# **Grading Criteria for Assignment 2**

## **Total: 100 points**

For any segment, any code which crashes will be given a score of zero.

#### Part 1: 14 points

figure_en.png	1.5
figure_da.png	1.5
figure_ko.png	1.5
figure_sw.png	1.5
Description of projectivity check algorithm	5
Projective English sentence	1.5
Nonprojective English sentence	1.5

#### Part 2: 22 points

left_arc	4
shift	2
reduce	2
performance	$10\left(1-4*\min\left(\left \frac{LAS-0.12527}{0.12527}\right ,\left \frac{LAS-0.12547}{0.12547}\right \right)\right)$
Report on badfeatures.model	4

## Part 3: 59 points

English	$14\left(\frac{\min(0.7, LAS)}{0.7}\right)^2$
Swedish	$7\left(\frac{\min(0.7, LAS)}{0.7}\right)^2$
Danish	$7\left(\frac{\min(0.7, LAS)}{0.7}\right)^2$
Korean	$7\left(\frac{\min(0.7, LAS)}{0.65}\right)^2$
Discussion of features	18 (6 per feature)
Discussion of tradeoffs for arc-eager parser	6

Note: particularly creative features with high performance relative to the class may receive up to 3 points of extra credit.

## Part 4: 5 points

parse.py has valid CoNLL output with projective	5
dependency graph on English	

## Late day policy:

10% off for each late day. After three days, the assignment will be given a score of 0.