

Test Plan

October 30, 2015

SE 2XA3

Hui Chen		chenh43
Nareshkumar	Maheshkumar	maheshn
Sam Hamel		hamels2
	Group E	

Contents

1	General Information	3
1.1	Purpose	3
1.2	Scope	3
1.3	Constraints	3
1.4	Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols	3
2	Plan	3
2.1	Structural System	3
2.2	Functional	4
2.2.1	Features not to be Tested	4
2.2.2	Features to be Tested in PoC	5
2.2.3	Functional Unit Testing	8
2.3	Functional System	9
2.3.1	Performance Requirements:	9
3	Schedule	11

List of Tables

1	Revision History Table	2
2	Acronym/Abbreviation Table	4
3	Schedule Table	11

Table 1: Revision History Table

Revision #	Date	Team Member	Description of Change
0	October 20	Hui Chen	Started Test Plan document
0	October 21	Sam Hamel Hui Chen	Test Plan Revision 0 finished
0	October 22	Nareshkumar Maheshkumar	Proofread

1 General Information

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this testing plan is to build developer confidence for the correctness of the program and to receive qualitative feedback on the user interface. The plan will address the elements and items related to the production of the TexasHoldEm game. The objective is to detail the tests responsible for each task required of the game, to define the tools and methods needed to conduct the tests and to outline all tasks and its schedule.

1.2 Scope

The scope of the testing plan is to test functional and nonfunctional requirements of the program, and the testing of the GUI for the program. The test plan will not verify correctness and will not be exhaustive in nature, rather it will rely on testing normal and boundary cases of the program for a multitude of different situations in order to build confidence that all errors have been accounted for and dealt with appropriately.

1.3 Constraints

The program cannot be verified through exhaustive testing due to this being infeasible in the timeframe of completing the project. Verification of correctness through mathematical formulas or other means is also infeasible due to lack of experience of verification methods by the developers. Therefore there is no guaranteeing that the program will be error free.

1.4 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

2 Plan

2.1 Structural System

Recovery: Recovery testing will be manually and dynamically tested; the game will be run and will be manually crashed at random times, and when re-opened, the system will be manually checked by developers to see if it behaved as the requirements documents specified for recovery scenarios.

Table 2: Acronym/Abbreviation Table

Acronym/Abbreviation	Meaning	Description
GUI	Graphical User Interface	The GUI allows users to interact with the application through graphical icons
SRS	Software Requirements Specification	Document reporting objectives, intended features, risks and constraints of the product
Junit	N/A	A unit testing framework for Java applications
PoC	Proof of Concept	Presentation with a purpose to demonstrate that the project is feasible

Test case 1: save file doesnt exist, program process is closed through system OS Expected results: When program is re-opened the program is in its initial state.

Test case 2: save file exists, program process is closed through system OS Expected results: When program is re-opened the program is in its saved state.

Test case 3: save file doesnt exist, Computer is shut down while program is running Expected results: When program is re-opened the program is in its initial state.

Test case 4: save file exists, Computer is shut down while program is running Expected results: When program is re-opened the program is in its saved state.

2.2 Functional

2.2.1 Features not to be Tested

- no tests will be done for the cultural and political requirements stated in the SRS
- no tests will be done for the legal requirements in the SRS

- no tests will be done for the help page

2.2.2 Features to be Tested in PoC

All tests will be conducted through automatic testing, more specifically, unit testing with the use of Junit. These tests will be necessary to ensure the overall functionality of the product.

Management Of Player Bets, Chips And Pot

Test case 1: Starting a game and assigning chips to players

Input: player chooses bet option and chooses a bet amount

Initial State: Game started, players turn

Expected Output: player starts with 2000 chips and the amount entered for bet is added to the pot

Test case 2: Players have made their bet and one player folds

Input: N/A

Initial State: both player chose their move, one player folds

Expected Output: the player that didnt fold gets the pot added to his chips

Test case 3: Both players' hands are evaluated to be equal

Input: N/A

Initial State: both players have made their moves and the hands are evaluated

Expected Output: each player gets half of the pot if the pot amount is even, $(\text{pot}-1)/2$ if the amount is odd, and 1 is added to the next pot

Test case 4: player loses round

Input: Player1 hand, Player2 hand, pot where Player2 hand strength $>$ Player1 hand strength

Initial State: round over, players put down cards

Expected Output: Player2 chips increase by amount of pot, pot empties

Test case 5: player wins round

Input: Player1 hand, Player2 hand, pot where Player2 hand strength $<$ Player1 hand strength

Initial State: round over, players put down cards

Expected Output: Player1 chips increase by amount of pot, pot empties

Test case 6: Player1 bets more chips than Player2 has, Player2 wins

Input: Player1 bet, Player2 bet

Initial state: Player1 bet, Player2 calls

Expected Output: Player2 gains twice the amount of chips they bet, Player1 gains the rest of the chips

Test case 7: Game end

Input: a player chooses any option and uses up all their chips

Initial State: game in progress

Expected Output: At the end of the turn, the game should end and display the winner. At this point, the user can choose to play again.

Distribution and Handling of Cards

Test case 1: Distribute community and hand cards

Input: N/A

Initial State: Game start, shuffle deck

Expected Output: cards are shuffled, 2 cards are dealt to the player and 3 cards on community

Test case 2: Deal cards after both players choose an action

Input: N/A

Initial State: game in progress, players made their moves

Expected Output: The game should distribute one more card to the community hand

Test case 3: One player chooses to go all in

Input: one player choose all in

Initial State: game in progress

Expected Output: The other player will only have 2 options, call or fold. If the action is call, all community cards are dealt and both players hands are evaluated.

Player Choices

Test case 1: check/call

Input: player chooses check/call on their turn

Initial State: game in progress

Expected Output: The game should automatically deduct the same number of chips from the player as the other players bet and add the amount to the pot, and the game continues. The system should be able to keep track of the other players bet in order for the automatic deduction to work.

Test case 2: fold

Input: a player chooses to fold

Initial State: game in progress

Expected Output: the other player adds the pot to their chips and a new round should start.

Test case 3: raise

Input: Player raises by a certain amount that's less than the player's chips

Initial State: game in progress

Expected Output: The number of chips raised will be added to the pot and deducted from the player

Test case 4: raise error

Input: Player raises by an amount that's greater than the player's chips

Initial State: game in progress

Expected Output: The game should prompt the user with an error message and return to a state before the message appeared.

Save and Load System

Test case 1: Saving a game

Input: user requests save

Initial State: Game in progress

Expected Output: The game is saved onto a local file with the relevant information regarding chips, pot, game state, turn, etc.

Test case 1: Loading a game

Input: user requests load

Initial State: any state

Expected Output: The game is loaded with all relevant information required for the game to resume

Test case 1: Loading error

Input: user requests load but no file is found

Initial State: any state

Expected Output: The game should display an error

The GUI will be tested through manual testing. Individual elements such as buttons and images are tested for correctness, reliability and speed. Making sure that the GUI elements update promptly and accurately will help satisfy the speed and appearance requirements. The appearance requirement will be tested through a survey of players where they are asked to play the game and have a series of questions for the user regarding their experience with the interface recorded. The speed requirement can be tested through automatic unit testing, where the amount of time to execute commands is recorded.

2.2.3 Functional Unit Testing

All unit testing will be done automatically using Junit

Player:

Each one of Players methods will be tested for correct outputs using various inputs including boundary conditions Each one of Players fields will be tested for correct outputs

Deck:

Each one of Decks methods will be tested for correct outputs using various inputs including boundary conditions Each one of Decks fields will be tested for correct outputs

Game:

Each one of Games methods will be tested for correct outputs using various inputs including boundary conditions Each one of Games fields will be tested for correct outputs

Card:

Each one of Cards methods will be tested correct outputs using various inputs including boundary conditions Each one of Cards fields will be tested for correct outputs

2.3 Functional System

2.3.1 Performance Requirements:

Speed requirements:

Speed requirements will be automatically tested using using Junit and Java stopwatch utility.

Test case 1:Start program

Initial State: N/A

Input: N/A

Expected Output: stopwatch returns that program window starts up in less than 3 seconds

Test case 2: Computers play method is called

Initial state(s): game started, player has made move, computer's turn

Input: Card hand, chips, pot

Expected results: stopwatch returns that play method returns results in less than 2 seconds

Test case 2.1: Empty hand error

input: Card hand (empty), chips, pot

expected results: null pointer exception is returned

Test case 2.2: Zero chip error

input: Card hand, zero chips, pot

expected results: an error should be called

Test case 2.3: Zero pot error

input: Card hand, chips, zero pot

expected results: division by zero error

Precision requirements:

Precision requirements will be automatically tested using Junit and a Texas HoldEm hand probability database.

Test case 1: Hand strength method is called

initial state(s): game has started, player has made move, computers turn

inputs: Card hand (all possible card hand combinations are used as inputs)

expected results: Hand Strength returns a double value, rounded to 4 decimals, equal to corresponding double (rounded to 4 decimals) in Texas HoldEm hand probability database.

Test case 2: Card accuracy

Initial state: game begins

Input: N/A

Expected Output: The two players hands are dealt along with the community cards. There should not be any duplicate cards in the deck.

Availability requirements:

Availability requirements testing will consist of all testing specified in this document, using machines running OSX, Windows, and Linux.

Expected results: All results are consistent across all the platforms that were tested on

Regression Tests:

Regression will be tested using automatic testing. With the use of Junit, any change to the system that invalidate the previous positive test results will appear as a fail and therefore shows that the change needs to be revised.

Error Handling Tests:

Error handling will be tested using manual and automatic tests. When an error occurs, the system should display some form of error prompt to alert the user, and have the error logged. The logged error will be tested automatically for accuracy and the prompt will be manually tested for accuracy and the follow up action should resolve the error.

Usability Tests:

Usability requirements will be tested through manual testing. A small sample (1-5 people) of non beginners (people who are familiar with the rules of Texas Hold'em, and have played before), will play a round of the game to completion. They will then be asked to rate the usability on a scale of 1-10.

Learnability Tests:

Learnability will be tested through manual testing. A small sample (1-5 people), of beginners will be selected and they will be required to perform tasks such as going through the help page and then playing a game based on what theyve learned from the help page. They will then rate its usefulness on a scale of 1-10.

Playability Tests:

Playability will be tested through dynamic, unit system testing. If the system tests prove to be adequate and conclusive, then the game will be playable.

3 Schedule

Table 3: Schedule Table

Date	Team Member	Task
October 30	Nareshkumar Maheshkumar	Write unit test cases relating to PoC
November 2	All member	Execute Functional Testing Plan
November 8	All member	Create unit test for final product features
November 14	All member	Conduct manual testing on the game
Ongoing	All members	Update and/or revise test cases when new methods or classes are added
Ongoing	All members	Static testing for every method and class