

Task Graph Programming using DOCA Graph

Dian-Lun Lin

Cheng-Hsiang Chiu



Takeaways

- Understand the motivation behind task graph programming
- Learn to use the DOCA graph programming model
- Build an application based on DOCA graph
- Evaluate DOCA graph on microbenchmarks
- Conclude the talk



Background

- We are from a research group targeting on parallel and heterogeneous computing
 - Special focus on task graph parallelism (i.e., task graph programming)



DOCA graph has similar idea



Takeaways

- Understand the motivation behind task graph programming
- Learn to use the DOCA graph programming model
- Build an application based on DOCA graph
- Evaluate DOCA graph on microbenchmarks
- Conclude the talk




 Task graph-based programming encapsulates function calls and their dependencies in a top-down task graph

Code




 Task graph-based programming encapsulates function calls and their dependencies in a top-down task graph






 Task graph-based programming encapsulates function calls and their dependencies in a top-down task graph






 Task graph-based programming encapsulates function calls and their dependencies in a top-down task graph






GPU Example






GPU Example



Iteratively run many thousands of times to perform inference



Conventional approach: CUDA Stream




Iteratively run many thousands of times to perform inference

```
for(int batch=0; batch<60000; batch++){
  for(int layer=0; layer<1920; layer++){
    inference<<<grid, block, shm, stream>>>(out_d, in_d);
    cudaStreamSynchronize(stream);
  }
}
```

Use CUDA stream to enqueue the inference kernel



Stream can Incur Huge Overhead for Iterative Pattern




Iteratively run many thousands of times to perform inference


```
for(int batch=0; batch<60000; batch++){
  for(int layer=0; layer<1920; layer++){
    inference<<<grid, block, shm, stream>>>(out_d, in_d);
    cudaStreamSynchronize(stream);
  }
}
Synchronization overhead
```



New execution model: CUDA Graph

- CUDA Graph removes stream launch overhead for iterative patterns
 - Launch a CUDA graph requires only a single CPU call
 - CUDA runtime can perform the whole-graph optimization
 - New GPU architectures (e.g., A100) have many task graph optimizations







Takeaways

- Understand the motivation behind task graph parallelism
- Learn to use the DOCA graph programming model
- Build an application based on DOCA graph
- Evaluate DOCA graph on microbenchmarks
- Conclude the talk



DOCA Graph Programming Model - Node

- Context node
 - DOCA job
- User node
 - User callback job
- Sub-graph node
 - An instance of another DOCA graph
 - DOCA Graph is composable



DOCA Graph Programming Model - APIs

- doca_graph_create
 - Create a DOCA graph
- doca_graph_ctx_node_create
 - Create a DOCA node
- doca_graph_add_dependency
 - Create a dependency between two DOCA nodes
- doca_workq_graph_submit
 - Submit the entire DOCA graph to work queue
- doca_workq_progress_retrieve
 - Iteratively call this function until return DOCA_SUCCESS



DOCA Graph Programming Model - Restrictions

- Does not support circle dependencies
- A DOCA graph must contains at least one context node (i.e., DOCA job)
 - A sub-graph that contains a context node is allowed




Takeaways

- Understand the motivation behind task graph parallelism
- Learn to use the DOCA graph programming model
- Build an application based on DOCA graph
- Evaluate DOCA graph on microbenchmarks
- Conclude the talk



DOCA Graph Sample

- The sample calculates a SHA value and copies a source buffer to a destination buffer in parallel.
- The graph ends with a user callback node that prints the SHA value and compares the source with the DMA destination.





DOCA Graph Sample Flow - 1

- Create the graph using doca_graph_create.
- Create the graph nodes using doca_graph_ctx_node_create.
- Define dependencies using doca_graph_add_dependency.
- Start the graph using doca_graph_start.
- Add the graph to a work queue using doca_graph_workq_add.



DOCA Graph Sample Flow - 2

- Create the graph instance using doca_graph_instance_create.
- Set the nodes data using doca_graph_instance_set_ctx_node_data.
- Submit the graph instance to the work queue using doca_workq_graph_submit.
- Call doca_workq_progress_retrieve until it returns DOCA_SUCCESS.



DOCA Graph Sample Execution

```
Instance 7 user callback
SHA Value: 76dc13d83659a209fe0f516a18d82e0db47abbd96a1ab7dc65eca67455c3d7aa
Instance 7 completed
Instance 8 user callback
SHA Value: 666373a5c4cc310a18872ca337735981cd9764dac596b678394059833397681e
Instance 8 completed
Instance 9 user callback
SHA Value: 738c7aebba29b335a694d64478e480a60e74bcbe00b585063b4d290704e7e91a
Instance 9 completed
All instances completed successfully
```



Takeaways

- Understand the motivation behind task graph parallelism
- Learn to use the DOCA graph programming model
- Build an application based on DOCA graph
- Evaluate DOCA graph on microbenchmarks
- Conclude the talk



Evaluation Setup

- We studied the runtime performance between DOCA Graph, Pthread, and sequential implementation.
- We compiled the programs using gcc 11.4.
- We ran all the experiments on a Ubuntu Linux 22.04 host with an AMS EPYC 7302 16-Core CPU at 128 GB RAM and a BlueField-2 DPU.
- We ran every implementation up to 500 instances and measured the runtime using /usr/bin/time.



Baseline – Sequential Implementation

We spawned only one thread.

```
int instances = 500;
   pthread thread;
4 \cdot \text{for (int i = 0; i < instances; ++i) } 
     // Run SHA
      pthread_create( & thread, NULL, run_sha, NULL);
      pthread_join(thread, NULL);
      // Run DMA
      pthread_create( & thread, NULL, run_dma, NULL);
10
      pthread_join(thread, NULL);
11
12 }
```




Baseline – Pthread Implementation

 We spawned two threads and explicitly joined them after one instance.

```
1 int instances = 500;
   pthread_t thread_sha, thread_dma;
4
 5 \cdot \text{ for (int i = 0; i < instances; ++i) } 
     // Spwan the threads
      pthread_create( & thread_sha, NULL, run_sha, NULL);
      pthread_create( & thread_dma, NULL, run_dma, NULL);
      // Join the threads
10
11
      pthread_join(thread_sha, NULL);
      pthread_join(thread_dma, NULL);
12
13
```



Runtime




Horizontal axis denotes the number of instances.

Vertical axis denotes the runtime in seconds.

Blue color is DOCA Graph code. Red color is Pthread code. Soil color is sequential code.



Runtime




Pthread is consistently faster than sequential code.

Because Pthread code runs the two tasks, SHA and DMA, in parallel.



Runtime




For small instances (< 5), DOCA Graph is much slower than Pthread and sequential code.

Because building the graph has overhead for DOCA Graph.



Runtime




For big instances (> 5), DOCA Graph is much faster than Pthread and sequential code.

Because Pthread needs synchronizations after every instance. (pthread.join is not free). From our experience, DOCA Graph could use lightweight atomic counter to do the synchronization.



Runtime



For big instances (> 5), DOCA Graph is much faster than Pthread and sequential code.

Because DOCA Graph builds the graph only once and submits all the instances for execution. Pthread synchronizes at every instance.



Conclusion

- We have presented the motivation behind DOCA Graph
- We have presented the DOCA Graph programming model
- We have presented an application using DOCA Graph
- We have presented the performance of DOCA Graph

