_i)/w_i &< (Q\text{-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j)/w_j \\ \Rightarrow (Q'\text{-deg } t_i + \epsilon'_i)/w_i &< (Q'\text{-deg } t_j + \epsilon'_j)/w_j. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *For any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial, then for any terms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in the expansion of  $p_i$ , we have*

$$Q\text{-deg } t_1 < Q\text{-deg } t_2 \Rightarrow Q'\text{-deg } t_1 < Q'\text{-deg } t_2,$$

*where  $Q\text{-deg}$  and  $Q'\text{-deg}$  denote the degrees with respect to the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  and  $(\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n)$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* We claim that  $0 \leq \ell'_i - \ell_i \leq w_i \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$  for all  $i$ , and  $0 \leq \ell'_i - \ell_i < w_i \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$  for  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial.

(By induction) If  $i = n$ , then  $\ell_n = \epsilon_n$ ,  $\ell'_n = \epsilon'_n$  and  $p_n$  is the zero polynomial. By the definition of  $\epsilon'_i$ , we know that  $0 \leq \epsilon'_n - \epsilon_n \leq \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2) \leq w_n \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$ , thus the claim holds for  $i = n$ .

Suppose the claim holds for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$ , we prove it holds for  $i = k$ . There are the following two cases:

(1)  $p_k$  is the zero polynomial. The claim holds due to the same argument as in that of  $i = n$ .

(2)  $p_k$  is a non-zero polynomial, since  $p_k(0) = 0$ , so there is no constant term in the expansion of  $p_k$ . There are two subcases:

(2a)  $k = j_0$ . Pick any  $s > k$ . If  $p_s$  is a non-zero polynomial, then by the assumption, we have  $0 \leq \ell'_s - \ell_s < w_s \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$ . Otherwise,  $\ell_s = \epsilon_s$

and  $\ell'_s = \epsilon'_s$ . Notice that  $s \neq k = j_0$ , we have  $\epsilon_s = \epsilon'_s$ , which yields that  $\ell'_s - \ell_s = 0 < w_s \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$ . Thus  $0 \leq \ell'_s - \ell_s < w_s \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$  for all  $s > k$ . For any term  $t = x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n}$  in the expansion of  $p_k$  ( $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n$  are not all zero), using the fact that  $a_{k+1}w_{k+1} + \dots + a_n w_n = w_k + wtD$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } t - \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } t &= a_{k+1}(\ell'_{k+1} - \ell_{k+1}) + \dots + a_n(\ell'_n - \ell_n) \\ &< (a_{k+1}w_{k+1} + \dots + a_n w_n)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2) = (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } t &< \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } t + (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k + (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2), \end{aligned}$$

for any term  $t$  in the expansion of  $p_k$ . Thus, it follows that

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k < \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k + (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2).$$

Since

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } t \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } t \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k,$$

for any term  $t$  in the expansion of  $p_k$ , we have

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k.$$

Combining (6.1) and (6.2), we obtain that

$$0 \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k - \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k < (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2).$$

By definition, we have  $\epsilon'_k - \epsilon_k = \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$ . Thus

$$0 \leq \ell'_k - \ell_k = \epsilon'_k + \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k - (\epsilon_k + \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k) < (w_k + wtD + 1)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2).$$

Since  $wtD$  is a negative integer, we have  $wtD + 1 \leq 0$ , and the claim is proved.

(2b)  $k \neq j_0$ , so  $\epsilon'_k = \epsilon_k$ . For any term  $t = x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n}$  in the expansion of  $p_k$  ( $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n$  are not all zero), using the fact that  $a_{k+1}w_{k+1} + \dots + a_n w_n = w_k + wtD$  and the assumption that  $0 \leq \ell'_s - \ell_s \leq w_s \varepsilon / (w_1 w_2)$  for  $s > k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } t - \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } t &= a_{k+1}(\ell'_{k+1} - \ell_{k+1}) + \dots + a_n(\ell'_n - \ell_n) \\ &\leq (a_{k+1}w_{k+1} + \dots + a_n w_n)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2) = (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } t &\leq \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } t + (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k + (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2), \end{aligned}$$

for any term  $t$  in the expansion of  $p_k$ . Thus, it yields

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k \leq \mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } p_k + (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon / (w_1 w_2).$$

Since

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-deg } t \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } t \leq \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k$$

for any term  $t$  in the expansion of  $p_k$ , we get

$$(6.4) \quad \text{Q-deg } p_k \leq \text{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k.$$

Combining (6.3) and (6.4), we have

$$0 \leq \text{Q}'\text{-deg } p_k - \text{Q-deg } p_k \leq (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon/(w_1w_2).$$

Since  $\epsilon'_k - \epsilon_k = 0$ , by the definition of the new weight type, we have  $0 \leq \ell'_k - \ell_k \leq (w_k + wtD)\varepsilon/(w_1w_2)$ . Since  $wtD$  is a negative integer, we have  $w_k + wtD < w_k$ . Thus  $0 \leq \ell'_k - \ell_k < w_k\varepsilon/(w_1w_2)$  and the claim is proved.

From the argument above, we also know that for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial and for any term  $t$  in the expansion of  $p_i$ , we have

$$(6.5) \quad 0 \leq (\text{Q}'\text{-deg } t + \epsilon'_i) - (\text{Q-deg } t + \epsilon_i) < w_i\varepsilon/(w_1w_2).$$

(i) For any  $i, j$  such that both  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are non-zero polynomials, if  $\ell_i/w_i < \ell_j/w_j$ , by Lemma 4.7, we have  $\ell_j/w_j - \ell_i/w_i \geq \varepsilon/(w_1w_2)$ . By the claim above, we have  $0 \leq \ell'_i/w_i - \ell_i/w_i < w_i\varepsilon/(w_1w_2w_i) = \varepsilon/(w_1w_2)$  and  $0 \leq \ell'_j/w_j - \ell_j/w_j$ . Combining these inequalities, we have  $\ell'_i/w_i < \ell'_j/w_j$ . Thus (i) is proved.

(ii) For any  $i, j$  such that both  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are non-zero polynomials and for any term  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  in the expansion of  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ , respectively, by Lemma 4.7, we know that all  $\ell_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  are divisible by  $\varepsilon$ . Thus  $\text{Q-deg } t_i + \epsilon_i$  and  $\text{Q-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j$  are divisible by  $\varepsilon$ . Let us write  $\text{Q-deg } t_i + \epsilon_i$  and  $\text{Q-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j$  as the forms  $q_i\varepsilon$  and  $q_j\varepsilon$  respectively where  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  are integers. If  $(\text{Q-deg } t_i + \epsilon_i)/w_i < (\text{Q-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j)/w_j$ , then  $q_iw_j < q_jw_i$ . Notice that  $q_iw_j$  and  $q_jw_i$  are integers, so  $q_jw_i - q_iw_j \geq 1$ . Thus  $(\text{Q-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j)/w_j - (\text{Q-deg } t_i + \epsilon_i)/w_i = (q_jw_i - q_iw_j)\varepsilon/(w_iw_j) \geq \varepsilon/(w_1w_2)$ . By (6.5), we have  $(\text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_i + \epsilon'_i)/w_i - (\text{Q-deg } t_i + \epsilon_i)/w_i < w_i\varepsilon/(w_1w_2w_i) = \varepsilon/(w_1w_2)$ . Combining the two previous inequalities and notice that  $\text{Q-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j \leq \text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_j + \epsilon'_j$ , again by (6.5), we have

$$(\text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_i + \epsilon'_i)/w_i < (\text{Q-deg } t_j + \epsilon_j)/w_j \leq (\text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_j + \epsilon'_j)/w_j.$$

(iii) Using (ii) for case  $i = j$ , we obtain that for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial and for any terms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in the expansion of  $p_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Q-deg } t_1 + \epsilon_i)/w_i < (\text{Q-deg } t_2 + \epsilon_i)/w_i \\ \Rightarrow & (\text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_1 + \epsilon'_i)/w_i < (\text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_2 + \epsilon'_i)/w_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\text{Q-deg } t_1 < \text{Q-deg } t_2 \Rightarrow \text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_1 < \text{Q}'\text{-deg } t_2.$$

q.e.d.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  be  $m$  weighted homogeneous polynomials in  $P$  with respect to a weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ . Suppose these polynomials define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Let  $D$  be a non-zero negative weight derivation as in (3.1) on  $P$  preserving the ideal  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . Let  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  be the new weight type associated to  $D$  and controlled by parameters  $\epsilon_i$ . Fix a subset  $I$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $(n \geq 2)$  containing more than one element. Suppose the parameters  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n$  satisfy the conditions that*

$$(6.6) \quad \epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon, & i \in I \text{ and } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ 0, & i \in I \text{ and } p_i \text{ is the zero polynomial} \\ \epsilon + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{b_i}, & i \notin I \text{ and } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{b_i}, & i \notin I \text{ and } p_i \text{ is the zero polynomial} \end{cases},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive real number,  $k$  is the number of elements in  $I$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), and  $b : i \mapsto b_i$  is an one-to-one map from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus I$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - k\}$ . Let  $I_{\max} = \{e : \ell_e/w_e \text{ is the maximum among all } \ell_i/w_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . If  $I_{\max} \subseteq I$  and  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ , then there exists  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$\deg f_j \leq (m - 1 + w_1)(w_1 w_2)^{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the case that  $I_{\max} = I$ , which can be easily reduced to the case that  $I_{\max}$  is a proper subset of  $I$ . In fact, assume that  $I_{\max} = I$ . Let us write  $I = I_{\max} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ , where  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I_{\max} = I$ , by (6.6), we can see that  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon$  for any  $i \in I_{\max} = I$ .

Before proceeding to give the proof of Theorem 6.1, we shall first prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *For any term  $t_0$  in the expansion of  $(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max}$  and for any term  $t_1$  in the expansion of  $(p_{i_k})_{\max}$ , we have  $t_0 = cx_{i_k}^a t_1$ , with non-negative integer  $a$  and non-zero constant coefficient  $c$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h : \{1, 2, \dots, n - k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$  be the inverse function of the map  $b : i \mapsto b_i$ . That is,  $b_{h(i)} = i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$ . Define a group of parameters  $\epsilon_i^{(0)}, \epsilon_i^{(1)}, \dots, \epsilon_i^{(n-k)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  recursively:

$$\epsilon_i^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \epsilon, & p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Assume that the  $(j - 1)$ -th group of parameters  $(\epsilon_1^{(j-1)}, \dots, \epsilon_n^{(j-1)})$  has been defined, then we define

$$\epsilon_i^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \epsilon_i^{(j-1)} + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^j, & i = h(j) \\ \epsilon_i^{(j-1)}, & i \neq h(j) \end{cases}.$$

By this definition, on the one hand it is clear that  $\epsilon_i^{(j)} = \epsilon$  for any  $i \in I_{\max} = I$  and any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - k$ . In particular,  $\epsilon_i^{(n-k)} = \epsilon = \epsilon_i$  for  $i \in I_{\max} = I$ . On the other hand, for  $i \notin I_{\max}$ , there exists a unique  $j \in \{1, \dots, n - k\}$  such that  $h(j) = i$ , hence  $b_i = j$ . It follows from definition that

$$\epsilon_i^{(n-k)} = \epsilon_i^j = \epsilon_i^{(j-1)} + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{b_i} = \epsilon_i^{(0)} + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{b_i} = \epsilon_i.$$

Thus  $(\epsilon_1^{(n-k)}, \dots, \epsilon_n^{(n-k)}) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ . Let  $(\ell_1^{(j)}, \dots, \ell_n^{(j)})$  be the new weight type controlled by parameters  $(\epsilon_1^{(j)}, \dots, \epsilon_n^{(j)})$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - k$ , and  $\text{Q(j)-deg}$  means the associated degree. For convenience, we write  $i_{k-1} = s$  and  $i_k = t$ , then  $s < t$ . Since  $s, t \in I_{\max} = I$ , so  $p_s$  and  $p_t$  are not zero polynomials. Pick any term  $t_0$  in the expansion of  $(p_s)_{\max}$  and pick any term  $t_1$  in the expansion of  $(p_t)_{\max}$ . Notice that  $s, t \in I_{\max}$ , we have  $\ell_s/w_s = \ell_t/w_t$ , thus  $(\text{Q-deg } t_0 + \epsilon_s)/w_s = (\text{Q-deg } t_1 + \epsilon_t)/w_t$ . Since  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) = (\epsilon_1^{(n-k)}, \dots, \epsilon_n^{(n-k)})$ , we have

$$(6.7) \quad \ell_s^{(n-k)}/w_s = \ell_t^{(n-k)}/w_t,$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad (\text{Q(n-k)-deg } t_0 + \epsilon_s^{(n-k)})/w_s = (\text{Q(n-k)-deg } t_1 + \epsilon_t^{(n-k)})/w_t.$$

We claim that

$$(6.9) \quad \ell_s^{(j)}/w_s = \ell_t^{(j)}/w_t,$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - k$ . Suppose that there exists  $e$  such that  $\ell_s^{(e)}/w_s \neq \ell_t^{(e)}/w_t$ , notice that both  $p_s$  and  $p_t$  are not zero polynomials, by Lemma 6.1(i) (here we set  $\epsilon = \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^e$ ) we have  $\ell_s^{(e+1)}/w_s \neq \ell_t^{(e+1)}/w_t$ . Similarly,  $\ell_s^{(e+1)}/w_s \neq \ell_t^{(e+1)}/w_t$  implies  $\ell_s^{(e+2)}/w_s \neq \ell_t^{(e+2)}/w_t$ . Continuing this process, it implies that  $\ell_s^{(n-k)}/w_s \neq \ell_t^{(n-k)}/w_t$ , which contradicts (6.7). Hence (6.9) is proved. Similarly, using Lemma 6.1(ii) and (6.8), we have

$$(6.10) \quad (\text{Q(j)-deg } t_0 + \epsilon_s^{(j)})/w_s = (\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 + \epsilon_t^{(j)})/w_t.$$

Since  $\epsilon_s^{(j)} = \epsilon_t^{(j)} = \epsilon$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n - k$ , (6.10) implies

$$(6.11) \quad (\text{Q(j)-deg } t_0 + \epsilon)/w_s = (\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 + \epsilon)/w_t,$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - k$ . We claim that  $t_0$  is independent of  $x_i$  for  $i = s+1, \dots, t-1$ . Suppose not, then there exists  $e \in \{s+1, \dots, t-1\}$  such that  $t_0$  depends on  $x_e$ . Let  $j = b_e$ , then  $h(j) = e$ . Thus by definition we have  $\epsilon_i^{(j-1)} = \epsilon_i^{(j)}$  for  $i \neq e$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(j-1)} < \epsilon_e^{(j)}$ , which implies that  $\ell_i^{(j-1)} = \ell_i^{(j)}$  for  $i > e$ ,  $\ell_e^{(j-1)} < \ell_e^{(j)}$ , and  $\ell_i^{(j-1)} \leq \ell_i^{(j)}$  for  $i < e$ . Notice that  $t_1$  is a monomial in  $x_{t+1}, \dots, x_n$  only, and  $t+1 > e$ , we have

$$(6.12) \quad \text{Q(j-1)-deg } t_1 = \text{Q(j)-deg } t_1.$$

Notice that  $t_0$  depends on  $x_e$ , we have

$$(6.13) \quad \text{Q(j-1)-deg } t_0 < \text{Q(j)-deg } t_0.$$

Since (6.12) and (6.13) contradict (6.11), the claim that  $t_0$  is independent of  $x_i$  for  $i = s+1, \dots, t-1$  is proved. So  $t_0$  can be written as the form  $cx_t^a t_2$ , where  $t_2$  is a monomial in  $x_{t+1}, \dots, x_n$ ,  $a$  is a non-negative integer and  $c$  is a constant coefficient.

Next, we will prove  $t_2 = t_1$  up to a scale by two steps. Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be written as  $c_1 x_{t+1}^{a_{t+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n}$  and  $c_2 x_{t+1}^{b_{t+1}} \dots x_n^{b_n}$ , respectively.

Step 1: We first prove that

$$(6.14) \quad a_i/b_i = (\deg t_1 - wtD)/(\deg t_2 - wtD),$$

for  $i = t+1, \dots, n$ .

Since the term  $t_1$  appears in the expansion of  $(p_t)_{\max}$ , for any term  $g$  in the expansion of  $p_t$  we have  $\text{Q-deg } t_1 \geq \text{Q-deg } g$ , i.e.  $\text{Q(n-k)-deg } t_1 \geq \text{Q(n-k)-deg } g$ . Using Lemma 6.1(iii), we obtain  $\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 \geq \text{Q(j)-deg } g$  for any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-k$  and for any term  $g$  in the expansion of  $p_t$ . Thus we have

$$(6.15) \quad \ell_t^{(j)} = \text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 + \epsilon,$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-k$ . By (6.11), (6.15) and the facts that  $w_s = \deg t_0 - wtD$ ,  $w_t = \deg t_1 - wtD$  and  $t_0 = cx_t^a t_2$ , we have

$$\frac{\text{Q(j)-deg } t_0 + \epsilon}{\deg t_0 - wtD} = \frac{a\ell_t^{(j)} + \text{Q(j)-deg } t_2 + \epsilon}{aw_t + \deg t_2 - wtD} = \frac{\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 + \epsilon}{\deg t_1 - wtD} = \frac{\ell_t^{(j)}}{w_t},$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-k$ . It implies that

$$(6.16) \quad \frac{\text{Q(j)-deg } t_2 + \epsilon}{\deg t_2 - wtD} = \frac{\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 + \epsilon}{\deg t_1 - wtD},$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-k$ . We prove the claim that  $a_i/b_i = (\deg t_1 - wtD)/(\deg t_2 - wtD)$  for  $i = t+1, \dots, n$  by induction. If  $i = t+1$ , let  $j = b_{t+1}$ , then  $h(j) = t+1$ . Thus we have  $\ell_{t+1}^{(j)} - \ell_{t+1}^{(j-1)} > 0$  and  $\ell_{t+2}^{(j)} - \ell_{t+2}^{(j-1)} = \dots = \ell_n^{(j)} - \ell_n^{(j-1)} = 0$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 = \text{Q(j-1)-deg } t_1 + a_{t+1}(\ell_{t+1}^{(j)} - \ell_{t+1}^{(j-1)}),$$

and

$$\text{Q(j)-deg } t_2 = \text{Q(j-1)-deg } t_2 + b_{t+1}(\ell_{t+1}^{(j)} - \ell_{t+1}^{(j-1)}).$$

By (6.16), one gets  $a_{t+1}/b_{t+1} = (\deg t_1 - wtD)/(\deg t_2 - wtD)$ , thus the claim holds for  $t+1$ .

Suppose (6.14) holds for  $t+1, t+2, \dots, i-1$ , let us verify it for  $i$ . Let  $j = b_i$ , then  $h(j) = i$ , thus we have  $\ell_i^{(j)} - \ell_i^{(j-1)} > 0$  and  $\ell_{i+1}^{(j)} - \ell_{i+1}^{(j-1)} = \dots = \ell_n^{(j)} - \ell_n^{(j-1)} = 0$ . Consequently, it gives

$$\text{Q(j)-deg } t_1 = \text{Q(j-1)-deg } t_1 + a_{t+1}(\ell_{t+1}^{(j)} - \ell_{t+1}^{(j-1)}) + \dots + a_i(\ell_i^{(j)} - \ell_i^{(j-1)}),$$



and

$$\text{Q}(j)\text{-deg } t_2 = \text{Q}(j-1)\text{-deg } t_2 + b_{t+1}(\ell_{t+1}^{(j)} - \ell_{t+1}^{(j-1)}) + \cdots + b_i(\ell_i^{(j)} - \ell_i^{(j-1)}).$$

By assumption and (6.16), we obtain  $a_i/b_i = (\deg t_1 - wt D)/(\deg t_2 - wt D)$ .

Step 2: We shall prove that  $\deg t_1 - wt D = \deg t_2 - wt D$ . Assume that  $\deg t_1 - wt D > \deg t_2 - wt D$ , then by (6.14), we have  $a_i > b_i$  for  $i = t+1, \dots, n$ . Let  $t_3 = x_{t+1}^{a_{t+1}-b_{t+1}} \cdots x_n^{a_n-b_n}$ , then  $t_1 = t_2 t_3$  up to a scale. Consequently, one gets

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{\ell_t}{w_t} = \frac{\text{Q-deg } t_1 + \epsilon}{\deg t_1 - wt D} = \frac{\text{Q-deg } t_2 + \text{Q-deg } t_3 + \epsilon}{\deg t_2 + \deg t_3 - wt D}.$$

By the fact that  $(\epsilon_1^{(n-k)}, \dots, \epsilon_n^{(n-k)}) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  and (6.16) for  $j = n-k$ , we have

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{\text{Q-deg } t_1 + \epsilon}{\deg t_1 - wt D} = \frac{\text{Q-deg } t_2 + \epsilon}{\deg t_2 - wt D}.$$

By (6.17) and (6.18), we obtain  $\ell_t/w_t = \text{Q-deg } t_3/\deg t_3$ . Since  $t \in I_{\max}$  and  $t+1, \dots, n \notin I_{\max}$ , we have  $\ell_t/w_t > \ell_{t+1}/w_{t+1}, \dots, \ell_t/w_t > \ell_n/w_n$ . Since  $t_3$  is a monomial of  $x_{t+1}, \dots, x_n$ , we have  $\text{Q-deg } t_3/\deg t_3 < \ell_t/w_t$ , which contradicts  $\ell_t/w_t = \text{Q-deg } t_3/\deg t_3$ . Therefore, the assumption  $\deg t_1 - wt D > \deg t_2 - wt D$  is invalid. Similarly we can prove the assumption  $\deg t_1 - wt D < \deg t_2 - wt D$  is invalid. Thus  $\deg t_1 - wt D = \deg t_2 - wt D$ . It implies that  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i = t+1, \dots, n$ , thus  $t_1 = t_2$  up to a scale. Thus Proposition 6.1 is proved. q.e.d.

Now we come back to the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Fix a term  $t_0$  in the expansion of  $(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max}$ . For any two terms  $t_1, t_2$  in the expansion of  $(p_{i_k})_{\max}$ , by Proposition 6.1, we have  $t_0 = c_1 x_{i_k}^{a_1} t_1$  and  $t_0 = c_2 x_{i_k}^{a_2} t_2$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  are non-zero constant coefficients and  $a_1, a_2$  are non-negative integers. Therefore,  $c_1 x_{i_k}^{a_1} t_1 = c_2 x_{i_k}^{a_2} t_2$ . Notice that  $t_1, t_2$  are monomials of variables  $x_{i_k+1}, \dots, x_n$ , so  $t_1 = t_2$  up to a scale. Therefore, there is only one term in the expansion of  $(p_{i_k})_{\max}$ .

Fix a term  $t_2$  in the expansion of  $(p_{i_k})_{\max}$ . For any two terms  $t_0, t_1$  in the expansion of  $(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max}$ , by Proposition 6.1, we have  $t_0 = c_0 x_{i_k}^{a_0} t_2$  and  $t_1 = c_1 x_{i_k}^{a_1} t_2$ , where  $c_0, c_1$  are non-zero constant coefficients and  $a_0, a_1$  are non-negative integers. Since  $p_{i_{k-1}}$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with respect to the original weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ ,  $\deg t_0 = \deg t_1$ , thus  $a_0 = a_1$ . So  $t_0 = t_1$  up to a scale. It follows that there is only one term in the expansion of  $(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max}$ . Hence,

$$(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max} = c x_{i_k}^a (p_{i_k})_{\max},$$

where  $c$  is a non-zero constant coefficient and  $a$  is a non-negative integer. Notice that  $\deg(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max} = \deg p_{i_{k-1}} = w_{i_{k-1}} + wt D$  and  $\deg(p_{i_k})_{\max} =$

$\deg p_{i_k} = w_{i_k} + wtD$ , we have  $w_{i_{k-1}} + wtD = aw_{i_k} + w_{i_k} + wtD$ , which yields that

$$(6.19) \quad w_{i_{k-1}} = (a+1)w_{i_k}.$$

Since  $i_{k-1}, i_k \in I_{\max}$ ,  $\ell_{i_{k-1}}/w_{i_{k-1}} = \ell_{i_k}/w_{i_k}$ . Thus, we obtain

$$(6.20) \quad \ell_{i_{k-1}} = (a+1)\ell_{i_k}.$$

In the sequel, we shall make a coordinate change which preserves the original weight type  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ . The coordinate change is of the following form

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1 &= x'_1, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_{i_{k-1}} &= x'_{i_{k-1}} + c(x'_{i_k})^{a+1}/(a+1), \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_n &= x'_n. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the transformation of derivations in this coordinate change (6.21) as follows:

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_{i_{k-1}}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{k-1}}}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_{i_k}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} + c(x'_{i_k})^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{k-1}}}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_n} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

If the expression of the negative weight derivation  $D$  is written in the new coordinate system, say

$$D' = p'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_1} + p'_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_2} + \dots + p'_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_n}.$$

It is clear that  $p'_t = p_t$  for  $t \neq i_{k-1}$ , and

$$p'_{i_{k-1}} = p_{i_{k-1}} - c(x'_{i_k})^a p_{i_k} = p_{i_{k-1}} - cx_{i_k}^a p_{i_k}.$$

Let  $(\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n)$  be the new weight type associated to  $D'$  in the new coordinate system and controlled by the original parameters  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  and  $Q'$ -deg means the associated degree. For any  $t > i_{k-1}$ , we have  $p'_t = p_t$  and  $p_t$  is independent of  $x_{i_{k-1}}$ , thus the expression of  $p_t$  in the original coordinate system is the same as that of  $p'_t$  in the new coordinate system, since the coordinate change only occurs on  $x_{i_{k-1}}$ ,

which implies that  $\ell'_t = \ell_t$  for all  $t > i_{k-1}$ . We claim that  $\ell'_{i_{k-1}} < \ell_{i_{k-1}}$ . Since  $(p_{i_{k-1}})_{\max} = cx_{i_k}^a (p_{i_k})_{\max}$ , we have either  $(p_{i_{k-1}} - cx_{i_k}^a p_{i_k})$  is the zero polynomial or  $\text{Q-deg } (p_{i_{k-1}} - cx_{i_k}^a p_{i_k}) < \text{Q-deg } p_{i_{k-1}}$ . If the former holds, then  $p'_{i_{k-1}}$  is the zero polynomial and it is clear that  $\ell'_{i_{k-1}} < \ell_{i_{k-1}}$ . If the latter holds, notice that  $p'_{i_{k-1}} = p_{i_{k-1}} - cx_{i_k}^a p_{i_k}$  is a polynomial in  $x_t$  for  $t > i_{k-1}$  and  $\ell'_t = \ell_t$  for  $t > i_{k-1}$ , we have  $\text{Q}'\text{-deg } p'_{i_{k-1}} = \text{Q-deg } (p_{i_{k-1}} - cx_{i_k}^a p_{i_k})$ , thus  $\text{Q}'\text{-deg } p'_{i_{k-1}} < \text{Q-deg } p_{i_{k-1}}$ , which implies  $\ell'_{i_{k-1}} < \ell_{i_{k-1}}$ . Now we claim that  $\ell'_t \leq \ell_t$  for all  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and we shall prove it by induction. From the above argument, the inequality holds for  $t \geq i_{k-1}$ . Assume the claim holds for  $t+1, t+2, \dots, n$ , and we shall show it holds for  $t < i_{k-1}$ . For any term  $g = x_{t+1}^{a_{t+1}} \dots x_n^{a_n}$  in the expansion of  $p_t$ , then

$$g = (x'_{t+1})^{a_{t+1}} \dots (x'_{i_{k-1}} + \frac{c}{a+1} (x'_{i_k})^{a+1})^{a_{i_{k-1}}} \dots (x'_n)^{a_n}$$

in the new coordinate system. By the fact that  $\text{Q}'\text{-deg } (x'_{i_k})^{a+1} = (a+1)\ell'_{i_k} = (a+1)\ell_{i_k} = \ell_{i_{k-1}}$  due to (6.20), we obtain  $\text{Q}'\text{-deg } g \leq \text{Q-deg } g$  for any term  $g$  in the expression of  $p_t$ . Since  $p'_t = p_t$ ,  $t < i_{k-1}$ , we obtain  $\text{Q}'\text{-deg } p'_t \leq \text{Q-deg } p_t$ , which yields that  $\ell'_t \leq \ell_t$  and the claim is proved.

Let  $I'_{\max} = \{e: \ell'_e/w_e \text{ is the maximum among all } \ell'_i/w_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . From the above argument, we know that for any  $i \notin I_{\max}$ ,  $\ell'_i/w_i \leq \ell_i/w_i < \ell_{i_k}/w_{i_k} = \ell'_{i_k}/w_{i_k}$ , which implies that  $i \notin I'_{\max}$ . Thus  $I'_{\max} \subseteq I_{\max}$ . Notice that  $\ell'_{i_{k-1}}/w_{i_{k-1}} < \ell_{i_{k-1}}/w_{i_{k-1}} = \ell_{i_k}/w_{i_k} = \ell'_{i_k}/w_{i_k}$ , we have  $I'_{\max} \subseteq I_{\max} \setminus \{i_{k-1}\}$ , which yields that  $I'_{\max}$  is a proper subset of  $I_{\max} = I$ . And for any  $i \in I'_{\max}$ , we have  $i \in I_{\max}$  and  $i \neq i_{k-1}$ , so that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial and  $p'_i = p_i$ , thus the condition that  $p'_i$  is a non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I'_{\max}$  is satisfied. Thus the case that  $I_{\max} = I$  can be reduced to the case that  $I_{\max}$  is a proper subset of  $I$ .

In the sequel, we shall prove Theorem 6.1 by induction on  $k$  which is the number of elements in  $I$ . If  $k = 2$ , we may assume that  $I_{\max}$  is a proper subset of  $I$ , thus  $I_{\max}$  has only one element. Assume that  $I_{\max} = \{i_0\}$ . Let  $\beta = \ell_{i_0}/w_{i_0}$ ,  $\gamma = \max\{\ell_i/w_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0 - 1, i_0 + 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_i \text{ for } i \text{ such that } p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial}\}$ . Since  $i_0 \in I_{\max} \subset I$ , we know  $p_{i_0}$  is a non-zero polynomial and  $\epsilon_{i_0} = \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon_i \geq \epsilon = \epsilon_{i_0}$  for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial, we have  $\epsilon_{\min} = \epsilon = \epsilon_{i_0}$ . Since all  $\epsilon_i$  are divisible by  $\epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{n-k} = \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{n-2}$ , by Lemma 4.7, we have  $\beta - \gamma \geq \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{n-1}$ . By Theorem 4.1, there exists  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$\deg f_j \leq \frac{(m-1+w_1)\epsilon_{\min}}{\beta-\gamma} \leq (m-1+w_1)(w_1 w_2)^{n-1}.$$

Now by induction, we assume that the conclusion holds for  $2, \dots, k-1$ , we shall prove it for  $k$ . If  $I_{\max}$  has only one element, then the conclusion is arrived by using the similar argument as above. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that  $I_{\max}$  contains more than one element, and  $I_{\max}$  is a proper subset of  $I$ , and pick  $j_0 \in I \setminus I_{\max}$ . Define another parameters  $\epsilon'_i$  as follows:

$$\epsilon'_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon_i + \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{n-k+1}, & i = j_0 \\ \epsilon_i, & i \neq j_0 \end{cases}.$$

Consider the new weight type  $(\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n)$  controlled by parameters  $(\epsilon'_1, \dots, \epsilon'_n)$ , let  $I'_{\max} = \{e: \ell'_e/w_e \text{ is the maximum among all } \ell'_i/w_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We claim that  $I'_{\max} \subseteq I_{\max}$ . For any  $i \notin I_{\max}$ , we need to consider the following two cases:

(1)  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial. Fix an index  $j \in I_{\max}$ , then  $\ell_i/w_i < \ell_j/w_j$ . By setting  $\varepsilon = \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)^{n-k}$  in Lemma 6.1(i), we have  $\ell'_i/w_i < \ell'_j/w_j$ , which yields that  $i \notin I'_{\max}$ .

(2)  $p_i$  is a zero polynomial, then  $\epsilon'_i \leq \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)$ , thus  $\ell'_i \leq \epsilon/(w_1 w_2)$ . For any  $t \in I_{\max} \subset I$ ,  $p_t$  is a non-zero polynomial, so  $\epsilon_t = \epsilon$ . Since  $t \neq j_0$ , we have  $\epsilon'_t = \epsilon_t = \epsilon$ , which implies that  $\ell'_t = \epsilon'_t + \mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_t \geq \epsilon$ . The equality holds when  $\mathbb{Q}'\text{-deg } p_t = 0$ . Assume that  $i \in I'_{\max}$ , then we have

$$\epsilon/w_t \leq \ell'_t/w_t \leq \ell'_i/w_i \leq \epsilon/(w_1 w_2 w_i),$$

for any  $t \in I_{\max}$ . Thus,  $w_1 w_2 w_i \leq w_t$  for any  $t \in I_{\max}$ , hence  $w_2 = w_i = 1$  and  $w_1 = w_t$  for any  $t \in I_{\max}$ . Since  $I_{\max}$  has more than one element, there exists  $t_0 \in I_{\max}$  such that  $t_0 \geq 2$ , so that  $w_{t_0} \leq w_2$ . Thus,  $w_1 = w_{t_0} \leq w_2 = 1$ , so that  $w_1 = 1$ . That is to say,  $w_1 = w_2 = \dots = w_n$ . Notice that  $\deg p_i < w_i$  and  $p_i(0) = 0$  by Lemma 4.8 for  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial, thus  $p_i$  has to be the zero polynomial for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , i.e.,  $D = 0$ . This leads to a contradiction. Hence the assumption  $i \in I'_{\max}$  is absurd.

Thus,  $i \notin I'_{\max}$  for all  $i \notin I_{\max}$ , which yields that  $I'_{\max} \subseteq I_{\max} \subseteq I \setminus \{j_0\}$ . For any  $i \in I'_{\max}$ , we have  $i \in I_{\max}$ , thus  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial. Let  $I' = I \setminus \{j_0\}$ , then the number of elements of  $I'$  is  $k-1$  and  $I'_{\max} \subseteq I'$ . The conclusion follows immediately from the assumption. q.e.d.

**Theorem 6.2** (Main Theorem A). *Let  $P = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the weighted polynomial ring in  $n$  weighted variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with positive integer weights  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$ . Suppose that  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials with degrees greater than  $(m-1+w_1)(w_1 w_2)^{n-1}$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  define a positive dimensional isolated singularity at the origin. Then there are no non-zero negative weight derivations on  $R = P/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ .*

*Proof.* (By contradiction) Suppose  $D$  is a non-zero negative weight derivation on  $R$  or equivalently a non-zero negative weight derivation on  $P$  which preserves the ideal  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  as in (3.1). We take the new weight type  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  of  $D$  controlled by parameters  $\epsilon_i$ , where

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \epsilon, & p_i \text{ is a non-zero polynomial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive real number. It is clear that  $\ell_i > 0$  for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial and  $\ell_i = 0$  for any  $i$  such that  $p_i$  is the zero polynomial. Thus  $p_i$  is a non-zero polynomial for any  $i \in I_{\max}$ . Let  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and it is clear that  $I_{\max} \subseteq I$ . Then by Theorem 6.1 we know that there exists  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\deg f_j \leq (m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1}$ , which contradicts the condition that  $\deg f_j > (m-1+w_1)(w_1w_2)^{n-1}$  for all  $j$ . So the conclusion has been arrived at. q.e.d.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referee for his/her many valuable suggestions and corrections that significantly improved the paper. The first, third and fourth authors are supported by NSFC Grant 11531007 and the start-up fund from Tsinghua University. The second author is supported by NSFC Grants 11371138 and 11531002. The fourth author is supported by NSFC Grants 11771231, 11401335 and Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program.

### References

- [Al] A. G. Aleksandrov, *Cohomology of a quasihomogeneous complete intersection*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **26** (1986), no. 3, 437–477, MR0794953, Zbl 0647.14027.
- [AGLV] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Goryunov, O. V. Lyashko, and V. A. Vasil'ev, *Singularity theory II, classification and applications*, Dynamical Systems VIII, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 39, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1993, MR1218886, Zbl 0778.58001.
- [GS] M. Granger and M. Schulze, *Derivations of negative degree on quasi-homogeneous isolated complete intersection singularities*, ArXiv: 1403.3844v2.
- [Ka1] J.-M. Kantor, *Dérivation sur les singularités quasi-homogènes: cas des courbes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **287** (1978), 1117–1119, MR0520418, Zbl 0419.14016.
- [Ka2] J.-M. Kantor, *Dérivation sur les singularités quasi-homogènes: cas des hypersurface*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **288** (1979), 33–34, MR0522013, Zbl 0412.32031.
- [MS] S. Mori and H. Sumihiro, *On Hartshorne's conjecture*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), 523–533, MR0509496, Zbl 0422.14030.
- [PP1] S. Papadima and L. Paunescu, *Reduced weighted complete intersection and derivations*, J. Algebra **183** (1996), 595–604, MR1399041, Zbl 0912.13002.

- [PP2] S. Papadima and L. Paunescu, *Isometry-invariant geodesics and nonpositive derivations of the cohomology*, J. Differential Geometry **71** (2005), 159–176, MR2191771, Zbl 1120.53025.
- [Ro] H. Rossi, *Vector fields on analytic spaces*, Ann. Math. **78** (1963), no.3, 455–467, MR0162973, Zbl 0129.29701.
- [Sa] K. Saito, *Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, Invent. Math. **14** (1971), 123–142, MR0294699, Zbl 0224.32011.
- [Wa1] J. M. Wahl, *Derivations of negative weight and non-smoothability of certain singularities*, Math. Ann. **258** (1982), 383–398, MR0650944, Zbl 0507.14029.
- [Wa2] J. M. Wahl, *Derivations, automorphisms and deformations of quasi-homogeneous singularities*, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. **40**, part 2 (1983), 613–624, MR0713285, Zbl 0534.14001.
- [Wa3] J. M. Wahl, *A cohomological characterization of  $P^n$* , Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 315–322, MR0700774, Zbl 0544.14013.
- [YY] Y. Yu and S. S.-T. Yau, *Gorenstein quotient singularities in dimension three*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1993), no. 505, MR1169227, Zbl 0799.14001.
- [YZ1] S. S.-T. Yau and H. Q. Zuo, *A sharp upper estimate conjecture for the Yau number of weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularity*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **12** (2016), no. 3, 165–181, MR3613969, Zbl 06711978.
- [YZ2] S. S.-T. Yau and H. Q. Zuo, *Derivations of the moduli algebras of weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities*, J. Algebra **457** (2016), 18–25, MR3490075, Zbl 1343.32021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084,  
P. R. CHINA.

*E-mail address:* chenby16@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY/COLLEGE OF CYBER SECURITY,  
JINAN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG, 510632, P. R. CHINA.

*E-mail address:* haochen@jnu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084,  
P. R. CHINA.

*E-mail address:* yau@uic.edu

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084,  
P. R. CHINA.

*E-mail address:* hqzuo@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn